# Board of Directors Regular Meeting <br> October 1, 2012 <br> 6:00 p.m. Executive Session; 7:00 p.m. Regular Meeting HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 

## AGENDA



[^0]DATE: September 26, 2012
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: $\quad$ Information Regarding the October 1, 2012 Board of Directors Meeting
Agenda Item \#4 - Oregon Recreation \& Park Association Awards
Attached please find a memo from Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services, reporting that Stephanie Redman, ORPA's new Executive Director, will be in attendance at your meeting to recognize the 2012 ORPA Annual Conference's award winners from THPRD.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda

Attached please find Consent Agenda items \#7A-F for your review and approval.
Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#7A-F as submitted:
A. Approve: Minutes of September 10, 2012 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Appointing Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee Member
E. Approve: Consultant Contract for Somerset West Park
F. Approve: Resolution Determining a Portion of a District Property is Surplus \& Authorizing its Marketing and Negotiation for Sale

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business

A. Bond Program

Attached please find a memo from Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, providing an update regarding recent activities centered around the Bond Program. Hal will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the memo and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## B. Affiliated Sports Policy

Attached please find a memo from Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services, noting that staff has met with the current affiliated sports groups to review the proposed policy and answer any questions and note any concerns they may have. Staff will be making a recommendation, including the recommended policy, for the Board of Directors' consideration at their October 1, 2012 meeting.

## Action Requested: Board of Directors adoption of the Affiliate Policy as presented and direct staff to begin implementation of the Policy.

## C. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the October Regular Board meeting.

## Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board
- Monthly Capital Report
- Monthly Bond Capital Report
- System Development Charge Report
- Newspaper Articles

MEMO

DATE: September 20, 2012
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: James McElhinny, Director of Park and Recreation Services
RE: $\quad$ Oregon Recreation \& Park Association Awards
The 2012 Oregon Recreation \& Park Association (ORPA) Annual Conference was held in Medford on September 9, 10 and 11. During the Conference, I am pleased to report that Board President Joseph Blowers, THPRD staff members Leslie Mundt and Sabrina Taylor Schmitt, and the Stuhr Center Expansion Project were recognized. The awards are noted below:

Natural Resource Section Outstanding Contribution to the Natural Resource Field. Joseph Blowers

ORPA Project Design Award
Stuhr Center Expansion
Recreation Sports Section Distinguished Service Award
Leslie Mundt
Aquatic Section: Excellence in Programming Award
Sabrina Taylor Schmitt and the Summer Wipe Out Series
It will be my pleasure to introduce Ms. Stephanie Redman, ORPA's new Executive Director, to you and the Board of Directors at their October 1, 2012 meeting. Stephanie will be present to meet the Board and recognize each of the award recipients.

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, on Monday, September 10, 2012. Executive Session 6:00 p.m.; Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.

Present:
Joseph Blowers
Larry Pelatt
Bob Scott
John Griffiths
William Kanable

President/Director<br>Secretary/Director<br>Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director<br>Director<br>Director<br>General Manager

Doug Menke
Agenda Item \#1 - Executive Session (A) Land
President, Joe Blowers called Executive Session to order for the following purpose:

- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
Executive Session is held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e), which allows the Board to meet in Executive Session to discuss the aforementioned issue.

President, Joe Blowers, noted that representatives of the news media and designated staff may attend Executive Session. All other members of the audience were asked to leave the room. Representatives of the news media were specifically directed not to disclose information discussed during Executive Session. No final action or final decision may be made in Executive Session. At the end of Executive Session, the Board will return to open session and welcome the audience back into the room.

## Agenda Item \#2 - Call Regular Meeting to Order

President, Joe Blowers, called the Regular Meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

## Agenda Item \#3 - Action Resulting from Executive Session

Bob Scott moved that, pursuant to a commitment of the 2008 Bond Measure, the Board of Directors authorize staff to acquire a natural area property in the southwest quadrant of the District using Bond Measure funds designated for acquisition of natural areas as well as funds from a Metro Nature in Neighborhoods Capital Grant. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Bill Kanable Yes

John Griffiths Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#4 - Presentations

## A. Recreation Advisory Committee

Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation, introduced Adam Bless, Chair of the Recreation Advisory Committee, to make a presentation to the Board of Directors regarding the activities of the Committee during the past year as well as their goals for the coming year.

Adam provided an overview of the Recreation Advisory Committee's past, current and future focuses via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, and which included the following topics:

- Mission Statement \& Committee Membership
o The Recreation Advisory Committee represents the Cedar Hills and Garden Home Recreation Centers, as well as Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center.
o The Committee's mission statement is to support the recreation centers by acting as responsible, informed user representatives of the communities served.
- Past, Current \& Future Focus Areas
o Community Centric Focus
- Met on location with each recreation Center Supervisor to discuss vision and needs
- Reviewed budgets, marketing materials and gave feedback
- Provided advocacy/conduit for recreation center users
- Researched safety aspects pertaining to 15-passenger vans
- Served as conduit of information for the improvement projects to Garden Home Recreation Center that were funded by City of Portland's Bureau of Environmental Services
- Allocated and prioritized funding for treadmill, weights, audio and office equipment
o Community Needs Survey
- Gather information on fitness and recreation programs
- Four surveys for four target groups:

1) Adults who participate in recreation and fitness programs
2) Adults who do not participate
3) Parents whose children participate
4) Parents whose children do not participate

- Surveys are ready, but have been postponed to avoid confusion with the GreenPlay survey taking place in connection with the Comprehensive Plan update
o Looking Ahead
- Recruit new member
- Increase awareness
- Help recreation centers provide services that complement the fitness and recreational programs in the private sector
- Continued focus on good options for children and parents

Adam offered to answer any questions the Board may have.
Larry Pelatt asked if each recreation center has a Friends Group.
$\checkmark$ Eric replied that Garden Home and Cedar Hills have Friends Groups, but Conestoga does not, although they are trying to organize such a group.
Larry asked whether the Recreation Advisory Committee coordinates with the Friends Groups.
$\checkmark$ Adam replied that the Committee mainly coordinates with the Center Supervisors. The focus of the Friends Groups is mainly on direct fundraising for their specific centers, whereas the Committee takes the role of being a conduit of information if there is something going on District-wide that affects the centers.

President, Joe Blowers, described the District's policy that any future recreation centers would be geared toward multi-generational programming and amenities, rather than building additional senior centers. He asked what the Committee has noticed is the current demographic spread in terms of age at the recreation centers. Have they noticed seniors using the recreation centers, or do they tend to prefer to participate at the Elsie Stuhr Center?
$\checkmark$ Adam replied that, in his experience, it is not uncommon for senior citizens to participate at the recreation centers as well as Elsie Stuhr Center.
$\checkmark$ Eric replied that there has been a particular increase in senior use at Garden Home Recreation Center. District-wide, staff attempts to provide senior-focused programming at the recreation centers for the same times that are busiest at the Elsie Stuhr Center in order to attempt to more evenly distribute that demographic use.

President, Joe Blowers, thanked the Recreation Advisory Committee on behalf of the Board of Directors for the informative presentation.

## B. Property Tax Revenue Update

Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, introduced Dan DeHaven, Management Analyst with Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue (TVF\&R), to make a presentation to the Board of Directors on the property tax revenue impacts of the decline in property values over the last four years. Keith noted that Dan has done extensive research and analysis on the current state of property tax revenues, including analysis of how the various property tax measures (Measure 5 and Measure 50) interact, as well as a review of how assessed values relate to market values and what this may mean for revenues in the next few years.

Dan provided a detailed overview of this information via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Larry Pelatt asked how the District would be impacted if another local option levy was approved within its service area.
$\checkmark$ Dan noted that when an area goes into compression, the first thing that is affected is any local option levies. If the District does not have a local option levy, it is not a big concern. However, once the area's local option levies have been diminished by compression, the reductions would then move to permanent rates, which would be shared as a percentage deduction of each district within the tax code area.
$\checkmark$ Keith described an area within the District that is currently in compression, noting that a small portion of the District's boundaries overlap with the City of Portland and, in that area, the combined rate is over $\$ 12$. At that high of a rate, the properties where the assessed value and market value ratio is high enough, compression has kicked in and eaten through all of the local option levies to the point that it is impacting the District's permanent rate. If the permanent rates and local option levies in Washington County were high enough, the District could start to see compression losses even without having a local option levy.

President, Joe Blowers, asked which agencies currently have local option levies within Beaverton Code Area 052.26.
$\checkmark$ Dan replied only Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue, but there has been talk of additional levies, such as one under consideration by Metro. Any area currently in compression, or near compression, would be impacted by any additional local option levies in their area.

Larry asked whether the local option levies are impacted proportionally by compression.
$\checkmark$ Dan confirmed this, noting this is the same for the permanent rates as well. However, bonds are never affected by compression.

Discussion occurred regarding assessed value being limited by real market value, as well as how real market value and assessed value interact in relation to maximum assessed value.

Larry asked for confirmation that if property values experience growth, it would be 1.5 years before the District saw any benefit from that growth. But, after that lag time, if the value grew at a rate of $8 \%$, taxes could increase at that same percentage rate until the maximum assessed value had been reached.
$\checkmark$ Dan confirmed this.
Joe commented that an issue for the District at this point is the Metro local option levy under consideration. It is a precarious position for the District in that if the levy passed, it would be beneficial from a parks perspective as it would provide funding for a larger recreation network for the public, but it would also be potentially disadvantageous in terms of compression.
$\checkmark$ Keith clarified that the local option levy under consideration by Metro, at the rates currently being discussed, would not push compression far enough to hit permanent rates. Fiscally, the District would not be impacted; however, the District's local partners with local option levies would be impacted.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, noted that Multnomah County is significantly into compression and has many local option levies in play.
$\checkmark$ Dan described a local option levy for the West Linn-Wilsonville School District that was reduced from $\$ 8$ million to $\$ 2$ million due to compression.

Joe asked what the take home lesson is for the District on this issue.
$\checkmark$ Keith replied that the lesson for the District in terms of budget is that the District is very fortunate that there was a buffer in the difference between market value and assessed value, which cushioned the real estate value collapse that has been experienced. However, the District is running out of time for a recovery. At this point, he believes there is about two years left. He explained that where the District had seen a 4-6\% growth in taxes in the past, currently it is barely $3 \%$ and will probably be below $3 \%$ next year. If the market stays flat and does not recover, the District will see growth values of $0 \%$ because the assessed value will grow to the market value and cap out at a flat market value. If the market value declines further and continues to do so over several more years, the District may get into a period where there is a decline in assessed values. The District has not yet hit that point and has a buffer to ride it out for a few more years, but once that time runs out, the District could see an impact.
$\checkmark$ Bill Kanable recalled this issue being discussed in 2008 when the District had a $50 \%$ or more value difference. In the next few years, the District needs to be mindful of this issue in terms of its budgetary process.
$\checkmark$ Doug noted that the District has done an excellent job from a financial perspective in managing things, as well as being wise in its choices regarding the 2008 Bond Measure by choosing projects that do not have high operating costs once completed.
$\checkmark$ Dan noted that as agencies propose bringing new taxing commitments into the pool, they want to be able to talk about this information and share the data of how that might impact the other agencies.

Doug thanked Dan and TVF\&R Fire Chief, Mike Duyck, for sharing this information and for the informative presentation this evening.

## Agenda Item \#5 - Audience Time

There was no testimony during Audience Time.

## Agenda Item \#6 - Board Time

John Griffiths asked for an update on the District's plans for any new dog parks.
$\checkmark$ Lisa Novak, Superintendent of Programs \& Special Activities, replied that the District is almost ready to go out to bid for a new dog park at the Portland Community College Rock Creek Recreation Facility and that a neighborhood meeting is scheduled for a dog park under consideration at Hart Meadows Park.
John asked whether the dog park at Winkelman Park is nearing completion.
$\checkmark$ Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, replied that fencing is going up and that the dog park should be ready for use this fall.
John asked whether the District is modeling its new dog parks on other popular area dog parks.
$\checkmark$ Steve replied that staff has reviewed other dog parks and that the new park at Winkelman will be a generous size with sections divided for small dogs and large dogs with some interactive elements.
John asked what the District's plans are for the Hazeldale Dog Park.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, replied that the current plan is to keep it operational as it is not a great expense and is very well utilized.
$\checkmark$ Lisa noted that most years the fundraising group associated with the Hazeldale Dog Park successfully raises enough money to cover the lease of the property from the church.

President, Joe Blowers, referenced an article on climate change in the latest issue of Parks \& Recreation magazine. He asked how the District is taking the issue of climate change into account when considering its future plans. For example, whether climate change is being considered when deciding what natural areas to purchase and how these areas are connected.
$\checkmark$ Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management, replied that District staff has been participating in a couple of different regional planning projects, both on climate adaptation as well as long-term planning looking at the District's parks as part of a larger system. The District has been working on these issues with Portland, Metro and a number of different partners via The Intertwine Alliance. Areas of exploration include what kinds of plants should be planted in the natural areas, whether some habitats may shift, and the establishment of wildlife corridors. In the next few months, The Intertwine Regional Conservation Strategy is expected to be released and will address some of these issues.
Joe described the increasing popularity of electric cars and asked whether the District has considered getting ahead of the trend by installing more electric charging stations at its facilities.
$\checkmark$ Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, replied that the District has installed one charging station at the HMT Recreation Complex via the ECOtality grant program. Other sites were explored at that time, but were determined not to be viable; however, as demand increases, this will change. In addition, in reference to Joe's previous comment, within the District's Future Trends Team Report, which was presented to the Board at the June 4, 2012 Regular Board meeting, climate change was one of the strategic questions to be addressed. He shared the article referenced by Joe with the committee, which will be regrouping in the fall.
$\checkmark$ Bruce noted that two things that have been identified through The Intertwine process he described are that most of this area's habitat is not the type that is going to be dramatically shifted, such as habitat at the top of a mountain would, and that a wetter winter and dryer summer is being forecasted, which is something that the District can adjust to in terms of management.

Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda
Bill Kanable moved the Board of Directors approve Consent Agenda items (A) Minutes of August 13, 2012 Board Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement,
(D) Resolution Amending 2011 Fee Market Study and Adjusting Certain User Fees, and (E) HMT Field \#1 Synthetic Turf Replacement. Bob Scott seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
John Griffiths Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Bill Kanable Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business

## A. Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC) One-Year Results

Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager, introduced Trey Scavone, Project Director Commissioning and Technical Services for McKinstry, the project consultant, to make a presentation to the Board of Directors on the energy savings results for the first full year of operation after the completion of the District's ESPC project. The ESPC project allowed the District to make capital improvements that resulted in guaranteed energy savings at a net budget neutral impact. Ann noted that July 2012 marked the one-year anniversary of the completion of the ESPC project and the opportunity to measure a full year of energy savings.

Trey provided a detailed overview of the ESPC one-year results via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, noting that the total year-over-year energy savings recognized by the District from July 2011 to July 2012 was $\$ 206,398$, which is approximately $10 \%$ greater than estimated. Trey noted that the District and McKinstry are currently working to develop a second phase of the project and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Larry Pelatt asked for the status of the District selling its Business Energy Tax (BET) Credits.
$\checkmark$ Ann replied that a deal is currently in process to place all but $\$ 5,000$ of the District's BET credits. If the deal is successful, the District will receive $\$ 182,000$ in exchange for the credits.
$\checkmark$ Keith noted that the BET credits were not factored into the original cash flow so whatever is received for them is additional cash flow.

John Griffiths asked whether fleet improvements have been considered under the ESPC project.
$\checkmark$ Trey replied that McKinstry has not delved into fleet improvements past installation of some electrical vehicle charging stations.
$\checkmark$ Keith noted that the District has focused heavily on energy reduction within its fleet through a combination of increased usage of alternative fuel vehicles and trip reductions.

## B. General Manager's Report

Doug Menke, General Manager, provided a detailed overview of the General Manager's Report included within the Board of Directors information packet, which included the following topics:

- Changes to Oregon Department of Transportation’s Grant Process
o Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, provided a detailed update regarding changes to the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) grant process.
- GreenPlay/Comprehensive Plan Update
- Summer Events Recap
o Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services, provided a PowerPoint presentation of photos from the District's numerous events that occurred this summer, a copy of which was entered into the record.
- Board of Directors Meeting Schedule

Doug offered to answer any questions the Board may have regarding the General Manager's Report.

Larry Pelatt commented that, given that the STIP grants are going to become much more competitive, the District will need to do an even more thorough job of identifying and targeting energies for projects that are most likely to receive grant funding. If the District applies for grants just to apply, none of the applications will be a high enough quality to be successful.
$\checkmark$ Bill Kanable expressed agreement and suggested the Westside Trail as an example of a prime project.

## Agenda Item \#9 - New Business

## A. Cedar Mill Park Master Plan

Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, provided an overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet, noting that Cedar Mill Park is an identified neighborhood park redevelopment site funded via the 2008 Bond Measure. The proposed master plan includes a new youth athletic field, community garden, a path connecting NW $10{ }^{7}$ A Avenue to the adjacent Cedar Mill Elementary School, a play area, picnic facilities, and native planting enhancements. An additional athletic field, also funded via the 2008 Bond Measure, is proposed to be provided at the Cedar Mill Elementary School field site subject to agreement with the Beaverton School District. Steve introduced David Walters, Park Planner, and Bo Nevue, Project Manager with Nevue Ngan Associates, the project consultant, to present an overview of the proposed Cedar Mill Park Master Plan.

David provided an overview of the public process in the development of the master plan, noting that two neighborhood meetings were held, as well as meetings with the area's Citizen Participation Organization, and the District's Parks and Natural Resources Advisory Committees. The Natural Resources Advisory Committee expressed the desire for a master plan that did not impact the tree grove in the middle of the site, which necessitated the relocation of the tennis courts, as well as, improvements to the athletic field at the Cedar Mill Elementary School site in order to have a more efficient site with two athletic fields adjacent to each other. This plan was supported by the majority of those in attendance at the second neighborhood meeting, although some attendees expressed concern that the overall character of the site would change and that some of the natural resources and wildlife may be impacted.

Bo provided a detailed overview of the various elements included within the proposed master plan via a PowerPoint presentation of the informational materials included within the Board of Directors information packet and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Larry Pelatt recalled that the area where the tennis courts would be relocated has a sloping topography. He asked for confirmation that this is the same area as where the concerts are held in the summer.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, noted that there is a nice amphitheater effect to a portion of that area of the site. However, the new athletic field will be the intended space for future concerts, as it would be better able host a stage and would also provide a more even surface for children to play on and elderly to walk over.

Bob Scott observed that while there is ADA access to the community gardens and athletic field, there is no pathway leading to the athletic field on the elementary school's property.
$\checkmark$ Bob replied that an acceptable route may be possible, but needs further exploration and survey work.

John Griffiths asked whether there is an alternate location for the athletic field that would not necessitate the relocation of the tennis courts.
$\checkmark$ David replied that they went through many different plan variations with different sizes of fields; however, the site is limited in terms of the grading and difficult to locate an athletic field without extensive retaining walls.
$\checkmark$ Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, noted that there is value in pouring a new tennis court pad as such pads do have a limited lifespan.
$\checkmark$ David noted that the existing tennis court was built in 1960.
$\checkmark$ Larry recalled that the courts may have been resurfaced recently.
$\checkmark$ Doug noted that they are very well used courts.
$\checkmark$ Steve commented that the biggest concern heard from the community was regarding limiting the impact to the tree grove.

Larry asked whether lighting of the athletic field is being proposed as part of this project.
$\checkmark$ Bill replied that there is not enough multi-use on the field in order for lighting to be warranted.

President, Joe Blowers, commented on the community garden location, noting that it is wedged into the panhandle of the site, which would be unusable land for most other purposes.

Larry expressed support for the creativity in this proposed master plan.
$\checkmark$ Joe expressed agreement, noting that he is pleased that the master plan was met with the community's approval.

