# Board of Directors Regular Meeting January 14, 2013 <br> 6:00 p.m. Executive Session; 7:00 p.m. Regular Meeting HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 

## AGENDA

1. Executive Session*
A. Personnel
B. Legal
C. Land
2. Call Regular Meeting to Order
3. Action Resulting from Executive Session
4. Audience Time**
5. Board Time
6. Consent Agenda***
A. Approve: Minutes of December 3, 2012 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Appointing Aquatics Advisory Committee Member
E. Award: Aloha Swim Center Seismic Improvements Project
F. Approve: Tualatin Valley Water District Reservoir Project
G. Approve: Deputy Director of Planning Position
7. Unfinished Business
A. Update: Comprehensive Plan - GreenPlay Update
B. Information: General Manager's Report
8. New Business
A. Approve: Graf Meadows Trail Master Plan
B. Approve: Resolution Appointing Budget Committee Member
9. Adjourn
[^0]
## MEMO

DATE: January 7, 2013
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: Information Regarding the January 14, 2013 Board of Directors Meeting
Agenda Item \#6 - Consent Agenda
Attached please find Consent Agenda items \#6A-G for your review and approval.
Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#6A-G as submitted:
A. Approve: Minutes of December 3, 2012 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Appointing Aquatics Advisory Committee Member
E. Award: Aloha Swim Center Seismic Improvements Project
F. Approve: Tualatin Valley Water District Reservoir Project
G. Approve: Deputy Director of Planning Position

Agenda Item \#7 - Unfinished Business
A. Comprehensive Plan - GreenPlay Update

Attached please find a memo from Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, noting that GreenPlay, LLC, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the research that has been conducted in preparation for the update to the District's Comprehensive Plan. Keith, along with representatives from GreenPlay, and Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager, will be at your meeting to present the information and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## Action Requested: No formal Board action is requested. The presentation of preliminary findings is for Board information only.

## B. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the January Regular Board meeting.

## Agenda Item \#8 - New Business

A. Graf Meadows Trail Master Plan

Attached please find a memo from Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, requesting Board of Directors approval of the Graf Meadows Trail Master Plan. Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, Dave Walters, Park Planner, and John Howorth of 3J Consulting, the project consultant, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the master plan and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## Action Requested: Board of Directors approval of the Graf Meadows Trail Preferred Master Plan.

B. Resolution Appointing Budget Committee Member

Attached please find a memo from myself requesting Board of Directors discussion of the seven applications received to serve on the District's Budget Committee and appointment of one of those applicants to the Committee for a term of three years.

Action Requested: Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2013-02 appointing (insert name) _ to the Budget Committee for a term of three years.

## Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board
- Monthly Capital Report
- Monthly Bond Capital Report
- System Development Charge Report
- Newspaper Articles


## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, on Monday, December 3, 2012. Executive Session 6:00 p.m.; Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.

Present:
Joseph Blowers
Larry Pelatt
Bob Scott
William Kanable
Doug Menke

President/Director<br>Secretary/Director<br>Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director<br>Director<br>General Manager

Absent:
John Griffiths
Director
Agenda Item \#1 - Executive Session (A) Land President, Joe Blowers, called Executive Session to order for the following purpose:

- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
Executive Session is held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e), which allows the Board to meet in Executive Session to discuss the aforementioned issue.

President, Joe Blowers, noted that representatives of the news media and designated staff may attend Executive Session. All other members of the audience were asked to leave the room. Representatives of the news media were specifically directed not to disclose information discussed during the Executive Session. No final action or final decision may be made in Executive Session. At the end of Executive Session, the Board will return to open session and welcome the audience back into the room.

Agenda Item \#2 - Call Regular Meeting to Order
President, Joe Blowers, called the Regular Meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
Agenda Item \#3 - Action Resulting from Executive Session
Bill Kanable moved that, pursuant to a commitment of the 2008 Bond Measure, the Board of Directors authorize staff to acquire a property in the northeast quadrant of the District for a future neighborhood park subject to the General Manager's determination that all of the terms of the sales agreement between THPRD and the seller are satisfactory. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Bob Scott Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bill Kanable Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Bob Scott moved that, pursuant to a commitment of the 2008 Bond Measure, the Board of Directors authorize staff to acquire a property in the southwest quadrant of the District for a future community park or a future community center subject to the General Manager's determination that all terms of a sales agreement between THPRD and the seller are satisfactory. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows: Bill Kanable Yes Larry Pelatt Yes Bob Scott Yes Joe Blowers Yes The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#4 - Amateur Softball Association (ASA) of America James Farrell Award of Excellence

Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports, introduced Mike Wells, State Commissioner for Oregon ASA, to make a presentation to the Board of Directors regarding the 2012 James Farrell Award of Excellence from the National ASA organization recently awarded to the District, City of Hillsboro, and Washington County Visitors Association for the 14A Western National Fast-Pitch Softball Tournament that was held July 30 through August 5, 2012.

Mike presented an overview of Oregon ASA, as well as the National ASA organization, noting that there are over 76 associations under the national umbrella, two of which are in Oregon. He noted that ASA operates over 100 tournaments each year and that each tournament is evaluated by multiple individuals, on local and national levels, on approximately 20 different criteria. In order to qualify for the James Farrell Award of Excellence, the tournament must score $96 \%$ or better. The 14A Western National Fast-Pitch Softball Tournament held this past summer scored $98.5 \%$. In addition, this is the first time, nationwide, that ASA has had three organizations work cooperatively toward hosting one tournament. Mike provided a brief overview of future tournaments being explored by Oregon ASA and the District and thanked the District staff involved in the tournament for their efforts.

## Agenda Item \#5 - Audience Time

Sid Snyder, 7320 SW Canyon Lane, Beaverton, is before the Board of Directors this evening representing the West Slope Neighborhood Association Committee (NAC). Sid referenced a property recently acquired by the District in the West Slope neighborhood, the Wilson property. He explained that the West Slope NAC is pleased with the purchase of this property as the area is underserved by the District; however, there have been problems in the neighborhood due to the rental house on the property, as well as the adjacent vacant lot. Although the District has taken the first steps toward addressing the problem by beginning the process of evicting the current tenants, the West Slope NAC believes that the interest of the neighborhood would be best served by accelerating the development of the land as a park rather than re-renting the house. Since removal of the house is expected to be part of the creation of the park, funds spent on improvements to the house and on managing the property as a short-term rental is money ill-spent. In addition, because the vacant lot is well-hidden from most streets and homes, it attracts undesirable situations, such as illegal camping, trash accumulation, dumping of stolen cars, and suspicious activities. In conclusion, Sid stated that the West Slope NAC is eager to continue working with the District in bringing a much-needed park to the neighborhood and is confident that the District will be good stewards of the land in the short-term, responsive to the needs of the neighborhood in the design of the park, and pragmatic in its decisions regarding the timetable for bringing the project to a quick and successful conclusion.

Doug Menke, General Manager, explained that the Wilson property is a future park site and that funding has not yet been identified for its development. He noted that the District also does not have any current plans to put funds into the rental house.

Larry Pelatt commented that he is hopeful that the District's property management company will be more particular in choosing new tenants since the previous tenants were already in the home when the District purchased the property. He understands that the neighborhood is anxious for a park to be developed at the site, but the process for development of any park site can be lengthy. If the District needs to put funds into the house in the future in order to keep it rentable, those funds most likely would not be significant.

President, Joe Blowers, asked whether the property management company could place restrictions on how much junk the tenants may accumulate in the yard.
$\checkmark$ Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, replied that the property management company would follow city code; however, District staff works cooperatively with the property management company and follows a good neighbor policy.
Joe suggested the property management company express to the new tenants the sensitivity of the neighborhood to such issues. He described the amount of broken cars and junk that was in the current tenant's yard and thinks it may be helpful that future tenants know that the neighborhood takes issue with this type of activity.
$\checkmark$ Keith agreed and confirmed that District staff would work with the property management company on this issue.

Larry agreed that, from what he had seen, the vacant lot needs to be cleaned up.
$\checkmark$ Dave Chrisman, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations, noted that the District did conduct a preliminary cleanup of the property and that additional such work is planned.

Bill Kanable commented that the District spent a lot of time looking for a property in that underserved area, but that it is going to take some time to develop it. Acquiring the property alone was a big step forward for the area.

## Agenda Item \#6 - Board Time

President, Joe Blowers, described a trail at Commonwealth Lake Park that has been rerouted away from the shoreline of the lake. He asked whether this is the first phase of a more significant project. He also noticed that the lake seems to be a few feet lower than normal.
$\checkmark$ Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management, replied that the path was moved farther away from the lake for erosion purposes and habitat restoration. This was a standalone project. Traditionally, during the winter, the weir at the dam is lowered due to the desire of the neighborhood for flood control efforts.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda

Bill Kanable moved the Board of Directors approve Consent Agenda items (A) Minutes of November 5, 2012 Regular Board Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement, (D) Resolution Approving System Development Charge Annual Cost Adjustment, (E) Resolution Authorizing Recreational Trails Program Grant Application for North Bethany Trail \#2, and (F) Amended Retirement Plan Investment Policy. Bob Scott seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

| Larry Pelatt | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bob Scott | Yes |
| Bill Kanable | Yes |
| Joe Blowers | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business

## A. Tualatin Valley Water District Proposed Reservoir Project

Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, provided an overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet, noting that Tualatin Valley Water District (TVWD) is returning to the Board this evening regarding a proposed project to build a new reservoir and pump station in the vicinity of Ridgewood View Park in order to replace two reservoirs that have been closed due to structural failure: one at the north end of Ridgewood View Park and the other at Reservoir Park. TVWD initially presented this project to the Board at the April 2, 2012 Regular meeting, at which time the Board approved the request to explore the possibility of locating a new reservoir and pump station at Ridgewood View Park. This evening, TVWD is returning to present the results of the exploration process. Steve introduced Mike Britch, Senior Engineer with TVWD, and Todd Perimon, Senior Project Manager with AECOM, to present an overview of the current design options and next steps for the project.

Mike and Todd provided background information regarding the two sites impacted and a detailed overview of the three design options currently under consideration, including the geotechnical analysis of the options, noting that their preferred design option is Option 2 (a new, irregular shaped reservoir at the current Ridgewood View Park reservoir location) via a PowerPoint presentation of the informational materials included within the Board of Directors information packet and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Larry Pelatt asked how high the exposed wall space will be of the new reservoir for the preferred option.
$\checkmark$ Mike replied that it would be the same height as the current reservoir.
$\checkmark$ Todd noted that it would be approximately 15 feet above existing grade.
$\checkmark$ Mike commented that creating a berm would help offset this and would be part of the discussion in terms of the park improvements.

Larry asked what the plans are for the tank at Reservoir Park.
$\checkmark$ Mike replied that the tank would most likely be mothballed; however, it may be brought online in the future as the need for more capacity arises or for emergency needs. He described some of the geotechnical challenges at Reservoir Park and acknowledged that there has not been a definitive discussion regarding what is going to happen to that reservoir.

Steve provided a brief overview of the potential park amenities that could be provided by TVWD at Ridgewood View Park as part of the reservoir project, noting that this question was also posed at the neighborhood meeting. However, a preferred design needs to be chosen before the master planning process for the site can begin.
$\checkmark$ Mike noted that TVWD is open to considering some type of sports surfacing on top of the preferred option reservoir.
Larry commented that if a sports surface is being considered for the top of the reservoir, this will need to be determined prior to construction in order to ensure that the reservoir is built appropriately for such use.

Larry expressed support for the preferred option, Option 2 (a new, irregular shaped reservoir at the current Ridgewood View Park reservoir location).
$\checkmark$ Bill Kanable expressed agreement, as well.
Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, explained that if there is a Board consensus that the preferred design is Option 2, formal approval for the project could be sought at the January Regular Board meeting via the Consent Agenda.
$\checkmark$ President, Joe Blowers, agreed with this, unless there are substantial changes to the design between now and then.
$\checkmark$ Larry expressed agreement with this proposal.
$\checkmark$ Bob Scott expressed agreement as well and thanked TVWD for listening to their concerns at the first presentation.
$\checkmark$ Bill agreed, noting that the geotechnical work completed clearly helped determine which option was the most feasible.

## B. General Manager's Report

Doug Menke, General Manager, provided a detailed overview of the General Manager's Report included within the Board of Directors information packet, which included the following topics:

- Comprehensive Plan/GreenPlay Workshops Update
o Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, provided a brief overview of the current status of the Comprehensive Plan Update, including upcoming public work sessions with GreenPlay and District staff.
- Fanno Creek Trail Enhancements
- Board of Directors Meeting Schedule

Doug offered to answer any questions the Board may have regarding the General Manager's Report.

President, Joe Blowers, commented that concern has been expressed in the past by neighbors in regards to the size of the plants used by City of Portland's Bureau of Environmental Services (BES) for the restoration of Fanno Creek Trail after their construction project. He asked whether any thought was given to this issue for the current enhancement project.
$\checkmark$ Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management, replied that staff researched plant growth patterns before deciding which types of plants to use in order to achieve a density that was attractive, but would not result in plants growing over the trail. This is the first round of plantings and staff is open to filling in the area with more, as needed. He noted that some of the more involved community members assisted with the planting process so hopefully they are satisfied; however, sometimes it takes time for such an assessment. The plantings that were originally installed by BES are showing some growth progress and with a little more care and additional plantings, it is anticipated that the community will be pleased with the progress.

## Agenda Item \#9 - New Business

## A. Amending District Compiled Policies Chapter Five to Change Public Contract Rules

Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, provided a detailed overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet, noting that staff is requesting that the Board review some proposed changes to the District's Public Contract Rules contained in Chapter 5 of the District Compiled Policies. He noted that the proposed changes reflect changes to statute made by the 2011 Legislature, but also include other recommended changes proposed by staff, and provided an overview as follows:

- Increases the approval authority for the General Manager on Sole Source Agreements to $\$ 150,000$ to be consistent with general purchasing approval authority.
- Implements substantive rule changes in Oregon Administrative Rules - Division 48 relating to the use of Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) for services defined in statute.
- Increases dollar thresholds for Personal Services Contracts and Related A\&E Services for levels of procurement. The increases in A\&E Services are per the model rules, but other Personal Services Contracts were increased to remain consistent with A\&E.
- Clarification within the Sustainability section that it does not apply to services other than Personal Services.
Keith noted that, based on the Board's review and direction this evening, staff will prepare an amendment to the policy and schedule a public hearing on the changes at a future Board meeting and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Bob Scott asked why the District follows the Attorney General Model Rules in some instances, but not in others.
$\checkmark$ Keith replied that, generally, the District does follow the Attorney General Model Rules except for in sustainable purchasing, which is not addressed by the Attorney General, and non-A\&E personal service contracts, as the model rules do not provide guidance, but leave it up to individual agencies to establish their own rules.

Larry Pelatt commented that, in general, he is not supportive of raising limits. This is not due to a distrust of District staff, but rather because of setting policy for moving limits that are direct appointments and informal competition; where projects are not advertised. In his opinion, the more limits are raised; the more agencies get the reputation of being closed, colloquial, and of using the same contractors. Whereas, the lower the limit; the greater the opportunity for public participation. Although he understands the justifications, he does not support raising the limits.
$\checkmark$ President, Joe Blowers, asked for clarification that Larry believes that raising the Personal Services Contract limit from $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 100,000$ would result in an agency tending to repeatedly use only the company with whom they are familiar.
Larry explained that, when such a limit is raised, it gives the authority to the designated staff person to award the contract to whomever they choose. It can become more of a question of perception than reality. He does not distrust District staff, but questions the perception of raising the limit and the thought process that goes with competitive procurement on the part of governmental agencies in that the District is spending public dollars and, for the most part, he likes seeing public money being open to public competition.
$\checkmark$ Bill Kanable asked Larry whether he believes that the Attorney General Model Rules are guided more toward the State of Oregon's purchasing levels and need to reduce their overhead as compared to smaller agencies. He agreed that the Board does not have a distrust of District staff, and although the Board wants to make the process flexible, the question is how to deliver this flexibility in the most appropriate manner.
Larry explained that the State of Oregon had been pushed toward QBS by a small number of very large companies for over 10 years. It was finally approved by the legislature and, in his opinion, it is one more thing that ends up making it harder for smaller firms to compete in the market place. He described how the State has very large contracts, but only four contracting agencies, so the administrative timeframe is a significant matter for them.
$\checkmark$ Keith acknowledged that there is an inclination with QBS to drive business to the larger firms that have a higher level of qualifications. However, having the higher dollar threshold would provide the District the opportunity to avoid having all of the work consolidated to the larger firms as the District could place it with firms that might not be able to compete strictly under QBS. He understands Larry's comments and agrees with the intent, although the means of getting there may be a little different. District staff wants the ability to be able to place work with firms that might not win under the QBS scoring practice for projects between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 100,000$ in order to avoid having all of the work consolidated to those larger firms.
Larry stated that, if this is the intent of the District, which he applauds, he would like to see it followed with some administrative rules that would drive the District to placing this type of business with firms that may have done well for us, but may not traditionally score well in the QBS environment.
$\checkmark$ Keith agreed that this could be done. Additionally, just because the District is not following the QBS process, the District can direct that, where direct placement is not warranted, that the District quote the work and use price as a consideration, which is not allowed under QBS.
Larry agreed, noting that there is specific language in the statute regarding which services can be quoted, whether they are related services or not, etc.
$\checkmark$ Keith commented that it was District staff's intent to bring our administrative procedures forward at the public hearing to adopt the rules; not for the Board to adopt, but for the Board's review. He suggests instead that these procedures be sent out to the Board in advance of the meeting materials for the public hearing in order to give the Board ample time for review to ensure that there are no concerns before the public hearing is scheduled.
Larry agreed with this approach.
Bob noted that, while he agrees with Larry's comments, he also sees the efficiency in having the limit raised. Seeing the administrative procedures first would make him feel more comfortable with the higher limits.

