# Board of Directors Regular Meeting <br> Monday, November 7, 2016 <br> 6:00 pm Executive Session; 7:30 pm Regular Meeting HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 

## AGENDA

1. Executive Session*
A. Personnel
B. Legal
C. Land
2. Call Regular Meeting to Order
3. Action Resulting from Executive Session
4. Audience Time**
5. Board Time
6. Consent Agenda***
A. Approve: Minutes of October 11, 2016 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: HMT Field \#2 Synthetic Turf Field Contract
E. Approve: Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution
7. Unfinished Business
A. Information: General Manager's Report
8. New Business
A. Review: Somerset West Park Master Plan
9. Adjourn
*Executive Session: Executive Sessions are permitted under the authority of ORS 192.660. Copies of the statute are available at the offices of Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District. **Public Comment/Audience Time: If you wish to be heard on an item not on the agenda, or a Consent Agenda item, you may be heard under Audience Time with a 3-minute time limit. If you wish to speak on an agenda item, also with a 3-minute time limit, please wait until it is before the Board. Note: Agenda items may not be considered in the order listed. ***Consent Agenda: If you wish to speak on an agenda item on the Consent Agenda, you may be heard under Audience Time. Consent Agenda items will be approved without discussion unless there is a request to discuss a particular Consent Agenda item. The issue separately discussed will be voted on separately. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this material, in an alternate format, or special accommodations for the meeting, will be made available by calling 503-645-6433 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

MEMO

DATE: October 31, 2016
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: $\quad$ Information Regarding the November 7, 2016 Board of Directors Meeting

## Agenda Item \#6 - Consent Agenda

Attached please find consent agenda items \#6A-E for your review and approval.
Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#6A-E as submitted:
A. Approve: Minutes of October 11, 2016 Regular Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: HMT Field \#2 Synthetic Turf Field Contract
E. Approve: Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution

## Agenda Item \#7 - Unfinished Business

A. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the November regular board meeting.

## Agenda Item \#8 - New Business

A. Somerset West Park Master Plan

Attached please find a memo requesting board of directors' review of the Somerset West Park master plan. Tim Bonnin, park planner, along with René Brucker, park planner, and Melinda Graham with 2ink Studios, the project consultant, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the master plan and answer any questions the board may have.

## Action Requested: No formal action is requested. Staff requests board of directors' review and comment on the preferred Somerset West Park master plan and phase 1 of the master plan.

Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board
- Monthly Capital Report
- Monthly Bond Capital Report
- System Development Charge Report
- Newspaper Articles

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, October 11, 2016, at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon. Executive Session 6 pm; Regular Meeting 7 pm.

Present:
Jerry Jones Jr. President/Director
Ali Kavianian
Bob Scott
John Griffiths
Larry Pelatt
Doug Menke

Secretary/Director

Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director
Director
Director
General Manager
Agenda Item \#1 - Executive Session (A) Personnel (B) Land
President Jerry Jones Jr. called executive session to order for the following purposes:

- To consider the employment of a public officer, employee, staff member or individual agent, and
- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
Executive session is held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(a)and(e), which allows the board to meet in executive session to discuss the aforementioned issues.

President Jones noted that representatives of the news media and designated staff may attend executive session. Representatives of the news media were specifically directed not to disclose information discussed during executive session. No final action or final decision may be made in executive session. At the end of executive session, the board returned to open session and welcomed the audience into the room.

Agenda Item \#2 - Call Regular Meeting to Order
The Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was called to order by President Jerry Jones Jr. on Tuesday, October 11, 2016, at 7:30 pm.