Bob Scott moved the Board of Directors approve the Cedar Mill Park Master Plan. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Bill Kanable Yes
John Griffiths Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Agenda Item \#10 - Adjourn
There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation

Accounts Payable
Over \$1,000.00

| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 264381 | $08 / 09 / 2012$ | Community Newspapers, Inc. |
| 264399 | $08 / 09 / 2012$ | Prodotto LLC |
| 264528 | $08 / 15 / 2012$ | Oregonian Publishing Company <br> Advertising |
|  |  |  |
| 264671 | $08 / 21 / 2012$ | Beynon Sports Surfaces, Inc |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Athletic Facility Replacement |
|  |  |  |
| 264101 | $08 / 02 / 2012$ | OPSIS Architecture, LLP |
| 264103 | $08 / 02 / 2012$ | Seabold Construction Co., Inc. |
| 264280 | $08 / 07 / 2012$ | BBL Architects |
| 264287 | $08 / 07 / 2012$ | Delta AV |
| 264288 | $08 / 07 / 2012$ | Exercise Equipment NW, Inc. |
| 264289 | $08 / 07 / 2012$ | FastSigns of Beaverton |
| 264295 | $08 / 07 / 2012$ | Sound Security, Inc. |
| 264370 | $08 / 09 / 2012$ | ION Systems Inc |
| 264490 | $08 / 15 / 2012$ | OPSIS Architecture, LLP |
| 264492 | $08 / 15 / 2012$ | Skyward Construction |
| 264799 | $08 / 27 / 2012$ | John Deere Landscapes, Inc. |
| 264799 | $08 / 27 / 2012$ | John Deere Landscapes, Inc. |
| 264804 | $08 / 27 / 2012$ | OPSIS Architecture, LLP |
| 264807 | $08 / 27 / 2012$ | Pinnell Busch, Inc. |
| 264808 | $08 / 27 / 2012$ | Precision Locksmith Service |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Facility Expansion \& Improvements |


| 264286 | 08/07/2012 | Dan Riehl Excavating, Inc. |  | 40,019.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264442 | 08/14/2012 | Holland Gables, LLC |  | 9,000.00 |
| 264444 | 08/14/2012 | R.P. Herman \& Associates, LLC |  | 2,600.00 |
| 264484 | 08/15/2012 | John A. Rankin, LLC |  | 2,500.00 |
| 264484 | 08/15/2012 | John A. Rankin, LLC |  | 10,740.00 |
| 264667 | 08/21/2012 | Assessment Associates Inc. |  | 1,250.00 |
| 264674 | 08/21/2012 | Dan Riehl Excavating, Inc. |  | 17,060.00 |
| 264681 | 08/21/2012 | Lawyers Title Insurance Corporation |  | 9,600.00 |
| 264917 | 08/28/2012 | Dan Riehl Excavating, Inc. |  | 10,750.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Land Acquisition | \$ | 103,519.60 |
| 264284 | 08/07/2012 | Confluence Construction \& Restoration Co. |  | 1,875.00 |
| 264371 | 08/09/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 2,150.00 |
| 264371 | 08/09/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 4,340.00 |
| 264489 | 08/15/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 3,244.00 |
| 264489 | 08/15/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 3,136.00 |
| 264918 | 08/28/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 2,439.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Natural Resources Projects | \$ | 17,184.00 |
| 264100 | 08/02/2012 | David Evans \& Associates, Inc. |  | 11,636.63 |
| 264294 | 08/07/2012 | Paul Brothers, lnc. |  | 270,764.25 |
| 264440 | 08/14/2012 | Carlson Testing, Inc. |  | 4,446.00 |
| 264801 | 08/27/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |  | 7,508.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redeveloped Community Parks | \$ | 294,354.88 |
| 264127 | 08/03/2012 | The OSCPA Educational Foundation |  | 5,000.00 |
| 264366 | 08/09/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 35,696.79 |
| 264443 | 08/14/2012 | Lango Hansen Landscape Architects, PC |  | 3,261.60 |
| 264485 | 08/15/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 10,424.45 |
| 264487 | 08/15/2012 | Lango Hansen Landscape Architects, PC |  | 5,500.00 |


| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name |  | Check Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264798 | 08/27/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 2,793.75 |
| 264803 | 08/27/2012 | Nevue Ngan Associates |  | 3,379.00 |
| 264809 | 08/27/2012 | The Saunders Company, Inc. |  | 2,895.00 |
| 264810 | 08/27/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 4,577.44 |
| 264954 | 08/30/2012 | Paul Brothers, Inc. |  | 48,630.50 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redeveloped Neighborhood Parks | \$ | 122,158.53 |
| 264666 | 08/21/2012 | 3J Consulting, Inc. |  | 1,313.92 |
| 264670 | 08/21/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond-Replacements \& Improvements | \$ | 2,313.92 |
| 264281 | 08/07/2012 | Brant Construction, Inc. |  | 171,000.00 |
| 264367 | 08/09/2012 | Brant Construction, Inc. |  | 155,800.00 |
| 264440 | 08/14/2012 | Carlson Testing, Inc. |  | 1,018.00 |
| 264446 | 08/14/2012 | Walker Macy |  | 2,986.10 |
| 264448 | 08/14/2012 | Western Wood Structures, Inc. |  | 6,900.00 |
| 264481 | 08/15/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 3,000.00 |
| 264483 | 08/15/2012 | Brian C. Jackson, Architect, LLC |  | 6,666.00 |
| 264486 | 08/15/2012 | Lance Ward Services |  | 5,040.00 |
| 264488 | 08/15/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |  | 6,893.13 |
| 264494 | 08/15/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 13,970.00 |
| 264495 | 08/15/2012 | Walker Macy |  | 4,314.96 |
| 264797 | 08/27/2012 | Brant Construction, Inc. |  | 168,910.00 |
| 264920 | 08/28/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 18,817.50 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Trails/Linear Parks | \$ | 565,315.69 |
| 264679 | 08/21/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 31,684.00 |
| 264805 | 08/27/2012 | Otak, Inc. |  | 3,200.21 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Youth Athletic Field Development | \$ | 34,884.21 |
| 264680 | 08/21/2012 | WACO Scaffold \& Equipment, Inc. |  | 3,730.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Improvements | \$ | 3,730.00 |
| 264445 | 08/14/2012 | Reitmeier Mechanical |  | 13,443.00 |
| 264802 | 08/27/2012 | McKinstry Essention, Inc. |  | 4,437.20 |
| 264846 | 08/24/2012 | Platt Electric Supply, Inc. |  | 2,174.75 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Replacements | \$ | 20,054.95 |
| 264146 | 08/06/2012 | Mancino Manufacturing Co |  | 1,531.20 |
| 264288 | 08/07/2012 | Exercise Equipment NW, Inc. |  | 29,044.00 |
| 264480 | 08/15/2012 | Allied Products, LLC |  | 13,259.22 |
| 264536 | 08/15/2012 | Peterson Structural Engineers, Inc. |  | 1,150.00 |
| 264672 | 08/21/2012 | Christenson Electric, Inc. |  | 9,843.00 |
| 264800 | 08/27/2012 | Life Fitness |  | 40,464.73 |
| 264955 | 08/30/2012 | US Postmaster |  | 1,328.33 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Community Benefit Fund | \$ | 96,620.48 |
| 264714 | 08/21/2012 | THP Foundation |  | 1,700.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Facility Challenge Grants | \$ | 1,700.00 |
| 264919 | 08/28/2012 | Robert Gray Partners, Inc. |  | 26,082.27 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Fleet Capital Replacement | \$ | 26,082.27 |
| 264900 | 08/27/2012 | Dell Marketing L.P. |  | 3,244.10 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Information Services - Technology Improvement | \$ | 3,244,10 |

Page 2

| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264208 | 08/06/2012 | A\&E Imaging |  | 2,561.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Information Services - Technology Replacement | \$ | 2,561.00 |
| 264919 | 08/28/2012 | Robert Gray Partners, Inc. |  | 109,397.48 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Maintenance Facility Renovation | \$ | 109,397.48 |
| 264478 | 08/15/2012 | 3J Consulting, Inc. |  | 1,902.48 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - McMillan Park Bridge | \$ | 1,902.48 |
| 264175 | 08/06/2012 | Recreation Resource, Inc. |  | 1,131.00 |
| 264193 | 08/06/2012 | Ticor Title Insurance Co. |  | 3,400.00 |
| 264291 | 08/07/2012 | Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. |  | 2,957.92 |
| 264678 | 08/21/2012 | Ticor Title Insurance Co. |  | 5,100.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Park \& Trail Improvements | \$ | 12,588.92 |
| 264227 | 08/06/2012 | Daneal Construction, Inc. |  | 2,717.00 |
| 264545 | 08/15/2012 | Ross Recreation Equipment Company, Inc. |  | 13,507.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Park \& Trail Replacements | \$ | 16,224.00 |
| 264363 | 08/09/2012 | Apex Companies, LLC |  | 2,401.50 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - SDC - Park Development/Improvements | \$ | 2,401.50 |
| 264205 | 08/06/2012 | PGE |  | 27,367.80 |
| 264474 | 08/15/2012 | PGE |  | 5,862.51 |
| 264791 | 08/24/2012 |  |  | 4,898.65 |
|  |  | Electricity | \$ | 38,128.96 |
| 264465 | 08/15/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 183,581.00 |
| 265007 | 08/31/2012 | Kaiser Foundation Health Plan. |  | 210,291.01 |
| 265010 | 08/31/2012 | Oregon Dental Service |  | 27,552.02 |
| 265011 | 08/31/2012 | Standard Insurance Co. |  | 13,297.08 |
| 265017 | 08/31/2012 | UNUM Life Insurance-LTC Company of America |  | 1,296.00 |
|  |  | Employee Benefits | \$ | 436,017.11 |
| 264460 | 08/15/2012 | Aetna/ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company |  | 6,737.50 |
| 264463 | 08/15/2012 | Manley Services |  | 8,066.67 |
| 264466 | 08/15/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 31,067.33 |
| 264468 | 08/15/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 3,665.00 |
| 265004 | 08/31/2012 | Aetna/ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company |  | 6,737.50 |
| 265008 | 08/31/2012 | Manley Services |  | 9,122.67 |
| 265012 | 08/31/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 32,598.33 |
| 265014 | 08/31/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 3,665.00 |
| 265016 | 08/31/2012 | THPRD - Employee Assn. |  | 6,864.56 |
|  |  | Employee Deductions | \$ | 108,524.56 |
| 264978 | 08/31/2012 | Aloha Youth Football/Cheerleading |  | 1,200.00 |
|  |  | FA Scholarships | \$ | 1,200.00 |
| 264147 | 08/06/2012 | Mare Nelson Oil Products, Inc. |  | 2,420.28 |
| 264815 | 08/24/2012 | Marc Nelson Oil Products, Inc. |  | 3,147.86 |
| 264873 | 08/24/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 15,892.80 |
|  |  | Gas \& Oil (Vehicles) | \$ | 21,460.94 |


| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264204 | 08/06/2012 | NW Natural |  | 11,565.11 |
| 264790 | 08/24/2012 | NW Natural Heat |  | 7,088.65 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 18,653.76 |
| 264306 | 08/07/2012 | Oregon Fencing Alliance |  | 1,445.00 |
| 264517 | 08/15/2012 | NSAOUA |  | 4,778.50 |
| 264828 | 08/24/2012 | NSAOUA |  | 3,777.00 |
| 264836 | 08/24/2012 | Instructional Services |  | 3,825.00 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 13,825.50 |
| 264257 | 08/06/2012 | Les Schwab Central Billing Department |  | 1,988.42 |
| 264557 | 08/15/2012 | Stark Street Lawn \& Garden West |  | 2,352.43 |
| 264571 | 08/15/2012 | Western Equipment Distributors, Inc. |  | 3,724.73 |
| 264861 | 08/24/2012 | Schulz-Clearwater Sanitation, Inc. |  | 12,022.48 |
| 264865 | 08/24/2012 | Stark Street Lawn \& Garden West |  | 8,879.77 |
| 264906 | 08/27/2012 | Guaranteed Pest Control |  | 1,761.00 |
|  |  | Maintenance Services | \$ | 30,728.83 |
| 264163 | 08/06/2012 | OVS Solutions |  | 10,747.00 |
| 264210 | 08/06/2012 | Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. |  | 5,930.88 |
| 264223 | 08/06/2012 | Coastwide Laboratories |  | 8,833.93 |
| 264233 | 08/06/2012 | Ewing Irrigation Products, Inc. |  | 6,418.98 |
| 264308 | 08/07/2012 | Pioneer Manufacturing Co. |  | 2,184.00 |
| 264420 | 08/10/2012 | Lowe's |  | 1,888.60 |
| 264566 | 08/15/2012 | Univar USA, Inc. |  | 1,585.35 |
| 264579 | 08/15/2012 | Aquionics, Inc. |  | 3,253.90 |
| 264595 | 08/15/2012 | Coastwide Laboratories |  | 2,115.24 |
| 264698 | 08/21/2012 | Home Depot Credit Services |  | 4,633.45 |
| 264896 | 08/27/2012 | BSN Sports |  | 1,398.99 |
| 264898 | 08/27/2012 | Coastwide Laboratories |  | 2,687.30 |
| 264902 | 08/27/2012 | Fazio Brothers Sand \& Gravel |  | 1,871.70 |
| 264904 | 08/27/2012 | Grainger |  | 1,150.59 |
| 264909 | 08/27/2012 | Knorr Systems, Inc. |  | 3,478.20 |
| 264932 | 08/28/2012 | Grainger |  | 2,011.80 |
| 264975 | 08/31/2012 | Woodco |  | 1,045.00 |
|  |  | Maintenance Supplies | \$ | 61,234.91 |
| 264869 | 08/24/2012 | THP Foundation |  | 2,414.65 |
|  |  | Miscellaneous Income | \$ | 2,414.65 |
| 264564 | 08/15/2012 | THP Foundation |  | 3,124.00 |
|  |  | Miscellaneous Income - Summer Activities | \$ | 3,124.00 |
| 264580 | 08/15/2012 | ASCAP |  | 2,082.83 |
|  |  | Miscellaneous Other Services | \$ | 2,082.83 |
| 264522 | 08/15/2012 | OfficeMax Incorporated |  | 4,325.63 |
| 264832 | 08/24/2012 | OfficeMax Incorporated |  | 4,237.35 |
|  |  | Office Supplies | \$ | 8,562.98 |
| 264704 | 08/21/2012 | OR Dept of Revenue |  | 2,117.80 |
|  |  | Oregon State Withholding Tax | \$ | 2,117.80 |
| 264717 | 08/21/2012 | United States Postal Service CMRS-PB |  | 3,000.00 |
|  |  | Postage | \$ | 3,000.00 |



| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name |  | Check Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264230 | 08/06/2012 | Edwards Enterprises |  | 1,600.00 |
| 264232 | 08/06/2012 | ESRI, Inc. |  | 14,100.00 |
| 264244 | 08/06/2012 | City of Hillsboro |  | 18,578.53 |
| 264381 | 08/09/2012 | Community Newspapers, Inc. |  | 2,004.00 |
| 264397 | 08/09/2012 | Pacific Talent, Inc. |  | 1,631.00 |
| 264519 | 08/15/2012 | NW Tree Specialists |  | 4,795.00 |
| 264548 | 08/15/2012 | Screen Magic |  | 2,419.50 |
| 264558 | 08/15/2012 | Starplex Corporation |  | 1,716.00 |
| 264829 | 08/24/2012 | NW Tree Specialists |  | 2,920.00 |
| 264853 | 08/24/2012 | PTL Tree Service, Inc |  | 1,170.00 |
| 264866 | 08/24/2012 | Stew Dodge |  | 7,150.00 |
| 264868 | 08/24/2012 | Telogis, Inc. |  | 1,440.00 |
| 264905 | 08/27/2012 | GreenPlay, LLC |  | 7,200.20 |
| 264916 | 08/28/2012 | Caswell/Hertel Surveyors, Inc. |  | 1,163.00 |
|  |  | Technical Services | \$ | 128,266.14 |
| 264470 | 08/15/2012 | AT\&T Mobility |  | 1,270.89 |
| 264473 | 08/15/2012 | Nextel Communications |  | 8,180.14 |
| 264788 | 08/24/2012 | Integra Telecom |  | 5,318.68 |
|  |  | Telecommunications | \$ | 14,769.71 |
| 264206 | 08/06/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 8,923.53 |
| 264471 | 08/15/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 14,996.71 |
| 264475 | 08/15/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 31,203.81 |
| 264786 | 08/24/2012 | Clean Water Services |  | 2,112.08 |
| 264792 | 08/24/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 12,896.91 |
|  |  | Water \& Sewer | \$ | 70,133.04 |
|  |  | Report Total: | \$ | 2,973,151.48 |

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District



## General Fund Financial Summary

August, 2012

| Current | Year to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Prorated <br> Budget | \% YTD to <br> Prorated <br> Budget | Full <br> Fiscal Year <br> Budget |

Program Resources:

Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers \& Programs
Sports Programs \& Field Rentals
Natural Resources
Total Program Resources
Other Resources:
Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Total Other Resources
Total Resources
Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administration
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Programs \& Special Activities
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures

## General Government Expenditures:

Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Planning
Capital Outlay
Total Other Expenditures:

## Total Expenditures

Revenues over (under) Expenditures
Beginning Cash on Hand
Ending Cash on Hand

| 14,464 | 14,838 | 44,018 | $33.7 \%$ | $2,332,900$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 152,645 | 323,051 | 358,711 | $90.1 \%$ | $1,878,069$ |
| $1,462,452$ | $2,879,155$ | $2,742,194$ | $105.0 \%$ | $16,420,325$ |
| 144,108 | 266,206 | 241,972 | $110.0 \%$ | $1,646,067$ |
| 154,186 | 248,966 | 340,293 | $73.2 \%$ | $3,402,925$ |
| $1,927,855$ | $3,732,216$ | $3,727,188$ | $100.1 \%$ | $25,680,286$ |


| $\$ 3,745,897$ | $\$ 7,029,386$ | $\$ 7,116,974$ | $98.8 \%$ | $\$ 41,260,460$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| \$ (2,394,335) | \$ $(4,969,665)$ | $\$(5,145,703)$ | $96.6 \%$ | $\$(4,032,810)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,909,481$ | $4,032,810$ | $121.7 \%$ | $4,032,810$ |  |

\$ $(60,184) \$(1,112,893) \quad 5.4 \%$ \$

Note: Beginning Cash may be revised when closing of FY 11/12 has been completed

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary

August, 2012


## General Fund Expenditures



MEMO

DATE: September 17, 2012
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning
RE: $\quad$ Resolution Appointing Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee Member
Introduction
The Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee requests Board of Directors approval of one new committee member appointment.

## Background

At their September 11, 2012 meeting, the Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee recommended that the Board of Directors approve and appoint Helen Popa to the Committee via the attached resolution.

Please note that the respective applicant's application and the Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee current roster are attached.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2012-17, appointing Helen Popa to the Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee.

## Resolution 2012-17

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, Oregon

## A RESOLUTION APPOINTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors must appoint committee members by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the committee member shall be appointed by the Board for a two-year term; and

WHEREAS, the committee member has demonstrated her interest and knowledge in the Committee's area of responsibility

## THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Board of Directors approves the appointment of Helen Popa to the Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee.

Duly passed by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District this $1^{\text {st }}$ day of October 2012.

Joseph Blowers, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary

## ATTEST:

[^1]
## TUALATN HULSPARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVSORY COMMTIEE APPLCATION

| Name: Helen R. Popa | Date: 12/29/11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
| Phone \# (H) | City: |
| Email: |  |

Advisory Committee you are applying for: (You must reside within the Park District boundaries)

## Recreation $\square$ Aquatics $\square$ Sports $\square$ Trails $\square$ Elsie Stuhr Center $\boxtimes$ Historic Facilities $\square$ Natural Resources $\square$ Parks $\square$

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Advisory Committee:

I have enjoyed the senior center for many years. I have taken many exercise classes, participated in the yearly bazaars, taken day trip and enjoyed the center activities.
2. How long have you lived in the community? 30 years.
3. Have you or your family participated in any Center or other Recreation District activities? What:

The Friends of the Beaverton city Library. I served as president during the 1980s. I also served on the Beaverton Lib. Advisory council for two terms.