Keith asked for confirmation that the District should move forward with scheduling the January 14, 2013 public hearing, but distribute the administrative procedures early enough so that if the Board is not comfortable, the agenda item could be pulled so that staff has the opportunity to correct the item of concern.
$\checkmark$ The Board members expressed agreement with this plan.

## B. Roger Tilbury Memorial Park Master Plan

Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, provided an overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet, noting that Roger Tilbury Memorial Park is a new neighborhood park development project funded via the 2008 Bond Measure. Staff is requesting Board approval of the master plan in order to proceed with the detailed design, construction documents and permitting for the project. Steve noted that the neighborhood park components of the project would be constructed as Phase One, while the additional components, which are over and above the park improvements originally intended for the site under the bond measure, would be constructed at a later date, unless a favorable bid enables the District to do more. Steve introduced Dave Walters, Park Planner, and Ben Johnson of GreenWorks, the project consultant, to present an overview of the proposed Roger Tilbury Memorial Park Master Plan.

Dave provided an overview of the topography of Roger Tilbury Memorial Park, noting that the site is a unique neighborhood park due to its larger size, significant natural features, and wildlife habitat. The public outreach process used in the development of the master plan included two neighborhood meetings, as well as meetings with the Trails, Natural Resources, and Parks Advisory Committees. Input focused on providing connectivity in a sensitive manner, locating a play area and open space in the northwest part of the park, and providing a sanctuary for wildlife in the eastern half of the site and along the stream corridor. There was a strong consensus at the last neighborhood meeting in support of the preferred master plan being presented this evening.

Ben provided a detailed overview of the proposed Roger Tilbury Memorial Park Master Plan, noting that the overall goal was to provide a neighborhood park as well as a trail system to link neighborhoods that do not otherwise have access, via a PowerPoint presentation of the informational materials included within the Board of Directors information packet and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Larry Pelatt asked if there would be ADA access to the site coming from the west.
$\checkmark$ Dave replied that the sidewalk extension from the south side of the lawn area is providing that accessibility.

Joe Blowers, President, asked whether the sewer easement is in good condition or filled with invasive species.
$\checkmark$ Ben replied that the easement that goes through the park is unnoticeable except for a few manholes.
$\checkmark$ Dave noted that the easement in Area 2 is like a driveway. In addition, there is a pedestrian access easement between the park boundary to the Trillium Hollow development.
$\checkmark$ Ben noted that the Trillium Hollow residents were happy with the decision not to pave their access easement and were pleased with the master plan in general.

Larry asked for additional information regarding the wetlands in the southern portion of the property.
$\checkmark$ Ben replied that the area is a delineated, forested wetland in good condition so the intent is not to disturb it. It is essentially storm water outfall from the surrounding development.
Larry asked for confirmation that the District is not going to provide access to the wetland.
$\checkmark$ Ben replied that it is densely vegetated with a lot of surrounding trees and grasses and the design team felt that it would be better served staying away from such a sensitive area, while still addressing all of the other goals of the project in terms of neighborhood park amenities and connectivity.
$\checkmark$ Dave noted that the area is somewhat visible from the main pathway.

Joe asked for confirmation that this is a phased master plan.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, confirmed that the project would be bid as such that the District would construct as much of the master plan as it can afford.

Larry Pelatt moved the Board of Directors approve the master plan for the Roger Tilbury Memorial Park as presented. Bill Kanable seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

| Bob Scott | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bill Kanable | Yes |
| Larry Pelatt | Yes |
| Joe Blowers | Yes |

The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#10-Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:25 p.m.

| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 267082 | 11/15/2012 | Washington County |  | 24,662.81 |
|  |  | Accounts Receivable - Property Taxes | \$ | 24,662.81 |
| 266754 | 11/05/2012 | Applied Professional Services, Inc. <br> Capital Outlay - Athletic Facility Replacement |  | 1,515.00 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 1,515.00 |
| 266692 | 11/01/2012 | Pinnell Busch, Inc. |  | 6,119.99 |
| 266755 | 11/05/2012 | BBL Architects |  | 11,026.68 |
| 266760 | 11/05/2012 | Cedar Mill Construction Co. |  | 3,612.00 |
| 267001 | 11/15/2012 | Aronson Security Group |  | 2,222.00 |
| 267015 | 11/15/2012 | OPSIS Architecture, LLP |  | 2,633.10 |
| 267018 | 11/15/2012 | Peterson Structural Engineers, Inc. |  | 8,867.00 |
| 267019 | 11/15/2012 | Pinnell Busch, Inc. |  | 3,071.98 |
| 267020 | 11/15/2012 | Skyward Construction |  | 12,975.67 |
| 267202 | 11/26/2012 | Cedar Mill Construction Co. |  | 21,541.50 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Facility Expansion \& Improvements | \$ | 72,069.92 |
| 266691 | 11/01/2012 | Aamir Mohammad |  | 2,500.00 |
| 267009 | 11/15/2012 | Hahn \& Associates, Inc. |  | 2,000.00 |
| 267082 | 11/15/2012 | Washington County |  | 6,533.16 |
| 267153 | 11/20/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 3,383.00 |
| 267162 | 11/20/2012 | Lawyers Title Insurance Corporation |  | 2,500.00 |
| 267267 | 11/27/2012 | Lawyers Title Insurance Corporation |  | 1,952.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Land Acquisition | \$ | 18,868.16 |
| 266766 | 11/05/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 7,100.00 |
| 267014 | 11/15/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 3,799.15 |
| 267198 | 11/26/2012 | Ash Creek Forest Management, LLC |  | 2,472.50 |
| 267212 | 11/26/2012 | Treecology, Inc. |  | 2,970.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Natural Resources Projects | \$ | 16,341.65 |
| 266761 | 11/05/2012 | David Evans \& Associates, Inc. |  | 4,545.94 |
| 266765 | 11/05/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |  | 12,759.09 |
| 267017 | 11/15/2012 | Paul Brothers, Inc. |  | 124,825.35 |
| 267082 | 11/15/2012 | Washington County |  | 3,251.56 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redeveloped Community Parks | \$ | 145,381.94 |
| 266695 | 11/01/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 1,853.00 |
| 267008 | 11/15/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 16,120.46 |
| 267012 | 11/15/2012 | Lango Hansen Landscape Architects, PC |  | 23,041.35 |
| 267017 | 11/15/2012 | Paul Brothers, Inc. |  | 40,872.80 |
| 267199 | 11/26/2012 | Brown Contracting, Inc. |  | 23,442.00 |
| 267203 | 11/26/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 1,371.75 |
| 267207 | 11/26/2012 | Nevue Ngan Associates |  | 17,045.86 |
| 267210 | 11/26/2012 | The Saunders Company, Inc. |  | 5,845.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond-New/Redeveloped Neighborhood Parks | \$ | 129,592.22 |
| 266891 | 11/06/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 1,964.97 |
| 267206 | 11/26/2012 | Native Ecosystems NW, LLC |  | 1,435.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond-Replacements \& Improvements | \$ | 3,399.97 |
| 267020 | 11/15/2012 | Skyward Construction |  | 182,965.05 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Retainage Payable | \$ | 182,965.05 |
| 266753 | 11/05/2012 | ACS Testing, Inc. |  | 2,515.25 |
| 266761 | 11/05/2012 | David Evans \& Associates, Inc. |  | 12,380.57 |
| 266762 | 11/05/2012 | GRI Geotechnical Resources, Inc. |  | 5,661.23 |
| 266770 | 11/05/2012 | Washington County - Land Use \& Transportation |  | 8,552.03 |
| 267002 | 11/15/2012 | Brant Construction, Inc. |  | 230,138.00 |
| 267005 | 11/15/2012 | Carlson Testing, Inc. |  | 1,582.25 |


| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 267006 | 11/15/2012 | Colf Construction, LLC |
| 267011 | 11/15/2012 | Lance Ward Services |
| 267021 | 11/15/2012 | Walker Macy |
| 267022 | 11/15/2012 | Washington County - Land Use \& Transportation |
| 267023 | 11/15/2012 | Western Wood Structures, Inc. |
| 267204 | 11/26/2012 | Jump Town, Inc. |
| 267205 | 11/26/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |
| 267213 | 11/26/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |
| 267214 | 11/26/2012 | Walker Macy |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Trails/Linear Parks |
| 267201 | 11/26/2012 | Cedar Mill Construction Co. |
| 267273 | 11/27/2012 | Salem's Finest Floor Covering |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Replacements |
| 267003 | 11/15/2012 | Brian C. Jackson, Architect, LLC |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - East Tennis Air Structure |
| 266792 | 11/05/2012 | Buell Recreation, LLC |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Facility Challenge Grants |
| 267211 | 11/26/2012 | Sunbelt Rentals, Inc. |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Harman Swim Center \& Jenkins Exterior Paint |
| 266997 | 11/15/2012 | 3 J Consulting, Inc. |
| 267154 | 11/20/2012 | City of Beaverton |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - McMillan Park Bridge |
| 266756 | 11/05/2012 | Brown Contracting, Inc. |
| 266763 | 11/05/2012 | Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. |
| 266771 | 11/05/2012 | Washington County - Land Use \& Transportation |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - OPB Grant - Walker Road Mid-Block Crossing |
| 266756 | 11/05/2012 | Brown Contracting, Inc. |
| 266758 | 11/05/2012 | Carlson Testing, Inc. |
| 266772 | 11/05/2012 | Weddle Surveying, Inc. |
| 266837 | 11/05/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |
| 266908 | 11/06/2012 | Washington County |
| 266997 | 11/15/2012 | 3J Consulting, Inc. |
| 267007 | 11/15/2012 | Daneal Construction, Inc. |
| 267082 | 11/15/2012 | Washington County |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - SDC - Park Development/Improvements |


| 266889 | $11 / 05 / 2012$ | Douglas R. Menke |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 267085 | $11 / 15 / 2012$ | Wheeling Park Commission |
| 267161 | $11 / 20 / 2012$ | Bill Kanable |
| ACH | $11 / 14 / 2012$ | Scott Brucker |
|  |  | Conferences |
|  |  |  |
| 26689 | $11 / 06 / 2012$ | PGE |
| 267092 | $11 / 15 / 2012$ | PGE |
| 267262 | $11 / 26 / 2012$ | PGE |
|  |  | Electricity |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $1,873.89$ |
| 267029 | $11 / 15 / 2012$ | Standard Insurance Company |
| 267031 | $11 / 15 / 2012$ | Standard Insurance Company |
| 267311 | $11 / 30 / 2012$ | Kaiser Foundation Health Plan |




Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation Accounts Payable November 30, 2012 Over $\$ 1,000.00$

Summary


## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District



## General Fund Financial Summary November 2012

| Current | Year to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Prorated <br> Budget | \% YTD to <br> Prorated <br> Budget | Full <br> Fiscal Year <br> Budget |

Program Resources:
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers \& Programs
Sports Programs \& Field Rentals Natural Resources

Total Program Resources

| $\$$ | 9,709 | $\$$ | 887,980 | $\$$ | 769,511 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 54,481 | 268,432 | 280,730 | $95.6 \%$ | 896,900 |
| 187,224 | $1,623,939$ | $1,643,125$ | $98.8 \%$ | $4,818,549$ |  |
|  | 141,794 | 422,713 | 451,779 | $93.6 \%$ | $1,173,452$ |
|  | 11,604 | 87,080 | 72,226 | $120.6 \%$ | 272,551 |
|  | 404,812 | $3,290,144$ | $3,217,371$ | $102.3 \%$ | $9,627,834$ |

Other Resources:
Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Total Other Resources

## Total Resources

Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administration
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Programs \& Special Activities
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures

| 42,645 | 264,089 | 262,014 | $100.8 \%$ | 677,038 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 289,948 | $1,632,351$ | $1,717,743$ | $95.0 \%$ | $3,694,072$ |
| 80,489 | 404,681 | 424,252 | $95.4 \%$ | 993,565 |
| 338,999 | $2,205,680$ | $2,369,382$ | $93.1 \%$ | $4,855,292$ |
| 132,649 | 936,718 | 970,122 | $96.6 \%$ | $1,963,810$ |
| 127,582 | 672,668 | 723,166 | $93.0 \%$ | $1,730,063$ |
| 111,051 | 649,894 | 751,517 | $86.5 \%$ | $1,666,334$ |
| $1,123,363$ | $6,766,081$ | $7,218,197$ | $93.7 \%$ | $15,580,174$ |

## General Government Expenditures:

Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Planning
Capital Outlay
Total Other Expenditures:

## Total Expenditures

Revenues over (under) Expenditures

## Beginning Cash on Hand

Ending Cash on Hand

| 27,881 | 61,678 | 105,737 | $58.3 \%$ | $2,332,900$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 141,993 | 704,921 | 843,253 | $83.6 \%$ | $1,878,069$ |
| $1,381,097$ | $6,954,085$ | $7,290,624$ | $95.4 \%$ | $16,420,325$ |
| 135,014 | 674,851 | 669,949 | $100.7 \%$ | $1,646,067$ |
| 79,410 | 665,609 | $1,977,099$ | $33.7 \%$ | $3,402,925$ |
| $1,765,395$ | $9,061,144$ | $10,886,663$ | $83.2 \%$ | $25,680,286$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 2,888,758$ | $\$ 15,827,225$ | $\$ 18,104,860$ | $87.4 \%$ | $\$ 41,260,460$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 16,808,567$ | $\$ 10,947,716$ | $\$ 8,413,292$ | $130.1 \%$ | $\$(4,032,810)$ |
|  | $4,976,986$ | $4,032,810$ | $123.4 \%$ | $4,032,810$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary

November 2012


## General Fund Expenditures



## MEMO

DATE: December 20, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Appointing Aquatics Advisory Committee Member

Introduction
The Aquatics Advisory Committee requests Board of Directors approval of one new committee member appointment.

## Background

At their December 5, 2012 meeting, the Aquatics Advisory Committee recommended that the Board of Directors approve and appoint Ezra Richards to the Committee via the attached resolution.

Please note that the respective applicant's application and Aquatics Advisory Committee current roster are attached.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2013-01, appointing Ezra Richards to the Aquatics Advisory Committee.

## Resolution 2013-01

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, Oregon

## A RESOLUTION APPOINTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors must appoint committee members by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the committee member shall be appointed by the Board for a two-year term; and

WHEREAS, the committee member has demonstrated his interest and knowledge in the Committee's area of responsibility

## THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Board of Directors approves the appointment of Ezra Richards to the Aquatics Advisory Committee.

Duly passed by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of January 2013.

Joseph Blowers, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary

## ATTEST:

[^1]
## TUALATINHUSPARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMTIEE APPLCATION

| Name: Ezra Richards |  | Date: $12 / 5 / 2012$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  | City: |  |
| Phone $\#$ \#(H) <br> Email: | (WK) | Zip: |  |

## Advisory Committee you are applying for: <br> (You must reside within the Park District boundaries)

## Recreation $\square$ Aquatics $\boxtimes$ Sports $\square$ Trails $\square$ Elsie Stuhr Center $\square$ Historic Facilities $\square$ Natural Resources $\square$ Parks $\square$

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Advisory Committee:

I enjoy giving back to the community and my family is a very active participant in community events. I have had great experiences with THPRD and would love to be involved with providing similar experiences to other members of the community.
The Aquatics Advisory Committee is of interest to me because I have fond memories from my youth aquatic experience and hope to help others benefit in a similar manner.
2. How long have you lived in the community?

My family and I moved to Beaverton in May of 2007. We have lived here just over 5 years.
3. Have you or your family participated in any Center or other Recreation District activities?

We have participated in many activities since we have lived here.
My two oldest children have participated on Swim Splash Team for the past three years at Somerset Swim Center (2010), Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center (2011), and the Beaverton Swim center (2012). Over the past 5 years, all four of children have taken swim 2-3 lessons each year at Somerset Swim Center and the Tualatin Hills Swim Center. We have also gone to multiple open swim sessions at various pools, participated in lap swim, and my two oldest are currently in diving lessons at the Sunset Swim Center.

Other classes we have participated in over the years include:
Cedar Hills Rec. Center - Art Class, Cooking Class, Sports Camp (1-2 kids each summer), gymnastics, ballet, Parent/Child sport classes, and Yoga.

Somerset Swim Center - Tennis lessons
Last year we participated in the family triathlon and the related activities. We usually attend at least one of the Parties in the Park, Big Truck Day, or other family festival type activities each

# TUALATNH HUSPARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMTIEE APPLCATION 

year.
4. Have you served on other volunteer committees? YES $\boxtimes \mathrm{NO} \square$ If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

Yes, I have participated in other volunteer committee/coaching positions. Below is a list and brief responsibility description:
Sports Coach
2007 - Somerset Soccer Assistant Coach, 1 season (5U)
2008-2012 - Oak Hills Soccer Head Coach, 5 seasons (5U-9U)
2010-2011 - Westview Youth Lacrosse Head Coach, 2 seasons (9-10 year olds)
My Coaching Responsibilities and the value to the Advisory Committee:
My responsibilities as a coach included preparing activities and leading youth of many ages to learn and develop new skills. The value I bring to the committee will be an understanding of the needs of youth at different ages.
Boy Scout Leader
2003-2006 - Varsity Scout Leader with the Boy Scouts of America, Los Angeles, CA (Boys ages 12-14)
2007-2011 - Varsity Scout Leader with the Boy Scouts of America, Beaverton, OR (Boys
ages 12-14)
2011-Present - Cub Master for Cub Scout Troop with the Boy Scouts of America, Beaverton, OR (Boys ages 8-10)
My Scouting Responsibilities and the value to the Advisory Committee:
My responsibilities as a Scout Leader have been similar to coaching youth sports, but also include significant planning of large events and coordinating with parents of all backgrounds. As a Scout Master I have regularly worked with the troop's Scout Committee to plan activities and manage budgets. The values I bring to the committee are a strong ability to plan, understanding of the needs of parents (communication, organization, leadership, etc), and managing activities and events with a very limited budget.
5. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Advisory Committee:

I currently work for PacifiCorp, a large, local electric utility with strong ties to the community. I manage a group of project managers, engineers, and analysts so I feel that I have a strong ability to work with people of different skills and backgrounds to provide good service to customers.