Agenda Item \#3 - Action Resulting from Executive Session
Bob Scott moved that the board of directors authorize staff to acquire a property in the southwest quadrant of the district for the appraised value, using bond natural resource funds, subject to the standard due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Ali Kavianian Yes
John Griffiths Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Ali Kavianian moved that the board of directors authorize staff to acquire a property in the southwest quadrant of the district for the appraised value, using system development charge funds, subject to the standard due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
John Griffiths Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Ali Kavianian Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Bob Scott moved that the board of directors authorize staff to acquire a property in the northwest quadrant of the district for the appraised value, using system development charge funds, subject to the standard due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Ali Kavianian Yes

John Griffiths Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Agenda Item \#4 - Presentations
A. Oregon Chapter Public Risk Management Association (OR-PRIMA) Annual Achievement in Risk Management Award
Mark Hokkanen, Risk \& Contract Manager, introduced Bryan Aalberg, Risk Analyst for Washington County and OR-PRIMA board member, to present THPRD with the 2016 Annual Achievement in Risk Management Award for completing the prestigious Oregon OSHA Safety \& Health Achievement Recognition Program (SHARP) earlier this year.

Bryan noted that the Achievement in Risk Management Award is presented annually to a local public entity in Oregon in recognition of an outstanding achievement in risk management and that this year THPRD has been honored for the district's successful completion of the SHARP program. He noted that THPRD is the first park and recreation agency in the country to complete the SHARP program and the largest multi-site agency in the state. He commented that graduating from the SHARP program is a difficult process and quite an accomplishment, for which the OR-PRIMA board voted unanimously to recognize THPRD for these efforts.
$\checkmark$ President Jones complimented district staff on their efforts, noting that the board is proud of the district's SHARP program.

## B. City of Beaverton Public Safety Ballot Measure

Bob Wayt, director of Communications \& Outreach, introduced Jim McCreight, chair of Beaverton's Public Safety Center Advisory Committee, to give a presentation on the $\$ 35$ million bond measure the City of Beaverton has placed on the November 2016 ballot to fund a new public safety facility for the police department and emergency operations center.

Jim provided an overview of the facility that would be funded via the public safety bond measure and how the need for such a measure was evaluated, noting that the Beaverton Police Department's current building has been deemed unsafe during a seismic event. He noted that if there were a major disaster in the area, it would be necessary for the police headquarters and emergency operations staff to be able to respond to the needs of the citizens. In addition, he
noted that the passage of the bond measure would not result in any tax increase due to the expiration of the library bond measure.

President Jones noted that the campaign has sent him a draft resolution requesting the board's stated support of the bond measure, a copy of which has been entered into the record. He opened board discussion regarding whether the board is supportive of passing such a resolution. He noted that THPRD has multiple sites that would be used for community sheltering and gathering purposes should a catastrophic event occur, during which an operational police facility would be of benefit to the district's role during such an event.

Ali Kavianian noted that he has toured the current police facility and that in addition to safety concerns during a seismic event, the facility does not meet current federal standards in terms of the separation of criminal suspects from the general population, evidence storage, and the work space for officers is not suitable for privacy needs or productivity. He is in support of the bond measure and resolution.

John Griffiths inquired whether the new facility would be large enough to accommodate other agencies' operations in a catastrophic event.
$\checkmark$ Jim replied that there may be a small amount of space available for other operations within the building, but consideration had to be given to cost containment so there is not much extra space. For example, the initial desire was to include space for new court facilities as well, but that was cut from the proposal due to cost.

Bob Scott expressed support for the bond measure and resolution.
Larry Pelatt noted that the THPRD Board of Directors has traditionally not taken such action in the past in support of ballot measures and questions whether it is wise to start doing so now, although he is personally in support of the bond measure.

President Jones opened the floor for a motion.
Ali Kavianian moved that the board of directors accept the Resolution of the Tualatin
Hills Park and Recreation District Supporting Passage of the City of Beaverton's Bond

| Measure for an Earthquake-Resistant Public Safety Center. Bob Scott seconded the |
| :--- |
| motion. Roll call proceeded as follows: |
| John Griffiths Yes <br> Larry Pelatt No <br> Bob Scott Yes <br> Ali Kavianian Yes <br> Jerry Jones Jr. Yes <br> The motion was APPROVED by MAJORITY vote.  | l

## Agenda Item \#5 - Audience Time

There was no testimony during Audience Time.