When:

Where:

Number of Years:

## *CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

## TUALATNH HUSPARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMTIEE APPLCATION

4. Have you served on other volunteer committees? YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$ If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

I did serve on the Beaverton Lib. Board for 2 terms in 1980s. I think the Lib. Advisory Board kept the City Council advised of the Lib. needs \& activities.
5. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Advisory Committee:

I graduated from the Presbyterian School of Christian Education and worked in Presbyterian churches as a director of Christian Education. Later after marriage and two children I earned a masters degree in Teaching arts. I taught $5^{\text {th }}$ grade reading. I loved teaching and working with children. I very much enjoy working with people. I enjoy meeting people and social activities, like bunco \& working puzzles. The senior center gives me the opportunity to meet people and enjoy the activities of the center. I also volunteer one morning a week at the Maryville Nursing Home. I became a Master Gardener and helped in the garden area of the Harvest Bazaar.
6. Term of Office preferred:

2-YEAR TERM $\boxtimes$ or 3-YEAR TERM $\square$ $\qquad$ Please check one

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District <br> ELSIESTUHRCENIER ADMSORY COMMTTEE ROSTER

## Last Updated: 9/11/12

| Committee Member | Member Since | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robert Cannon | February 2010 |  |  |  | February 2013 |
| Harold Eves | February 2010 |  |  |  | February 2013 |
| Edith Frahm | February 2010 |  |  |  | February 2013 |
| David Magee, Chair | February 2010 |  |  |  | February 2013 |
| Doris Regan | February 2010 |  |  |  | February 2014 |
| Norman Vaillancourt | February 2010 |  |  |  | February 2013 |
| Ex-Officio Member | Representing | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| Lisa Novak | $\begin{gathered} \text { Staff } \\ \text { THPRD } \end{gathered}$ | 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 97006 | 503/645-6433 | Inovak@thprd.org | NA |
| Linda Jo Enger | $\begin{gathered} \text { Staff } \\ \text { THPRD } \end{gathered}$ | 5550 SWHall Blvd, Beaverton, OR 97005 | 503/629-6342 | Lenger@thprd.org | NA |
| Brenda Peterson | $\begin{gathered} \text { Staff } \\ \text { THPRD } \end{gathered}$ | 5550 SWHall Blvd, Beaverton, OR 97005 | 503/629-6342 | bpeterson@thprd.org | NA |
| Laurel Reilly | City of Beaverton Senior Citizens Advisory Committee |  |  |  | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Đsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee looks at the operation and development of classes, programs, and special events for seniors at the Esie Stuhr Center and all other facilities within the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District.

The committee typically meets on the second Monday of every month at 10 am, Stuhr Center.

DATE: September 19, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Consultant Contract for Somerset West Park

## Introduction

Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval of the highest qualified consultant team and negotiated scope and fee for professional consulting services for the Somerset West Park redevelopment project.

## Background

THPRD's Consultant of Record short-list expired on June 30, 2012. On July 9, staff publicly advertised a Request for Proposals (RFP) in the Daily Journal of Commerce (DJC) to solicit consultant teams based on the Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) process for the Somerset West Park improvements. On July 25, staff received six proposals. From the information these six teams submitted in their proposals, staff believes they are all qualified consultant teams for this park improvement project.

Based on staff's review and evaluation of the proposals, staff finds that the consulting team led by 2 .ink Studio is the one that is highest qualified for this project. 2.ink Studio's proposal reflects the greatest understanding of the project and the processes required to complete the project. Their proposal is more detailed and clear in identifying their project approach and schedule, and includes additional relevant tasks that staff believes will thoroughly support the project conditions and goals.

On August 13, staff solicited a consultant fee proposal from 2.ink Studio to supplement their \#1 ranked qualification proposal. Staff met with 2. ink Studio to clarify the project scope of work and to negotiate fees. Subsequently, staff has received 2.ink Studio's final revised scope and fee proposal of $\$ 496,496$. This fee proposal is based on the total estimated project budget and considers the THPRD's and Tualatin Hills Park Foundation's (THPF) desired program of improvements. Staff's preliminary estimated range of construction cost for Somerset West Park improvements is $\$ 2,500,000$ to $\$ 3,900,000$ with a median estimated construction cost of \$3,200,000.

With their fee proposal, 2.ink Studio has also identified a number of contingency tasks beyond their base fee which may be needed to complete the project, but are not anticipated at this time. These contingency tasks include a traffic impact study, half street improvements, additional geotechnical engineering for project permitting, arborist's services, etc. These potential contingency tasks are not included in their base fee proposal. 2.ink Studio's consultant team includes nine sub-consultants during the three-year project schedule to address an anticipated array of complex permitting issues and challenging design issues specific to fitting the athletic field and related ADA improvements into the site's constraining natural resources and topography.

The original total project budget for the Somerset West Park improvement project was $\$ 1,000,000$. An additional $\$ 500,000$ has been added to the project to include the development of an athletic field. The present bond project budget is $\$ 1,567,035$. As noted in the memo provided in advance of the Board's approval on June 18, 2012 to locate a Champions Too Field at Somerset West Park, the project is also anticipating an additional estimated $\$ 1,700,000$ to $\$ 2,100,000$ to be provided by the THPF for the ADA upgrades to the athletic field for universal accessibility including special ADA facilities, field surfacing and other related amenities. If these funds are provided, the budget for the project would range from approximately $\$ 3.3$ to $\$ 3.7$ million.

Staff has completed a preliminary soft cost estimate for this project which is $\$ 1,228,926$. When the preliminary soft cost estimate is added to the median estimated construction cost of $\$ 3,200,000$, the estimated total project cost is $\$ 4,428,926$. If the available project budget is $\$ 3.3$ to $\$ 3.7$ million that means that the project budget is potentially short by a range of approximately $\$ 730,000$ to $\$ 1.1$ million depending on the amount of additional THPF funding provided. More accurate construction cost estimates will be prepared by the consultant and a third-party cost estimator in the master plan phase when staff returns to the Board of Directors for approval of the master plan.

## Proposal Request

Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval of the highest qualified consultant team and negotiated scope and base fee of $\$ 496,496$ for professional consulting services for the Somerset West Park improvement project, and authorization for the General Manager or his designee to execute the professional services contract with 2.ink Studio.

## Benefits of Proposal

Authorization to contract with 2.ink Studio will allow staff to proceed with project implementation that will result in THPRD's and THPF's mutually desired improvements at Somerset West Park, pending adequate funding being available for the project. Completion of the project as envisioned will result in a unique and first-of-its-kind universally accessible athletic field within THPRD and fulfillment of THPRD's 2008 Bond Measure promise to redevelop Somerset West Park while adding a youth athletic field in the District's northwest quadrant. Improvements will result in improved access to park amenities and will provide long-term community assets that offer unique and quality recreational opportunities to District patrons.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

While staff does not see a downside to contracting with $2 . i n k$ Studio for professional services, the estimated budget shortage of approximately $\$ 730,000$ to $\$ 1.1$ million creates a potentially significant project constraint. Therefore, phasing of the project's construction or of the installation of certain park elements may need to be considered. Another option could be that additional funding/savings from other projects in the Neighborhood Park and Community Park categories, grants and/or SDCs would need to be directed to the project to cover the potential funding shortage.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the following items:

1. Approval of the highest qualified consultant team, 2.ink Studio, and negotiated scope and base fee of $\$ 496,496$ for professional consulting services for the Somerset West Park improvement project; and,
2. Authorization for the General Manager or his designee to execute the contract.



DATE: September 12, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Declaring a Portion of District Property as Surplus \& Authorizing its Marketing and Negotiation for Sale

## Introduction

Staff is requesting Board of Directors approval of the attached resolution which declares that the northern portion of District-owned property at 2640 NW $174^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is surplus and authorizes District staff to market the property for sale and negotiate a purchase and sale agreement that would be subject to final Board approval.

## Background

In January 2011, the District acquired a 2.84-acre property at the northeast corner of NW $174^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Bronson Road. Bond funds designated for acquisition of trail corridors and linear parks were used for this acquisition with the understanding that the site would be developed in the future as a small parking area and trailhead for the planned Bronson Creek Trail. The north end of the site fronts on a residential street, Sandpines Lane. At the time the Board approved the acquisition, it was understood that this part of the site, encompassing approximately 0.4 acre, might be sold for residential development. There appears to be room for four residential lots.

Recently, staff received a written offer from a local residential developer to acquire the property. We responded that we would need Board approval to initiate a public process to market the property. Staff has also discussed the property with a representative of the developer of the adjacent subdivision, and he indicated interest in acquiring the property. Given the upturn in the housing market, this would appear to be a good time for the District to sell this property. Money received from the sale would go back in to the Bond fund for land acquisition.

## Proposal Request

Staff requests Board of Directors approval of Resolution No. 2012-18. The attached resolution was drafted by the District's legal counsel.

## Benefits of Proposal

Revenues derived from the sale of the surplus property would be available to acquire additional land for future parks or trail corridors.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution No. 2012-18 - A Resolution Declaring a Portion of District Property as Surplus \& Authorizing its Marketing and Negotiation for Sale.

## RESOLUTION No. 2012-18 <br> TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

## A RESOLUTION DECLARING A PORTION OF DISTRICT PROPERTY AS SURPLUS \& AUTHORIZING ITS MARKETING AND NEGOTIATION FOR SALE

WHEREAS, Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District (District) acquired the property located at 2640 NW 174 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue between Bronson Road and Sandpines Lane, Tax Lot 1N130DB01700 as shown in Exhibit A (District Property) for the purpose of developing a trailhead for the planned Bronson Creek Trail (Trail) to include a small parking area and informational signage and displays. The Trail will be located on the central portion of the District Property as that area is suitable for such a facility and is well-located at the western terminus of the planned Trail, while preserving the vegetated corridor along Bronson Creek in the southern portion of the District Property; and

WHEREAS, the northern portion of the District Property located at the intersection of NW $174^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Sandpines Lane has been identified as most suitable for sale and development (the Northern Property); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors finds it is necessary, expedient and not prejudicial to the public, and in the best interest of the District to transfer ownership of said Northern Property and does hereby favor such future transaction.

## THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Board of Directors determines the Northern Property is surplus property.
Section 2. The Board of Directors authorizes District staff to market and negotiate a Purchase and Sale Agreement for the disposition of the Northern Property, approximately 0.4 acre which exact acreage will be determined through a partition process.

Section 3. This resolution is effective immediately upon adoption.
BOARD OF DIRECTORS APPROVAL:

October 1, 2012
Joseph Blowers, President/Director

Larry Pelatt, Secretary/Director
Adoption and date attested by:

## Jessica Collins <br> Recording Secretary



DATE: September 19, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Bond Program

## Introduction

The information and discussion in this memo adds to that which has been provided to the Board at previous meetings relating to implementation of the Bond Program.

## Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Meeting

The Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee met on August 16, 2012 and received updates on bond program capital projects and on the status of land acquisitions. The committee also discussed completion of their annual report for the year ending June 30, 2012.

## Recent Acquisitions

Acquisition of the RK Wilson Corporation property in West Slope was completed in early August. This 1.95 -acre property will be developed in the future as a neighborhood park. On September 18, District Planning, Maintenance and Security staff met with the West Slope Neighborhood Association Committee (NAC) to discuss the property. Board President Joe Blowers also attended the meeting. NAC members indicated excitement about the prospect of a new park in their neighborhood and were hopeful that the site can be improved soon.

## Capital Projects Construction Update

Construction is underway on several capital projects. The following is a brief status report on each:

Westside Trail Segments 1, 4 and 7: Segment 4 grading is nearly completed and pathway base rock is being installed. Segment 7 clearing and grubbing is completed including large tree removal. Grading on the segment is ongoing. Construction for all segments is anticipated to be completed by December 9.

Rock Creek Trail Segments 2 and 5: Construction started August 29. Grading is almost completed and installation of base rock has begun. Construction is anticipated to be completed by December 31.

Jordan Woods Natural Area Trail: The contractor has completed all retaining walls and stairs as well as final grading and drains. Bridges and boardwalks to be completed by October 27. Work completion, with the exception of planting, is anticipated by November 19.

The Bluffs Park Trail: Vegetation removal is completed. Grading work and pathway rock and drainage installation is underway. A new landscaping plan has been prepared with input from abutting neighbors. Paving and planting will occur in October/November. Completion is anticipated by the end of the year.

Winkelman Park Phase 1 (including a youth athletic field, dog park and gravel parking lot): Multi-use field has been graded and drainage and irrigation has been installed. Grass has been seeded for the field and the dog park. Construction should be completed by the end of the year, but public use will not be possible until late spring of 2013 when grass is wellestablished.

Schiffler Community Park: Project continues to be on schedule. The irrigation system has been installed and a majority of the pathways are complete. Lawn areas have been seeded. The picnic shelters are up and the play structure is in place. Concrete work for the skate spot is done. Completion is anticipated by December 2012.

AM Kennedy Neighborhood Park: Work on this project is anticipated to begin in the next few weeks. Its start was delayed to address City conditions of development approval. Due to the late start, work will continue through the winter to the extent possible. Completion should occur in spring or summer of 2013. The field should be available for public use in the fall of 2013.

## Recent and Upcoming Neighborhood Meetings

- The first of two neighborhood meetings to discuss the master plan for Roger Tilbury Memorial Park was held September 19, 2012 at the Cedar Hills Recreation Center. The meeting was well attended by 29 residents from all around the site. Staff received constructive feedback on the design options that were presented. A majority of the attendees felt it was important to have the north-south connectivity that would be provided by a bridge across the creek through the site. Attendees expressed appreciation for staff efforts and willingness to be flexible in mixing and matching the design option elements, listening to their concerns and taking into consideration their comments. A second neighborhood meeting will be scheduled to present a revised plan reflecting neighborhood sentiment.

The project was also considered by the Trails Advisory Committee the previous evening. The TAC also expressed support for the idea of providing a bridge crossing of the creek to link neighborhoods on the north and south sides. It was noted by staff that due to the high projected cost of the bridge and the limited project budget, construction of the bridge and its northern approach may have to be delayed to a second phase of master plan implementation when additional funding is available. A southern approach to an overlook of the stream corridor can be part of the initial project construction.

- A neighborhood meeting on the Westside to Waterhouse Trail master plan is scheduled for October 2, 2012, at 6:00 pm at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park Interpretive Center.

DATE: September 26, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services

## RE: $\quad$ Affiliated Sports Policy

## Introduction

The Community Sports Delivery Task Force (CSDTF) has made its recommendations as to the relationships and responsibilities between the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District (THPRD), its affiliate sports groups, and other user groups. Staff has met with the current affiliated sports groups to review the proposed policy and answer any questions and note any concerns they may have. Staff will be making a recommendation, including the recommended policy, for the Board of Directors' consideration at their October 1, 2012 meeting.

## Background

The CSDTF was formed under, and appointed by, the Sports Advisory Committee. Members of the CSDTF were selected from a list of affiliated sports groups volunteers and a representative each from the Unified Fields Steering Committee and the Sports Advisory Committee; the roster is attached (Attachment A). The Goal and Charge of the CSDTF is attached (Attachment B).

Staff presented the proposed Affiliate Policy (Attachment C) and the Executive Summary (Attachment D) at the June 18, 2012 Regular Meeting of the THPRD Board of Directors. Staff was directed, by the Board, to conduct an outreach process to seek feedback on the policy from all the current Affiliated Sports programs as well as the Sports Advisory Committee and the Unified Fields Steering Committee. Staff has completed the outreach and meetings; the compiled feedback is included in this memo.

## Affiliated Sports Group Contacts

Staff met with the following groups:

- Sports Advisory Committee - June 26, 2012
- Affiliated Classic Soccer Clubs (Tualatin Hills United Soccer Club and Westside Timbers Soccer Club) - June 29, 2012
- Junior Baseball and Softball Affiliated Clubs - July 17, 2012
- Unified Fields Steering Committee - July 17, 2012
- District 4 Little League Affiliated Clubs - July 19, 2012
- Tualatin Valley Youth Lacrosse League - August 23, 2012
- Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League (six Recreation Clubs) - September 20, 2012
- Tualatin Valley Youth Football League - September 25, 2012
- Tualatin Hills Babe Ruth Baseball - September 26, 2012

Communication: Prior to the Board's June 18, 2012 review of the proposed policy, staff and CSDTF representatives discussed the work of the Task Force on several occasions. Due to schedule conflicts not all representatives were present for all meetings; this is why staff has conducted additional outreach and communication.

May 18, $2010 \quad$ Staff conducted a survey of other like agencies and how they worked with affiliated sports groups.
August 17, 2010
May 10, 2011
June 18, 2012 THPRD staff took the affiliate review before the THPRD Board.

## Sport Advisory Committee (SAC)

April 29, $2010 \quad$ Affiliate review and suggestion presented to committee regarding forming a CSDTF.
May 20, $2010 \quad$ Committee requested information and potential candidates to sit on the committee via e-mail.
June 17, $2010 \quad$ Committee invited representatives from all sports to join CSDTF.
August 30, 2010
May 19, 2011 Staff updates SAC on the work of the CSDTF.
August 22, 2011
August 29, 2011
October 20, 2011
February 20, 2012
Brian Bauman representing the SAC gave a CSDTF update to the committee.

June 8, 2012
June 26, 2012 Committee discussed the CSDTF implementation process.

## Unified Fields Steering Committee (UFSC)

May 18, 2010 CSDTF was an agenda item and discussed in detail.
September 27, 2010 Kevin Rhodes, CSDTF member, gave the UFSC an update on the committee and the progress.
October 26, 2010 Roster of CSDTF was sent to UFSC via e-mail.
June 21, 2011 CSDTF work was presented to UFSC.
March 20, 2012

May 24, 2012
June 8, 2012
CSDTF update was given to UFSC by Kevin Rhodes and staff noted in the minutes that the implementation could start as early as January 1, 2013.

Special UFSC meeting was held to go over affiliate review process.
E-mail was sent by staff giving an update and informing the UFSC that the policy was ready to be presented to the THPRD Board.

## Community Sports Delivery Task Force (CSDTF)

August 23, $2010 \quad$ First meeting
September 3, 2010 Second meeting
October 19, 2010 Third meeting
November 9, 2010 Fourth meeting
November 30, 2010 Fifth meeting
December 9, 2010 Sixth meeting
January 13, 2011 Seventh meeting
November 7, 2011 Meeting review was sent out to CSDTF, the following members were added due to club turn over:
Bill Kirby, President, Tualatin Valley Youth Lacrosse.
Brian Wilk, League Representative, Tualatin Valley Youth Football.
November 17, 2011 CSDTF meeting was held to review recommendations.

June 8, 2012 E-mail was sent by staff giving an update and informing the CSDTF that the policy was ready to be presented to the THPRD Board.
July 17, 2012 CSDTF meeting was held to go over the Affiliate Policy.

## Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League (THJSL)

Received updates via the Soccer Representatives at various League meetings as noted in meeting minutes.
June 8, 2012 E-mail was sent by staff giving an update and informing the THJSL Presidents that the policy was ready to be presented to the THPRD Board.

## Baseball Softball Steering Committee (BSSC)

Received updates via the Representatives at various League meetings as noted in meeting minutes.
September 15, 2010 Committee update by staff.
October 6, $2010 \quad$ Committee update by staff.
June 8, 2012
E-mail was sent by staff giving an update and informing the BSSC that the policy was ready to be presented to the THPRD Board.