As a youth, I grew up in Southern California and was an active member of the swim team for over 7 years. I was a lifeguard for the local YMCA branch and later became the pool manager responsible for managing lifeguards, building swim lesson schedules/rosters, and managing the basic pool maintenance. The swim lesson program that THPRD follows is very similar to the swim lesson program I taught as a lifeguard.
6. Term of Office preferred:

2-YEAR TERM $\boxtimes$ or 3 -YEAR TERM $\square$ Please check one

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, 15707 SWWalker Road, Beaverton 97006 503/645-6433 fax 503/629-6301

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

Connecting People, Parks \& Nature

## AQUATICS <br> ADVSORY COMMTIEE ROSTER

Last Updated1/8/13

| Committee Member | Member Since | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jon Schieltz | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Julia Kegg Chair | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Sheila McCarroll | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Kathy Johnson | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Ginny Baynes | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Lorene Sjoblom | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Kristina Britton Vice Chair | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Ex-Officio Member | Representing | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| Sharon Hoffmeister | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Staff } \\ & \text { THPRD } \end{aligned}$ | 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 97006 | 503/645-6433 | shoffmei@thprd.org | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MEMO

DATE: December 19, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities
RE: $\quad$ Aloha Swim Center Seismic Improvements Construction Contract
Introduction
Staff is requesting approval to award the contract for seismic upgrades to the Aloha Swim Center to 2KG Contractors, in the amount of $\$ 378,000$. This project is budgeted in the Bond Capital Projects Fund in the amount of $\$ 413,738$.

## Background

In May of 2009, the Park District commissioned Peterson Structural Engineers to conduct a seismic evaluation of the existing Aloha Swim Center, using the American Society of Civil engineers (ASCE) standards 31-03, "Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings," to determine deficient areas in the existing structure that can be identified for upgrades and risk assessment.

Elements of the structure found and/or suspected to be in non-conformance with ASCE 31-03
Tier 1 standard were identified and prioritized. It should be noted that all upgrades are voluntary.

For the purpose of the evaluation, the Aloha Swim Center was considered a non-essential facility and the primary concern regarding the building's structure following a seismic event is for Life-Safety as opposed to Immediate Occupancy. The Life-Safety level of performance is intended to facilitate the egress of occupants within the building during a seismic event and is not intended to prevent damage.

Building seismic upgrades at Aloha Swim Center will include a complete replacement of the roof and ceiling above the pool area, decommissioning and filling the HVAC tunnel under the pool deck, bracing roof to wall connections, repairing exterior and interior CMU (concrete masonry unit) partition walls, and adding bracing to mechanical equipment and piping.

Following a decommissioning of the HVAC tunnel under the pool deck, all HVAC equipment and controls will be replaced under the Energy Savings Performance Contract.

Staff worked with Peterson Structural Engineers to perform the building evaluation and prepare structural drawings. Staff also contracted with the architectural firm of Barrentine, Bates and Lee to review and modify the design to best match existing architectural elements and finishes. The architectural firm will also be retained for construction administration and permitting.

## Bid Opening

The bid opening to complete the building seismic upgrade was held Wednesday, December 19. Six bids were received, with 2KG Contractors, submitting the low bid of \$378,000.

Complete bid results are as follows:

| Bidder | Bid |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2KG Contractors | $\$ 378,000$ |
| Cedar Mill Construction | $\$ 378,800$ |
| Payne Construction | $\$ 384,786$ |
| Woodburn Construction | $\$ 419,000$ |
| Interwest Construction | $\$ 465,988$ |
| Silco Commercial | $\$ 469,000$ |

The pre-bid project construction cost estimate was $\$ 334,100$. The total project budget is $\$ 413,738$ and the total project cost estimate which includes this construction bid, soft costs and contingency is $\$ 436,791$. There is savings on other projects within this Bond Fund category that will more than offset the projected budget overage.

## Proposal Request

Staff is requesting approval to award the contract for the bid and proceed forward with the project. Staff conducted reference checks of the proposed contractor, focusing on related experience. 2 KG Contractors has successfully performed comparable work and meets the requirements to complete the upgrades.

The proposed work schedule is as follows:

Award Bid:
Pre-construction Meeting:
Construction Begins:
Substantial Completion

February 6, 2013
February 15, 2013
February 18, 2013
May 17, 2013

## Benefits of Proposal

Approval of the project bid satisfies a commitment to voters during the 2008 Capital Bond Program. While seismic upgrades are voluntary, they demonstrate a safety commitment to patrons and staff. The primary purpose of the upgrades is to bolster and improve safe egress following a seismic event. During the closure, additional maintenance will take place including a complete resurface of the plaster pool tank and a replacement upgrade of the HVAC system. The pool tank resurface will be funded from the 2012/13 Capital Replacement Budget and the HVAC upgrade will be funded from the District's Energy Savings Performance Contract.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

During the construction period, the Aloha Swim Center will be closed for all events. Program adjustments have been made to offset displaced services. The timing of the closure will minimize disruption of patron and competitive swim activities.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval to award the contract for Aloha Swim Center Seismic Upgrade Project to 2KG Contractors in the amount of \$378,000.

MEMO

DATE: January 3, 2013
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning
RE: $\quad$ Tualatin Valley Water District Reservoir Project
Introduction
The Tualatin Valley Water District (TVWD) is seeking THPRD Board of Directors approval of the preferred alternative Option 2B.

## Background

At the December 3, 2012 Regular Board meeting, staff along with Mike Britch, Senior Engineer with TVWD, and Todd Perimon, Senior Project Manager with AECOM, presented a project update to the Board of Directors. The presentation highlighted several design options/locations that were considered and the criteria used to evaluate them. TVWD has determined that the preferred alternative is Option 2B. This option proposes locating an irregular shaped 7-million gallon reservoir on the existing TVWD property north of THPRD's Ridgewood View Park.

TVWD hosted a public open house on December 11, 2012 to review the reservoir siting options under consideration. The presentation to the public was very similar to the presentation that the Board received on December 3. TVWD updated the public in regard to the overall project progress, the design options and evaluation criteria. TVWD staff stated that Option 2B is their preferred alternative. There was an overall strong consensus from the approximately 15 attendees that Option 2B was the best option for the project and for the neighborhood as a whole. (Board members also expressed their preference for Option 2B on December 3.) Mike Britch has prepared a memorandum dated December 17, 2012 describing some of the project background and the results of the open house (see attached).

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the preferred alternative, Option 2B. With Board approval, staff will work with TVWD and their consultants to move forward with the park master planning process.

# Tualatin Valley Water District <br> C.Con 

## Memorandum

To: Steven Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

From: Mike Britch, Senior Engineer

Date: December 17, 2012

## Re: Ridgewood View Park Reservoir and Pump Station Project THPRD Board Meeting Update - January 7, 2013

The Tualatin Valley Water District (TVWD) is providing an update to the THPRD Board related to the Ridgewood View Park Reservoir and Pump Station Project. This update is a follow-up to the TVWD presentation to the Board at their December 3, 2012 meeting. At that meeting, TVWD presented their preferred alternative, Option 2B which consisted of an irregular shaped 7 million gallon reservoir at the location of the existing reservoir at Ridgewood View Park.

Subsequent to the briefing to the THPRD Board, TVWD staff conducted an open house meeting on December 11, 2012 to provide project updates to the public, present the preferred alternative, and describe the process used to arrive at that option. Approximately 15 members of the public attended this open house. Those who did attend were supportive of the proposed preferred alternative. The meeting concluded with updates related to the proposed park improvements planning process.

At this time, TVWD is requesting from the THPRD Board for concurrence to move forward with the preferred alternative and begin the process of planning for potential park improvements.

TVWD will continue to work closely with THPRD staff on this project. We intend to provide additional briefings to the Board related to proposed park improvements. It is our hope that through this process we will be able to keep the THPRD Board informed as the project progresses, gain concurrence with the selection of preferred set of water facilities, and later gain concurrence on the collaboratively developed preferred park improvements.



## option 2

construct a new reservoir and pump station in the current ridgewood view park reservoir location


## summary

7 million gallon reservoir in Ridgewood View Park reservoir location _existing reservoir in Reservoir Park decommissioned until additional storage is needed
section $A$

## Option 2

## New Circular Reservoir at Current Ridgewood View Park

## Reservoir Location

## Ridgewood View Park:

This option shows a new, circular reservoir located in the vicinity of the existing reservoir with a pump station on the western side of the park. This option allows the park to retain much of its current open space and character. A community garden and large playground could be located in the center of the park along with a small picnic shelter. The remainder of the park could remain open lawn for informal activities such as pick-up soccer or frisbee tossing. Two tennis courts are located on the top of the reservoir adding more active uses into the park. For safety reasons, the sports courts will be fenced.

## Reservoir Park:

This option uses the top of the existing reservoir for active use which may include two pickle-ball courts. Improvements could include new surfacing, fencing, and access to make this area more inviting and usable. Structural improvements to the reservoir roof would be required. Might also require the reservoir to be empty.

## New Irregular Shaped Reservoir at Current Ridgewood View <br> Park Reservoir Location

## Ridgewood View Park

This option shows a new, irregular shaped reservoir located in the vicinity of the existing reservoir with a pump station on the western side of the park. This option allows the park to retain much of its current open space and character. A community garden and large playground could be located in the center of the park along with a small picnic shelter. The remainder of the park will remain level, open lawn for informal activities such as pick-up soccer or frisbee tossing. A basketball court is located on the top of the reservoir adding more active uses into the park. For safety reasons, the sports courts will be fenced.

## Reservoir Park:

This option uses the top of the existing reservoir for active use which could include two tennis courts. Improvements could include new surfacing, fencing, and access to make this area more inviting and usable. Structural improvements to the reservoir roo would be required. Might also require the reservoir to be empty.
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DATE: January 7, 2013
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ Deputy Director of Planning Position

## Introduction

Staff is requesting Board of Directors authorization for the creation, competitive recruitment and hiring of a Deputy Director of Planning position.

## Background

The current Director of Planning has served the Park District well since 2008. During this time the Park District has passed a $\$ 100$ million bond measure and undertaken a variety of complex planning initiatives. The majority of the bond projects and all of the acquisition efforts have been the responsibility of the Planning Division. The planning initiatives (including the North Bethany planning effort) have been the responsibility of the Planning Division as well. All of these efforts have and continue to take place under the supervision of the Director of Planning.

The current Director of Planning came to the Park District with a wealth of experience after many years in the employ of both Washington County's Land Use and Transportation and the City of Beaverton's Community Development Departments. This experience, coupled with his knowledge of Park District planning and capital projects, creates a circumstance whereby a sudden departure would leave a critical void of knowledge and experience.

Creation of a Deputy Director of Planning position (and subsequent recruitment and hiring) is being proposed in order to provide for a smooth and seamless succession as the current Director of Planning moves towards retirement in the spring of 2014. The purpose of this proposal is to define the District's need for such a position and outline the skills and qualifications required to fill it. It will be my intent (assuming Board approval of my recommendation) to ultimately transition the Deputy Director into the Director position, once the current Director retires and assuming a successful term as the Deputy.

In the time frame between filling this position and the retirement of the Director, the Deputy Director will assume a leadership role in the supervision of the capital project portion of the bond measure and the System Development Charges program. He/she will also assist the Director in other planning initiatives, as well as assist our Director of Communications \& Outreach in public outreach relative to capital projects. The current Planning Director will continue to oversee the land acquisition program and planning initiatives. The Deputy Director would also use the transition time to obtain significant knowledge and appreciation of the District's needs and goals.

## Proposal Request

Authorization is being sought for the creation, competitive recruitment and hiring of a Deputy Director of Planning position. Funding to support this position would come from savings within
the current budget based on staff reallocations and adjustments and bond administration funds. Assuming these adjustments, this new position would not add to the District's FTE count. Once the transition occurs, the Deputy Director position could be eliminated; however, another alternative could be to alter the position and direct it toward other senior management positions as the need for succession planning will become critical as our staff ages. Budget allocations in any given year would determine if this strategy is feasible. Upon approval of this request by the Board of Directors, I will return to the Board at your February meeting with a recommended supplemental budget.

## Benefits of Proposal

Approval of this proposal will allow the District to proceed with the recruitment and hiring of the Deputy Director of Planning position. Successful and effective succession planning has been and remains an important focal point for the District.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There are no apparent downsides to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the creation of a Deputy Director of Planning position and authorization for the General Manager to proceed with the necessary steps for the recruitment and hiring of the position.

DATE: January 2, 2013
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Comprehensive Plan - GreenPlay Update

## Introduction

An update to the 2006 Comprehensive Plan for THPRD has been underway since fall 2011. Elements being updated in the plan include a future needs assessment aided in part by a resident survey, park standards (level of service) using a GIS qualitative analysis, along with the development of a cost recovery policy and model. All of these project components are being developed in conjunction with GreenPlay, LLC.

At the January 14, 2013 Board of Directors meeting, GreenPlay and its partners will provide an overview of the results from a recent THPRD resident survey, the level of service GIS mapping project, the consensus cost recovery pyramid development, as well as a Key Issues Analysis Matrix developed by compiling the results of all three of these project steps.

## Background

In October 2012, GreenPlay in partnership with RRC Associates sent 7,000 survey documents to THPRD residents asking a range of questions about THPRD services used, desired services not currently offered and satisfaction with service content and delivery. A statistically valid number of survey documents were returned to RRC Associates by completion of the survey period in early November. The final survey document is still in development, but the following attachment has been included for reference:

- Preliminary graphed resident survey results (Attachment A)

Also in October 2012, GreenPlay, working with Design Concepts, toured 102 THPRD sites to verify GIS mapping and assign quality rankings for overall design and ambiance, and for each site component. Sites were judged for their patron experience with quality scores ranging from 1 to 3 ( 1 = does not meet patron expectations, $2=$ meets patron expectations, 3 = exceeds patron expectations). All remaining THPRD sites were assigned quality scores based on the visited sites to which they were most similar. The maps showing these assessments will be provided at the January 14, 2013 Board meeting.

In December 2012, GreenPlay and THPRD staff held three public meetings and two staff meetings to sort the District's 30 service categories into a five-tiered cost recovery pyramid model. Eighty residents and 73 staff members participated in the workshops and sorted 33 separate pyramids. Resident pyramids and staff pyramids were compiled separately and then compared. Where slight sorting differences were found, the final combined consensus pyramid deferred to the resident sorting level. The following attachments are included for reference:

- Consensus Cost Recovery Pyramid (Attachment B)
- THPRD Categories of Service (Attachment C)

Taking the preliminary survey results and preliminary GIS mapping results together, GreenPlay has compiled a preliminary Key Issues Analysis Matrix. This matrix explores best practices and possible solutions to improve overall services. A copy of the Key Issues Analysis Matrix is attached (Attachment D) to this memo and will be fully discussed by GreenPlay.

## Board Action Requested

No formal Board action is requested, the presentation of preliminary findings is for Board information only.

Household Characteristics (Part 1)


Household Characteristics (Part 2)
Household


Respondent Characteristics (Part 1)


Respondent Characteristics (Part 2)


Most Important Community Issues THPRD Should Address


## Importance of Availability of Parks \& Recreation Opportunities / Quality of Service



## Satisfaction of Current Facilities and Services - Average Rating



■ RANDOM SAMPLE (MAIL AND INVITATION WEB)

## Satisfaction of Current Facilities and Services Percent Satisfied vs. Not Satisfied



Most Important Aspect of THPRD to Improve - Services and Facilities


Number of Times Used in the Past 12 Months - Facilities



Importance of Current Facilities and Services - Average Rating


Importance of Current Facilities and Amenities -
Percentage Very Important vs. Not at all Important


How Current Facilities and Services are Meeting Household Needs Average Rating


- RANDOM SAMPLE (MAIL AND INVITATION WEB)

How Current Facilities and Amenities are Meeting Household Needs Needs Met vs. Needs Not Met


## Current Facilities and Services - Importance vs. Needs-Met Matrix Random Sample Overall



## Reasons Why Facilities are Not Used and Areas for Greatest Improvements



Other Facilities and Providers Used


Importance of Future Facilities, Amenities, and Services -
Average Rating


Importance of Future Facilities Percentage of Important vs. Not Important


## Most Important Facilities to Add or Improve Within the District



Number of Times Used in Past 12 Months - Programs and Services


Percentage of Households Who Use Programs, Activities, and Special


## Importance of Current Programs, Activities, and Special Events Average Rating



Importance of Current Programs, Activities, and Special Events Percentage Important vs. Not Important



## How Current Programs, Activities, and Special Events are Meeting Household Needs Percentage Needs Met vs. Needs Not Met



## Most Important Programs , Activities, and Special Events to be Added, Expanded, or Improved



## Current Programs, Activities, and Special Events Importance vs. Needs-Met Matrix - Random Sample Overall




## How Residents Currently Receive Information and the Best Methods for Communicating



## How You Feel About Current Program and Facility Fees Charged Directly to You



Potential Impact on Participation Due to Fee Increases


## Tobacco Policy and Usage




## Creating a Resource Allocation and Cost Recovery Philosophy, Model and Policy

Prior to sorting each service onto the Pyramid, the Project Team was responsible for creating the District's Categories of Services, including definitions. As a result of the sorting workshops and the public input process, the names, definitions and examples were further refined and the category Tournaments was added and separated from Leagues. The resulting thirty-one categories of services and their definitions are summarized below.

## THPRD Categories of Service

Concession and Vending - food and beverage for individual use or consumption.

Merchandise - items for individual or team use (examples: Logo clothing, tennis balls, memorial benches, bricks and trees, etc.).

Classes, Workshops and Clinics - Introductory/Multi-Level - no pre-requisite for participation, entry level group recreational and/or instructional programs and activities for all ages (examples: learn to swim, beginning-level classes, multi-level fitness, nature and environment, arts and crafts, general interest, rec mobile, nature mobile, etc.).