## Agenda Item \#6 - Board Time

A. Advisory Committee Liaison Update

Ali Kavianian complimented staff on the Recreation Centers Standards Manual that was discussed at the Programs \& Events Advisory Committee meeting and which was more recently presented by district staff at the National Recreation \& Park Association annual conference.

President Jones reported on the Parks \& Facilities Advisory Committee, noting that the committee is very active participating in field trips. He is excited to see these volunteers so enthusiastically engaged.

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business (taken out of order)

## B. Cedar Hills Park Master Plan

Steve Gulgren, superintendent of Design \& Development, provided a brief overview of the memo included within the board of directors' information packet, noting that Cedar Hills Park is a community park redevelopment project funded via the district's 2008 Bond Measure. Staff is requesting board approval of the master plan in order to proceed with the detailed design, construction documents and permitting for the project. Steve summarized the first presentation of the Cedar Hills Park Master Plan to the board that occurred on August 16, 2016, noting that as a community park, Cedar Hills Park serves a more district-wide function than a neighborhood park would. Steve introduced Matt Kilmartin, park planner, and Jim Sandlin with MacKay+Sposito, the project consultant, to provide additional comments and answer any questions the board may have.

Matt described the public outreach process used in the development of the master plan, which included significant public outreach and agency engagement. Staff believes that the master plan being presented this evening is sensitive to the site and surrounding neighborhood, while also providing the program elements stated in the bond measure literature that best meets the needs of the community, Beaverton School District (BSD), and THPRD. He provided a brief overview of the shared transportation plan agreed upon by both THPRD and BSD for an access drive through the park connecting to the adjacent William Walker Elementary School, which was a condition of THPRD's intergovernmental agreement with BSD as part of an earlier 1.6-acre land purchase for the park. He noted that many concessions were made through the master planning process to address community feedback that THPRD minimizes the impact to trees on site. Staff has been committed to finding the best overall balance between providing the needed recreational amenities and minimizing impact to the environment. Matt noted that district staff is seeking board approval of the preferred master plan alternative that includes a vegetated buffer parallel to the community garden and offered to answer any questions the board may have.

President Jones asked how many versions of the master plan were reviewed and modified before seeking board approval.
$\checkmark$ Matt replied two master plan concepts were developed in 2014, followed by a preferred master plan in June 2016, along with a number of different layout schematics. The master plan being presented this evening is the fourth formal master plan iteration.

John Griffiths asked whether residents would have access to the play equipment located at William Walker Elementary School.
$\checkmark$ General Manager Doug Menke confirmed that general public access would be permitted outside of school hours.
John inquired if there will be a shared parking agreement between the districts for both sites.
$\checkmark$ Steve confirmed this.
John asked whether different sports could be played on the athletic field simultaneously.
$\checkmark$ Matt replied that practice allows more flexibility than games. For game events, the field would accommodate a full-size soccer field with minimal end runouts, a full-size U14 soccer field with full runouts, or a full-size baseball field.
$\checkmark$ Doug added, depending on the age category, two or more youth soccer fields could be accommodated for games.

President Jones opened the floor for public testimony.

Bill Kanable, 8130 SW Sorrento Road, Beaverton, is before the board of directors this evening in support of the Cedar Hills Park master plan. Although he is disappointed to see that the master plan presented has a reduced field size as compared to earlier iterations, he believes it represents a reasonable accommodation. As a coach and coordinator for soccer, it is challenging to find enough facilities to serve the amount of youth interested in the program. He is pleased to have an additional field available, noting that it will be especially useful in accommodating practice play. In addition, the field will meet the obligations set forth by THPRD in fulfilling the 2008 Bond Measure. The other amenities proposed, such as the community garden and splash pad, will also be of value to the community as a whole. Lastly, he commented that although some residents may be opposed to the master plan as proposed, he believes that once the project is completed it will be seen as a gem within THPRD's offerings.