Outreach Feedback: Feedback received from the outreach meetings since June 18, 2012 has been generally positive and more focused on operational details than the Board policy. However, as noted in the August 13, 2012 minutes of the Regular Board Meetings of the THPRD Board of Directors under Audience Time, the Aloha United Soccer Club President expressed rejection of the policy in its entirety.

Noted below is the outreach feedback. Staff comments/responses are bolded as appropriate.
Sports Advisory Committee - June 26, 2012

- All background checks need to be performed by THPRD or meet all THPRD standards.
- The process of approval and review is moving along too quickly, more outreach and communication is needed.
- All feedback and the final policy need to be brought back to the committee before being approved.

Affiliated Classic Soccer Clubs (Tualatin Hills United Soccer Club and Westside Timbers Soccer Club) - June 29, 2012

- Need to establish a roster collection process for organizations that have teams that do not use THPRD fields. THPRD staff can accomplish this task.
- Feels they can meet roster limitations with some time, requirements of affiliation are not an issue.

Junior Baseball and Softball Affiliated Clubs - July 17, 2012

- Need to clarify election process language to allow for appointment of officers. THPRD staff can accomplish this task.
- Needs to establish roster collection process for organizations that have teams that do not use THPRD fields. THPRD staff can accomplish this task.

Unified Fields Steering Committee - July 17, 2012

- Need to clarify election process language to allow for appointment of officers.
- Needs to establish roster collection process for organizations that have teams that do not use THPRD fields. THPRD staff can accomplish this task.
- What is the term of affiliation? Indefinite assuming the affiliation policy is followed.
- Will THPRD provide technical support, guidance and assistance? Yes.
- Define team formation requirements.
- How firm will the established boundaries be? The only change will be in the formal boundary changes of THPRD or Beaverton School District (BSD).

District 4 Little League Affiliated Clubs - July 19, 2012

- Concerned over the need to collect THPRD numbers on rosters. This is a fee policy requirement.
- Does not like the Out-of-District Assessment being charged for BSD field use.
- Questioned if the existing clubs were applying to be re-affiliated and how often they would have to do so. Yes, affiliation is maintained assuming the affiliation policy is followed.
- Policy set up un-necessary bureaucracy that is time consuming for volunteers. Little League Organizations will have no additional development anticipated, most likely just collection of materials to submit.

Tualatin Valley Youth Lacrosse League - August 23, 2012

- Within Attachment C of the operational policy language: Does the wording under "existing affiliate" accurately convey the policy's intent to look back instead of forward on budgeting and reporting? The intent of the wording is correct; however, the language will be reviewed.
- Will THPRD provide guidance on technical language, dissolving an organization, risk and safety plans, workman comp and employee insurance? Yes.
- Affiliates will not be required to collect THPRD fees. Correct.

Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League - September 20, 2012

- How does THPRD designate the affiliation of League/Club/Team with organizations that have portions of the club not affiliated currently. Staff explained the criteria of affiliation based on existing programs.
- The sample letter of agreement does not match the presented affiliations requirements. Staff clarified that the sample agreement would be re-written to match the policy.
- How do the existing boundaries work? Staff explained the overlay of THPRD and BSD boundaries that exist today.
- Requested clarification on requirement of in-District status to participate on THPRD working committees. Staff defined THPRD working committees.
- Details of the operational policy that appear in Compiled Policy memo but not in the Task Force Recommendations. Staff explained that these were THPRD requirements and would be part of the adopted policy.
- What is the THPRD plan to implement the collection and checking of documentation? Staff provided a brief overview of the work flow.
- Two Clubs submitted written questions and comments after the September $20^{\text {th }}$ meeting. They pertained to 1) Team registration and formation. Staff clarified the intent of the recommendation. 2) Perceived restrictions of a Club's ability to operate within the Policy; the In-District/Out-of-District ratios.

Tualatin Valley Youth Football League - September 25, 2012

- Will Aloha Youth Cheer and Football be allowed to affiliate together? Yes.

Tualatin Hills Babe Ruth Baseball - September 26, 2012
Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, September 26. Comments will be made available following the meeting.

## Implementation

Staff will attend and be prepared to address any concerns or questions from the Board at their October 1, 2012 meeting. Staff will incorporate any changes, additions or deletions into the proposed policy, as directed, prior to finalizing the policy. Once the Board of Directors adopts the Affiliate Policy, staff will take the following steps to implement the policy with our affiliated sports groups.

1. Staff will finalize the related Operational Policy and Procedures to support the Compiled Policy.
2. Staff will draft an implementation schedule based on feedback from the current affiliated sports groups and begin meeting with the groups.

Important implementation information included in the June 12, 2012 memo (that was included in the THPRD Board of Directors packet) is noted below.

Staff will be meeting and working with the affiliated sports organizations to assure an adequate phase in period is provided as well as support.

The meetings noted above will discuss two timelines as we plan for the implementation of the new District Compiled Policy. The first timeline will be for administrative and structure implementation of the draft Operational Policies and Procedures. These administrative items will include, but are not limited to, affiliate groups establishing policies and procedures within their by-laws that assure that information is provided to THPRD that provides evidence of background checks and proper training of their volunteers and staff; that affiliated groups provide annual financial reports for review (when requested) to THPRD to assure proper accounting practices are being followed and funds are adequately protected; and that all THPRD fee policies and facility rules are being followed and communicated to members of the respective affiliated groups. It is projected that this implementation process will be completed by December 31, 2013.

The second timeline to be discussed will be to assure that the maximum number of inDistrict residents are served in these programs. The establishment of THPRD and Beaverton School District (BSD) service boundaries as being considered in-District for roster purposes only and the limitation of 20\% out-of-District participation in competitive clubs will be set. With the appropriate affiliate and user groups, a proposed three-year timeline to phase-in the residency requirements will be identified. A valid paid out-of-District assessment fee will still be required for players residing outside of THPRD boundaries and wishing to participate in these clubs. Assuming approval of the new policy by the Board of Directors in August of this year, it is projected that this implementation process will be completed by December 31, 2015.

A key component of the implementation processes will be an adequate phase-in period as noted above so that current affiliated organizations have adequate time to come into compliance with the new guidelines.

As noted above, it is anticipated that working with all of the affiliated sports groups the completion of the first timeline (the Letter of Agreement process) will be the end of 2013. This Letter of Agreement will be entered into with the respective affiliated sports groups to formalize the Operational Policies and Procedures and will be the basis of their affiliation.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors adoption of the Affiliate Policy as presented and direct staff to begin implementation of the Policy.

## Community Sports Delivery Task Force Roster

| Kevin Rhodes | Baseball | Sun Creek Little League |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scott Porter | Soccer | Somerset West Soccer Club |
| Charles Pontrelli | Baseball | Murray Hill Little League |
| Mike Kirwin | Lacrosse | At-Large |
| Tom Holt | Softball | Willow Creek Little League |
| Davey Dupon | Soccer | Westside Metros |
| Brian Bauman | Sports Advisory Committee |  |
| Jane Athansakos | Lacrosse | Beaverton High School |
| Rayio Aspandiar | Unified Fields Steering Committee |  |
| Troy Barker | Football | Beaverton Youth |
| Kelly Muskat | Baseball | Westview Junior Baseball/Softball |
| Scott Brucker | Superintendent of Sports |  |
| Julie Rocha | Athletic Center Supervisor |  |

Attachment B

Administration Office
503/645-6433
Fax 503/629-6301

Goal: Identify the most efficient and cost effective method of working together to deliver sport programs to the community.

## Charter:

The Community Sports Delivery Task Force (CSDTF) has been formed to address the goal of identifying the most efficient and cost effective method for THPRD and community Sports groups to deliver sport programs to the community. The CSDTF will be comprised of individuals from the community whom represent community sports groups in one capacity or another.

Each member will have an area of representation/association. However, each member will work within the Task Force structure to fairly represent all interested parties in recommending an outcome that is representative of the needs of the community sports groups and THPRD.

The CSDTF will be responsible to develop a written recommendation to THPRD and present the report of findings and recommendation to the Sports Advisory Committee.

The CSDTF will be disbanded upon completion of the work.

## Scope:

The Community Sports Delivery Task Force (CSDTF) has been appointed by the Sport Advisory Committee (SAC). The CSTF has no authority to take action on recommendations resulting from the work of the CSDTF. The CSDTF will limit the scope of the committee's investigation, development and recommendations to the desired outcomes. Additional outcomes may be included after SAC review and approval.

Desired Outcomes:

1. Defined Affiliation Requirements
2. Affiliated Contract Requirements
3. Defined Operational Model
4. Defined Affiliation Process
5. Defined Reciprocal Service levels

The CSDTF will limit the reach of their recommendations to the THPRD service area, sports that exist today, as established entities, and future sports that may be included as a result of growth and changing demographics.

## District Compiled Policies

## CHAPTER 7 - DISTRICT REGULATIONS

### 7.16 Affiliate Policy

(A) Purpose: In an effort to work with community groups requesting to use District owned and/or operated facilities and to ensure that an emphasis is placed on facilitating responsible in-district constituent use of those facilities, the District has established four classifications for specific users. This four-tiered system primarily determines the fee structure for use of District facilities but it is also a critical component in determining priority for use of the facilities and the associated level of support services provided by the District to the organization.
(B) Classifications: All entities or individuals seeking to use District owned and/or operated facilities shall be categorized and classified based on the established criteria set forth below for each classification level. The purpose of this classification system and the resulting criteria is to ensure that the publicly funded facilities are being used for the benefit of District residents and not for personal or corporate gain.
(1) Affiliates: Provide a service that the District would provide if they did not exist. Must be nonprofit, community based, focused on serving indistrict needs and constituents, and meet the Affiliate Criteria listed in Section 7.16 (D) below.
(2) Partners/Associates: Provide a service of community benefit. The District would not provide the activity or benefit if they did not exist. Must be nonprofit and community based, focused on serving in-district needs and constituents. Affiliates operate and exist as a result of Partners/Associates support, licensing or sanctioning.
(3) Renter: Exclusive use of space. Must be for nonprofit use or proceeds must be donated to a charitable foundation/organization. For profit enterprises are not eligible.
(4)

Commercial: Exclusive use of space. The District reserves the right to refuse use for profit enterprise by a business or individual.
(C) Affiliate Policy: Organizations classified as Affiliates by the District have priority use of District facilities and property. To be classified as an Affiliate, an organization must demonstrate that:
(1) It supports District goals and objectives related to providing services/programs and facilities for in-district residents.

## District Compiled Policies

(2) It is a nonprofit and evidences good governance and enhanced corporate responsibility standards through its adopted by-laws, rules and regulations; and
(3) The organization can meet and adhere to the District Affiliation requirements as outlined in the THPRD Operational Policy and Procedures and as set forth below in Section 7.16 (D).
(D) Affiliate Criteria: Recognized Affiliates must provide evidence they meet the following criteria by January 1 of each year. An organization that does not meet the following criteria and/or does not provide supporting documentation, might not be recognized as an affiliate impacting its ability to use District facilities on a priority basis.
(1) Responsible governance and corporate responsibility policies are implemented.
(2) Compliance with state and federal laws and regulations including but not limited to those directed at nonprofit, charitable organizations.
(3) Provide services to the maximum number of in-district participants with an aim toward $100 \%$ in-district participation in recreational clubs and $80 \%$ in-district participation for competitive clubs.*
(4) Facilitate unique services that the District or an existing affiliated club or organization does not already provide.
(5) The program/sport/activity sponsored by the organization must be one that the District has sufficient facilities and staff to support or the District must have the ability to reasonably gain access to the necessary facilities and support.
(6) The budgetary impact to the District from the organization's participation as an affiliate must align with the District's facility and operational support funds.

* In-district status for rostering purposes will include residents of both the District and Beaverton School District service areas. Non-district residents will continue to pay an out -of-district assessment.
(E) Implementation Strategy: In addition to the criteria listed in Section 7.16 (D) above, any organization/group wishing to be affiliated with the District must submit the required documentation for review in accordance with the THPRD Operational Policy and Procedures adopted by the Manager.


## Community Sports Delivery Task Force (CSDTF)

## Summary of recommendations

## Definition of an Affiliated Organization;

The committee recommends that the definition of an organization that is affiliated with THPRD be re-written to:
"An affiliated organization provides sports related services that THPRD may be asked to, or expected to, provide. An affiliated organization can provide these services at a higher level, more efficiently and/or more cost effectively than can be provided by the park district as a direct-delivery service or program".

## Define Service Boundaries

The committee recommends that the defined service boundaries for programs that are affiliated with the park district be the established taxing boundaries of THPRD and or BSD. The exception to this recommendation is a residence in an area adjacent to the THPRD or BSD taxing area that has no services available to them because of their location of residence. A valid out-of-district assessment is required for all players not residing within the THPRD taxing boundaries.

## Required documentation to remain or become affiliated with THPRD

The Committee recommends that the current THPRD requirements remain in effect
Recommendations of additional requirements or clarifications include:

- A clearly defined mission statement that clearly states the organizations intent to operate a recreational based program or a competitive based program.
- For existing affiliates: A board adopted operational budget, the previous year tax return and, financial statements (profit/loss and balance sheet including bank statement for final month of the fiscal year) Documentation is due annually by the last day of April.
- For new affiliates: A board adopted operational budget with a two year budget/operational plan. Upon affiliation with THPRD the on-going financial reporting is required annually by the last day of April.
- Written by-law which includes a procedure that addresses organizational disillusion. By-law must specify where organizational proceeds go upon disillusionment and, who is responsible to administer the process of disposal of the assets and funds during disillusionment.
- Written by-law which includes a formal board-election process.
- This process should be available to all members of the organization in good standing and THPRD.
- Written fiscal policies which provide for an address:
- Full financial disclosure to organizational members in good standing, THPRD, the State of Oregon, the US Internal Revenue Service.
- Annual reporting of income and expenditures of the organization in accordance with accepted non-profit accounting standards.
- A clearly defined service area for the organization/club, the number of anticipated members of the organization/club and, where they reside.
- A written player registration and team formation policy that details the process that will be followed, methods used and, how results will be communicated.
- A non-affiliated program or organization, partner/associate program or, business cannot register teams or leagues into an affiliated program to gain access to THPRD or BSD facilities.
- All players must follow established league, or governing bodies', registration and player assignment and team formation procedures as written.
- A written player registration and teams formation policy must meet THPRD affiliation requirements related to service boundaries and out-of-district assessment requirements.
- An established minimum and maximum number of players allowed on any one team roster.
- The organizational policies and procedures should clearly spell out:
- The code of conduct for parents, the code of conduct for coaches, the code of conduct for players, the code of conduct for board members, the code of conduct for volunteers, the code of conduct for paid staff and, the code of conduct for guests.
- The disciplinary procedures for parents, the disciplinary procedures for coaches, the disciplinary procedures for players, the disciplinary procedures for board members, the disciplinary procedures for volunteers the disciplinary procedures for paid staff and, the disciplinary procedures for guests.
- The organizational policies and procedures should address background checks for, coaches, board members, volunteers, staff and, officials.
- Each organization should have criteria to exclude or accept coaches, board members, volunteers, staff and, officials.
- Organizations standards must meet or exceed THPRD standards
- Background check process and standards will be available to the members of the organization in good standing and THPRD.

Additional recommendations of the committee related to organizational operations:

- Established and documented coach and official orientation and training program related to rules, applicable league policies, procedures and skill teaching. The frequency of the trainings is dependent on organization bylaws or governing body rules.
- Established and documented board orientation and reporting program that defines job descriptions, responsibilities, terms and a process to elect or remove a board member.
- All board related workings should be addressed in organizations by-laws or policies and procedures and be available to members of the organization in good standing and THPRD.
- Established and documented comprehensive safety and risk management plan that is updated annually with records to demonstrate implementation and follow through. Plans should be available for review by members of the organization in good standing and THPRD.

The Task Force recommends the following THPRD operational practices:

- Define the following terms:
- Affiliated League: A group of teams or clubs that meet the THPRD affiliation requirements and cooperatively providing sport specific services within a defined geographic area.
- Affiliated Club: One or more teams that function as a group for the purpose of offering a sport at multiple ages and levels. A club must meet the THPRD affiliation requirements and be a member of an affiliated league.
- Affiliated Team: A defined number of players that play together and are recognized as members of an affiliated club which is a member of an affiliated league.
- Clearly define the practices and organizational structure that defines an organization as recreational.
- A recreational organization participates within a defined season with local play and no travel outside of a defined geographical area that is defined by the THPRD recognized league for league operations.
- Recreational clubs allow members residing within the defined taxing service boundaries of THPRD and or reside within or attend a BSD school. A valid out-of-district assessment is required for all players not residing within the THPRD taxing boundaries.
- Clearly define the practices and organizational structure that defines an organization as competitive.
- Competitive clubs do not have defined boundaries of play or travel restrictions related to league play.
- Competitive clubs consider tournament play as integral to the club participation.
- Competitive clubs can include players from outside of the taxing boundaries of THPRD and or BSD under the following guidelines.
- Competitive clubs must have $80 \%$ club membership residing within the taxing boundaries of THPRD and or BSD A valid out-of-district assessment is required for all players not residing within the THPRD taxing boundaries.
- Competitive club individual team rosters must consist of no less than $50 \%$ of players residing within the taxing boundaries of THPRD and or BSD. A valid out-of-district assessment is required for all players not residing within the THPRD taxing boundaries.
- Adult sports organizations may join youth organizations for purposes of gaining access to THPRD facilities for purposes of practice and games.
- Adult clubs must follow all rules, restrictions, policies and procedures of the affiliated organization they are joining and THPRD. Adult team rosters are not including in youth roster numbers for purposes of establishing out-of-district numbers.
- Adult clubs must have $75 \%$ membership residing within the taxing boundaries of THPRD and or BSD A valid out-of-district assessment is required for all players not residing within the THPRD taxing boundaries.
- Adult club individual team rosters must consist of no less than $50 \%$ of players residing within the taxing boundaries of THPRD and or BSD. A valid out-of-district assessment is required for all players not residing within the THPRD taxing boundaries.
- The Committee recommends that games are scheduled and played on an equitable basis of home and away. The equity is balanced over the entire season
- A league game is defined as the contest scheduled by the league as the normal part of the season or as used to determine the team's eligibility for playoffs or post season play.

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

# Process for Requesting Use of THPRD Facilities Under Affiliated or Partner/Associate Status 

In an effort to effectively work with community groups requesting to use Park District owned and/or operated facilities Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District has established a four-tiered fee system. An hourly fee for use is included in all four tiers however the level of support services associated with each tier varies based on an established criterion.

All entities or individuals seeking to use THPRD owned and/or operated facilities are categorized and assigned a service level based on the established criteria. The purpose of the criteria is to assure that public funded facilities and services are being used for the benefit of the District residents and not for personal or corporate gain.

Definitions: Established four-tiered fee system based on service provided, financial structure and benefit to District residents.

| Affiliates: |
| :--- |
| Provide a service that THPRD would provide if they did not exist. <br> Must be non-profit and community based, focused on serving in- <br> district needs and constituents. |

Partners/Associates: Provide a service of community benefit. THPRD would not provide the activity or benefit if they did not exist. Must be non-profit and community based, focused on serving in-district needs and constituents. Affiliates operate and exist as a result of Partners/Associates support, licensing or sanctioning.

## Renter: Exclusive use of space. Must be for non-profit use or proceeds must be donated to a charitable foundation/organization. For profit enterprises are not eligible.

## Commercial: Exclusive use of space. Park District reserves the right to refuse use for profit enterprise by a business or individual.

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton Oregon 97006, 503-645-6433 

THPRD staff will review all requests for the most appropriate placement within the four tiers. Placement will determine the hourly use fees as well as the level of support that will be provided by THPRD.

All applicants seeking status as an Affiliate or Partner/Associate must complete the attached application. Completed applications and required supporting documentation must be submitted to the Center for review and assignment prior to use. During processing use may be granted as a renter however fees paid during processing will not be refunded or pro-rated regardless of the outcome of the review process.