Classes, Workshops and Clinics - Intermediate/Advanced - same as above, with a focus on intermediate/advanced progressive activities; has a pre-requisite for participation (examples: pre-competitive swim, specific skill refinement, tennis hit groups, lifeguard training, Splash Recreational Swim Team, etc.).

Classes, Workshops and Clinics - Competitive - same as above, with a focus on competitive activities; has a pre-requisite for participation or is try-out based (examples: tennis tournament prep program, etc.).

Private/Semi-Private Lessons - lessons arranged for one to three students with a specific instructor and/or time.

Rentals /Exclusive Use - Private - rentals for exclusive use of spaces and facilities (examples: room rental, lap lane, fields, gyms, basketball or tennis courts, picnic shelter, entire facility, community garden, etc.) on a one-time or one season basis by an individual, group, or business by a private individual, group, non-profit or for-profit business.

Rentals/Exclusive Use - Associate - exclusive use of spaces and facilities (examples: room rental, lap lane, fields, gyms, basketball or tennis courts, picnic shelter, entire facility, etc.) by a non-profit group on a one-time or on-going basis to groups identified as having common interests with the agency and may or may not have a formal agreement (examples: YMCA, THPRD inter-governmental agencies, Beaverton School District, NAC/CPO, etc.).

Rentals/Exclusive Use - Affiliates - exclusive use of spaces and facilities (examples: room rental, lap lane, fields, gyms, basketball or tennis courts, picnic shelter, entire facility, etc.) by a non-profit group on a one-time or on-going basis to groups identified as having aligned interests with the agency, fulfills a core service in lieu of the agency, serves primarily District residents, and has a formal agreement (examples: THPRD aquatic clubs, THPRD sports clubs, Foundations/Advisory Committees/Friends Groups, etc.).

Tenant Leases - long-term rentals for exclusive use of spaces and facilities for ongoing or multiple time-periods by a private individual, group, non-profit, or for-profit business (examples: communication and utility leases and easements, preschool, Portland Timbers, private residential residences or surplus property, etc.).

Equipment Rentals - various department-owned equipment available to renters (examples: banquet chairs/tables, audio/video equipment, tennis ball machines, stage, etc.).

Open Park Usage - use of a park/activity that is non-registered and non-instructed, and is not actively monitored by agency staff/volunteer supervision. (examples: trail, playgrounds, park, self-guided tours, outdoor sport courts, disk golf, skate park, dog park, etc.).

Monitored Facility Usage - drop-in use of a facility/activity that is non-instructed, and is actively monitored by agency staff/volunteer supervision. (examples: drop-in gym, drop-in swimming, weight room, billiards/cards, computer lab, tennis center courts, nature center, etc.).

Trips - day, overnight, and extended trips that provide opportunities for participants to visit selected destinations outside of THPRD facilities and parks (examples: Stuhr Center excursions, outdoor recreation trips, specialized recreation trips, etc.).

Organized Parties - includes a rental of space as well as an organized and monitored activity by staff; may or may not include food, cake, entertainment, and favors, catering and other planning functions (examples: swim birthday parties, nature birthday parties, weddings, etc.).

## Creating a Resource Allocation and Cost Recovery Philosophy, Model and Policy

Leagues - scheduled multi-game athletics for participants of multi-skill levels and various age groups that are organized and/or managed by THPRD, may or may not be officiated and/or judged, and may or may not be scored, providing a team experience for participants with the intent to play a game/match-format or to compete on a recreational level (examples: tennis, volleyball, softball, basketball, track and cross-country, etc.).

Tournaments - scheduled one-time multi-game sporting events for various age groups that are organized and/or managed by THPRD, may or may not be officiated and/or judged, and may or may not be scored, providing an individual or a team experience for participants with the intent to play a game/match-format or to compete (examples: tennis, volleyball, softball, basketball, disc golf, track and cross-country, bocce, etc.).

Specialized Activities - targeted, individualized group activities led by THPRD staff, requiring advanced scheduling that are typically offered on a one-time or limited basis (examples: school group activities or field trip, scout programs, home school activities, etc.).

Community-wide Events - community-wide events run by THPRD, typically offered on an annual basis that may or may not require registration (examples: Bugfest, Party in the Park, Fall Festival, Concerts, Track Meet, Big Truck Day, Fun Run/Walk etc.).

Preschool - structured curriculum-based licensed or license exempt education and enrichment programs for children $2.5-5$ years old that prepare them for kindergarten. Programs may or may not include full day childcare and are managed and delivered by THPRD.

Camps/Before and After School Care - non-licensed recreational and child care camps, school break programs, and after school programs with a social, child care and/or recreational focus which may include field trips, rather than specific instructional or skills programs. (examples: Winter or Spring Breaks, Summer Full-day Camp, non-contact school days, Nature and Sports Camp, etc.).

Drop-In Childcare / Babysitting - drop-in on-site child care for participants using THPRD facilities and/or programs.

Professional Services - facility and program management or scheduling services provided by agency through contract to outside groups or other agencies (examples: mobile senior fitness programs to residential facilities, private residence tree trimming, church site maintenance, cooperative service agreements, etc.).

Permitted Services - allowable non-exclusive use permitted services for filming/photography rights, parking, concession/vending cart operations, alcohol, special events by others, etc.

Creating a Resource Allocation and Cost Recovery Philosophy, Model and Policy

Volunteer Program - internal management of opportunities for individuals or groups to donate their time and effort to a structured or scheduled experience (examples: park watch, coaches, LITE, Junior Lifeguards, trail maintenance, education or events, Friends Groups, etc.).

Community Service Program/Internship - services that support educational or repayment requirements (example: court-ordered restitution, service learning requirements, college degree required internships, etc.).

Inclusion Services - provides for reasonable accommodation and programs to any Department activity, park and/or facility providing leisure opportunities to people with disabilities. Inclusion services are intended to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA federal mandate). Therapeutic/Adapted/Special Recreation Services - specialized non-mandated on-site leisure drop-in opportunities and classes for people with disabilities designed and managed to be specific to the physical, cognitive, social and affective needs of these populations. These are not unified programs, nor are they reasonable accommodations required as inclusionary services (examples: Camp Rivendale and TR drop-in programs, specialized aquatics, etc.).

Social Services - services that are offered by agency to provide a social, wellness, or safety benefit that do not fit into other traditional park and recreation instructional, special event and/or athletics offerings (examples: tax preparation services, senior meal programs, flu shots, toenail and foot care, literacy, blood pressure clinic, AARP driving course, support groups, etc.).

Social Clubs - THPRD recognized, regularly scheduled, recurring, THPRD or self-managed group interest meetings and get-togethers (examples: Stuhr Book Group, Texas Hold-em, Chess, Bridge, potluck, etc.).

Support Services - services and facilities that are provided by the staff and volunteers that support the administration, operations, and/or general agency operations that are not allocated as direct expenses (examples: information technology, finance and accounting services, human resources, department-wide marketing, planning and development, internal trainings, Board Appointed Advisory Committee, risk management services, director and assistant directors offices, etc.).

| 2012 Data Source | Qualatative Data |  |  | Quantitative Data |  |  |  | Consultant's Analysis and Professional Expertise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Issue - Rating Scale <br> a - priority - immediate/short-term <br> v - opportunity to improve/expand - mid/longer-term <br> c - minor or future issue <br> blank means the issue didn't come up or wasn't addressed |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Best Practice or Possible Solutions |
| Planning, Management and Sustainability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public awareness of what is available | a | a |  | a |  |  |  |  |
| Maintaining what we have | a | a |  | a |  |  | b | Current upgrade efforts are appropriate and increase LOS |
| Deferred maintenance, CIP, aging infrastructure, new amenities/freshen up, planned lifecycle replacement program | a | a | a | a |  |  | b | Updating or replacement of aging amenities will increase LOS with emphasis in areas scoring below threshold in walkability analysis |
| Implement planned park and trail projects | b |  |  | b |  |  | b | Planned park and trail projects will increase LOS with emphasis in areas scoring below threshold in walkability analysis |
| Connectivity/alternative transportation | b |  |  | b |  |  | a | Work with alternative providers to maximize connected system of trails |
| Protect the environment | b |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |
| Open space/conservation land | b |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |
| Access, barriers, ability to pay | b | b | b | c |  |  | b | Consider areas with lower level of service because of pedestrian barriers |
| Balanced and sustainable approach | a | a | a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborative approach / partnerships | a | a | a |  |  |  | a | Work with alternative providers to maximize connected system of trails |
| Use of school buildings | a | a | a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have appropriate user fees | a | a | a | b |  |  |  |  |
| Need District-wide 501 (c) (3) fund raising board or alternative funding | a | a | a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development bond or tax referendum to fund new development, renovations, repairs, upgrades, additional amenities, re-purposing, etc. | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Programs to Add, Expand or lmprove |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Healthy active lifestyles | a |  |  | a |  |  |  |  |
| Positive activities for youth | a |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |
| More programs | c |  |  | b |  |  |  | Stable base of programs, work on getting fees where they need to be, as well as adjust programming based on outcome of service assessment at later date |
| Indoor Facilities or Amenities to Add, Expand or Improve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquatics Center needs updating/indoor swimming | b |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |
| Weightroom and fitness | b |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Center | c |  |  | c |  |  |  | Stuhr Center exceeds expectations |
| Indoor track | c |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-generation Center | c |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |
| Outdoor facilities or amenities to add, expand or improve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trails | b |  |  | a |  |  | a | Work with alternative providers to maximize connected system of trails |
| Signage/wayfinding | b |  |  | b |  |  |  | In middle of signage update, however, wayfinding would make system easier |
| Playgrounds (covered) | c |  |  | a |  |  |  |  |
| Open space | b |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |
| Community gardens | c |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |
| Picnic areas | c |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |
| Dog parks | b |  |  | b |  |  |  |  |
| Outdoor sports fields/courts | c |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |
| Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

DATE: January 3, 2013
TO: $\quad$ The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for January 14, 2013

## Greenhouse Gas Inventory

In November 2012, staff completed the third year update of the District's Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Inventory, which covered the 2011/12 fiscal year. As noted last year, the annual updates cover scope 1 and 2 only, which includes direct emissions from the use of fossil fuels and electricity in District operations. The results of the inventory are very positive as they show a $5 \%$ reduction in GHG for 2011/12 compared to the 2010/11 fiscal year, and an overall reduction of $7 \%$ compared to the baseline year of 2009/10. The only category showing an increase was Fleet Bio, which was due to a District goal to increase use of alternative fuels, and total fleet emissions are still down overall.


## Spanish Mini Activities Guide

To assist Spanish-speaking patrons, THPRD has produced its first-ever "mini"-activities guide entirely in Spanish. The 10-page guide features information about the registration process and swimming classes that may be of interest to THPRD's growing Latino population. In addition, details about the District's volunteer programs are included. The guide, which was produced with the help of a professional translator, also has a survey designed to learn how the District can serve Spanish speakers better.

## Board of Directors/Budget Committee Meeting Schedule

The following dates have been proposed for the Board of Directors meeting schedule over the next few months:

- February Regular Board Meeting - Monday, February 11, 2013 (second Monday in February)
- Mid-Year Budget Review Meeting - Monday, February 25, 2013
- March Regular Board Meeting - Monday, March 4, 2013
- April Regular Board Meeting - Monday, April 1, 2013
- Budget Committee Work Session - Monday, April 15, 2013


# MEMO 

DATE: December 19, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Graf Meadows Trail Master Plan

## Introduction

THPRD hired 3J Consulting, Inc. to provide design services for the Graf Meadows Trail site improvement project. 3J Consulting has worked with THPRD and the community to develop a master plan for the trail. 3 J Consulting has also completed a master plan level cost estimate. Staff requests Board of Directors approval of the Graf Meadows Trail Master Plan.

## Background

The District's Trails Master Plan indicates a link between the Graf Meadows and Graf Meadows 2 subdivisions. According to the neighborhood spokesperson, the trail project was promised to the neighbors approximately 10 years ago. During the interim, the neighbors were able to cross the drainage creek with a homemade bridge, so the priority of the project was not very high. According to the neighborhood spokesperson, over the past couple of years, increased housing developments upstream and the appearance of beavers have caused a good portion of the trail and all of the homemade bridge to be inundated with water all year round. Because of this, the neighbors requested that the Board elevate this trail connection to a higher priority on the District's capital improvement project list.

The Board agreed and directed staff to develop a plan to provide a permanent crossing of the unnamed tributary of Rock Creek. The project was approved in the FY 2012/13 budget using System Development Charge funds. The project consultant's site analysis and design options have been reviewed by an Internal Design Team. This information was also presented to the Management Team, the Trails and Natural Resources Advisory Committees and finally to the neighborhood. There was a good turnout of neighbors for both of the neighborhood meetings that were held. They provided positive input and met on site to review the alternatives and provide further feedback. There was a neighborhood consensus that Option 1 of the alternatives was the one best suited option to proceed with.

The design team also met with Clean Water Services, the Army Corps of Engineers, and the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife to review the project. The agencies provided feedback that was insightful for design and permitting. Option 1 impacted more wetlands but used the existing corridor and is shorter in overall length compared to Option 2. Option 2 impacted more vegetated corridor but with a shorter boardwalk was slightly less costly. After reviewing all the input, the design team agreed to proceed with Option 1 as the preferred plan for the Board's approval.

## Budget

The total project budget for the Graf Meadows Trail Site Improvements is $\$ 300,000$. The total estimated project cost at the master plan level is $\$ 280,000$. This figure includes soft costs and a $15 \%$ construction contingency of $\$ 33,610$.

## Proposal Request

John Howorth of 3J Consulting will attend the January 14, 2013 Board of Directors Regular meeting to make a presentation along with staff on the proposed master plan for the trail. Staff requests Board of Directors' approval of the Graf Meadows Trail Preferred Master Plan so the consultants can proceed with the detailed design, construction documents and permitting for the project. The project is scheduled for completion in the summer of 2014.

## Benefits of Proposal

3J Consulting has worked closely with staff and the community to design a master plan that is sensitive to the site while still providing the neighborhood's requested trail link. The master plan meets the needs of the community and the District. The long-term key benefit to the community is improved connectivity by facilitating a pedestrian/bicycle link between NW Graf Street and NW Bernietta Court. This year-round connection will allow neighbors a pedestrian or bicycle link without going out onto Springville Road where no sidewalk exists. It also will provide a safer link to access other community and regional trails along with the PCC Rock Creek Recreation Facility. There will be minimal disturbance to the vegetative corridor because the new trail basically follows the existing foot trail created when the development was first opened approximately 15 years ago. The estimated cost of the project is currently below the total project budget at the master plan level.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There will be a little more wetland disturbance compared to the other alignment option, and the cost of this alignment will be slightly higher than the other option since it will require more boardwalk.

## Maintenance Impact Statement

The proposed trail improvements will create additional maintenance responsibilities for the District such as maintenance of the proposed asphalt trail and the boardwalk, as well as a possible need to irrigate the mitigation areas. The estimated maintenance cost of these new improvements is $\$ 2,025$ annually based on the build out of the proposed master plan.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the Graf Meadows Trail Preferred Master Plan.



PREFERRED MASTER PLAN GRAF MEADOWS TRAIL

MEMO

DATE: January 3, 2013
TO: $\quad$ The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Appointing Budget Committee Member

Introduction
Staff requests Board of Directors appointment of one Budget Committee member.

## Background

There is one open position on the District's Budget Committee due to the expiration of a Committee member's term (Ruth Rosimo). The position is a three-year term. Notice of the vacancy was published and applications to serve on the Committee were accepted from November 29 through December 14, 2012. Seven applications were received (attached).

At the request of Board President, Joe Blowers, a scoring matrix was distributed to the Board members in order to assist with the discussion regarding the applicants. The completed scoring matrix will be provided to the Board in advance of the January 14, 2013 Regular Board meeting.

## Proposal Request

Staff requests Board of Directors discussion regarding the seven applicants, and appointment of one of the applicants to the Budget Committee for a three-year term, expiring on June 30, 2015.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2013-02 appointing $\qquad$ to the Budget Committee for a term of three years.

# RESOLUTION 2013-02 <br> TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT, OREGON 

## A RESOLUTION APPOINTING BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBER

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors must appoint committee members by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the committee member shall be appointed by the Board for a threeyear term; and

WHEREAS, the selected committee member has demonstrated their interest and knowledge in the Committee's area of responsibility. Now, therefore

THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Board of Directors approves the appointment of
$\qquad$ to the Budget Committee.

Duly passed by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of January 2013.

Joe Blowers, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary

ATTEST:

Jessica Collins
Recording Secretary

Administration Office
503/645-6433
Fax 503/629-6301

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application



Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee:

2. How long have you lived in the community?

$$
\text { Since } 1978
$$

3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes $\ltimes$ No [ ] Land Nequisstion Al Woc 1996
If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were: } \\
& \text { En hanced Sho Pitls Patrol D wisory commite member } \\
& \text { TNPRD Budget Commithe athornite }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:

5. If employed, what is your occupation?

6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget


Please return completed application and background check consent form by December 14, 2012 to:
Mail: Attn: Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, OR 97006
Fax: 503-629-6303
Email: jcollins@thprd.org
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97006 www.thprd.org

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application



Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee:

So many people just let things happen and do not take interest in how they do, they'd rather complain after the fact and not be apart of the process. I'd like to be apart of the process.
2. How long have you lived in the community?

Since 1995
3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes [ ] No [x ]

If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:
4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:
A bunch of activities from swimming, to soccer, safety town, pre kindergarten classes and many others offered through the district.
5. If employed, what is your occupation?

Sales Manager for the Capital Press paper.
6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget Committee:
Many years while a student at Oregon State University, I was involved in the Agricultural Sciences
Department student government. While employed at Turf Care Supply Co. in Silverton, Oregon I was apart of the field staff budget committee.

## SUMMARY

Experienced Technical Professional who works well with minimum supervision. Computer literate, with strong sustainability training, and environmental sciences background. Customer oriented problem solver with an ability to adapt to new situations. Diverse technical experience includes: Managerial experience, sales, customer service and supervision, technical waste management plan writing, data collection, environmental assessments and water/soil sampling and analyzing. I am committed to quality and excellence.