Nicholas Nelson, 2645 SW 121st Place, Beaverton, is before the board of directors this evening in opposition to the Cedar Hills Park master plan as presented. As a neighbor of the park, he is concerned about the amount of traffic that will be brought through the site. He noted that the current master plan places a busy road in the middle of the park that would increase traffic noise for surrounding neighbors, as well as impact a significant tree grove by removing 100 trees. In addition, the project is already $\$ 3$ million over budget. He believes many of his neighbors feel similarly and he has organized a petition against inclusion of the roadway, which 220 residents have signed. He commented that the community expects greenspace in their parks and that the master plan proposed has less than $50 \%$ greenspace, replaced instead by a synthetic turf field, parking lots and a roadway. Lastly, there are significant drainage issues on the site, which may contribute to flooding in the area. He requested that the board of directors reject the Cedar Hills Park master plan before them this evening.

Shelli Kime, 9530 SW Taylor Street, Portland, is before the board of directors this evening in support of the Cedar Hills Park master plan. She works for a non-profit, youth recreational soccer program and although she is sensitive to the neighborhood's concerns regarding changes to the park, she is in support of the project as it will provide valuable amenities for an increasingly dense area. She is hopeful that once the project is completed, that the neighbors currently opposed will end up enjoying the site and the recreational opportunities available. She noted that as the Beaverton area becomes more densely populated and children have increasing amounts of screen time, projects such as this become even more critical. She is particularly excited to see an athletic field included in the plan since the soccer program she is involved in is close to capping participants as they are unable to accommodate the growing interest. She encouraged the board of directors to adopt the Cedar Hills Park master plan before them this evening.

Mick Byrnes, 15145 NW Blaze Terrace, Beaverton, is before the board of directors this evening in support of the Cedar Hills Park master plan. He noted that he lives next to the HMT Recreation Complex and enjoys having such a great facility so close to him. He has lived there long enough to see many changes happen at the complex and although at times he has been impacted, the positives have outweighed the negatives. He does not believe that the increase in traffic to Cedar Hills Park will be as bad as some are thinking, especially since the school traffic already exists. Through his service on the Cedar Mill Little League board of directors, as well as Sunset Youth Football, and as a coach for multiple sports, he is well-aware of the need for additional sports facilities in the area. Having a new, multi-use, synthetic turf facility available would be a great benefit to these programs by increasing the amount of field usage time, decreasing maintenance costs, and keeping participants out of muddy fields. While the new athletic field will be a benefit to the sports community, THPRD also did a good job in keeping the site as park-like as possible. He encouraged the board of directors to adopt the Cedar Hills Park master plan before them this evening.
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Kemp Shuey, 3080 SW $119^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Beaverton, is before the board of directors this evening as president of the William Walker Parent Teacher Club (PTC). He stated that the William Walker PTC is in support of the current master plan for the redevelopment of Cedar Hills Park. The current plan, which reflects two years of thorough public input, is in line with the THPRD's mission and the PTC appreciates the work done by staff in listening to the community and collaborating with partner agencies in order to present a plan that best meets the priorities of these stakeholders. The combination of the Cedar Hills Park project and the rebuilding of William Walker Elementary School will be very impactful to the students there. He noted that William Walker enjoys a fantastic community with a committed group of parents, faculty and administration and that the school boasts one of the most diverse student populations in the school district. This is a great strength, but also a challenge in that there are a high proportion of students from traditionally underserved communities. The combination of the two projects will have a tremendously positive impact on the students and families at William Walker, especially the creation of the shared playground and long-needed access to greenspace. Presently the students at William Walker do not have access to any greenspace dedicated for play, a feature that is considered standard for elementary schools. The new access point to the school will also be significant and will improve student safety. The William Walker PTC strongly encourages the board of directors to adopt the Cedar Hills Park master plan before them this evening.