Submit applications and supporting documentation to:
Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District
Atten; Center Supervisor
15707 SW Walker Road
Beaverton, Oregon 97006
Upon receipt of all necessary documentation it will take 2-3 weeks to process the request and receive use notification. At the time of use notification, a fee schedule and service level will be assigned as well as documentation on how to access THPRD services for the assigned category.

Organizations that request Affiliated or Partner/Associate status that do not meet the requirements may be granted use of THPRD owned and operated facilities under a renter or commercial designation. Use of THPRD owned and operated facilities under the renter or commercial designation is assigned on an availability basis only and does not include assigned use of Beaverton High School Synthetic Turf Fields.

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Requirements to obtain and/or retain THPRD Facility Use <br> Affiliates, Partners and Associates

1. Applicant organization is a registered non-profit entity.

- Registered 501 status with the State of Oregon
- Tax ID number
- Board adopted mission statement
- Board adopted By-Laws

Actions: Submit the following documentation with application.

- Documentation, from the State of Oregon, of non-profit status and registration.
- Tax ID number
- Copy of Board adopted By-laws
- Copy of Board adopted mission statement
- Board adopted policies and procedures.
- Hiring process of coaches and staff
- Board appointment or elections
- Coaches and Board training
- Conduct of players, coaches and spectators
- Disciplinary process
- Safety program
- Registration
- Operating Budget
- Five-year financial plan

2. Applicant organization is governed by a board established at a local level

Actions: Submit the following documentation with application

- Official Board roster, including term, positions, addresses and phone numbers.

3. Registering entity carries approved liability insurance for applicant through the sports governing body or private company.

- The certificate must list THPRD as additionally insured
- See Exhibit B

Actions: Submit the following documentation with application

- Copy of valid certificate of insurance as described in Exhibit B

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton Oregon 97006, 503-645-6433

4. Primary service boundaries of the applicant organization is Park District boundaries.

- May extend to Beaverton School District 48 boundaries for youth (under 18)
- THPRD geographic boundaries for adults

Actions: Submit the following documentation with application

- Map outlining established organizational service boundaries

Upon receipt of application with all required documentation, THPRD will review the request using, but not limited to, the following criteria. Additional information may be requested for verification purposes or clarification.

Existing Programs: A new affiliated or partner/associate association will not be accepted if an existing affiliated or partner/associate program currently provides a similar, or identical, program/sport/activity for the Park District.

Inclusion: Applicant association is open to all Park District residents independent of playing ability, sex, race, religion, or ability to pay.

Facilities The applicant program/sport/activity must be one that the Park District either has sufficient facilities and staff to support or the ability to reasonably gain access to the necessary facilities and support.

Financial: The budgetary impact to the Park District for facility and operational support is manageable under current budgetary appropriations or funds can be reasonably allocated to provide necessary base levels of service.

Associations: The Park District would accept the applicant association's partners, associates or sponsors as a business partner.

Out of District participation: The applicant organizations primary focus is on providing services to Park District residents. The applicant organization may allow out of District participants if by allowing out of District participation no in-District participants are turned away. The appropriate out of District assessment must be paid prior to participation and out of District participants do not create a shortage of facilities or services for in-District participants.

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton Oregon 97006, 503-645-6433

Policies and Procedures: The applicant organizations policies and procedures provide for the following:

- Required background checks on all coaches, board members and volunteers. (State of Oregon at a minimum, nationally preferred)
- Established training and orientation program, including first aid and CPR, , for coaches, board members and volunteers.
- An established code of conduct for players, coaches and spectators.

Paid Employees: The applicant organization may employ individuals or companies to provide a necessary service that the non-profit is not capable of providing. The employee or company must be paid what are considered competitive industry wages/rates for like services and do not significantly increase the participation fees or otherwise are counter to the non-profits mission. The performance of such service will not constitute a commercial venture for either party.

Registration services: The applicant organization may contract participant registration services if the non-profit is unable to perform the registration process in a timely manner, unable to collect fees effectively, or the contracted service otherwise streamlines the process for the participant. Contracted registration service should not significantly increase participation costs, should be competitively priced for services provided and available in several forms (Fax, mail and electronically). In the process of contracting registration services all THPRD registration requirements as outlined are met.

- THPRD Registration numbers are collected and reported prior to the start of the season
- All out of district resident assessment fees for participation are paid prior to the start of the seas


# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

## Affiliated, Partner or Associate User Application

Registered Name:
Contact: Phone:

E-mail: Fax:
Tax ID number: State:

Purpose of application:
Requested status with THPRD: $\square$ Affiliated $\square$ Partner or Associate


DATE: $\quad$ September 24, 2012
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for October 1, 2012

## GreenPlay/Comprehensive Plan Update

The first two cost recovery work sessions with Karon Badalamenti of GreenPlay, LLC were held on September 19, 2012. The morning work session was open to all THPRD program coordinators and maintenance supervisors, the Cost Recovery Team as well as select THPRD Board and volunteer committee members. In this session, Karon provided an overview of the process the District will be engaged in for the next eight months to develop cost recovery goals and assess current services. The second session of the day was for the THPRD Cost Recovery Team, comprised of staff and one board member, Bob Scott. This team spent the remainder of the day establishing service categories with specific definitions which will ultimately be used to determine cost recovery rates for all THPRD programs and activities.

## Intertwine Fall Summit

The Intertwine Alliance will be holding their quarterly summit on October 25, 2012 at the Oregon Zoo. Working group meetings will take place starting at 2:00 p.m., followed by a hosted reception at 5:30 p.m. The reception will highlight partner success stories. In addition, Congresswoman Suzanne Bonamici will speak. The deputy director of the National Park Service has also been invited. Staff will be participating in several of the working group sessions.

## Board of Directors Meeting Schedule

The following dates have been proposed for the Board of Directors meeting schedule over the next few months:

- November Regular Board Meeting - Monday, November 5
- December Regular Board Meeting - Monday, December 3
- January Regular Board Meeting - Monday, January 14 (second Monday in January)


# Management Report to the Board October 1, 2012 

Administration
Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning
Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant
Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services
Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

1. Fall classes have not even been under way that long, but THPRD staff are already preparing for winter and spring terms. The winter/spring activities guide is being created now and will be available online and in print in November. Enrollment for winter classes begins December 8, and for the second consecutive year, registration for spring classes will be done separately, starting March 2. A promotional mailing will be sent to Beaverton-area residents soon to let them know about winter registration and the winter/spring activities guide.
2. The Park District's annual all-staff meeting is scheduled for the morning and afternoon of Thursday, October 25 and the morning of Friday, October 26. The three sessions will be virtually identical to ensure consistency regardless of the session attended. A variety of topics will be covered, including a bond measure update. Employees will also have the opportunity to ask questions.

Aquatics
Sharon Hoffmeister, Superintendent of Aquatic Program Services

1. With the success of the Summer Wipe Out Series (large, inflatable, floating toys rotating to the Park District pools), the program is being expanded during the fall, winter and spring seasons. The schedule will be posted online as well as in the activities guide. We will also begin making these popular toys available for pool rentals for an additional fee.
2. The change in the High School Water Polo program is going well. The Tualatin Hills Water Polo Club has taken over managing the program since funding was cut by the Beaverton School District last spring. Although enrollment is down, especially with the girl's teams, staff are hopeful that the program will continue to grow.
3. The Aquatic Center is continuing the dive-in movies, featuring the Harry Potter series. The next feature will be Prisoner of Azkaban on October 26. Other special events for October include Harman Swim Center's Pumpkin Bob on Saturday, October 13 from 4:00-6:00 pm. It should be a fun fall at the pools.

## Maintenance <br> Dave Chrisman, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations

1. Park maintenance staff have been pruning vegetation, limbing trees and clearing out blackberry thickets this past summer in an effort to improve the visual sightlines and make the parks more attractive per our new park standards. Crews have been evaluating landscapes at all parks focusing on elements that may be a distraction or impair the visitors' experiences. Many parks including McMillan, Hiteon, Lost, Greenway, and Fir Grove had significant amounts of dead limbs, stumps and blackberry removed this past summer. Residents have responded favorably to the improvements.
2. An equipment shed will be installed this fall at the Fanno Creek Service Center. The shed materials were purchased in the 2012 capital budget and will be installed by District maintenance staff. The footings for the shed were installed during the final phase of the FCSC parking lot paving project. Staff also recently installed bicycle racks at FCSC and will install one more at the office entry.
3. Don Mohr, Fleet Maintenance Mechanic I, recently announced his retirement effective October 2012. Don has been with the District since 1979 and has provided services to the District's equipment fleet. In addition, Don is a certified welder completing numerous fabrication and special projects throughout the District. Don's services will be missed.

## Natural Resources \& Trails Management

Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management

1. Summer Nature Mobile. Nature Mobile staff wrapped up their summer season reaching nearly 13,000 people. This represents a $20 \%$ increase over last summer. Staff visited 17 events in addition to their 14 regular locations.
2. Bond Updates. Site preparation has begun on the 30-acre Bronson Creek restoration project. Natural Resources and Planning staff are collaborating to create a master plan for restoration and development activities at Roger Tilbury Memorial Park. Soft surface trail and restoration design at Lowami Hart Woods are nearing completion.
3. Improved Trail Crossings. Staff are working with Washington County to improve several neighborhood street crossings of the Fanno Creek and Waterhouse Trails with curb ramps or striping.
4. Volunteer News. Volunteers have been participating in the annual Regional Trail counts. The project captures a region-wide look at the number and type of users along the Fanno Creek, Rock Creek, and Westside Regional Trails. This information complements information collected by our electronic counters. In addition, about 35 volunteers attended our annual volunteer appreciation/recognition event.

## Planning \& Development

Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development

1. Bethany Boulevard/Bronson Road Path: Planning staff continues to monitor the status of the Washington County project. The District and County have partnered together to complete a segment of the Waterhouse Trail from Cornell Road to the powerline corridor on Bronson Road. The County will be widening Bethany Boulevard and will install two bike lanes and a 10 -foot-wide multi-use path on the west side of Bethany Boulevard as part of the road widening project. The District will pay for a 10 -foot-wide multi-use path
on the north side off Bethany Boulevard going west along Bronson Road to the Waterhouse Trail Bond project which is located in the powerline corridor. This trail segment will be constructed as part of the County project.

The County recently received six bids for the project and the approximate cost is almost $\$ 15$ million. The project should be approved by the County Board in mid- to late September and construction should be under way by mid-October.

## Programs \& Special Activities

Lisa Novak, Superintendent of Programs \& Special Activities

1. Staff held a neighborhood meeting on September 18 in Hart Meadows Park to discuss a proposal to construct a fenced dog park in the park. The majority of the neighbors (40+) attending were opposed to the plan citing noise, increased traffic, and reduced open space as reasons for the opposition. Staff will continue to seek locations for other dog parks in the Park District.
2. Volunteer Services and Special Events staff is scheduling presentations at all Key Club, National Honor Society, and community service clubs to recruit for fall events and ongoing youth volunteer opportunities. Volunteer opportunities have been posted on PCC, PSU and Pacific University student outreach materials.
3. The Jenkins Estate Centennial Celebration was held on Sunday, August 26. Doug Menke gave opening remarks, and visitors enjoyed tours of the buildings and grounds, interpretive signs and historic photos, a visit from "Mr. and Mrs. Jenkins," and complimentary apple crisp.
4. The Adult Summer Tennis League program concluded with our annual year-end playoffs. A total of 24 teams competed this year in three divisions. Matches are held at the HMT Complex and PCC Rock Creek. The air structures are scheduled for set up the week of September 24-28.
5. The Elsie Stuhr Center's Harvest Bazaar was a huge success again this year. The event clocked over 3,600 volunteer hours.
6. The Elsie Stuhr Center was given the 2012 Oregon Recreation \& Park Association Design Award for the Bond project at their conference in Medford. The crystal award will be placed in the front entrance area display case.

## Recreation

Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation

1. Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center is planning a middle school dance for October 12. They hope to have 100+ children for this event. Blackboard Music will be running the event and providing all the music.
2. The Rec Mobile had over 11,100 visits during the 10 -week summer session. Site visits during the week had increased attendance of $15 \%$ which are attributed to replacing morning site visits with evening activities. Special events in the community saw the largest increase with $32 \%$ more visits over the same period last year.
3. After school programs in the recreation centers are seeing an increase in participation this fall. The Cedar Hills THRIVE After School program has expanded to 72 students
over last year's 60 students. Conestoga has added students to the Club Splash after school program. This fall, there are 85 students compared to 74 in the fall of 2011.
4. The Garden Home Weight Room Grand Opening Celebration, held on September 15, was to celebrate the newly renovated facility. There was free admission for the day, demonstrations, a raffle and give-a-ways. The weight room officially re-opened on Wednesday, September 5 and the patrons could not be more thrilled with the new and improved room.

## Security Operations

Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations

1. As we build sections of the Westside and Rock Creek Trails we are discovering multiple encroachments. Most of these are residents who have extended their backyards onto District property. Security Operations gathers all necessary paperwork (scanned tax lot maps, aerial photos) for each property with the assistance of the Planning \& Development Department. The Superintendent of Security Operations then visits each involved property and spends the necessary time with each resident explaining the encroachment issue, conducts a property corner(s) locate or survey where necessary using a local survey firm. The homeowner is eventually issued a THPRD Notice of Encroachment Investigation form which lists a date that the District is asking for the encroachment to be corrected. Issuing this form serves as an official notice and sets a timeline.
2. Mitch Pereira, who works for the District as a maintenance tech at Jenkins Estate and is also a Hillsboro Police Cadet, recently was approved as a Park Patrol Intern. Mitch is also studying Law Enforcement at Portland Community College.

## Sports

Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports

1. Sports Leagues: Winter Basketball Registration is now open and available online or inperson at the Athletic Center. Youth and Adult volleyball participation remains strong again this fall with small growth in all ages and leagues.
2. Classes: Fall term classes in Volleyball and Badminton are full with waiting lists. Both sports have seen strong growth in participation over the past couple years.
3. Fields: For the period June 16 and September 1, 2012, staff has allocated 10,783 hours to the affiliated sports groups, of which $10,313.75$ hours have been used.

## Business Services

Cathy Brucker, Finance Manager
Nancy Hartman-Noye, Human Resources Manager
Mark Hokkanen, Risk and Contract Manager
Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager
Phil Young, Information Services Manager

1. The Information Services Department has completed the public Wi-Fi installation at Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center. This brings us to a total of six facilities offering free public Wi-Fi to our patrons. In addition to Conestoga, public Wi-Fi is offered at the HMT Recreation Complex (Aquatic Center, Athletic Center, Tennis Center and Dryland Conference Room), Cedar Hills Recreation Center, Nature Park Interpretive Center,

Harman Swim Center, and Sunset Swim Center. Each week, about 900 patrons are using the public Wi-Fi District-wide.
2. Risk Management and Security Operations are updating the District's child abuse reporting procedures and training in response to House Bill (HB) 4016. Effective January 2013, HB 4016 requires any public or private official who provides child-related services or activities to be included as mandatory reporters. Under these new rules, all District employees, even those that have no contact with children, are now classified as mandatory reporters. The Department of Human Services, Beaverton School District and City of Beaverton Police Department have reviewed the developed training and protocols on how to respond to reports of suspected abuse. Training for all employees and identified volunteers will begin this November.
3. Five of eight original Business Energy Tax Credits still available for sale have been offered to a prospective buyer by the Oregon Department of Energy for a total of approximately $\$ 182,000$. The District will know by October 7, 2012 whether or not the sale will go through.
4. The THPRD Wellness Committee will host a flu shot clinic on October 16, 2012. Kaiser Permanente will be onsite to provide seasonal flu shots, at no charge, to benefitted fulltime and regular part-time employees. For the convenience of THPRD staff, the clinic will be scheduled in two separate sessions, the Dryland Conference Room in the morning, and the Fanno Creek Service Center in the afternoon. It is anticipated approximately 80 employees will participate.
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## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |

information services department
System/workstn Replcmnt
Server Replacements
LAN/WAN Replcmnt
Printers/Network Printers
Telephones
TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS
Misc. Application Software
Coltor Printer for Graphics
FCSC Server Rack
FCSC Electrical Panel
Computer Wrkstns \& Cabling (4)
Badge Printing Machine
total information technology improvements
total information systems departien

## maintenance department

FLEET REPLACEMENTS
Infield Rake (2)
Electric Utility Vehicle
15-Passenger Van
Light Duty Pickup Truck
Light Duty Pickup Truck
Leaf Vacuum
" Mower
Single Axle Trailer
Tandem Axle Trailers (2)
FLEET IMPROVEMENTS
total fleet replacements
Tools Trailer - Ntrl Resources Wellness-on-Wheels Van

FLeEt improvements
total maintenance department
GRAND TOTAL GENERAL FUND

|  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | - | - | 21,626 | Award | 21,626 | 21,626 | 374 | 374 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 |  |  | 11,500 | Budget | 11,500 | 11,500 |  |  |
|  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | - |  | 24,000 | Budget | 24,000 | 24,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 18,500 | 18,500 | 18,500 | - |  | 18,500 | Budget | 18,500 | 18,500 |  |  |
|  |  | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 | - |  | 10,500 | Budget | 10,500 | 10,500 |  |  |
|  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | - | 6,861 |  | Complete | 6,861 | 6,861 | 139 | 139 |
|  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | - |  | 3,285 | Award | 3,285 | 3,285 | 215 | 215 |
|  |  | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | - |  | 12,500 | Award | 12,500 | 12,500 | 500 | 500 |
|  |  | 88,900 | 88,900 | 88,900 | - | 72,396 | 15,785 | Award | 88,181 | 88,181 | 719 | 719 |
| S |  | 198,900 | 198,900 | 198,900 | - | 79,257 | 117,696 |  | 196,953 | 196,953 | 1,947 | 1,947 |
|  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | - | - | 6,500 | Budget | 6,500 | 6,500 | - | - |
|  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 | - | - | 26,000 | Budget | 26,000 | 26,000 |  |  |
| TS |  | 32,500 | 32,500 | 32,500 | - | - | 32,500 |  | 32,500 | 32,500 | - |  |
| T - | - | 231,400 | 231,400 | 231,400 | - | 79,257 | 150,196 |  | 229,453 | 229,453 | 1,947 | 1,947 |
| 1,425,100 | 1,002,810 | 2,822,415 | 4,247,515 | 3,825,225 | 598,272 | 344,806 | 3,242,994 |  | 4,186,072 | 3,587,800 | 61,443 | $\stackrel{237,425}{ }$ |
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## SDC FUND

LAND ACQUISITION
Land Acquisition (FY 12)
Mahmood Property cleanup costs - DEQ Grant Land Acquisition (FY 13) TOTAL LAND ACQUISITION