## PROFESSIOINAL EXPERIENCE

## BiOWiSH Technologies - Western Regional Representative (Startup Company)

09/2011 to 08/2012

- Western states representative, dealing with sales of Organic Certified Agricultural additives for Animal Agriculture and soil and water remediation.
- Increased client base by $200 \%$, also added value to the product with technical application guidelines and advising using sustainable business practices.
- Spearheaded and facilitated partnership with one of the largest animal agriculture distributors in the Western US States (Animal Health International) and monitoring product placement and follow through.
- Tools used range from Microsoft Office, meetings and seminar presentations, and social media.


## Turf Care Supply - Field Operations Specialist/Contracting

03/2006 to 05/2010

- Contracted acreage for proprietary turf grasses for seed replication with over 100 farmers. In order to improve farmer retention, competitor information was key.
- Agronomy experience documenting soil testing evaluations and nutrient levels, which gives farmer real time results so they can make sound business decisions on these findings.
- Appointed company representative for the Oregon Seed Trade Association. (OSTA), which I worked diligently on the Oregon Field Burning initiative for the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).
- Logistical implementation, documentation and data maintenance regarding crop estimates and yields via the use of Microsoft Excel, Word, Powerpoint, Access, phone and internet media usage.
- Outstanding managerial practices, and aided in online safety program for processing plant and warehouse.


## Oregon Dairy Farmers - Technical Waste Management Plan Writer

10/2001 to 02/2006

- Constructed Technical Animal Waste Management Plans (AWMP) that inventory natural resources of the dairy farm and set goals for environmental impacts and assessments.
- Environmental/Natural Resources training through EPA 's National Environmental Policy Act
- Achieved environmental compliance with local, state, and federal agency permit departments pertaining Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) National Environmental Policy Act, and National Historic Preservation Act
- Prepared over 100 waste management plans that were reviewed, and accepted by Oregon Department of Agriculture, USDA NRCS, EPA and Oregon Tilth.


## EDUCATION

## Portland State University (Portland, Oregon)

Post Baccalaureate Food Industry Management Certificate
Oregon State University (Corvallis, Oregon)
Masters of Agricultural Business Degree
Bachelors of Animal Science Degree

## Other Experience

# Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application 



Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee: I beliëve that with my PASt budqet experience I cAn be of ASSIStance in ASSURing THPRD continues to meet its mission of ineeting the RecReational Needs of the diverse commonity it senves.
2. How long have you lived in the community?

1975-1990.-HiahLand Pdrk/Benverton H.S. A rea. 2008-Current@Abowe address.
3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes No [ ]

If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:
(i) BoARd of Dinectons 4 Finance Committee Foin the Clankston (wat) Gol $F x$
(2) THPAD PARKS BOND CITizen Oversiaht Committee 2Oll-CUnNent,
4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:
My wife takes YoGA Classes a tuavious sites.
waind chizdien utilize Athletic Fields FOR Baseball, soccer o LACROSSE.
5. If employed, what is your occupation?

Retired - U.S. Farest Service
6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget Committee: Over My $30+$ yeAR career with the U.S. Fonest SeRvice
I held sevenal positions in Budgetofinance with the masaicty of my experience being budget Related.
From 1996-2000 I wAS the City Treasuren Fon The City of Colf:Ax, Wa, I have a good basic understanding of the budgeting process o Please return completed application and background check consent form by December 14, 2012 to:
Mail: Attn: Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, OR 97006
Fax: 503-629-6303
Email: jcollins@thprd.org

Administration Office
503/645-6433
Fax 503/629-6301

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application



## Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee:

2. How long have you lived in the community?

$$
39 \text { YEANS }
$$

3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes $\mathbb{X}$ No [ ]

If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

- THPRD. PARKS BINI CITIZEN OVENSIOHT COMM.
- Curreittle a member

4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:

- MY WIFE? ' TOOK CLASSES
- I PRESENTLY Swoon AT THE HARmon Swim CTR

5. If employed, what is your occupation?

- Refiners

6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget Committee:
SEE ATTACHED

Please return completed application and background check consent form by December 14, 2012 to:
Mail: Attn: Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, OR 97006
Fax: 503-629-6303
Email: jcollins@thprd.org

My interest in the THPRD budgeting process is from my experience with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the Washington Department of Fisheries (WDF); as regional director (8 years) for the former and an assistant director (3 years) with the latter.

With the FWS annual budgeting essentially set our priorities for the year among functional categories including wildlife refuges, fish hatcheries, environmental services, law enforcement, engineering, land acquisition and administrative services. With an evolving planning process we addressed priorities within budget limits, including plans to accommodate budget shortfalls (likely) or revenue increases (not likely).

For the WDF I worked with a management team to develop a biennial budget that included hatcheries, habitat, harvest management, marine fisheries, shell fisheries, law enforcement and administrative services. General funds as well as various federal and state grant monies were allocated among the several activities. Without the management team, but with the help of our financial staff, I developed a second biennial budget of this scope for the agency.

As an acting executive director (twice) for the non-profit Audubon Society of Portland I helped an executive committee prepare budgets to fund 19-20 employees involved in education programs, conservation activism, sanctuary and facility maintenance, outreach, citizen science, and fund raising,


# Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application 



Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee:

I have a strong interest in parks and open spaces as well as effective use of agency funds to serve the disparate needs of the community. I have worked for Portland Parks as their senior capital budget analyst and am currently on the THP\&R Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee. I see how effectively THPRD staff has managed the bond program and I want to help make the overall program funding as good as possible.
2. How long have you lived in the community?--20 years
3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes [x] No [ ]

If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

* THP\&R park bond citizen committee-current
*THP\&R bond exploration committee-2007-2008
*Tualatin Valley Water District Budget committee (and board member)-assisted in 2 year budget
development and review of 50 year model. 2006
*Beaverton School District Community Engagement Committee worked on outreach for schools-
current
*Habitat for Humanity-member of committee to choose building partners-current
*Cascade Prime Timers-board member and chair of Finance Committee-current

4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:

* THP\&R park bond citizen committee-current
*THP\&R bond exploration committee-2007-2008
*Birding and walks at Nature Park

5. If employed, what is your occupation? Retired, formerly Portland Parks Senior Financial Analyst
6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget Committee:
*15 years public budget experience
*Responded to internal audits of various projects
*Performed audits on public agencies for State of Oregon Health Division
Please return completed application and background check consent form by December 14, 2012 to:
Mail: Attn: Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District
15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, OR 97006
Fax: 503-629-6303
Email: jcollins@thprd.org
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97006 www.thprd.org

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application

| Name: Jack Richard Platten | Date: 11/30/2012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | (w): |
| Phone: |  |
| Email: |  |

## Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee: I have a strong interest, developed by service on the Beaverton Planning Commission, as well as my recent service on the THPRD Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee, in land use, finance and public service.
2. How long have you lived in the community? Since December 1990
3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes [X ] No [ ]

If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:
Beaverton City Planning Commission, Commissioner -2007-2009
Beaverton Vision Action Team, committee member 2009
West Slope Neighborhood Advisory Committee, Recorder 2006 to present
THPRD - Citizens Bond Oversight Committee, member 2010 to present
4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:
Over the twenty years we have lived in the District, we have participated in numerous activities in the parks, particularly the natural areas, and hiking trails
5. If employed, what is your occupation? Attorney
6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget Committee: Please see attached resume

Please return completed application and background check consent form by December 14, 2012 to:
Mail: Attn: Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, OR 97006
Fax: 503-629-6303
Email: jcollins@thprd.org

The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District is conducting a criminal background check program for all volunteers within the Park District (checks are conducted once you are accepted as a volunteer and may be conducted at any time

Jack R. Platten

## Professional Licensing and Affiliations

- Admitted to the bar: Oregon Supreme Court, 1966; Federal District Court Oregon, 1966; Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals 1977
- Arbitrator - Financial Industries Regulatory Authority, arbitrator for broker/customer and intra-broker disputes.
- City of Beaverton Planning Commission 2007-2009


## Education:

- 1958-1960, Stanford University, majoring in electrical engineering.
- 1963, BA in History with High Honors, University of California at Riverside.
- 1966 JD, University of Chicago. Staff member University of Chicago Law Review; Chicago Legal Aid Clinic volunteer 1964-1966 (primarily landlord \& tenant, domestic relations and debtor rights)
- 1996-1998 MBA Candidate, Portland State University - I have completed approximately $40 \%$ of the credits toward an MBA. As part of this program I headed a study of the warehouse and transportation operations of the Oregon Food Bank, which was instrumental in the design of their new warehouse and facilities.


## Selected Professional Experience

- Private practice 1966-1970, with emphasis on securities law and business transactions. I negotiated, drafted and advised on regulatory filings for a number of federal and state securities offerings, including the first securities offering ever done for Nike (then known as Sports Tek Inc./Blue Ribbon Sports) in 1970. I represented both purchasers and sellers in a number of corporate acquisitions and mergers, including building supplies (Pay'n Pak), life insurance (Oregon National Life acquisition of Federated Security Life) and a large dairy (Standard Dairy acquisition of Farmer's Dairy Cooperative). I also participated in a wide variety of legal matters, including criminal defense, personal injury, domestic relations and probate.
- 1970-1973 Senior Securities Examiner and Investigator, Oregon Corporation Division. I handled approximately 500 applications for securities registrations, including many small, local start-up companies (e.g. Willamette Week, John's Landing development partnership) as well as mining, oil and gas, tax shelters and other industrial and commercial offerings. (e.g. Levi Strauss, Playboy), liquidated an insolvent securities broker-dealer (May \& Company, prior to creation of SIPC), drafted rules and legislation, participated in enforcement proceedings with the Oregon Attorney General's office, the SEC, the NASD, and the Oregon Banking, Insurance and Real Estate Divisions, as well as other states' securities regulatory agencies, and conducted a number of formal hearings, both as a hearings officer and as attorney for the State. Notable cases were a joint investigation with the SEC involving an "invention promotion Ponzi scheme" headquartered in Salt Lake City, and participation in the SEC's investigation and injunction proceedings against Glen Turner and Dare to Be Great, a landmark case in the prosecution of multi-level sales schemes.
- 1973-present Private Practice, both as a partner (eventually managing partner) in a firm of around twelve lawyers and as a sole practitioner. Notable matters have included:

Approximately 55 real estate syndications, (apartments, office buildings, mini-warehouses, undeveloped land, historic rehabilitations, etc). This involved the acquisition, development, sale, management and
financing of the properties, as well as all federal and state securities law aspects of the syndications. Total equity funds raised exceeded $\$ 45,000,000$

Representation of eleven NASD securities broker-dealers (over a period of twelve years), as general counsel or special counsel in all aspects of their business, including investigation and evaluation of various proposed businesses and offerings, regulatory compliance, defense of SEC, NASD and Canadian provincial matters, and the organization, financing, licensing purchase and sale of several NASD securities broker-dealers, including four start-ups. I was involved with the employment, termination and licensing of registered representatives, negotiation operation and termination of clearing contracts for introducing brokers, drafting of compliance manuals, defense of brokers and representatives in State, Federal and NASD regulatory and disciplinary matters and civil litigation involving various securities matters, both as plaintiff and defense counsel.

Associate member of the Portland Board of Realtors (1973-1979), arbitrator for real estate brokerage disputes, drafted a standard form of commercial earnest money receipt recommended by the Portland Board of Realtors and was the legal counsel for the Oregon Chapter of the Real Estate Syndication and Sales Institute (RESSI). I taught two Oregon Association of Realtors continuing education classes on the business, tax and securities law aspects of real estate syndication, represented RESSI in negotiations with the Oregon Corporation Division and Real Estate Division over proposed rules and legislation and taught a class for mortgage brokers on the securities laws at a conference sponsored by the Oregon Corporation Division in the fall of 1981. I was one of the faculty for the Oregon State Bar CLE on recognizing a security, given in several locations in the spring of 1977.

Numerous federal, state and Canadian provincial securities registrations and private placements, primarily of start-up companies, in a variety of different industries. I have written registration statements (Securities Act of 1933, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and various State Acts) periodic reports, prospectuses, private placements and offering circulars for over 200 companies.

I conducted a complete review and investigation and prepared a rescission offering to investors in a small Las Vegas Casino in 1981 (Shenandoah Hotel), which had raised approximately $\$ 20,000,000$ in equity, in violation of state and federal laws. The Nevada Gaming Commission refused to issue a gaming license on account of these violations. I was able to clear up these legal problems; the Casino received its gaming license and was successfully sold.

Since 1985, I have been primarily involved, both as an attorney and equity owner, in the organization, financing and acquisition of companies in a variety of industries, including:

- Acquisition of a regional landfill, four hauling companies, two transfer stations and a recycling company and their merger into the predecessor of Waste Management. This transaction took approximately two years, involved $\$ 38,000,000$ in equity and bank debt, and involved significant regulatory, environmental, land-use, contract negotiation and municipal government liaison work.
- Acquisition, financing and resale of Walt's Radiators and Mufflers, a chain of brake, muffler and radiator shops headquartered in Tacoma, with thirty locations in eight states and annual revenues exceeding $\$ 20,000.000$. This involved $\$ 10,000,000$ of venture capital and bank financing.
- Acquisition, financing and merger of a small chocolate company located in Seattle.
- Start up financing of a company originally based in Washington, but later in New Jersey, formed to exploit a patented water treatment technology which had around one hundred shareholders, and raised over $\$ 15,000,000$ in equity capital.
- Corporate counsel for a company which designed patented and licensed a line of proprietary waste and specialty containers. This involved general corporate organization, private financing, including negotiations with underwriters, negotiation of manufacturing and distribution license agreements with rotational plastics manufacturers as well as with distributors in the United States, Puerto Rico and Australia, selection and supervision of patent and intellectual property counsel, overseeing auditing and
selection of auditors, personnel matters, contract negotiation and the like..
- Consulting and advice to entrepreneurs and companies in a variety of industries in connection with financing, negotiation with underwriters and venture capitalists, as well as general corporate management and business matters. I have incorporated or organized several hundred corporations, limited and general partnerships and LLCs in a number of states, including Oregon, Washington, California, Utah, Nevada, Delaware, Texas, Florida and New York
- I continue to represent one NASD securities broker dealer which is involved in mining, Canadian and offshore projects.
- Participation as securities counsel in recent key employee/shareholder litigation.
- Representation of the management of two local . hedge funds in connection with regulatory investigations and potential litigation.
- Counsel for local micro-distillery in connection with initial private funding, organization, employment, distribution contract negotiation, shareholder matters.


# Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Budget Committee Application 



Please note you must reside within the Park District's boundaries to serve on the Committee.

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Budget Committee:

I would like to support THPRD; the service they provide it outstanding. I am serving on two other THPRD committees and this would give me further insight on how this well functioning organization works. Most important, I believe in giving back to my community.
2. How long have you lived in the community? I have lived at this address six years.
3. Have you served on other volunteer committees? Yes [ $x$ ] No [ ]

If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:
I have served on the Tennis Committee that helped develop the present center.
The Governor's DUII Advisory Board,
Beaverton Together ( 3-4 years)
Lake Oswego Park and Recreation Advisory Board (Three terms)
Lake Oswego Adult Community Advisory Board (one Year)
THPRD Parks Advisory Committee presently
THPRD Bond Oversight Committee presently
4. Have you or your family participated in any District activities?

If yes, please describe where, when and what those activities were:
I have used the tennis courts and trails .
5. If employed, what is your occupation?

I am retired. I worked for Beaverton School District for 33 years. I taught PE \& Health and in the last years I did Drug and Alcohol Prevention. I was with the school district when THPRD stepped up to provide after school activities for the school district students during first budget cuts.
6. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Budget Committee:
I was the H\&PE department chairman at Whitford In this position I worked with department budgets. I realize I have limited experience. I am a good listener which helps to make wise decisions. The cheapest is not always the best value.

# Management Report to the Board January 14, 2013 

Administration<br>Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning<br>Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant<br>Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services<br>Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

1. The Park District's online communications tools continue to grow in popularity. The website recorded about 735,000 hits in calendar year 2012, a 13 percent increase over 2011's 650,000. The monthly electronic newsletter, "Tualatin Hills Today," is now distributed to about 11,800 recipients, up 7 percent from year-end 2011. In addition, THPRD's social media tools have experienced steady growth. The total number of "likes" on the district's Facebook pages has risen to 6,400 , almost 50 percent higher than the 4,300 mark of a year ago. And the Park District's Twitter account now has nearly 2,000 followers, up more than 20 percent in the last year.

## Aquatics

Sharon Hoffmeister, Superintendent of Aquatic Program Services

1. Plans are underway for Aloha's extended closure (February 19-May 19) for the completion of seismic work, installation of a new HVAC system in the pool area, and resurfacing the pool tank. Looking ahead to Fall 2013, Beaverton Swim Center will be scheduled for its extended closure to complete seismic upgrades; tentatively scheduled for September 3-November 30.
2. Aloha Swim Center will be hosting the District's first dog swim (catchy name to be determined) on President's Day, Monday, February 18, just prior to the extended closure. We will have regular programs for our human friends in the morning; in the afternoon, we will have a session available for local law enforcement to bring in their canine officers, followed by a session for the public to bring their dogs.
3. Staff is busy planning for Summer 2013 programming. The Aquatics staff will run a joint in-service training in emergency skills with all of the Aquatic Program Coordinators and Center Supervisors. This was successfully done prior to Summer 2012 in an effort to ensure consistency in skill execution, which is then presented to our part-time staff during winter in-service training in January.