Jen Holloway Smith, 12525 SW Foothill Drive, Portland, is before the board of directors this evening in support of the Cedar Hills Park master plan. She is one of the members of the community who created a petition urging THPRD to move forward with the redevelopment of Cedar Hills Park. As she was gathering signatures, she estimates that $97 \%$ of those she spoke with were positive about the park project and excited for its completion. She agreed with previous testimony that the park will be especially valuable to the children in the area that do not currently have many other recreational opportunities. She commented that the voters who approved the 2008 Bond Measure are eagerly awaiting these improvements and encouraged the board of directors to adopt the Cedar Hills Park master plan before them this evening.

Evelyn Brzezinski, 11795 SW Lynnfield Lane, Portland, is before the board of directors this evening in support of the Cedar Hills Park master plan. She complimented staff on hearing out neighborhood concerns regarding the potential for dangerous cut-through traffic that could arise if a roadway was developed connecting Lynnfield Lane to Cedar Hills Boulevard. She also commended staff for working collaboratively with BSD to develop an integrated plan for the park and redevelopment of William Walker Elementary School. Although she agrees with neighborhood sentiment that the intersection at Lynnfield and Walker Roads needs to be upgraded, she does not believe that this should be the responsibility of THPRD or BSD as the proposed access drive through the park should lessen the traffic experienced by that intersection. Instead, she asks the neighborhood to become more actively engaged with Washington County in encouraging them to prioritize the rebuilding of that intersection. Lastly, she has learned that the access drive through the park would have bollards prohibiting cutthrough traffic, but that those bollards may be removed for special events. She requested additional information regarding what would qualify as a special event and how often the barriers are expected to be disabled. With that caveat, she encouraged the board of directors to adopt the Cedar Hills Park master plan before them this evening.

Earl \& Dorothy Ellis, 396 SW Frenwood Way, Beaverton, are before the board of directors this evening in opposition to the Cedar Hills Park master plan as presented. They noted that in lieu of using street-side property located on Lynnfield Lane next to William Walker Elementary School, THPRD is choosing to remove 100 old-growth trees for a roadway through the park. They urged the board to revise the master plan in order to retain the trees, noting that the THPRD's mission statement includes a provision to protect natural areas. They asserted that
there are other routes for the access drive that would not impact the tree grove, noting that removing the trees takes away from the entire community in order to serve a small segment of the population via youth sports, which has other similar facilities already available. Lastly, they commented that the more parking that is provided, the more crime will be attracted to the site.

Phil Schwyhart, 12720 SW Havencrest Street, Portland, is before the board of directors this evening as a board member for the Portland Bocce League. He requested that consideration be given to modifying the current master plan in order to include an additional bocce court and to make the courts competition grade. He noted that in order to hold tournaments at the site, four bocce courts are needed built to the appropriate standards.

John Griffiths asked for additional information regarding the tree count for the site currently and after implementation of the preferred master plan.
$\checkmark$ Matt described the composition of the tree grove, noting that it is predominantly comprised of fir trees with a mix of other landscape trees. The grove is approximately 245 trees and makes up about $93 \%$ of the trees on site. Over the evolution of the master plan design, the impact to the trees has been reduced from a range of 107 to 124 of total trees on site to 94 total trees, of which 64 trees are within the grove.
John asked whether THPRD would be required to replant trees on site.
$\checkmark$ Matt noted that, per city code, THPRD would be required to plant street trees along the frontages for Cedar Hills Boulevard and Walker Road, as well as along the parking lots and access drive. There will also be screening trees planted around the park perimeter. Based on the code requirement, an estimated 162 trees would be replanted and there are additional opportunities for planting landscape trees.