IMPROVEMENT/DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS Fanno Creek Trail
Bonny Slope/BSD Trail Development
WCF Grant Match/Schiffler Park Pavillion 112 th St. Field Construction
Winkleman Park Phase I
MTIP Grant Match-Westside Trail Segment 18
OBP Grant Match-Waterhouse Trail/Walker Rd Crossing 112 th St. Site Improvements
Graf Meadows Prk - Trail Cnctr
Wtrhse Trail-Bronson/Bethany
PCC Rck Crk Dog Prk Cnstrctn
Hart Meadows Dog Prk Cnstrctn Undesignated Projects TOTAL DEVELOPMENTIMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Total - SDC Fund

| $\begin{aligned} & 430,000 \\ & 250,000 \end{aligned}$ | 430,000 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 430,000 \\ & 250,000 \end{aligned}$ | 430,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,001 \\ 142,142 \end{array}$ | - | 430,000 | Budget Budget | $\begin{aligned} & 461,001 \\ & 142,142 \end{aligned}$ | 430,000 | $\begin{aligned} & (31,001) \\ & 107,858 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |  | . | 1,100,000 | Budget | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |  |  |
| 680,000 | 430,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,780,000 | 1,530,000 | 173,143 |  | 1,530,000 |  | 1,703, 143 | 1,530,000 | 76,857 | - |
| 2,011,950 | 50,000 | - | 2,011,950 | 50,000 | 1,850,032 | 5,816 | 84,015 | Award | 1,939,863 | 89,831 | 72,087 | ( 39,831 ) |
| 175,000 | 175,000 | - | 175,000 | 175,000 |  | - | 175,000 | Budget | 175,000 | 175,000 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | Cancelled |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 1,078,743 |  | - | 1,078,743 |  | 975,812 | 469 | 4,771 | Award | 981,052 | 5,240 | 97,691 | $(5,240)$ |
| 282,000 | 282,000 | - | 282,000 | 282,000 |  |  | 282,000 | Budget | 282,000 | 282,000 |  |  |
| 62,205 |  | 20,000 | 82,205 | 20,000 | 69,428 | 24 | 19,976 | Budget | 89,428 | 20,000 | $(7,223)$ |  |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 62,000 | 112,000 | 112,000 |  | 2 | 111,998 | Budget | 112,000 | 112,000 |  | - |
| 797,947 |  |  | 797,947 |  | 699,215 | 990 | 4,500 | Award | 704,705 | 5,490 | 93,242 | (5,490) |
|  |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  | 564 | 299,436 | Budget | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 50,000 | 200,000 | Budget | 250,000 | 250,000 |  |  |
| - | - | 144,000 | 144,000 | 144,000 |  | 3,637 | 140,363 | Budget | 144,000 | 144,000 |  | - |
|  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 |  | - | 2,739,797 | Budget | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 |  |  |
| 4,507,845 | 607,000 | 3,565,997 | 8,073,642 | 4,172,797 | 3,594,487 | 61,502 | 4,111,856 |  | 7,767,845 | 4,173,358 | 305,797 | (561) |
| 5,187,845 | 1,037,000 | 4,665,797 | 9,853,642 | 5,702,797 | 3,767,630 | 61,502 | 5,641,856 |  | 9,470,988 | 5,703,358 | 382,654 | (561) |


| KEY |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Budget | Estimate based on original budget - not started and/or no basis for change |
| Deferred | Some or all of Projet has been leliminated to reduce overall capital costs for year. |
| Award | Estimate based on Contract Award amount or quote price estimates |
| Complete | Project completed - no additional estimated costs to complete. |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 8/31/12

| Quadrant | Project <br> Code <br> Code |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% TotalExpended toProjectCumulativeCost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Description | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | Expended Prior Years Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \end{gathered}$ | Project Cumulative Cost |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
|  |  | BOND CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | New Neighborhood Parks Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 91-901 | AM Kennedy Park \& Athletic Field | 1,285,250 | 45,050 | 1,330,300 | 290,218 | 48,855 | 339,073 | 1,197,128 | Bid Award | 1,536,201 | $(205,901)$ | 22.1\% |
| SW | 91-902 | Barsotti Park \& Athletic Field | 1,285,250 | 20,613 | 1,305,863 | 62,710 | 7,438 | 70,148 | 1,235,715 | Budget | 1,305,863 |  | 5.4\% |
| NW | 91-903 | Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 771,150 | 12,305 | 783,455 | 133,756 | 567 | 134,323 | 574,604 | Design Dev | 708,927 | 74,528 | 18.9\% |
| sw | 91-904 | Roy Dancer Park | 771,150 | 12,341 | 783,491 | 34,628 | 5,820 | 40,448 | 743,043 | Budget | 783,491 |  | 5.2\% |
| NE | 91-905 | Roger Tilbury Park | 771,150 | 12,368 | 783,518 | 15,859 | 12,041 | 27,900 | 755,618 | Budget | 783,518 |  | 3.6\% |
|  |  | Total New Neighborhood Parks Development | 4,883,950 | 102,677 | 4,986,627 | 537,171 | 74,721 | 611,892 | 4,506,108 |  | 5,118,000 | (131,373) | 12.0\% |
|  |  | Renovate \& Redevelop Neighborhood Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 91-906 | Cedar Mill Park, Trail \& Athletic Field | 1,125,879 | 18,057 | 1,143,936 | 50,478 | 5,644 | 56,122 | 1,087,814 | Budget | 1,143,936 |  | 4.9\% |
| SE | 91-907 | Camille Park | 514,100 | 28,898 | 542,998 | 583,848 | 342 | 584,190 |  | Complete | 584,190 | $(41,192)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 91-908 | Somerset West Park | 1,028,200 | 16,490 | 1,044,690 | 16,458 | 2,365 | 18,823 | 1,025,867 | Budget | 1,044,690 | - | 1.8\% |
| NW | 91-909 | Pioneer Park and Bridge Replacement | 544,934 | 18,613 | 563,547 | 129,909 | 8,502 | 138,411 | 455,255 | Design Dev | 593,666 | $(30,119)$ | 23.3\% |
| SE | 91-910 | Vista Brook Park | 514,100 | 18,149 | 532,249 | 131,045 | 3,545 | 134,590 | 493,380 | Design Dev | 627,970 | $(95,721)$ | 21.4\% |
|  |  | Total Renovate \& Redevelop Neighborhood Parks | 3,727,213 | 100,207 | 3,827,420 | 911,738 | 20,398 | 932,136 | 3,062,316 |  | 3,994,452 | $(167,032)$ | 23.3\% |
|  |  | New Neighborhood Parks Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW | 98-880 | New Neighborhood Park - NW Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 23,241 | 1,523,241 | 39,087 | 9,600 | 48,687 | 1,474,554 | Budget | 1,523,241 | - | 3.2\% |
| NE | 98-745 | New Neighborhood Park - NE Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 23,951 | 1,523,951 | 269,877 | 15 | 269,892 | 1,254,059 | Budget | 1,523,951 | - | 17.7\% |
| sw | 98-746 | New Neighborhood Park - SW Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 21,071 | 1,521,071 | 1,058,410 | 40,547 | 1,098,957 |  | Complete | 1,098,957 | 422,114 | 100.0\% |
| SE | 98-747 | New Neighborhood Park - SE Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 16,295 | 1,516,295 | 2,555,818 |  | 2,555,818 |  | Complete | 2,555,818 | $(1,039,523)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 98-748 | New Neighborhood Park (North Bethany) | 1,500,000 | 23,866 | 1,523,866 | 1,625,270 |  | 1,625,270 |  | Complete | 1,625,270 | $(101,404)$ | 100.0\% |
| UND | 98-749 | New Neighborhood Park - Undesignated | 1,500,000 | 23,911 | 1,523,911 | 87,634 | 34,439 | 122,073 | 1,401,838 | Budget | 1,523,911 |  | 8.0\% |
|  |  | Total New Neighborhood Parks | 9,000,000 | 132,335 | 9,132,335 | 5,636,096 | 84,601 | 5,720,697 | 4,130,451 |  | 9,851,148 | (718,813) | 58.1\% |
|  |  | New Community Park Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW | 92-915 | SW Community Park \& Athletic Field | 7,711,500 | 123,662 | 7,835,162 | 2,616 |  | 2,616 | 7,832,546 | Budget | 7,835,162 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total New Community Park Development | 7,711,500 | 123,662 | 7,835,162 | 2,616 | - | 2,616 | 7,832,546 |  | 7,835,162 | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | New Community Park Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 98-881 | New Community Park - NE Quadrant | 10,000,000 | 160,128 | 10,160,128 | 8,103,017 | - | 8,103,017 | - | Complete | 8,103,017 | 2,057,111 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total New Community Park | 10,000,000 | 160,128 | 10,160,128 | 8,103,017 | - | 8,103,017 |  |  | 8,103,017 | 2,057,111 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 92-916 | Cedar Hills Park \& Athletic Field | 6,194,905 | 98,656 | 6,293,561 | 111,782 |  | 111,782 | 6,181,779 | Budget | 6,293,561 |  | 1.8\% |
| SE | 92-917 | Schiffler Park | 3,598,700 | 60,594 | 3,659,294 | 1,438,799 | 457,983 | 1,896,782 | 1,005,798 | Bid Award | 2,902,580 | 756,714 | 65.3\% |
|  |  | Total Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks | 9,793,605 | 159,250 | 9,952,855 | 1,550,581 | 457,983 | 2,008,564 | 7,187,577 |  | 9,196,141 | 756,714 | 21.8\% |
|  |  | Natural Area Restoration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 97-963 | Roger Tilbury Memorial Park | 30,846 | 495 | 31,341 | 23 | 20 | 43 | 31,298 | Budget | 31,341 | - | 0.1\% |
| NE | 97-964 | Cedar Mill Park | 30,846 | 495 | 31,341 | 121 | 39 | 160 | 31,181 | Budget | 31,341 | - | 0.5\% |
| NE | 97-965 | Jordan/Jackie Husen Park | 308,460 | 4,947 | 313,407 | 2,000 | 20 | 2,020 | 55,380 | Planning | 57,400 | 256,007 | 3.5\% |
| NW | 97-966 | NE/Bethany Meadows Trail Habitat Connection | 246,768 | 3,958 | 250,726 | - | - | - | 250,726 | Budget | 250,726 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-967 | Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 10,282 | 165 | 10,447 | - | - | - | 10,447 | Budget | 10,447 | - | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-968 | Allenbach Acres Park | 41,128 | 659 | 41,787 | 1,306 | - | 1,306 | 40,084 | Planning | 41,390 | 397 | 3.2\% |
| NW | 97-969 | Crystal Creek Park | 205,640 | 3,298 | 208,938 | 3,516 | 1,275 | 4,791 | 107,757 | Planning | 112,548 | 96,390 | 4.3\% |
| NE | 97-970 | Foothills Park | 61,692 | 972 | 62,664 | 38,689 | 2,529 | 41,218 | 16,396 | Planting | 57,614 | 5,050 | 71.5\% |
| NE | 97-971 | Commonwealth Lake Park | 41,128 | 635 | 41,763 | 24,774 | 1,284 | 26,058 | 6,791 | Planting | 32,849 | 8,914 | 79.3\% |
| NW | 97-972 | Tualatin Hills Nature Park | 90,800 | 1,452 | 92,252 | 6,540 | 2,246 | 8,786 | 31,626 | Planning | 40,412 | 51,840 | 21.7\% |
| NE | 97-973 | Pioneer Park | 10,282 | 165 | 10,447 | 142 |  | 142 | 10,305 | Planning | 10,447 | - | 1.4\% |
| NW | 97-974 | Whispering Woods Park | 51,410 | 747 | 52,157 | 44,249 | 3,373 | 47,622 | 7,997 | Planting | 55,619 | $(3,462)$ | 85.6\% |
| NW | 97-975 | Willow Creek Nature Park | 20,564 | 322 | 20,886 | 9,825 | 153 | 9,978 | 14,110 | Planning | 24,088 | $(3,202)$ | 41.4\% |
| SE | 97-976 | AM Kennedy Park | 30,846 | 495 | 31,341 | 12,631 | 70 | 12,701 | 19,999 | Planning | 32,700 | $(1,359)$ | 38.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 1 of 4 |
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Through 8/31/12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Project CumulativeCost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | \% Total Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended Prior } \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | (4+5)=(6) | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
| SE | 97-977 | Camille Park | 77,115 | 1,236 | 78,351 | 43,166 | 20 | 43,186 | 29,167 | Planning | 72,353 | 5,998 | 59.7\% |
| SE | 97-978 | Vista Brook Park | 20,564 | 330 | 20,894 |  |  |  | 20,894 | Budget | 20,894 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 97-979 | Greenway Park/Koll Center | 61,692 | 988 | 62,680 | 1,428 | 87 | 1,515 | 61,165 | Budget | 62,680 |  | 2.4\% |
| SE | 97-980 | Bauman Park | 82,256 | 1,313 | 83,569 | 22,030 | 897 | 22,927 | 60,405 | Planting | 83,332 | 237 | 27.5\% |
| SE | 97-981 | Fanno Creek Park | 162,456 | 2,605 | 165,061 | 552 | 876 | 1,428 | 163,633 | Budget | 165,061 |  | 0.9\% |
| SE | 97-982 | Hideaway Park | 41,128 | 660 | 41,788 | 6,696 | 450 | 7,146 | 34,811 | Planning | 41,957 | (169) | 17.0\% |
| SW | 97-983 | Murrayhill Park | 61,692 | 869 | 62,561 | 55,346 | 759 | 56,105 | 16,494 | Planting | 72,599 | $(10,038)$ | 77.3\% |
| SE | 97-984 | Hyland Forest Park | 71,974 | 1,034 | 73,008 | 37,396 | 1,696 | 39,092 | 31,908 | Planning | 71,000 | 2,008 | 55.1\% |
| sw | 97-985 | Cooper Mountain | 205,640 | 3,298 | 208,938 | 14 |  | 14 | 208,924 | Budget | 208,938 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-986 | Winkelman Park | 10,282 | 165 | 10,447 | 3,145 |  | 3,145 | 6,208 | Planting | 9,353 | 1,094 | 33.6\% |
| sw | 97-987 | Lowami Hart Woods | 287,896 | 4,615 | 292,511 | 4,164 | 469 | 4,633 | 287,878 | Budget | 292,511 |  | 1.6\% |
| sw | 97-988 | Rosa/Hazeldale Parks | 28,790 | 460 | 29,250 | 2,309 | 180 | 2,489 | 26,761 | Planning | 29,250 |  | 8.5\% |
| sw | 97-989 | Mt Williams Park | 102,820 | 1,649 | 104,469 |  |  |  | 104,469 | Budget | 104,469 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-990 | Jenkins Estate | 154,230 | 2,464 | 156,694 | 49,739 | 4,395 | 54,134 | 73,347 | Planning | 127,481 | 29,213 | 42.5\% |
| sw | 97-991 | Summercrest Park | 10,282 | 155 | 10,437 | 7,228 | 93 | 7,321 | 1,937 | Planting | 9,258 | 1,179 | 79.1\% |
| sw | 97-992 | Morrison Woods | 61,692 | 989 | 62,681 | 28 |  | 28 | 62,653 | Budget | 62,681 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-993 | ${ }^{\text {Interpretive Sign Network }}$ | 339,306 | 5,439 | 344,745 | 7,191 | 1,673 | 8,864 | 330,436 | Planning | 339,300 | 5,445 | 2.6\% |
| NW | 97-994 | Beaverton Creek Trail | 61,692 | 989 | 62,681 |  |  |  | 62,681 | Budget | 62,681 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-995 | Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek | 41,128 | 660 | 41,788 | - |  |  | 41,788 | Budget | 41,788 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-996 | Bluegrass Downs Park | 15,423 | 247 | 15,670 |  |  |  | 15,670 | Budget | 15,670 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-997 | Crystal Creek | 41,128 | 660 | 41,788 | - |  |  | 41,788 | Budget | 41,788 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-914 | Restoration of new properties to be acquired | 643,023 | 10,313 | 653,336 |  |  |  | 653,336 | Budget | 653,336 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Restoration | 3,762,901 | 59,943 | 3,822,844 | 384,248 | 22,604 | 406,852 | 2,970,450 |  | 3,377,302 | 445,542 | 12.0\% |
|  |  | Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-882 | Natural Area Acquisitions | 8,400,000 | 134,622 | 8,534,622 | 287,568 | 4,424 | 291,992 | 8,242,630 | Budget | 8,534,622 | - | 3.4\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition | 8,400,000 | 134,622 | 8,534,622 | 287,568 | 4,424 | 291,992 | 8,242,630 |  | 8,534,622 |  | 3.4\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 93-918 | Westside Trail Segments 1, 4, \& 7 | 4,267,030 | 66,834 | 4,333,864 | 866,544 | 206,512 | 1,073,056 | 2,503,740 | Bid Award | 3,576,796 | 757,068 | 30.0\% |
| NE | 93-920 | Jordan/Husen Park Trail | 1,645,120 | 40,036 | 1,685,156 | 577,273 | 353,935 | 931,208 | 323,171 | Bid Award | 1,254,379 | 430,777 | 74.2\% |
| NW | 93-924 | Waterhouse Trail Segments 1,5 W West Spur | 3,804,340 | 59,194 | 3,863,534 | 663,280 | 3,502 | 666,782 | 4,437,187 | Design Dev. | 5,103,969 | $(1,240,435)$ | 13.1\% |
| NW | 93-922 | Rock Creek Trail \#5 Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 | 2,262,040 | 65,344 | 2,327,384 | 825,496 | 8,290 | 833,786 | 1,306,376 | Bid Award | 2,140,162 | 187,222 | 39.0\% |
| UND | 93-923 | Miscellaneous Natural Trails | 100,000 | 1,586 | 101,586 | 19,896 | 192 | 20,088 | 81,498 | Budget | 101,586 |  | 19.8\% |
| NW | 91-912 | Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail | 359,870 | 3,029 | 362,899 | 238,702 |  | 238,702 |  | Complete | 238,702 | 124,197 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 91-913 | NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 | 257,050 | 14,101 | 271,151 | 78,966 | 56,202 | 135,168 | 246,435 | Bid Award | 381,603 | $(110,452)$ | 35.4\% |
| sw | 93-921 | Lowami Hart Woods | 822,560 | 52,303 | 874,863 | 355,087 | 24,686 | 379,773 | 764,794 | Design Dev. | 1,144,567 | $(269,704)$ | 33.2\% |
| NW | 91-911 | Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection | 1,542,300 | 24,652 | 1,566,952 | 60,450 | 5,865 | 66,315 | 1,500,637 | Budget | 1,566,952 |  | 4.2\% |
|  |  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Development | 15,060,310 | 327,079 | 15,387,389 | 3,685,694 | 659,184 | 4,344,878 | 11,163,838 |  | 15,508,716 | (121,327) | 28.0\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-883 | New Linear Park and Trail Acquisitions | 1,200,000 | 19,246 | 1,219,246 | 856,621 | 48,665 | 905,286 | 313,960 | Budget | 1,219,246 | - | 74.2\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion | 1,200,000 | 19,246 | 1,219,246 | 856,621 | 48,665 | 905,286 | 313,960 |  | 1,219,246 | - | 74.2\% |
|  |  | Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 94-925 | Winkelman Athletic Field | 514,100 | 33,199 | 547,299 | 183,310 | 331,468 | 514,778 | 437,066 | Bid Award | 951,844 | $(404,545)$ | 54.1\% |
| SE | 94-926 | Meadow Waye Park | 514,100 | 6,637 | 520,737 | 407,298 |  | 407,298 |  | Complete | 407,298 | 113,439 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 94-927 | New Fields in NW Quadrant | 514,100 | 8,245 | 522,345 | 75 |  | 75 | 522,270 | Budget | 522,345 |  | 0.0\% |
| NE | 94-928 | New Fields in NE Quadrant | 514,100 | 8,245 | 522,345 | 3,827 |  | 3,827 | 518,518 | Budget | 522,345 |  | 0.7\% |
| sw | 94-929 | New Fields in SW Quadrant | 514,100 | 8,241 | 522,341 | 669 |  | 669 | 521,672 | Budget | 522,341 |  | 0.1\% |
| SE | 94-930 | New Fields in SE Quadrant | 514,100 | 8,245 | 522,345 |  |  |  | 522,345 | Budget | 522,345 | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Dev. | 3,084,600 | 72,812 | 3,157,412 | 595,179 | 331,468 | 926,647 | 2,521,871 |  | 3,448,518 | (291,106) | 26.9\% |
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| Quad rant | Project Code | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Estimated Cost to } \\ \text { Complete } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Basis of Estimate (Completed Phase) | Project CumulativeCost | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Total } \\ & \text { Expended to } \\ & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | $\underset{\text { Years }}{\text { Expended Prior }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended } \\ \text { Year-to-Date } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2)=(3) | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
| UND | 96-960 | $\frac{\text { Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements }}{\text { Play Structure Replacements at } 11 \text { sites }}$ | 810,223 | 4065 | 814.288 | 720,181 | 2.920 | 723101 | 24,104 | Design Dev (1) | 747205 | 67,083 | 96.8\% |
| NW | 96-720 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Willow Creek | 96,661 | 1,551 | 98,212 | 127,277 | - | 127,277 |  | Complete | 127,277 | $(29,065)$ | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-721 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Rosa Park | 38,909 | 624 | 39,533 | 38,381 |  | 38,381 |  | Complete | 38,381 | 1,152 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-722 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Jenkins Estate | 7,586 | 33 | 7,619 | 28,430 |  | 28,430 |  | Complete | 28,430 | $(20,811)$ | 100.0\% |
| SE | 96-723 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Hartwood Highlands | 10,767 | 170 | 10,937 | 985 |  | 985 |  | Cancelled | 985 | 9,952 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-998 | Irrigation Replacement at Roxbury Park | 48,854 | 63 | 48,917 | 41,902 |  | 41,902 |  | Complete | 41,902 | 7,015 | 100.0\% |
| UND | 96-999 | Pedestrian Path Replacement at 3 sites | 116,687 | 150 | 116,837 | 118,040 |  | 118,040 |  | Complete | 118,040 | $(1,203)$ | 100.0\% |
| SW | 96-946 | Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center | 160,914 | 1,508 | 162,422 | 195,024 |  | 195,024 |  | Complete | 195,024 | $(32,602)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-947 | Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center | 160,914 | 2,581 | 163,495 | 18,941 | - | 18,941 | 344,952 | Const. Doc | 363,893 | $(200,398)$ | 5.2\% |
|  |  | Total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements | 1,451,515 | 10,745 | 1,462,260 | 1,289,161 | 2,920 | 1,292,081 | 369,056 |  | 1,661,137 | $(198,877)$ | 77.8\% |
|  |  | Facility Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 95-931 | Structural Upgrades at Several Facilities | 317,950 | 2,378 | 320,328 | 105,332 | - | 105,332 | 214,996 | Budget | 320,328 | - | 32.9\% |
| SW | 95-932 | Structural Upgrades at Aloha Swim Center | 406,279 | 6,360 | 412,639 | 21,021 |  | 21,021 | 596,618 | Const. Doc. | 617,639 | $(205,000)$ | 3.4\% |
| SE | 95-933 | Structural Upgrades at Beaverton Swim Center | 1,447,363 | 23,161 | 1,470,524 | 22,757 | - | 22,757 | 1,397,767 | Const. Doc. | 1,420,524 | 50,000 | 1.6\% |
| NE | 95-934 | Structural Upgrades at Cedar Hills Recreation Center | 628,087 | 10,073 | 638,160 | 29,599 | 245 | 29,844 | 508,316 | Const. Doc. | 538,160 | 100,000 | 5.5\% |
| sw | 95-935 | Structural Upgrades at Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Center | 44,810 | 719 | 45,529 | 8,750 | - | 8,750 | 52,808 | Bid Award | 61,558 | $(16,029)$ | 14.2\% |
| SE | 95-937 | Structural Upgrades at Garden Home Recreation Center | 486,935 | 7,810 | 494,745 |  |  |  | 494,745 | Budget | 494,745 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 95-938 | Structural Upgrades at Harman Swim Center | 179,987 | 2,821 | 182,808 | 73,115 | - | 73,115 |  | Complete | 73,115 | 109,693 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-939 | Structural Upgrades at $\mathrm{HMT/} / 50$ Mtr Pool/Aquatic Center | 312,176 | 4,762 | 316,938 | 233,369 | 20,000 | 253,369 |  | Complete | 253,369 | 63,569 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-940 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Administration Building | 397,315 | 6,178 | 403,493 | 295,390 | 8,700 | 304,090 |  | Complete | 304,090 | 99,403 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-941 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Athletic Center | 65,721 | 85 | 65,806 | 66,000 |  | 66,000 |  | Complete | 66,000 | (194) | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-942 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Dryland Training Center | 116,506 | 1,840 | 118,346 | 23,261 | - | 23,261 | 34,760 | Bid Award | 58,021 | 60,325 | 40.1\% |
| NW | 95-943 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Tennis Center | 268,860 | 4,290 | 273,150 | 15,250 |  | 15,250 | 46,571 | Bid Award | 61,821 | 211,329 | 24.7\% |
| SE | 95-944 | Structural Upgrades at Raleigh Swim Center | 4,481 | 6 | 4,487 | 5,703 | - | 5,703 | - | Complete | 5,703 | $(1,216)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-945 | Structural Upgrades at Somerset Swim Center | 8,962 | 12 | 8,974 | 9,333 |  | 9,333 |  | Complete | 9,333 | (359) | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-950 | Sunset Swim Center Structural Upgrades | 1,028,200 | 16,406 | 1,044,606 | 603,363 | 43,881 | 647,244 |  | Complete | 647,244 | 397,362 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-951 | Sunset Swim Center Pool Tank | 514,100 | 275 | 514,375 | 294,280 | - | 294,280 | - | Complete | 294,280 | 220,095 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total Facility Rehabilitation | 6,227,732 | 87,176 | 6,314,908 | 1,806,523 | 72,826 | 1,879,349 | 3,346,581 |  | 5,225,930 | 1,088,978 | 36.0\% |
|  |  | Facility Expansion and Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 95-952 | Elsie Stuhr Center Expansion \& Structural Improvements | 1,997,868 | 30,861 | 2,028,729 | 2,019,232 | 25,000 | 2,044,232 | - | Complete | 2,044,232 | $(15,503)$ | 100.0\% |
| sw | 95-953 | Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Expansion \& Splash Pad | 5,449,460 | 84,304 | 5,533,764 | 4,929,809 | 294,476 | 5,224,285 | 214,417 | Bid Award | 5,438,702 | 95,062 | 96.1\% |
| sw | 95-954 | Aloha ADA Dressing Rooms | 123,384 | 158 | 123,542 | 178,701 |  | 178,701 | - | Complete | 178,701 | $(55,159)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-955 | Aquatics Center ADA Dressing Rooms | 133,666 | 1,078 | 134,744 | 180,493 | - | 180,493 | - | Complete | 180,493 | $(45,749)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-956 | Athletic Center HVAC Upgrades | 514,100 | 654 | 514,754 | 321,821 | - | 321,821 |  | Complete | 321,821 | 192,933 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total Facility Expansion and Improvements | 8,218,478 | 117,055 | 8,335,533 | 7,630,056 | 319,476 | 7,949,532 | 214,417 |  | 8,163,949 | 171,584 | 97.4\% |
|  |  | ADA/Access Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW | 95-957 | HMT ADA Parking \& other site improvement | 735,163 | 11,595 | 746,758 | 13,872 | 672 | 14,544 | 732,214 | Budget | 746,758 | - | 1.9\% |
| UND | 95-958 | ADA Improvements - undesignated funds | 116,184 | 1,864 | 118,048 | 22,610 | - | 22,610 | 95,438 | Budget | 118,048 | - | 19.2\% |
| sw | 95-730 | ADA Improvements - Barrows Park | 8,227 | 132 | 8,359 | 6,825 | - | 6,825 | - | Complete | 6,825 | 1,534 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-731 | ADA Improvements - Bethany Lake Park | 20,564 | 193 | 20,757 | 25,566 | - | 25,566 | - | Complete | 25,566 | $(4,809)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-732 | ADA Improvements - Cedar Hills Recreation Center | 8,226 | 132 | 8,358 | 8,255 | - | 8,255 |  | Complete | 8,255 | 103 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-733 | ADA Improvements - Forest Hills Park | 12,338 | 198 | 12,536 | 23,416 | - | 23,416 | - | Complete | 23,416 | $(10,880)$ | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-734 | ADA Improvements - Greenway Park | 15,423 | 247 | 15,670 |  | - |  | - | Cancelled |  | 15,670 | 0.0\% |
| sw | 95-735 | ADA Improvements - Jenkins Estate | 16,450 | 264 | 16,714 | 11,550 | - | 11,550 | - | Complete | 11,550 | 5,164 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 95-736 | ADA Improvements - Lawndale Park | 30,846 | 40 | 30,886 | 16,626 |  | 16,626 |  | Complete | 16,626 | 14,260 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-737 | ADA Improvements - Lost Park | 15,423 | 247 | 15,670 | 15,000 | - | 15,000 | - | Complete | 15,000 | 670 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-738 | ADA Improvements - Rock Creek Powerline Park (Soccer Fld) | 20,564 | 330 | 20,894 | 17,799 | - | 17,799 | - | Complete | 17,799 | 3,095 | 100.0\% |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 8/31/12