Maintenance

## Dave Chrisman, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations

1. Staff are working with contractors on the replacement of the synthetic turf on soccer field \#1 at the HMT Recreation Complex. The project is slightly delayed pending an evaluation of the subsurface drainage. Staff anticipates the project will be completed end of January/mid-February. The field is not scheduled for use until March.
2. Parks crews are working on winter pruning projects throughout the District. They also recently completed playground safety training conducted by two certified staff. Other recent park projects include:

- Vegetation clearing at Harman Swim Center and Vista Brook Parks, both of which included assistance from Washington County corrections crews.
- Installation of a concrete pad for a trash collection dump box at Raleigh Park.
- Tree pruning activities and playground and bridge inspections at Greenway Park.
- Irrigation systems are being drained and winterized throughout the District.

Natural Resources \& Trails Management
Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management

1. Advisory Committee Joint Meeting. The Natural Resources and Trails Advisory Committees held their first-ever joint meeting in December. The groups found it beneficial to get to know one another to aid in future project and review coordination.
2. Cooper Mountain Nature Park. Working with Metro, staff are creating a site fire management plan which should be implemented in Spring 2012.
3. Adopt A Park Program Update. Natural area adoption volunteers have been actively involved at nine sites throughout the District over the last six months, including: Autumn Ridge Park, Brookhaven Woods, HMT Recreation Complex, and Barrows Park. Since the start of the fiscal year, 190 volunteers have donated approximately 470 hours of service through this program.
4. Eagle Scout Projects. Since August, nine Eagle Scout candidates have successfully completed projects with THPRD: building sandwich board signs to promote events, restoration of natural areas in Bluffs and Vale Parks, and collection and preservation of more than 50 insects for educational programs.

Planning \& Development
Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development

1. Waterhouse Trail/Walker Road Crossing: Planning staff continues to work with the contractor on the project. Substantial completion was approved for all of the non-right-of-way work in early December. This work included installation of the new trail segment for more accessible use, re-grading of the surrounding topography, and seeding. The crossing median has also been installed along with some of the electrical wiring for the signal. All that remains is to install and test the signals, and install the pavement stripping. The current schedule has the project being completed by the end of January.
2. Westside Trail Segment 18: Planning staff continues to work with the consultant team led by David Evans and Associates (DEA). A preliminary trail alignment has been designed based on several factors such as trail gradient (slope), environmental issues, and utility locations. Staff recently met with residents of the Oakridge Estates Homeowners Association (HOA) to discuss the alignment and to secure a trail easement over an existing path (CWS access road) that is located on property owned by the HOA. The District's Land Acquisition Specialist is working to obtain an easement for the trail over the access road. At that meeting, the HOA requested some minor tweaks to the proposed trail alignment. A neighborhood meeting was held on December 11 and the preferred trail alignment was presented. The neighbors were complimentary and for the most part happy with the proposed trail alignment. There were a couple of minor
questions on a few locations that staff and DEA will look into in more detail. The HOA residents were very glad to see that their suggested tweaks were included in the preferred alignment. The current schedule has this project going to the Board for master plan approval on February 4.

## Programs \& Special Activities <br> Lisa Novak, Superintendent of Programs \& Special Activities

1. Staff has been working with a consultant on the tennis air structure replacement project. Staff has been reviewing the differences between 28 and 30-ounce fabric, and also looking at exit doors and the dimensions of pads. The Request for Proposals for potential contractors was completed in December.
2. The annual Adult Holiday Tennis Tournament was held December 15-16 with 81 doubles players participating. The Junior Challenger Sectional Tournament took place December 14-17 with 169 players participating.
3. The refinishing of the wood floors on both levels of the stable at the Jenkins Estate has been completed. The floors look beautiful and have received many compliments by renters since the upgrade.

## Recreation

## Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation

1. The Cedar Hills Recreation Center's pre-school classes performed in a holiday pageant for family and friends on December 18. This is the second year for the event and attendance grew from 150 to over 400 this year. The families enjoyed the performance and then stayed for a potluck dessert.
2. The final Garden Home Recreation Center's improvement project with the Community Benefit Fund has been completed. The Garden Home yoga room is getting a makeover with new paint and a new bamboo floor. The work began December 3 and was completed on December 21.
3. The Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center's After School program (Club Splash) held their first holiday concert on December 19. Over 100 children participated in the event in the choir, playing piano or guitar. Approximately 2,500 friends and family enjoyed the performance and enjoyed cookies and juice afterward.
4. All three recreation centers solicited donations of toys and other items to provide to lowincome families in their community for the holiday season. Each center adopted at least one family, providing the donations to those families. It was very successful and the community was very supportive, donating dozens of items for the selected families.

## Security Operations

Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations

1. After losing their office space on Millikan near $154^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, the Beaverton Police Department inquired in the late Fall if there was office space available on the HMT Recreation Complex. The purpose for an office site away from Beaverton City Hall is to afford the ability for officers to have a location where they can write reports using the police department's computer system or use a desk phone for follow up phone calls. This keeps officers closer to the neighborhoods and businesses in their patrol district
and eliminating the need to return to the central police department. Citizens will not contact officers at this location. Staff identified a small office ( 15 ' x 15') in the maintenance yard building to be used by the police. After a fresh coat of paint and the City setting up their computer, officers began using this space the last week of December. This is a good example of resource sharing with outside agencies, plus a few marked Beaverton Police cars can be present on the HMT Recreation Complex at any time during the day.
2. Security Operations and Risk Management staff conducted six classes for District staff on the new law effective January 2013 regarding Mandatory Child Abuse Reporting. Two hundred two employees attended the classes. Follow up classes may be conducted in January/February for additional staff as necessary.

## Sports

Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports

1. Youth and Adult Basketball Leagues: Adult and high school programs are playing games and the youth programs began games on Saturday, January 5. A portion of the middle school-aged practices have been moved to the Athletic Center because of loss of gym time at appropriate-sized gyms in the schools.
2. Affiliate Users: The affiliated baseball and softball user groups will again use the new allocation process piloted in January 2012. Some changes have been made following feedback from last year and suggestions from the users this summer. Coordinated allocations will take place by field size, availability and location in addition to need.

## Business Services

Cathy Brucker, Finance Manager
Nancy Hartman-Noye, Human Resources Manager Mark Hokkanen, Risk and Contract Manager Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager
Phil Young, Information Services Manager

1. The District's renewal of its insurance program saw an overall flat premium rate for major lines of coverage in 2013. However, the District's increased building and business property exposures have raised the 2013 insurance premiums by $\$ 8,320$ from $\$ 268,694$ to $\$ 277,014$, an overall increase of $3.10 \%$. Looking into the future, the insurance market is beginning to react to the significant world-wide catastrophic losses in 2011 and 2012, coupled with historically low interest rates. As a result, insurance rates are expected to climb over the next few years.
2. The District's annual financial report for the 2011/12 fiscal year is currently in the final review process. The Audit Committee will receive the draft statements within the next two weeks, for final presentation to the Board of Directors in February.
3. The design and construction contract for Phase II of the Energy Savings Performance Contract was executed with McKinstry on December 17.




# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget


# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget

| Descripition | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover <br> to Current Year | New Funds Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amoun | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of <br> Estima | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
| - | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| Pressure Grout 5 OM Boile Pad CHRC |  |  | 9,500 | 9,500 | ${ }^{9.500}$ |  |  | -8,810 | Award | ci, | - | ${ }_{6}^{690}$ | ${ }_{6}^{690}$ |
| Circulation \& Sump Pumps (3) |  |  | ${ }_{40,200}$ | ${ }_{40}^{12,200}$ | ${ }_{40,200}^{12,000}$ |  | 1.548 | 10,24 38,652 | Budget | 10,244 40.200 | 10,244 |  |  |
| ssw Chemtol |  |  | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 |  |  | 2,918 | Award | ${ }_{2,918}$ | 2,918 | (318) | (318) |
| Ssw Sand Filter Recharge |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |  | 2,879 |  | Complete | 2,879 | 2,879 | 1,621 | 1,621 |
| HSC C Circulation Pump Cnotr Pad |  |  | 8,750 | 8,750 | 8,750 |  |  | 8,750 | Budget | 8,750 | 8,750 |  |  |
| SsC Wader Pool Auto Feed Sys |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |  | 4,382 |  | Complete | 4,382 | 4,382 | 18 | 118 |
| CRA Clean-out Surge Tank |  |  | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 |  | 3,200 |  | Complete | 3,200 | 3,200 |  |  |
| CRA Lane Line Anchor Rplcmnts |  |  | 8,000 | 8.000 | 8,000 |  | 9,050 |  | Complete | 9,050 | 9,050 | (1,050) | (1,050) |
| Undewater Light Fxtrs 3 sites |  |  | 41,900 | 41,900 | 41,900 |  |  | 41,900 | Budget | 41,900 | 41,900 |  |  |
| TC CrI Dvir Cables \& Currains |  |  | 11,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 |  | 4,675 | 6,325 | Budget | 11,000 | 11,000 |  |  |
| GHRC Rplc Citchen L.ght Fixits |  |  | 1,100 | -1,100 | $\begin{array}{r}1,100 \\ 298183 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ |  | 3,730 | 1,100 25.453 | Budget Budget | 1,100 29.183 | 1,100 2, 183 |  |  |
| Exerior Cnort Walls (2 sites) |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  | 2,000 | Budget | ${ }_{2}, 000$ | 2, 2000 2000 |  |  |
| AC Rplcmnt Pea Gravel ( unndw ) |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 1,375 | 625 | Budget | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  |
| Jen Gate Hs Priglead abtmt |  |  | 21,500 | 21,500 | 21,500 |  |  | 21,500 | Budget | 21,500 | 21.500 |  |  |
| HMT S S Fields Playgnd Canopies |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  | 10,300 | Award | 10,300 | 10,300 | (2,300) | (2,300) |
| Tennis Ctr Court Lights |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 4,025 |  | Complete | 4,025 | 4.025 | (525) | (525) |
| Asc Dressing Rms HVaC Units |  |  | 44,000 | 44,000 | 44,000 |  |  | 44,000 | Budget | 44,000 | 44,000 |  |  |
| SSC Roof Exhust Fan |  |  | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  | 2,400 | Budget | 2,400 |  |  |  |
| GHRC Boiler Burner Tray |  |  | 8.000 | 8.000 | 8,000 |  |  | 8.000 | Award | 8.000 | 8.000 |  |  |
| FCSC Gas Line Rplcmnt |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | ${ }^{\text {Budget }}$ | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Asc hVac system |  |  | 268,000 | 268,000 | 268,000 |  |  | 268,000 | Budget | 268,000 | 268,000 |  |  |
| Schlotman House Heat Pump SFields Concession Grease Trp |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c, }}^{6,000}$ | ${ }^{6,000}$ | 6,000 |  |  | 4,711 10,365 | Award | 4,711 | 4,711 | ${ }^{1,289}$ | 1,289 |
| Jenkins Panic Door Hrduw r ple |  |  | ${ }_{6,150}^{14,000}$ | ${ }_{6,150}^{11000}$ | 6,150 |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c,150 }}}^{4 ., 515}$ | Avurat | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c,150 }}}^{10.050}$ | ${ }_{\substack{10,150}}^{10,51}$ | 635 |  |
| CHRC Cardio Eammt (2 pieces) |  |  | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 |  |  | 16,000 | Budget | 16,000 | 16,000 |  |  |
| TC \& 50M Roof Plans/Spees ${ }_{\text {TOTAL BULIING REPLACEMENTS }}$ |  |  | 30,000 829,283 | 30,000 882983 | 30,000 829283 88 |  | 80,294 | 30,000 758,012 | Budget | 30,000 888,306 | 30,000 888,306 | (9,023) | (9,023) |
| BULLING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquatic Center Pit Pump Scaftolding |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |  | 3,730 |  | Complete | 3,730 | 3,730 | 770 | 770 |
| TTTAL BUILDING IMProvements |  |  | 4.500 | 4.500 | 4.500 |  | 3,730 |  |  | 3,730 | 3,730 | 770 | 770 |
| EnERGY SAVINGS PERFORMANCE CONTRACT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Energy Saving improvements TOTAL ENERGY SAVIVGS PERFORMANCE CONTRACT |  |  | ${ }_{1699,928}^{1698}$ | ${ }_{1699928}^{16928}$ | 169,928 169,928 | , |  | 169,928 | Budget | 169,928 169,928 | 169,928 |  |  |
| AdA Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRA 50 M ADA Rutit Pool Lif |  |  | 1,400 30.400 | 1,400 30,400 1,000 | 1,400 30.400 |  |  | 1,400 30.400 | Budget Budget | 1,400 30.400 | 1,400 |  |  |
| Poortale Pool Litts (4 stes) |  |  | 30,400 1.800 | 30,400 1.800 | 30,400 1.800 |  |  | 30,400 | ${ }_{\text {Buageet }}$ | 3 <br> 1,800 <br> 1.800 | 30,400 <br> 1.800 |  |  |
| Access Design/leson Rd to Fanno Creek |  |  | 8,000 | 8.000 | 8,000 <br> 1000 |  |  | 8,000 | ${ }_{\text {Budget }}$ | 8,000 <br> 1.1000 | 8,000 |  |  |
| total ada projects |  |  | 41,600 | 41,600 | 41,600 |  |  | 41,600 |  | 41,600 | 41,600 |  |  |
| total capital outlay division | 1,425,100 | 1,002,810 | 2,400,115 | 3,825,215 | 3,402,925 | 598,272 | 665,609 | 2,545,963 |  | 3,809,844 | 3,211,572 | 15,371 | $\underline{\text { 191,353 }}$ |

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District
Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 11/30/2012

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| information services department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System/workstn Replcmnt |  |  | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 | - | 34,245 | 32,755 | Budget | 67,000 | 67,000 | - |  |
| Server Replacements |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |  | 14,319 | 20,681 | Budget | 35,000 | 35,000 |  |  |
| LAN/WAN Replcmnt |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Printers/Network Printers |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | 3,072 | 1,928 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Telephones |  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | . |  | 23,396 | Award | 23,396 | 23,396 | 604 | 604 |
| total information technology replacements |  |  | 136,000 | 136,000 | 136,000 |  | 51,636 | 83,760 |  | 135,396 | 135,396 | 604 | 604 |
| Misc. Application Software |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | 8,000 | 12,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Plotter/Scanner |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| Color Printer for Graphics |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| FCSC Server Rack |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | - |  | 6,000 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 | - |  |
| FCSC Electrical Panel |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | - | 3,408 | 2,592 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Computer Wrkstns \& Cabling (4) |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | - |  | 4,500 | Budget | 4,500 | 4,500 | - |  |
| MACC Grant SSC Wifif Connection |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Badge Printing Machine |  |  | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | . |  | 2,400 | Budget | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  |
| TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 54,900 | 54,900 | 54,900 | - | 11,408 | 43,492 |  | 54,900 | 54,900 | - |  |
| TOTAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT |  |  | 190,900 | 190,900 | 190,900 | . | 63,044 | 127,252 |  | 190,296 | 190,296 | 604 | 604 |
| maintenance department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLEET REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infield Rake (2) |  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | - | 21,626 |  | Complete | 21,626 | 21,626 | 374 | 374 |
| Electric Utility Vehicle |  |  | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | - |  | 11,382 | Award | 11,382 | 11,382 | 118 | 118 |
| 15-Passenger Van |  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | - |  | 24,000 | Budget | 24,000 | 24,000 |  |  |
| Light Duty Pickup Truck |  |  | 18,500 | 18,500 | 18,500 | - |  | 18,500 | Budget | 18,500 | 18,500 | - |  |
| Leaf Vacuum |  |  | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 | - | 7,442 |  | Complete | 7,442 | 7,442 | 3,058 | 3,058 |
| $52^{\prime \prime}$ Mower |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | - | 6,861 | - | Complete | 6,861 | 6,861 | 139 | 139 |
| Single Axle Trailer |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | - | 3,285 |  | Complete | 3,285 | 3,285 | 215 | 215 |
| Tandem Axle Trailers (2) |  |  | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | - | 12,500 | - | Complete | 12,500 | 12,500 | 500 | 500 |
| Mowers (7) \& Trailers (3) |  |  | 88,900 | 88,900 | 88,900 | . | 88,181 |  | Complete | 88,181 | 88,181 | 719 | 719 |
| total fleet replacements |  |  | 198,900 | 198,900 | 198,900 | - | 139,895 | 53,882 |  | 193,777 | 193,777 | 5,123 | 5,123 |
| FLEETIMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tools Trailer - Ntri Resources |  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | - | - | 6,500 | Budget | 6,500 | 6,500 | - |  |
| Wellness-on-Wheels Van |  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 | . |  | 26,000 | Budget | 26,000 | 26,000 | . |  |
| TOTAL FLEET IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 32,500 | 32,500 | 32,500 | - | - | 32,500 |  | 32,500 | 32,500 | - |  |
| total maintenance department | - |  | 231,400 | 231,400 | 231,400 | - | 139,895 | 86,382 |  | 226,277 | 226,277 | 5,123 | 5,123 |
| GRAND TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 1,425,100 | 1,002,810 | 2,822,415 | 4,247,515 | 3,825,225 | 598,272 | 868,548 | 2,759,597 |  | 4,226,417 | 3,628,145 | 21,098 | 197,080 |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

Monthly Capital Project Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 11/30/2012