John asked how traffic would access William Walker Elementary School if THPRD did not install the access drive through Cedar Hills Park.
$\checkmark$ Matt replied that the current access to the school is from Walker Road to Lynnfield Lane. John asked whether the student population is expected to expand once the school is rebuilt.
$\checkmark$ Steve confirmed that the student population is expected to grow by over 100 students. John commented that Lynnfield Lane would have a considerable offload of traffic as a result of the access road. He asked for confirmation that the access road was a condition of the agreement with BSD in selling the additional land to THPRD.
$\checkmark$ Steve confirmed this.
John concluded that BSD is the driver for the access road through Cedar Hills Park.
Larry Pelatt inquired which agency would determine when to remove the safety bollards blocking the potential for continuous traffic from Lynnfield Lane to Cedar Hills Boulevard.
$\checkmark$ Steve replied that removal of the bollards for purposes other than emergencies would be determined by BSD as the bollards are on their property. This question has been brought up at neighborhood meetings and BSD is aware of the need to clarify the criteria for removal of the bollards.
$\checkmark$ Keith Hobson, director of Business \& Facilities, added that there may be an opportunity for THPRD to request removal of the bollards for special events, but permission would need to be granted by BSD. Removal of the bollards would not be an ordinary function as there will be pedestrian access between the school and park parking lots so it is hard to conceive of a reason for removal of the bollards other than emergency vehicle access.

Larry referenced the tree grove on site and recalled seeing numerous fallen limbs after a recent windstorm, which indicates that some of the trees may not be healthy. In addition, the number of trees removed will be replaced. He inquired whether the bocce courts could be moved in order
to impact fewer significant trees, but not in a way that would be significantly more expensive, require a major master plan reconfiguration, or be a detriment to the bocce courts.
$\checkmark$ Steve noted that the bocce location could be reconsidered for the open space area near the community gardens.
$\checkmark$ Matt added that one of the reasons the bocce courts are sited at the location noted within the preferred master plan is that it is a naturally thinner portion of the tree grove. Staff anticipates removing up to four, smaller trees by placing the bocce courts there.

President Jones commented that he is happy to see that the sand volleyball courts have been retained through this process, noting that there are not many other such courts in THPRD. He asked what sports are being considered for the sport court near Cedar Hills Boulevard.
$\checkmark$ Steve replied that the particular sport has not yet been identified.
Jerry requested that consideration be given to methods to keep balls from leaving the sport court and entering Cedar Hills Boulevard.

President Jones commented on the extensive community outreach process that took place in developing this master plan, noting that he believes that the master plan being presented this evening represents a good compromise between the needs of THPRD and the desires of the surrounding neighborhood. He stated that he would entertain a motion.

Bob Scott moved that the board of directors approve the preferred Cedar Hills Park master plan. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
John Griffiths Yes
Ali Kavianian Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda
John Griffiths moved that the board of directors remove item (D) SW Quadrant Community Park District-Purchased Infill Material from the consent agenda in order to be considered separately. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Bob Scott Yes

Ali Kavianian Yes
John Griffiths Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Larry Pelatt moved that the board of directors approve consent agenda items (A) Minutes of August 16, 2016 Regular Board Meeting and September 15, 2016 Special Board Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement, (E) City of Portland Bureau of Environmental Services Easement Request at Garden Home Recreation Center, and (F) Amendment to Intergovernmental Agreement with Beaverton School District for Cedar Hills Park. Ali Kavianian seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows: John Griffiths Yes
Bob Scott Yes Larry Pelatt Yes Ali Kavianian Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## D. SW Quadrant Community Park District-Purchased Infill Material