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}\right.$ | ProjectCode |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \end{gathered}$ | Project CumulativeCost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Total Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Description | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2)=(3) | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
| NW | 95-739 | ADA Improvements - Skyview Park | 5,140 | 82 | 5,222 | 7,075 |  | 7,075 |  | Complete | 7,075 | $(1,853)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-740 | ADA Improvements - Waterhouse Powerline Park | 8,226 | 132 | 8,358 |  |  |  | 8,358 | Design Dev | 8,358 |  | 0.0\% |
| NE | 95-741 | ADA Improvements - West Sylvan Park | 5,140 | 82 | 5,222 | 5,102 |  | 5,102 |  | Complete | 5,102 | 120 | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-742 | ADA Improvements - Wonderland Park | 10,282 | 164 | 10,446 | 4,915 | - | 4,915 | - | Complete | 4,915 | 5,531 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total ADA/Access Improvements | 1,028,196 | 15,702 | 1,043,898 | 178,611 | 672 | 179,283 | 836,010 |  | 1,015,292 | 28,606 | 17.7\% |
|  |  | Community Center Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-884 | Community Center | 5,000,000 | 79,695 | 5,079,695 | 614,109 | 1,900 | 616,009 | 4,463,686 | Budget | 5,079,695 | - | 12.1\% |
|  |  | Total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | 79,695 | 5,079,695 | 614,109 | 1,900 | 616,009 | 4,463,686 |  | 5,079,695 |  | 12.1\% |
|  |  | Bond Administration Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND |  | Debt Issuance Costs | 1,393,000 | $(482,200)$ | 910,800 | 24,772 | - | 24,772 | - | Complete | 24,772 | 886,028 | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Bond Accountant Personnel Costs |  | 241,090 | 241,090 | 42,117 | 12,406 | 54,523 | 186,567 | Budget | 241,090 |  | 22.6\% |
| UND |  | Communications Support | - | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 | - | 0.0\% |
| UND |  | Technology Needs | 18,330 | - | 18,330 | 23,953 | - | 23,953 | - | Complete | 23,953 | $(5,623)$ | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Office Furniture | 7,150 | - | 7,150 | 5,379 | - | 5,379 | - | Complete | 5,379 | 1,771 | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Admin/Consultant Costs | 31,520 | - | 31,520 | 42,619 | 888 | 43,507 | - | Complete | 43,507 | $(1,987)$ | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  | 1,450,000 | (191, 110) | 1,258,890 | 138,840 | 13,294 | 152,134 | 236,567 |  | 388,701 | 870,189 | 39.1\% |
|  |  | Grand Total | 100,000,000 | 1,511,224 | 101,511,224 | 34,207,829 | 2,115,136 | 36,322,965 | 61,398,064 |  | 97,721,028 | 3,790,196 | 37.2\% |



## MEMORANDUM

Date: September 17, 2012
To: Board of Directors
From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities
Re: $\quad$ System Development Charge Report for July, 2012

Below please find the various categories for System Development Charges, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family, Manufactured Housing Unit, and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the 1.6\% handling fee for collections through July, 2012.

| Type of Dwelling Unit | Current SDC per Type of Dwelling Unit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 5,299.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 5,214.22$ |
| Multi-Family | $\$ 3963.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 3,899.59$ |
| Non-residential | $\$ 137.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 134.81$ |


| City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2,521 | Single Family Units |
| 15 | Single Family Units at $\$ 489.09$ |
| 1,399 | Multi-family Units |
| 0 | Less Multi-family credits |
| 209 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{4 , 1 4 4}$ |  |


| Washington | County Collection of SDCs |
| :---: | :--- |
| 6,647 | Single Family Units |
| -300 | Less Credits |
| 1,881 | Multi-family Units |
| -24 | Less Credits |
| 98 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{8 , 3 0 2}$ |  |

## Recap by Agency

| 4,144 | City of Beaverton |
| ---: | :--- |
| 8,302 | Washington County |
| $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 4 6}$ |  |


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$6,592,206.21 | \$191,576.46 | \$6,783,782.67 |
| \$7,336.35 | \$221.45 | \$7,557.80 |
| \$2,624,822.68 | \$80,892.66 | \$2,705,715.34 |
| (\$7,957.55) | (\$229.36) | $(\$ 8,186.91)$ |
| \$476,873.95 | \$14,348.98 | \$491,222.93 |
| \$9,693,281.64 | \$286,810.19 | \$9,980,091.83 |


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 19,663,328.26$ | $\$ 520,263.29$ |
| $(\$ 623,548.98)$ | $(\$ 19,285.02)$ | $(\$ 63,591.55$ |
| $\$ 3,956,904.61$ | $\$ 116,511.37$ | $\$ 4,073,415.00)$ |
| $(\$ 47,323.24)$ | $(\$ 1,463.61)$ | $(\$ 48,786.85)$ |
| $\$ 365,383.21$ | $\$ 7,836.95$ | $\$ 373,220.16$ |
| $\$ 23,314,743.86$ | $\$ 623,862.98$ | $\$ 23,938,606.84$ |


| Percent |
| :--- |
| $29.42 \%$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{7 0 . 5 8 \%} \%}$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}}$ |


| Receipts | Collection Fee |  | Total Revenue |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 9,693,281.64$ | $\$ 286,810.19$ | $\$ 9,980,091.83$ |  |
| $\$ 23,314,743.86$ | $\$ 623,862.98$ | $\$ 23,938,606.84$ |  |
| $\$ 33,008,025.50$ | $\$ 910,673.17$ | $\$ 33,918,698.67$ |  |


| Recap by Dwelling | Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Resident | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Beaverton | 2,536 | 1,399 | 209 | 4,144 |
| Washington County | 6,347 | 1,857 | 98 | 8,302 |
|  | 8,883 | 3,256 | 307 | 12,446 |
| Total Receipts to Date |  |  | \$33,008,025.50 |  |
| Total Payments to Date |  |  |  |  |
| Refunds |  | (\$2,066,073.93) |  |  |
| Administrative Costs |  | (\$18.65) |  |  |
| Project Costs -- Development |  | (\$20,659,987.18) |  |  |
| Project Costs -- Land Acquisition |  | (\$9,119,153.09) | (\$31,845,232.85) |  |
|  |  |  | \$1,162,792.65 |  |
| Recap by Month, FY 2012-13 | Receipts | Expenditures | Interest | SDC Fund Total |
| through June 2012(1) | \$32,867,241.56 | (\$31,728,422.74) | \$2,031,828.35 | \$3,170,647.17 |
| July | \$140,783.94 | (\$116,810.11) | \$1,051.70 | \$25,025.53 |
| August | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| September | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| October | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| November | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| December | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| January | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| February | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| March | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| April | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| May | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| June | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | \$33,008,025.50 | (\$31,845,232.85) | \$2,032,880.05 | \$3,195,672.70 |

(1) Net of $\$ 1,029,273$ of SDC Credits awarded for park development projects.

## Recap by Month, by Unit

through June, 2012
July

| Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Residential | Total Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8,856 | 3,256 | 307 | 12,419 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathbf{3 , 2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 4 6}$ |
| 0 |  |  | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8 , 8 8 3}$ |  |  |  |

Projected SDC balance as of June 30, 2012 per the budget was $\$ 2,957,793$. Actual balance was $\$ 3,090,215$.
This fiscal year's projected total receipts per the budget are $\$ 2,721,804$.

# Jenkins Estate is present from the past 

Park district, visitors celebrate 100 years of the Jenkins Estate

## By SHANNON O. WELLS <br> The Times

Surveying the 68-acre Aloha estate he and his wife, Belle, bought 100 years ago, Ralph Jenkins was matter-offact Sunday afternoon about the decision to quit his job with the railroad to become a with the railroad to becom
full-time country squire.
"After I married Belle, I didn't have to work anymore," he reflected, noting Belle's family ties to the Ainsworth Bank in downtown Portland. "I married into a form of wealth. It was just Belle and I. We entertained and Belle and. We entertained and hosted equestrian events every weekend. We would take the horses into Beaverton to enter races."
If Mr. Jenkins' memory and alertness seems remarkable particularly for a man who died in 1955 - it's because James Neal, a local historic re-enactor, was channeling his gentlemany demeanor. Joining his wife, Ginnette, who portrayed Belle Ainsworth Jenkins, the Portland couple brought an early 20th-century charm to the Jenkins Estate Centennial Celebration.
Sunday's event marked 100


TIMES PHOTO: JOHATHAN HOUSE Clockwise from top left, Ramki Subramanian, left, and Srivioya Sankaran were a few of many visitors who came out Sunday afternoon to wander the grounds of the Jenkins Estate during its Centennial Celebration. Right, Carla Patterson, right, laughs as she asks for an autograph from Belle and Ralph Jenkins (played by James and Ginnette Neal) during the Jenkins celebration. Above, Jenkins Estate supervisor Lynda Myers unveils a new commemorative bench sponsored by the Oregon Roadside Council and the Oregon Federation of Garden Clubs for the estate's centennial.
years since the Portland-based Ralph and Belle Jenkins established the estate that served as

| "It was |
| :--- |
| many more |
| people than |
| we thought. |
| People with |
| happy |
| memories |
| of being |
| here, and |
| people from |
| the past |
| who hadn't |
| been here |
| in a while." |
| - Lymd |
| centerersuperisor |

# Socialites adapt to Cooper Mountain country life 

## Belle Jenkins objected <br> to raising pigs at estate

## By SHANNON O. WELLS

The Times


#### Abstract

After steamboat magnate J.C. Ainsworth died in 1898, his daughter Belle Ainsworth used \$7,000 from her inheritance trust to buy 60 acres on the northwest slope of Cooper Mountain. The idea was to use the estate as a country retreat for her and her husband, Ralph Jenkins, who resided in Portland. When the Main House, designed in the manner of an English hunting lodge, horse stables, outbuildings and gardens were completed, however, Belle was so smitten she adopted the spread as the couple's new home. They relocated from their urban home to the estate, which Belle named "Lolomi," a Native American word signifying "peace and quiet." A wooden sign emblazoned with the word still graces the porch of the Main House. During Sunday's celebration to mark the estate's 100 -year anniversary, James and Ginnette Neal dressed in the turn-of-the-century equestrian style of Ralph and Belle, brushed up on Ainsworth family history and spoke in the customs of the time. Among the resurrected couple's revelations was they had no qualms about trading their high-society city life for the quieter charms and daily horse-riding opportunities



of country living.
"I love the fact that we could be out here away from Portland," said "Belle," who was 31 when she married Ralph, eight years her senior. "We have socialites who come (visit) on the weekend. I prefer the quiet of this place."
Upon marrying Belle, Ralph Jenkins, who had a respectable job as a station agent for Union Pacific Railroad, was content to leave the work force for the more leisurely, upper-class life.
"On the weekdays, I would go downtown to the gentleman's club and play billiards or cards," said "Ralph" via James Neal. "Belle would usually stay and work in the garden."
But he also kept busy, overseeing the estate and stables, manag ing the 23 helping hands and serving as a riding instructor.
The couple, which had no children, was happily married. In some areas, however, their tastes diverged. For example, Belle objected to Ralph's desire to raise pigs on the property.
"We were not hog farmers," said "Belle."
Ralph nonetheless acquired some porky friends he somehow managed to keep from Belle's notice - for a while, at least.
"After I got her opinion," "Ralph" said, "I went out and got some pigs and kept them behind the carriage house."
When Belle finally got acquainted with her husband's unauthorized guests, it was too late to object to their presence.
"One night, we had pork for dinner," Ralph recounted. "Belle said it was the best she'd ever had."
blossoms on it."
As a harp, played by Annalee Driscoll of the Heritage Harp Center, provided a soothing backdrop on the pleasant afternoon, families and individuals of all ages and backgrounds wandered the lush, progressprotected property.
Some of the highlights included the hardwood-graced horse stable, the epicenter of the Jenkins' shared equestrian passion; the charming Gate House, which housed the caretakers' families during the Jenkins era; Elm Grove, the largest stand of Dutch elm in the state, planted by Belle Jenkins; the Herb Gar-
den, maintained by the Aloha Garden Club and the estate's gardening staff; and the Tea House, which serves as a popular site for the numerous weddings held at the estate each year.
Linda Hutchison, who has lived in the Aloha area for nearly 10 years, said she thought the event provided a nice excuse to show her mother, who was visiting from Iowa, the grandeur of the estate.
"It would've been nice to live here in this environment," she said, noting how she admired the arrangement and care of the various gardens on the
property. "I'm really happy this was not turned into a develop. ment and that (the park district) did this."
Outside of the occasional church event, Aloha residents Ray and Connie Humphrey said they don't make it out to the es tate too often. The mild summer weather on a Sunday afternoon however, proved too much to re sist.
"It's a perfect day for it," Con. nie said.
"It's just so beautiful and serene," Ray added with a smile "I could force myself to live out here if the staff could take care of me."