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |

## SDC FUND

$\frac{\text { LAND ACQUUSITION }}{\text { Land Acquisition (FY 12)(Fishbeck Property) }}$ Mahmood Property cleanup costs - DEQ Grant Land Acquisition (FY 13)
TOTAL LAND ACQUISITION
IMPROVEMENT/DEVELOPMENT PROJECT Fanno Creek Trail
Bonny Slope/BSD Trail Development
WCF Grant Match/Schiffler Park Pavillio
Winkleman Park Phase
MTIP Grant Match-Westside Trail Segment 18 OBP Grant Match-Waterhouse TrailWalker Rd Crossing 112th St. Site Improvements
Graf Meadows Prk - Trail Cnctn
Wtrhse Trai-Bronson/Bethany
PCC Rck Crk Dog Prk Cnstrctn
Hart Meadows Dog Prk Cnstrctn
Undesignated Projects
Undesignated Projects
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT/MPROVEMENT PROJECTS
Total - SDC Fund

| $\begin{aligned} & 40,000 \\ & 250,000 \end{aligned}$ | 430,000 | - | 430,000 | 430,000 | 31,001 | 438,402 | $(8,402)$ | Complete | 461,001 | 430,000 | $(31,001)$ | (46,120) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10000 | 250,000 |  | 142,142 | 46,120 |  | Budget Budget | $\begin{array}{r} 188,262 \\ 1,100,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,120 \\ 1,100,000 \end{array}$ | 61,738 |  |
|  |  | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |  |  | 1,100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 680,000 | 430,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,780,000 | 1,530,000 | 173,143 | 484,522 | 1,091,598 |  | 1,749,263 | 1,576,120 | 30,737 | $(46,120)$ |
| 2,011,950 | 50,000 | - | 2,011,950 | 50,000 | 1,850,032 | 42,134 | 54,889 | Award | 1,947,055 | 97,023 | 64,895 | $(47,023)$ |
| 175,000 | 175,000 | - | 175,000 | 175,000 |  |  | 175,000 | Budget | 175,000 | 175,000 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | Cancelled |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 282,000 | 282,000 | - | 282,000 | 282,000 |  |  | 282,000 | Budget | 282,000 | 282,000 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62,205 \\ & 50,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 20,000 | 82,205 | 20,000 | 69,428 | 61 | 19,939 | Budget | 89,428 | 20,000 | $(7,223)$ |  |
|  | 50,000 | 62,000 | 112,000 | 112,000 |  | 55,834 | 56,166 | Budget | 112,000 | 112,000 |  |  |
| 797,947 |  |  | 797,947 |  | 699,215 | 9,116 |  | Complete | 708,331 | 9,116 | 89,616 | $(9,116)$ |
|  |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  | 21,931 | 278,069 | Budget | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 50,000 | 200,000 | Budget | 250,000 | 250,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 144,000 | 144,000 | 144,000 |  | 9,065 | 134,935 | Budget | 144,000 | 144,000 |  |  |
|  | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 484 | 49,516 | Budget | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 |  |  | 2,739,797 | Budget | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 |  |  |
| 3,429,102 | 607,000 | 3,565,797 | 6,994,899 | 4,172,797 | 2,618,675 | 188,625 | 3,990,311 |  | 6,797,611 | 4,178,936 | 197,288 | $(6,139)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,109,102 | 1,037,000 | 4,665,797 | 8,774,899 | 5,702,797 | 2,791,818 | 673,147 | 5,081,909 |  | 8,546,874 | 5,755,056 | 228,025 | (52,259) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimate based on original budget - not started and/or no basis for change Some or all of Project has been eliminated to reduce overall capital costs for year. Estimate based on Contract Award amount or quote price estimates Project completed - no additional estimated costs to complete. |  |  |  |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budge

## Through 11/30/2012

|  |  | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Project Code |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 12/13 | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Project Cumulative Cost | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | \% Total <br> Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2)=(3) | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (6)/(9) |


|  |  | New Neighborhood Parks Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SE | 91-901 | AM Kennedy Park \& Athletic Field | 1,285,250 | 48,471 | 1,333,721 | 290,218 | 107,773 | 397,991 | 1,139,734 | Bid Award | 1,537,725 | $(204,004)$ | 25.9\% |
| sw | 91-902 | Barsotti Park \& Athetic Field | 1,285,250 | 24,093 | 1,309,343 | 62,710 | 65,618 | 128,328 | 1,119,188 | Master Plan | 1,247,516 | 61,827 | 10.3\% |
| NW | 91-903 | Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 771,150 | 14,326 | 785,476 | 132,491 | 2,145 | 134,636 | 574,291 | Design Dev | 708,927 | 76,549 | 19.0\% |
| sw | 91-904 | Roy Dancer Park | 771,150 | 14,424 | 785,574 | 35,893 | 24,312 | 60,205 | 645,205 | Master Plan | 705,410 | 80,164 | 8.5\% |
| NE | 91-905 | Roger Tillury Park | 771,150 | 14,457 | 785,607 | 15,859 | 45,048 | 60,907 | 724,700 | Budget | 785,607 |  | 7.8\% |
|  |  | Total New Neighborhood Parks Development | 4,883,950 | 115,771 | 4,999,721 | 537,171 | 244,896 | 782,067 | 4,203,117 |  | 4,985,184 | 14,537 | 15.7\% |
|  |  | Renovate \& Redevelop Neighborhood Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 91-906 | Cedar Mill Park, Trail \& Athletic Fields | 1,125,879 | 21,107 | 1,146,986 | 50,478 | 26,817 | 77,295 | 1,286,049 | Master Plan | 1,363,344 | $(216,358)$ | 5.7\% |
| SE | 91-907 | Camille Park | 514,100 | 28,807 | 542,907 | 584,250 | 809 | 585,059 |  | Complete | 585,059 | $(42,152)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 91-908 | Somerset West Park | 1,028,200 | 19,268 | 1,047,468 | 16,458 | 6,282 | 22,740 | 1,024,728 | Budget | 1,047,468 |  | 2.2\% |
| NW | 91-909 | Pioneer Park and Bridge Replacement | 544,934 | 20,011 | 564,945 | 129,909 | 37,760 | 167,669 | 426,645 | Design Dev | 594,314 | $(29,369)$ | 28.2\% |
| SE | 91-910 | Vista Brook Park | 514,100 | 19,479 | 533,579 | 131,045 | 10,806 | 141,851 | 486,706 | Design Dev | 628,557 | $(94,978)$ | 22.6\% |
|  |  | Total Renovate \& Redevelop Neighborhood Parks | 3,727,213 | 108,672 | 3,835,885 | 912,140 | 82,474 | 994,614 | 3,224,129 |  | 4,218,743 | $(382,858)$ | 23.6\% |
|  |  | New Neighborhood Parks Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW | 98-880 | New Neighborhood Park - NW Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 27,291 | 1,527,291 | 39,087 | 27,010 | 66,097 | 1,461,194 | Budget | 1,527,291 |  | 4.3\% |
| NE | 98-745 | New Neighborhood Park - NE Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 27,983 | 1,527,983 | 270,767 | 385,578 | 656,345 |  | Complete | 656,345 | 871,638 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 98-746 | New Neighborhood Park - SW Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 24,762 | 1,524,762 | 1,058,925 | 55,789 | 1,114,714 |  | Complete | 1,114,714 | 410,048 | 100.0\% |
| SE | 98-747 | New Neighborhood Park - SE Quadrant | 1,500,000 | 16,178 | 1,516,178 | 2,555,818 | 282 | 2,556,100 |  | Complete | 2,556,100 | $(1,039,922)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 98-748 | New Neighborhood Park (North Bethany) | 1,500,000 | 24,150 | 1,524,150 | 1,625,848 |  | 1,625,848 |  | Complete | 1,625,848 | $(101,698)$ | 100.0\% |
| UND | 98-749 | New Neighborhood Park - Undesignated | 1,500,000 | 28,014 | 1,528,014 | 87,634 | 51,193 | 138,827 | 1,389,187 | Budget | 1,528,014 |  | 9.1\% |
|  |  | Total New Neighborhood Parks | 9,000,000 | 148,378 | 9,148,378 | 5,638,079 | 519,852 | 6,157,931 | 2,850,381 |  | 9,008,312 | 140,066 | 68.4\% |
|  |  | New Community Park Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 92-915 | SW Community Park \& Athletic Field | 7,711,500 | 144,550 | 7,856,050 | 2,616 | 238 | 2,854 | 7,853,196 | Budget | 7,856,050 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total New Community Park Development | 7,711,500 | 144,550 | 7,856,050 | 2,616 | 238 | 2,854 | 7,853,196 |  | 7,856,050 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | New Community Park Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 98-881 | New Community Park - NE Quadrant | 10,000,000 | 161,507 | 10,161,507 | 8,103,017 | - | 8,103,017 | - | Complete | 8,103,017 | 2,058,490 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total New Community Park | 10,000,000 | 161,507 | 10,161,507 | 8,103,017 |  | 8,103,017 |  |  | 8,103,017 | 2,058,490 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 92-916 | Cedar Hills Park \& Athletic Field | 6,194,905 | 115,414 | 6,310,319 | 111,782 | 32 | 111,814 | 6,198,505 | Budget | 6,310,319 |  | 1.8\% |
| SE | 92-917 | Schiffler Park | 3,598,700 | 70,020 | 3,668,720 | 1,438,799 | 822,126 | 2,260,925 | 708,997 | Bid Award | 2,969,922 | 698,798 | 76.1\% |
|  |  | Total Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks | 9,793,605 | 185,434 | 9,979,039 | 1,550,581 | 822,158 | 2,372,739 | 6,907,502 |  | 9,280,241 | 698,798 | 25.6\% |
|  |  | Natural Area Restoration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 97-963 | Roger Tilbury Memorial Park | 30,846 | 578 | 31,424 | 23 | 379 | 402 | 31,022 | Budget | 31,424 |  | 1.3\% |
| NE | 97-964 | Cedar Mill Park | 30,846 | 578 | 31,424 | 121 | 39 | 160 | 31,264 | Budget | 31,424 | - | 0.5\% |
| NE | 97-965 | Jordan/Jackie Husen Park | 308,460 | 5,782 | 314,242 | 2,000 | 7,515 | 9,515 | 47,885 | Planning | 57,400 | 256,842 | 16.6\% |
| NW | 97-966 | NE/Bethany Meadows Trail Habitat Connection | 246,768 | 4,626 | 251,394 |  |  |  | 251,394 | Budget | 251,394 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-967 | Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 10,282 | 193 | 10,475 | - | 2,970 | 2,970 | 7,477 | Planning | 10,447 | 28 | 28.4\% |
| NW | 97-968 | Allenbach Acres Park | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 | 1,306 | 223 | 1,529 | 40,061 | Planning | 41,590 | 309 | 3.7\% |
| NW | 97-969 | Crystal Creek Park | 205,640 | 3,853 | 209,493 | 3,516 | 1,686 | 5,202 | 107,346 | Planning | 112,548 | 96,945 | 4.6\% |
| NE | 97-970 | Foothills Park | 61,692 | 1,098 | 62,790 | 38,689 | 4,180 | 42,869 | 14,745 | Planting | 57,614 | 5,176 | 74.4\% |
| NE | 97-971 | Commonwealth Lake Park | 41,128 | 725 | 41,853 | 24,774 | 2,275 | 27,049 | 5,800 | Planting | 32,849 | 9,004 | 82.3\% |
| NW | 97-972 | Tualatin Hills Nature Park | 90,800 | 1,697 | 92,497 | 6,540 | 5,043 | 11,583 | 28,829 | Planning | 40,412 | 52,085 | 28.7\% |
| NE | 97-973 | Pioneer Park | 10,282 | 192 | 10,474 | 142 | 79 | 221 | 10,226 | Planning | 10,447 | 27 | 2.1\% |
| NW | 97-974 | Whispering Woods Park | 51,410 | 875 | 52,285 | 44,249 | 3,582 | 47,831 | 7,788 | Planting | 55,619 | $(3,334)$ | 86.0\% |
|  | /2013 | :31 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | of 4 |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 11/30/2012

| Quad rant |  | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Project CumulativeCost Cost | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | \% Total Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Project } \\ \text { Code } \end{array}$ |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Total } \\ & \text { Project Budget } \\ & \text { FY 12/13 } \end{aligned}$ | Expended Prior Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2)=(3) | (4) | (5) | (4+5)=(6) | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (6)/(9) |
| NW | 97-975 | Willow Creek Nature Park | 20,564 | 374 | 20,938 | 9,825 | 4,740 | 14,565 | 9,523 | Planning | 24,088 | $(3,150)$ | 60.5\% |
| SE | 97-976 | AM Kennedy Park | 30,846 | 578 | 31,424 | 12,631 | 880 | 13,511 | 19,189 | Preparation | 32,700 | $(1,276)$ | 41.3\% |
| SE | 97-977 | Camille Park | 77,115 | 1,445 | 78,560 | 43,166 | 2,371 | 45,537 | 26,816 | Planning | 72,353 | 6,207 | 62.9\% |
| SE | 97-978 | Vista Brook Park | 20,564 | 386 | 20,950 |  |  |  | 20,950 | Budget | 20,950 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 97-979 | Greenway Park/Koll Center | 61,692 | 1,153 | 62,845 | 1,428 | 1,199 | 2,627 | 60,218 | Budget | 62,845 |  | 4.2\% |
| SE | 97-980 | Bauman Park | 82,256 | 1,516 | 83,772 | 22,030 | 1,708 | 23,738 | 59,594 | Planting | 83,332 | 440 | 28.5\% |
| SE | 97-981 | Fanno Creek Park | 162,456 | 3,044 | 165,500 | 552 | 1,582 | 2,134 | 163,366 | Budget | 165,500 |  | 1.3\% |
| SE | 97-982 | Hideaway Park | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 | 6,696 | 2,031 | 8,727 | 33,230 | Planning | 41,957 | (58) | 20.8\% |
| sw | 97-983 | Murrayhill Park | 61,692 | 1,024 | 62,716 | 55,346 | 6,659 | 62,005 | 10,594 | Planting | 72,599 | $(9,883)$ | 85.4\% |
| SE | 97-984 | Hyland Forest Park | 71,974 | 1,150 | 73,124 | 37,396 | 3,346 | 40,742 | 30,258 | Planning | 71,000 | 2,124 | 57.4\% |
| sw | 97-985 | Cooper Mountain | 205,640 | 3,855 | 209,495 | 14 |  | 14 | 209,481 | Budget | 209,495 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-986 | Winkelman Park | 10,282 | 193 | 10,475 | 3,145 | 440 | 3,585 | 5,768 | Planting | 9,353 | 1,123 | 38.3\% |
| sw | 97-987 | Lowami Hart Woods | 287,896 | 5,389 | 293,285 | 4,164 | 906 | 5,070 | 288,215 | Budget | 293,285 |  | 1.7\% |
| sw | 97-988 | Rosa/Hazeldale Parks | 28,790 | 537 | 29,327 | 2,309 | 678 | 2,987 | 26,263 | Planning | 29,250 | 77 | 10.2\% |
| sw | 97-989 | mt Williams Park | 102,820 | 1,928 | 104,748 |  |  |  | 104,748 | Budget | 104,748 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-990 | Jenkins Estate | 154,230 | 2,880 | 157,110 | 49,739 | 8,776 | 58,515 | 68,966 | Planning | 127,481 | 29,629 | 45.9\% |
| sw | 97-991 | Summercrest Park | 10,282 | 182 | 10,464 | 7,228 | 374 | 7,602 | 1,656 | Planting | 9,258 | 1,206 | 82.1\% |
| sw | 97-992 | Morrison Woods | 61,692 | 1,156 | 62,848 | 28 |  | 28 | 62,820 | Budget | 62,848 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-993 | Interpretive Sign Network | 339,306 | 6,353 | 345,659 | 7,191 | 11,062 | 18,253 | 321,047 | Planning | 339,300 | 6,359 | 5.4\% |
| NW | 97-994 | Beaverton Creek Trail | 61,692 | 1,157 | 62,849 |  |  |  | 62,849 | Budget | 62,849 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-995 | Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 |  |  |  | 41,899 | Budget | 41,899 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-996 | Bluegrass Downs Park | 15,423 | 289 | 15,712 |  |  |  | 15,712 | Budget | 15,712 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-997 | Crystal Creek | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 | - |  |  | 41,899 | Budget | 41,899 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-914 | Restoration of new properties to be acquired | 643,023 | 12,055 | 655,078 |  |  |  | 655,078 | Budget | 655,078 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Restoration | 3,762,901 | 69,925 | 3,832,826 | 384,248 | 74,723 | 458,971 | 2,923,975 |  | 3,382,946 | 449,880 | 13.6\% |
|  |  | Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-882 | Natural Area Acquisitions | 8,400,000 | 156,796 | 8,556,796 | 287,568 | 500,055 | 787,623 | 7,769,173 | Budget | 8,556,796 | - | 9.2\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition | 8,400,000 | 156,796 | 8,556,796 | 287,568 | 500,055 | 787,623 | 7,769,173 |  | 8,556,796 |  | 9.2\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 93-918 | Westside Trail Segments 1, 4, \& 7 | 4,267,030 | 78,054 | 4,345,084 | 866,544 | 995,926 | 1,862,470 | 1,818,721 | Bid Award | 3,681,191 | 663,893 | 50.6\% |
| NE | 93-920 | Jordan/Husen Park Trail | 1,645,120 | 44,435 | 1,689,555 | 577,273 | 578,079 | 1,155,352 | 180,966 | Bid Award | 1,336,318 | 353,237 | 86.5\% |
| NW | 93-924 | Waterhouse Trail Segments 1, 5 \& West Spur | 3,804,340 | 69,124 | 3,873,464 | 663,280 | 76,862 | 740,142 | 4,363,827 | Design Dev. | 5,103,969 | $(1,230,505)$ | 14.5\% |
| NW | 93-922 | Rock Creek Trail \# \& Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 | 2,262,040 | 70,912 | 2,332,952 | 825,496 | 514,680 | 1,340,176 | 1,184,320 | Bid Award | 2,524,496 | $(191,544)$ | 53.1\% |
| UND | 93-923 | Miscellaneous Natural Trails | 100,000 | 1,864 | 101,864 | 19,896 | 192 | 20,088 | 81,776 | Budget | 101,864 |  | 19.7\% |
| NW | 91-912 | Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail | 359,870 | 3,070 | 362,940 | 238,702 |  | 238,702 |  | Complete | 238,702 | 124,238 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 91-913 | NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 | 257,050 | 14,757 | 271,807 | 78,966 | 215,062 | 294,028 | 86,907 | Bid Award | 380,935 | $(109,128)$ | 77.2\% |
| sw | 93-921 | Lowami Hart Woods | 822,560 | 54,490 | 877,050 | 355,087 | 42,373 | 397,460 | 747,976 | Design Dev. | 1,145,436 | $(268,386)$ | 34.7\% |
| NW | 91-911 | Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection | 1,542,300 | 28,799 | 1,571,099 | 60,450 | 27,012 | 87,462 | 806,007 | Master Plan | 893,469 | 677,630 | 9.8\% |
|  |  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Development | 15,060,310 | 365,505 | 15,425,815 | 3,685,694 | 2,450,186 | 6,135,880 | 9,270,500 |  | 15,406,380 | 19,435 | 39.8\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-883 | New Linear Park and Trail Acquisitions | 1,200,000 | 22,496 | 1,222,496 | 856,813 | 143,965 | 1,000,778 | 221,718 | Budget | 1,222,496 | - | 81.9\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion | 1,200,000 | 22,496 | 1,222,496 | 856,813 | 143,965 | 1,000,778 | 221,718 |  | 1,222,496 | - | 81.9\% |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budge