John Griffiths referenced the board's discussions over the past year and a half relating to health concerns that have been raised pertaining to the use of crumb rubber tire infill for synthetic turf fields. As a result, the board chose to provide an alternative infill material for the new synthetic turf field at Conestoga Middle School. In the meantime, the board is awaiting information or results from a study on this topic currently in process by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which is expected by the end of this calendar year. He inquired whether there is any new information available at this point as the district moves toward providing an alternative infill material for an additional synthetic turf field at SW Quadrant Community Park.
$\checkmark$ General Manager Doug Menke provided an overview of the EPA's current study in progress, noting that district staff has reached out to the EPA multiple times in order to offer THPRD facilities for testing purposes, as well as access to our public for the followup interview process planned. The EPA reengaged only five weeks ago, which was followed by active communication. District staff met with EPA representatives two weeks ago in order to do sample testing on HMT Recreation Complex Field \#2, which is the district's oldest field. At that time, the EPA representative commented that there was not adequate funding in order to test alternative infill materials. In addition, the EPA commented that there were 40 geographically dispersed test sites identified and that THPRD was one of the first samples gathered. The testing aspect of the second portion of the study includes access to youth participants which will also be geographically dispersed. Doug guessed that, based on the amount of work still left to be done, it is safe to assume that the EPA will not have any definitive answers available by the end of the year. He explained that the action being requested this evening is based on the acknowledgement that there likely will be no new information by the end of the year, combined with the need to lock pricing in for the alternative infill due to a varying supply line. The alternative infill would still be available after this point, but at a higher price.

John asked whether the alternative infill product has experienced a recent price increase.
$\checkmark$ Doug confirmed this, noting that the most dramatic price fluctuation will occur when the EPA eventually makes an announcement on any health impacts to using crumb rubber. He explained that the district's current supplier of alternative infill has experienced an increase in demand for the product and has also enlarged their capacity.

Bob Scott inquired whether any other park districts have moved away from use of the traditional crumb rubber tire infill.
$\checkmark$ Doug replied that he is aware of some school districts having moved away from the traditional infill material, but not any other park districts, yet. He noted that recent conversations with synthetic turf suppliers have changed in terms of their knowledge of this subject matter.

John asked for confirmation that there is no new definitive information at this point in order to help inform the board's decision.
$\checkmark$ Doug confirmed this.
Larry Pelatt commented that whether to use the traditional crumb rubber tire infill versus an alternative infill is not a fact-based decision at this point since there are no facts yet available as to the safety of the product, although there is anecdotal evidence. Choosing an alternative infill is an emotionally-based decision and he does not believe it is the best use of taxpayer funds.

John commented that although he wishes there was greater clarity available on this subject, that is not yet the case and he believes the district should stay the course for now.

Bob Scott commented that his position has not changed since the last time the board had this discussion. He believes that choosing to use an alternative infill product is essentially an insurance policy. He has had parents seek him out to thank him for supporting this choice.

Ali Kavianian noted that having insurance can also be good stewardship of taxpayer dollars.
President Jones commented that he sees the decision to use an alternative infill not as an emotionally-based one, but rather as a risk-based assessment. He speculated that the private industry is working hard to attempt to prove that crumb rubber tire infill is indeed safe, which has not yet happened. The board made a commitment to this course of action absent the availability of firm data on the topic. He is encouraged to know that the EPA is moving forward with their study and is happy to hear that THPRD is active in that process.

John Griffiths moved that board of directors approve consent agenda item (D) SW Quadrant Community Park District-Purchased Infill Material. Bob Scott seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

| Ali Kavianian | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Larry Pelatt | Yes |
| John Griffiths | Yes |
| Bob Scott | Yes |
| Jerry Jones Jr. | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business

A. Champions Too Fundraising

Geoff Roach, director of Community Partnerships, provided an update regarding the fundraising effort for park features that will ensure SW Quadrant Community Park is accessible and welcoming to people of all abilities. Geoff provided a detailed PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, regarding campaign activity to date, the August groundbreaking event, and the campaign's next steps. Over $\$ 1,400,000$ is pledged or booked to date with an additional $\$ 166,000$ needed in order to complete the capital portion of the campaign. In 2017, the campaign expects to direct fundraising efforts toward startup programming at the new park in support of people with disabilities. Geoff offered to answer any questions the board may have.