# Bonny Slope neighbors are feeling exposed 

## By DOMINIQUE FONG

THE OREGONIAN
Bonny Slope neighbors once enjoyed the dense woods and lush bushes that shaded them from a nearby park.
But when workers cleared the brush to make way for a new trail, some neighbors suddenly found themselves exposed. Their decks and backyards were in plain view.

The lack of privacy is one of several problems a group of residents say are disrupting their neighborhood and the natural beauty of The Bluffs Park, a five-acre spot west of Bonny Slope Elementary School.

Neighbors are requesting additional tweaks - such as a narrower trail and more vegetation to screen their yards - to a decade-old master plan approved by the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District.
The park district, however, held extensive meetings to gather public
comments and will not hold more, said district spokesman Bob Wayt. Construction for improvements to the park will continue as planned, Wayt said.
Many area residents - save a few whose homes are right next to the trail - backed the master plan, according to the district. In 2011, the district received a petition with 43 signatures of support.
Work first began on the park in 2003, when the park district approved plans for an asphalt path, playground, stormwater drainage and the removal of about 70 percent of nonnative English ivy and Himalayan blackberry plants.

After voters passed a $\$ 100$ million bond measure in 2008, money became available for construction. The district allocated $\$ 250,000$ for improvements, but the cost estimate recently rose to $\$ 380,000$ to cover a drainage project and extra plantings.

Meanwhile, newhomes and condos sprang up in a private development surrounding The Bluffs Park.
A small group of homeowners complained, including members of the Blackhawk Gardens Homeowners Association. They felt that the removal of vegetation in the plan was too drastic and wanted to keep a nat-ural-looking 2 -foot-wide wood chip trail farther from their backyards.
More public meetings were held earlier this spring with area homeowners. The district agreed to pave a 5 -foot-wide trail and eliminate a proposed plaza and benches from one end of the trail to ensure more privacy.
After the contractor, Paul Brothers of Boring, broke ground this summer, homeowners say what they see is not part of the master plan. Too many native plants and trees were stripped away, they said, which could lead to rain runoff problems.
"They've devastated an area and
clear-cutted through," said Bill Booth, a member of the homeowners association, which represents eight plats. "The contractors wasted the path from one end of the hill to the bottom."
Booth, whose home overlooks the trailhead at the east end of the park, said that because the roads are privately owned, he and other members of the association could block access to the trail. The district is aware that the homeowners have the ability to do so, Wayt said.
Todd Boyce, president of the association, said he hopes the district will address their privacy issues so neighbors calm down.
"We need more screenings, and put in more mature plantings that are not going to take 10 years to recreate some of the privacy that was lost," Boyce said.

## -

Dominique Fong: 503-294-5934; dfong@oregonian.com

# Terra Linda Park's play area reopens after June blaze 

No suspects found in apparent arson case

Beaverton Valley Times

[^2]ation District. Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue investigators said arson was the likely cause of the fire.
By the end of the next day, Burgess said, debris and concrete footings were removed and surfacing material replaced by the district's North District Maintenance Supervisor Scott Bernards and his crew. Because other play equipment at the site was untouched by the fire, the play area reopened that day.
"I've never seen anything come together quite this quickly," Burgess said after the structure's recent reopening. "Our vendor and contractor were sensitive to the situation, and they stepped in to manufacture and install the struc-
ture much faster than I thought it could be done."
Burgess reached out to equipment vendor Ross Recreation Inc., which collaborated with play equipment manufacturer Landscape Structures Inc. to build and deliver comparable replacement equipment in the space of two weeks.
"To their credit, they have an infrastructure in place to respond to situations like this one," Burgess said. "They were able to deliver the equipment about four to five weeks earlier than an order of this size might normally take."

The final step was installation, which Precision Recreation Contractors achieved in the space of a day. By the end of the following day, the site
was cleaned up with a successful safety inspection completed by Burgess and Nancy Agnesse.
Terra Linda neighbors have resumed enjoyment of the complete play area with no visible signs of what Burgess believes was the fourth arson at THPRD facilities in his 33 years with the district.
"It's a good feeling to be able to respond this quickly to an unfortunate circumstance," he said.
No suspects have been identified in the apparent arson at Terra Linda, according to park district officials.
The project was completed at an estimated cost of $\$ 18,000$, which was covered by the district's insurance provider.

## Swimmers say bye as summer



## As school starts back up, the area's pools begin to close

By ANDREW THEEN |THE OREGONIAN

T
here are no lounge chairs, just hard wooden benches lining the chain-link fence around the fourlane outdoor pool at Somerset West Swim Center in Beaverton, but for many last-chance swimmers, it's paradise.
Tammy Taylor, 56, describes it as the "bestkept secret in town." Maddy Niese, 12, is such a regular presence she's on a first-name basis with lifeguards and pool staff.

Julio Figueroa, 37, says it's the "perfect spot" for his 5 -year-old daughter and a rite of passage for family members.

Somerset West, one of two outdoor pools in the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, officially closed for the summer after Labor Day. The outdoor pool season wrapped up Monday with veteran lap swimmers, adolescents and young families soaking up the last bit of summer swimming.

Most outdoor pools in the Portland metro area follow the school year schedule. The last day at Grant Pool is Sept. 16. The Wilson Pool is open one final time this Saturday and Sunday.

Figueroa stood chest-deep in the 84-degree pool to catch his youngest daughter, Abigail, as she ripped down the yellow slide into the 25 -yardlong pool.

Please see Pools, Pagé B2


Maddy Niese,
12, (left)
and Emma
Dewitto,
11, launch
themselves into the
Somerset
West Swim
Center pool in Beaverton on Labor Day, just before it closes for the season. Niese spent much of her summer there and sent a thankyou note to lifeguards and pool staff.
BRENT WOJAHN THE OREGONIAN

## Pools

## Continued from Page B1

"She's been taking classes the last two years," he said, beaming. "From last year to this year, she's able to swim across."

His family lives just down the street from the pool. Aluxury, he called it. Figueroawondered why he doesn't see other neighborhood kids at the pool more often.
"I pretty much grew up around this area myself," he said. "I wish those kids were able to come here. I just don't get it. It's so much fun here."

In a time when there is no shortage of activities for parents and their children, park officials say swimming attendance figures ebb and flow. Lori Leach, outdoor pool supervisor at SomersetWest, said this summerwas "spectacular" by comparison with the dreary weather of last year.
About 725 swimmers took lessons at the pool, Leach said, which was consistent with last year's numbers. The center drew roughly 7,200 general admission swimmers, an increase of 400 from last year.
Although the numbers are up this year, Leach said she has noticed a drop in recent years.
"I would attribute that to


Julio Figueroa (center) waits for his 5-year-old daughter, Abigail, to zip down the slide Monday at the Somerset West Pool. The longtime neighbor has a family pass and visits the pool often.
probably a matter of choices," she said. "Sometimes people sort of forget about swimming."

Niese never forgot about the pool. She wrote a letter to the swim center staff, thanking them for a great summer. The note is pinned to the bulletin board in the main office.
"One of my favorite memories is meeting the lifeguards," she said.

Niese bikes with friends or her brother to the park, where they swim laps and jump in
and out of the warm water. Niese said it helps her stay in shape during the summer.

Before the 20 children and parents took to the pool on the last day, lap swimmers Taylor, Angie Voydik and Chris Schild enjoyed their last outdoor dip.
The three will have a lot of catching up to do next summer. They don't see one another much during the winter.

Updates on children and grandchildren serve as the major news. "I had two get engaged and one daughter had
twins since I last saw these guys," Taylor said.

Taylor swims a little more than a mile most days.
Voydik, 42, said she doesn't keep track: "I recite James, Chapters 1-5, in my head, from the Bible, and I do it twice."

Next year, the old friends will reconnect again in the pool.
"It's just like you took up where you left off," Taylor said.

[^3]
## Portland's sewage solution

A new pump station aims to prevent smelly spills, but fed-up Garden Home residents have doubts

## By KATHERINE DRIESSEN <br> THE OREGONIAN

For more than a decade, Portland's most defective sewage pumping station has provedWashington County's nastiest problem.
It is situated in the Garden Home neighborhood, where Portland and Beaverton addresses often straddle the same street and residents talk about the two interchangeably. But one thing is clear: Portland's pump station along Fanno Creek Trail sits inWashington County. And it's taken a toll on both the city and the county.
At least 12 sewage spills and millions of Portland dollars in repair costs have stemmed from the property and associated sewer lines at


A spill along the Fanno Creek Trail on July 23, 2011, shut down portions of the trail for almost a week. Some of the water that seeped onto the trail was contaminated with E. coli.

MIKE LILLY

Southwest 86th Avenue, requiring help from Washington County's Clean Water Services to take in excess flows and even construct new storage facilities.
When sewage leaks onto various sections of Fanno Creek Trail, and sometimes onto residential lawns, both the city and the county often respond. Though the city is respon-
sible for notice and cleanup, both governments serve residents in the area. In extreme circumstances, a bypass pipeline discharges sewage into Fanno Creek.

It presents an at-times murky jurisdictional relationship. The setup has required a standard intergovernmental agreement between the city's Bureau of Environmental Services

and the county's Clean Water Services, plus a less standard, mutual agreement to clarify liability issues, of which there are many.
"It's a pump relations nightmare for us," Washington County Commissioner Bob Terry said.

On Wednesday, the city of Portland received Washington County

Please see SEWER, Page B6

## Sewer

Continued from Page B1
general clearance, after an initial denial, to build another pump station down the road from the current one. It's intended to remedy the problem, which city engineers attribute to an under-capacity pump and poor selection of original building materials for the sewage pipeline.

The current station can handle only 24 cubic feet-persecond in flows, but it needs to handle at least 45. The expanded station will take care of those problems, city engineers said.

But they also concede building yet another pump station in Garden Home is a solution that won't go over too well with most residents.
"I know we've got an uphill battle," said Bill Ryan, chief engineer for the Bureau of Environmental Services. "But I hope in two or three years when everything's up and running, it will be better. Given the history there, they are probably never going to be pleased."

## Overflow's backlash

On a sweltering Friday in late August, Charles Prichard and a group of six neighbors walked along the Fanno Creek Trail, as they do most mornings, and recounted more than a decade's worth of spills.

They sometimes disagree about the specific timing of certain spills or the direction the sewage flowed, but the general consensus was, as it has been for some time now, they've had enough.
"You never really get used to the smell," Prichard said. "We try to have a sense of humor about it all, but it's hard."

Just two weeks before, sewage had spilled onto the trail at a rate of five gallons a minute, totaling 15,000 gallons before the pump was shut off just after midnight.

Washington County and Portland have tried to ensure Garden Home residents aren't left in the jurisdictional lurch. But it's not an ideal setup for the county, the city or the neighbors caught in the middle.
In 1998, when the city first decided to replace five smaller pump stations in Multnomah County with a larger one in Washington County, an intergovernmental agreement was forged. If the city's system was overwhelmed by "extraordinary circumstances," an existing Clean Water Services bypass would be opened to take the excess flow from the city and treat it at CleanWater Services' Durham treatment plant in Tigard.
"We really didn't think we were going to be relying on them all that often," Ryan said. "It certainly wasn't the plan."

But it has become almost the norm for a city system that wasn't built for such a high volume of flows. From 2008 to 2011, CleanWater Services took nearly all the Portland flows from the area as the city rebuilt parts of its pressure line, according to Mark Jockers, the agency's public relations manager.
After the August spill, Clean Water Services has again taken the flows and the Fanno Creek pump station remains offline. When Clean Water Services takes over, according to the intergovernmental agreement terms, the city owes the agency three times the current agency flow rate.

The biggest complication for CleanWater Services is how to handle those flows when there's large amounts of stormwater already in the system what is known as peak flow.

A mutual agreement drafted in 2008 parsed out in even greater specificity liability when it comes to spills and a timeline for fixing the problems.
"Bottom line, we just want to get this fixed," Jockers said.

## Rebuilding trust

Construction will begin this fall on the new station, costing Portland about $\$ 25$ million to complete in addition to the price of the residential land it purchased down the road from the existing pump station.
Meanwhile, Clean Water Services has decided to build a $\$ 725,000$ storage facility under a manhole at Southwest 89th Avenue and Spruce Street in Tigard, where low points in the system have prompted pressure buildups under high wet-weather flows from Portland. In January, a contained overflowhappened at the site, Jockers said.

Portland Bureau of Environmental Services officials say the main goal of the new station is stop the overflows in the area, hopefully rebuilding the trust of Garden Home residents like Prichard.
But many remain unconvinced.
"They've got a pretty bad record here," Prichard said of the city. "How are we supposed to expect this to work?"

Katherine Driessen: 503-294-4038; kdriessen@oregonian.com; twitter.com/WashCoReporter

(隹)Read more about the Garden Home pump station and the sewage overflows that have plagued Fanno Creek at oregonlive.com/portland

## Fitness room done at Garden Home

## By TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

A new fitness room, the centerpiece of Garden Home Recreation Center's summer makeover, is complete. The new space will be unveiled to the public at a free grand opening from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. Saturday.

Visitors are invited to enjoy free fitness activities at Garden Home, 7475 S.W. Oleson Road, including use of the new gym and participation in center's "Fitness Launch." Sample Zumba, BodyPump and willPower \& grace sessions from 10 to 11:30 a.m.
"Our patrons will love the changes, especially the new fitness room," said Lindsay Bjork, center supervisor. "The new equipment is great and it's a brighter and more spacious room, with better air circulation. It's more con-
ducive to a great workout." The room is nearly twice its previous size, with 1,100 square feet of additional space. The room has been furnished with new weight and cardio equipment, new flooring and mirrors, lighting and power supply improvements, and independent cooling and heating elements.
Those upgrades, and other Garden Home improvements, were funded by a $\$ 325,000$ award from Portland's Bureau of Environmental Services as compensation for a maintenance project on the nearby Fanno Creek Trail.
Additional Garden Home improvements include:

- A new spring floor, upgraded new equipment and a 10 -foot rock wall in the new gymnastics room.
"The new equipment is great and it's a brighter and more spacious room, with better air circulation. It's more conducive to a great workout."


## Lindsay Bjork

Garden Home Recreation Center supervisor

- A new hardwood floor and new exercise equipment in the dance and exercise room. - New storage cubbies, furniture, rugs, built-in counter/ desk space and more seating in the after-school room.

More than 7,000 Garden

Home neighbors are receiving direct mail notification of the grand opening, which will feature door prizes, a raffle and other giveaways. Staff members will host fun fitness challenges, including "longest plank hold" and "longest wall sit." A trainer will be on hand to demonstrate proper use of new equipment and answer fitness questions.

Garden Home Recreation Center was purchased by the park district in 1986. The site, constructed as an elementary school in 1912, is an entry point to the historic Oregon Electric Railroad section of the Fanno CreekTrail. The facility also houses the Garden Home Community Library and the West Portland Boxing Club.

Information: 503-6296341.


## Dog park

Continued from Page E1

The project would cost about $\$ 50,000$. The money would not come from a $\$ 100$ million bond measure voters passed in 2008, Wayt said.

The park district is planning to build two other dog parks by next spring: one at the Rock Creek campus of Portland Community College, and the second at 18.8-acre Paul \&VernaWinkelman Park southwest of Beaverton, Wayt said.
Neighbors at Tuesday's meeting criticized the proposed layout of the dog park. One side of the fence would be 25 feet from homeowners' backyards, too close for those who are worried about barking and the smell of dog excrement.
"The sound magnifies in here," said ConnieWilliams, whose property is across from the planned dog park. "It's like an echo chamber. If you have a dog park, you can't enjoy the peace and quiet."
Park district representatives said they were limited in where they could put the dog park. Gas and sewer lines running underneath the corridor restrict the size and location of construction projects. That's why the park ended up being close to backyards, representatives said.

Neighbors feared dog park visitors would clog streets and increase traffic. Only a handful of parking spots are available in a nearby cul-de-sac, leaving others to park along streets in front of homes, residents said.
Others noted that the
park's playground is near the dog park and worried that small children might be frightened.

Rebecca McMurry, who lives around the corner from the park, was one of the plan's few supporters.
"Providing a fenced area in a controlled setting is a great idea, and it's needed," said McMurry, who owns two dogs.

Dog owners tend to be more careful and thoughtful about cleaning up after their pets, McMurry said.

Other public dog parks in Washington County are nestled inside much larger parks and farther from residences, some near industrial areas.

In Aloha, the dog area in Hazeldale Park takes up two of the park's total 11 acres. In Hillsboro, the 3.75-acre dog park is a small part of the Gordon Faber Recreation Complex, near office parks. Three dog parks in Tigard and one in Tualatin have similar expansive, industrial settings.

Dean Gehnert, who also lives near Hart Meadows Park, runs a dog grooming business and used to walk his three dogs at Hazeldale Park. He doesn't go as often, he said, to avoid running into more aggressive dogs. A dog park in Hart Meadows could attract similarly aggressive dogs, Gehnert said.
No date or formal timeline has been set for a final decision, Wayt said. Public comments will be passed along to district management, who then will make a decision. Representatives said at the meeting that they would email residents with any updates over the next week.

Dominique Fong: 503-2945934;dfong@oregonian.com


[^0]:    *Executive Session: Executive Sessions are permitted under the authority of ORS 192.660. Copies of the statute are available at the offices of Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District. **Public Comment: If you wish to be heard on an item not on the agenda, or a Consent Agenda item, you may be heard under Audience Time with a 3-minute time limit. If you wish to speak on an agenda item, also with a 3 -minute time limit, please wait until it is before the Board. Note: Agenda items may not be considered in the order listed. ***Consent Agenda: If you wish to speak on an agenda item on the Consent Agenda, you may be heard under Audience Time. Consent Agenda items will be approved without discussion unless there is a request to discuss a particular Consent Agenda item. The issue separately discussed will be voted on separately. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this material, in an alternate format, or special accommodations for the meeting, will be made available by calling 503-645-6433 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

[^1]:    Jessica Collins
    Recording Secretary

[^2]:    Less than two months after a play structure at Terra Linda Park burned to the ground, the structure was replaced with new equipment and reopened on Aug. 17.

    A fire, which was reported the early morning of June 18 , completely destroyed a standalone section of the children's 'play area, located at Northwest ,138th Place and Pettygrove Street, said Jerry Burgess, cap'ital projects manager with the ,Tualatin Hills Park and Recre-

[^3]:    Andrew Theen: 503-294-4026; atheen@oregonian.com