| Through 11/30/2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quadrant | Project Code | Description | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Total } \\ & \text { Project Budget } \\ & \text { FY 12/13 } \end{aligned}$ | Expended Prior Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \end{gathered}$ | Project Cumulative Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Est. Cost } \\ & \text { (Over) Under } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Total } \\ \text { Expended to } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2)=(3) | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (6)/(9) |
| Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 94-925 | Winkelman Athletic Field | 514,100 | 34,517 | 548,617 | 183,310 | 893,494 | 1,076,804 | $(123,456)$ | Bid Award | 953,348 | $(404,731)$ | 112.9\% |
| SE | 94-926 | Meadow Waye Park | 514,100 | 6,626 | 520,726 | 407,298 |  | 407,298 |  | Complete | 407,298 | 113,428 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 94-927 | New Fields in NW Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,637 | 523,737 | 75 |  | 75 | 523,662 | Budget | 523,737 |  | 0.0\% |
| NE | 94-928 | New Fields in NE Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,630 | 523,730 | 5,192 |  | 5,192 | 518,538 | Master Plan | 523,730 |  | 1.0\% |
| sw | 94-929 | New Fields in SW Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,633 | 523,733 | 669 |  | 669 | 523,064 | Budget | 523,733 |  | 0.1\% |
| SE | 94-930 | New Fields in SE Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,638 | 523,738 |  |  |  | 523,738 | Budget | 523,738 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Dev. | 3,084,600 | 79,681 | 3,164,281 | 596,544 | 893,494 | 1,490,038 | 1,965,546 |  | 3,455,584 | (291,303) | 43.1\% |
|  |  | Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 96-960 | Play Structure Replacements at 11 sites | 810,223 | 3,735 | 813,958 | 720,181 | 8,623 | 728,804 | 18,401 | Constr Docs (1) | 747,205 | 66,753 | 97.5\% |
| NW | 96-720 | Bridgelboardwalk replacement - Willow Creek | 96,661 | 1,311 | 97,972 | 127,277 |  | 127,277 |  | Complete | 127,277 | $(29,305)$ | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-721 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Rosa Park | 38,909 | 509 | 39,418 | 38,381 |  | 38,381 |  | Complete | 38,381 | 1,037 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-722 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Jenkins Estate | 7,586 | 34 | 7,620 | 28,430 | - | 28,430 |  | Complete | 28,430 | $(20,810)$ | 100.0\% |
| SE | 96-723 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Hartwood Highlands | 10,767 | 172 | 10,939 | 985 | - | 985 |  | Cancelled | 985 | 9,954 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-998 | Irrigation Replacement at Roxbury Park | 48,854 | 63 | 48,917 | 41,902 |  | 41,902 |  | Complete | 41,902 | 7,015 | 100.0\% |
| UND | 96-999 | Pedestrian Path Replacement at 3 sites | 116,687 | 150 | 116,837 | 118,040 |  | 118,040 |  | Complete | 118,040 | $(1,203)$ | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-946 | Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center | 160,914 | 1,509 | 162,423 | 191,970 | - | 191,970 |  | Complete | 191,970 | $(29,547)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-947 | Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center | 160,914 | 3,017 | 163,931 | 21,995 | - | 21,995 | 341,898 | Const. Doc | 363,893 | (199,962) | 6.0\% |
|  |  | Total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements | 1,451,515 | 10,500 | 1,462,015 | 1,289,161 | 8,623 | 1,297,784 | 360,299 |  | 1,658,083 | $(196,068)$ | 78.3\% |
|  |  | Facility Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 95-931 | Structural Upgrades at Several Facilities | 317,950 | 3,230 | 321,180 | 105,332 | - | 105,332 | 215,848 | Budget | 321,180 | - | 32.8\% |
| sw | 95-932 | Structural Upgrades at Aloha Swim Center | 406,279 | 7,459 | 413,738 | 21,021 | 14,155 | 35,176 | 582,463 | Const. Doc. | 617,639 | $(203,901)$ | 5.7\% |
| SE | 95-933 | Structural Upgrades at Beaverton Swim Center | 1,447,363 | 27,061 | 1,474,424 | 22,757 |  | 22,757 | 1,397,767 | Const. Doc. | 1,420,524 | 53,901 | 1.6\% |
| NE | 95-934 | Structural Upgrades at Cedar Hills Recreation Center | 628,087 | 11,775 | 639,862 | 29,599 | 781 | 30,380 | 507,780 | Const. Doc. | 538,160 | 101,702 | 5.6\% |
| sw | 95-935 | Structural Upgrades at Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Center | 44,810 | 840 | 45,650 | 8,750 | 56,163 | 64,913 | $(3,355)$ | Bid Award | 61,558 | $(15,908)$ | 105.5\% |
| SE | 95-937 | Structural Upgrades at Garden Home Recreation Center | 486,935 | 9,129 | 496,064 |  | - |  | 496,064 | Budget | 496,064 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 95-938 | Structural Upgrades at Harman Swim Center | 179,987 | 3,291 | 183,278 | 73,115 | - | 73,115 | - | Complete | 73,115 | 110,163 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-939 | Structural Upgrades at HMT/50 Mtr Pool/Aquatic Ctr | 312,176 | 4,795 | 316,971 | 233,369 | 15,000 | 248,369 |  | Complete | 248,369 | 68,602 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-940 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Administration Building | 397,315 | 6,209 | 403,524 | 298,907 | 5,183 | 304,090 | - | Complete | 304,090 | 99,434 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-941 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Athletic Center | 65,721 | 85 | 65,806 | 66,000 |  | 66,000 |  | Complete | 66,000 | (194) | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-942 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Dryland Training Center | 116,506 | 1,827 | 118,333 | 23,261 | 51,012 | 74,273 | 8,823 | Bid Award | 83,096 | 35,237 | 89.4\% |
| NW | 95-943 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Tennis Center | 268,860 | 4,994 | 273,854 | 15,250 | 57,113 | 72,363 |  | Complete | 72,363 | 201,491 | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-944 | Structural Upgrades at Raleigh Swim Center | 4,481 | 6 | 4,487 | 5,703 | - | 5,703 | - | Complete | 5,703 | $(1,216)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-945 | Structural Upgrades at Somerset Swim Center | 8,962 | 12 | 8,974 | 9,333 | - | 9,333 |  | Complete | 9,333 | (359) | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-950 | Sunset Swim Center Structural Upgrades | 1,028,200 | 19,169 | 1,047,369 | 619,817 | 5,408 | 625,225 | - | Complete | 625,225 | 422,144 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-951 | Sunset Swim Center Pool Tank | 514,100 | 275 | 514,375 | 308,574 |  | 308,574 | - | Complete | 308,574 | 205,801 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total Facility Rehabilitation | 6,227,732 | 100,157 | 6,327,889 | 1,840,788 | 204,815 | 2,045,603 | 3,205,389 |  | 5,250,992 | 1,076,897 | 39.0\% |
|  |  | Facility Expansion and Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 95-952 | Elsie Stuhr Center Expansion \& Structural Improvements | 1,997,868 | 30,962 | 2,028,830 | 2,019,232 | 14,912 | 2,034,144 | - | Complete | 2,034,144 | $(5,314)$ | 100.0\% |
| sw | 95-953 | Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Expansion \& Splash Pad | 5,449,460 | 83,658 | 5,533,118 | 4,931,236 | 507,420 | 5,438,656 | - | Complete | 5,438,656 | 94,462 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 95-954 | Aloha ADA Dressing Rooms | 123,384 | 158 | 123,542 | 178,701 | - | 178,701 |  | Complete | 178,701 | $(55,159)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-955 | Aquatics Center ADA Dressing Rooms | 133,666 | 1,079 | 134,745 | 180,493 | - | 180,493 | - | Complete | 180,493 | $(45,748)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-956 | Athletic Center HVAC Upgrades | 514,100 | 654 | 514,754 | 321,821 |  | 321,821 | - | Complete | 321,821 | 192,933 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total Facility Expansion and Improvements | 8,218,478 | 116,511 | 8,334,989 | 7,631,483 | 522,332 | 8,153,815 | - |  | 8,153,815 | 181,174 | 100.0\% |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

## Through 11/30/2012




## MEMORANDUM

Date: November 27, 2012
To: Board of Directors
From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities
Re: $\quad$ System Development Charge Report for October 2012

Below please find the various categories for System Development Charges, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family, Manufactured Housing Unit, and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the 1.6\% handling fee for collections through October, 2012.

| Type of Dwelling Unit | Current SDC per Type of Dwelling Unit |
| :--- | ---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 5,299.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 5,214.22$ |
| Multi-Family | $\$ 3963.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 3,899.59$ |
| Non-residential | $\$ 137.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 134.81$ |


| City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2,537 | Single Family Units |
| 15 | Single Family Units at \$489.09 |
| 1,399 | Multi-family Units |
| 0 | Less Multi-family credits |
| 211 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{4 , 1 6 2}$ |  |


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 6,675,633.73$ | $\$ 193,312.94$ |  |
| $\$ 6,868,946.67$ |  |  |  |
| $\$ 7,336.35$ | $\$ 221.45$ |  | $\$ 7,557.80$ |
| $\$ 2,624,822.68$ | $\$ 80,892.66$ | $\$ 2,705,715.34$ |  |
| $(\$ 7,957.55)$ | $(\$ 229.36)$ | $(\$ 8,186.91)$ |  |
| $\$ 477,456.61$ | $\$ 14,367.00$ | $\$ 491,823.61$ |  |
| $\$ 9,777,291.82$ | $\$ 288,564.69$ | $\$ 10,065,856.51$ |  |


| Washington County Collection of SDCs |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 6,718 | Single Family Units |
| -300 | Less Credits |
| 1,885 | Multi-family Units |
| -24 | Less Credits |
| 100 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{8 , 3 7 9}$ |  |


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 527,968.92$ | $\$ 20,563,215.01$ |
| $(\$ 623,548.98)$ | $(\$ 19,285.02)$ | $(\$ 642,834.00)$ |
| $\$ 3,972,502.97$ | $\$ 116,841.25$ | $\$ 4,089,344.22$ |
| $(\$ 47,323.24)$ | $(\$ 1,463.61)$ | $(\$ 48,786.85)$ |
| $\$ 371,998.60$ | $\$ 8,041.55$ | $\$ 380,040.15$ |
| $\$ 23,708,875.44$ | $\$ 632,103.09$ | $\$ 24,340,978.53$ |


| Recap by Agency |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 4,162 | City of Beaverton |
| 8,379 | Washington County |
| $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 4 1}$ |  |

## Percent <br> 29.26\% <br> 70.74\% <br> 100.00\%

| Receipts | Collection Fee |  | Total Revenue |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 9,777,291.82$ | $\$ 288,564.69$ | $\$ 10,065,856.51$ |  |
| $\$ 23,708,875.44$ | $\$ 632,103.09$ | $\$ 24,340,978.53$ |  |
| $\$ 33,486,167.26$ | $\$ 920,667.78$ | $\$ 34,406,835.04$ |  |


| Recap by Dwelling | Single Family | $\underline{\text { Multi-Family }}$ | $\underline{\text { Non-Resident }}$ | $\underline{1,399}$ | $\underline{211}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Recap by Month, by Unit

through June, 2012
July
August

| Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Residential | Total Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8,856 | 3,256 | 307 | 12,419 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 26 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| 37 | 4 | 1 | 42 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathbf{3 , 2 6 0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8 , 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 4 1}$ |  |

Projected SDC balance as of June 30, 2012 per the budget was $\$ 2,957,793$. Actual balance was $\$ 3,170,647$
This fiscal year's projected total receipts per the budget are $\$ 2,721,804$.

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

## Registration for winter classes begins December 8 at 8 a.m.

- Hundreds of fun activities for all ages
- Swim lessons
- Dance, gymnastics and sports for children


Nature programs
See our activities guide at www.thprd.org or call 503/645-6433

Adult fitness


Classes for 55+


With special guest vocalist -Shirley Nanette
Handbell prelude at 6:10pm
Big Horn Brass at 6:30pm
Sunday, December 9
St Matthew Lutheran Church
10390 SW Canyon Road in Beaverton
Sponsored by THPRD \& the Erik Weswig Memorial Fund
Consecting
Peopie patis - Peopple. Parks
\& Nature \& Nature

This is a free community event. Listen at: bighornbrassholidayconcert.com

## Bethany-area residents asked for comments

The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District has scheduled an open house to hear comments from Bethany-area residents about a proposed new segment of the Westside Regional Trail.
The open house will be from 6 to 7:30 p.m. Wednesday, Dec. 12, in the Dryland Training Center at 15707 S.W. Walker Road, Beaverton. It will include a presentation by park district staff.
The proposed segment, to be designed and built by the district in partnership with the Oregon Department of Transportation and Bonneville Power Administration, will be an important link in the regional trail system.
It will provide a connection between the Rock Creek Regional Trail and Northwest Kaiser Road and connections to adjacent neighborhoods and the Hansen Ridge Park. The new trail will be located along the existing BPA corridor south of Kaiser Woods Natural Area near Bethany.

Design and construction of the three-quarter-mile trail segment will be funded by Metro, the park district and ODOT. The 10 -foot-wide design will accommodate cyclists and pedestrians and include a bridge over Bronson Creek and boardwalks to span wetlands.

Final design and permitting of the trail is expected to be completed in early 2014, with trail construction anticipated in summer 2014.

# Park district's new hire to develop local partnerships 

Beaverton Valley Times
The Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District hired Geoff Roach, who currently serves as Oregon director for the Trust for Public Land, as its director of community partnerships.
In his new role, which he starts on Feb. 19, 2013, Roach will build on the district's relationships with Beaverton-area stakeholders to help develop corporate and other public/private partnerships to supplement the district's primary funding sources. He will also assist the nonprofit Tualatin Hills Park Foundation in its ef-
forts to support district programs and projects.
Roach has worked with the public land trust for 17 years, with nine of those spent as its director. His primary role was to raise capital for land conservation in the state, including sites in the Columbia River Gorge and Hell's Canyon in northeastern Oregon, and to connect Oregonians to nature through parks, trails and natural areas.
"Geoff's commitment to parks, the vital role they play in building healthy, attractive communities now and for future generations makes him a valuable addition to our team,"
said Doug Menke, general manager of the park district. "With the groundwork already established by the district and Geoff's expertise in this area, we believe we will be able to achieve more positive results for our park users."
Roach has spent 25 years in the nonprofit sector supporting parks, trails and natural areas. During this time, he has successfully developed partnerships with nonprofit, for-profit and community-based collaborators.

He is a board member of the Portland Park Foundation and a founding member of the Intertwine Alliance.

# Checking in with 2012's stories 

Sports, schools and parks figured large in Washington County events this past year

Beaverton
Progress slow on disabled athletes' field

Plans to build an outdoor field for athletes with disabilities at Somerset West Park, the first of its kind in Oregon, are moving slower than hoped, and full funding is not yet in place.

The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District said in August that a master plan for the six-acre park north of U.S. 26 would be presented to the public by the end of the year. It will probably be early 2013 before public meetings are held, said BobWayt, spokesman for the district.

The district authorized a \$496,500 contract in September with architecture firm 2.ink Studio to design the park. Once the master plan is finalized, it could be brought to the district for approval in the spring.

Around $\$ 1.5$ million has been allocated from the district's 2008 bond measure to pay for the park upgrades, and the Tualatin Hills Park Foundation is expected to raise between $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 2.1$ million for the project, according to a September staff report. The full cost is estimated to be around $\$ 4.4$ million, according to the report.

To cover the difference, the project could be built in phases, or bond money from other areas could be reallocated toward the park, the report said.

Once completed, the park will include a baseball field with dugouts, restrooms bleachers and seating areas that can accommodate people using wheelchairs, walkers and crutches. The site could also include other sports fields.

- Nicole Friedman,
The Oregonian


## Beaverton

Park district puts the bite on snapping turtles
After nearly a decade of effort, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District appears to have evicted most of the snapping turtles that took over the pond at Koll Center Wetlands.

Volunteers and district staff captured five snappers between May and early July as female turtles looked for nesting areas in the business park's landscaping around the pond. Officials also collected 47 turtle eggs.
After the laying season, park ranger Kyle Spinks said, a late-summer trapping effort yielded none of the large male turtles caught in previous years.
"We're actually knocking out the larger turtles," Spinks said.
Snapping turtles are an invasive species that harm native animals. The Koll Center turtles, along with other snappers found in the Fanno Creek watershed, are thought to be descendants of pets that were illegally released in the 1980s or earlier.

Spinks rounded up a sixth turtle off Southwest Barrows Road in Tigard, and Beaverton police officers captured


BRENT WOJAHN/THE OREGONIAN
Beaverton police captured this snapping turtle in June and turned it over to the House of Reptiles pet shop in Tigard.
one near Hiteon Meadows Park. Both captures were near forks of Summer Creek, a tributary of Fanno Creek. Most of the captured turtles are euthanized, although the one police nabbed went to lockdown at the House of Reptiles in Tigard, which has a permit to keep snappers.

Spinks said volunteers would continue to monitor Koll Center for turtles during nesting seasons but that he would cut back trapping to every third summer. The district next year will begin habitat restoration work at Koll Center to make the wetlands more suitable for native species, including Oregon's own turtles.

- Eric Apalategui,

Special to The Oregonian
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