John Griffiths complimented Geoff and all involved on the fundraising efforts. He asked when Geoff anticipates securing the additional \$166,000 needed.
$\checkmark$ Geoff replied that new opportunities are being explored in order to secure the last dollars needed. However, once the campaign moves to the programming piece, there are new avenues to pursue and foundations that offer grants for programming rather than capital.

President Jones marveled at the progression of this campaign which began as the desire to fund a single athletic field and has since transformed into a whole new program area for the district of Access for All. He thanked Geoff for his efforts and expressed anticipation for what the district is going to be able to provide for its community through the Access for All program.

## C. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan

Aisha Panas, director of Park \& Recreation Services, introduced Gery Keck, Facilities \& Project Manager, and Tim Gilbert with MIG, the project consultant, to provide an overview of the district's Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Access Audit and Transition Plan currently underway.

Gery provided a brief overview of the memo included within the board of directors' information packet, noting that there is no formal board action requested this evening. Staff intends to continue the public outreach process for this project, including a recent meeting with the Elsie Stuhr Center Friends Group, a presentation at the upcoming joint advisory committee meeting, as well as information posted and comments taken via the district's website. Staff anticipates returning to the board at the December meeting to request acknowledgement of the plan.

Tim provided a detailed overview of the access audit and transition plan process and findings via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, and which included background information regarding the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title II transition plan requirements, the district's process and schedule for the update, as well as the expectations and schedule for removing the identified access barriers district-wide. Tim and Gery offered to answer any questions the board may have.

Larry Pelatt expressed support for the strategy in addressing the access barriers identified, noting that he appreciates that many of the barriers will be addressed through routine maintenance projects over time.

## D. General Manager's Report

General Manager Doug Menke provided an overview of his General Manager's Report included within the board of directors' information packet, including the following:

- General Obligation Bonds Refinancing
- Keith Hobson, director of Business \& Facilities, announced that a portion of the district's 2011 General Obligation Bonds are in the process of being refinanced with a present value savings of $\$ 723,055$ or $8.4 \%$ of the face value of the total series refinanced. With the savings generated on the original issue of the bond and the subsequent refinancings, the total debt service costs paid by district residents has been reduced by $\$ 31$ million, or a savings of $42 \%$ from the amount projected in the original bond levy materials.
- 2016 FACT Partner Award (Family and Community Together)
- Aisha Panas, director of Park \& Recreation Services, announced that THPRD has been chosen to receive a 2016 FACT Partner Award. FACT Oregon is a family leadership organization for individuals and their families experiencing disabilities.
- Board of Directors Meeting Schedule
- The board of directors agreed to move their November meeting to Monday, November 7.
Doug offered to answer any questions the board may have.
John Griffiths expressed the need to highly publicize the amount of tax dollars that the public has saved due to the district's expert management in the financing of the 2008 Bond Measure.
$\checkmark$ General Manager Doug Menke agreed and acknowledged Keith's efforts in this area, noting that Keith has positioned the district well for these savings by securing an exemplary bond rating for the district.


## Agenda Item \#9 - New Business

A. Recommended Goal Outcomes for Fiscal Year 2017/18 Planning and Budgeting General Manager Doug Menke introduced Seth Reeser, Operations Analysis manager, to provide an overview of the memo included within the board of directors' information packet regarding the recommended goal outcomes for fiscal year 2017/18.

Seth provided an overview of the recommended goal outcomes for fiscal year 2017/18, noting that an update on the district's Strategic Plan and Service and Financial Sustainability Plan was provided at the board's August meeting and that this is the next step in approving specific focus areas for the upcoming budget cycle. Of the 60 goal outcomes approved last year, only seven will remain priority outcomes for the upcoming year with an additional 16 new priority outcomes for a total of 23, which are included within the board of directors' information packet. The measures that will no longer be a part of the goal outcomes will be incorporated into the budget process as key performance indicators. As the priority focus of the district changes, some of those measures might be brought back.

Seth explained that the recommended goal outcomes for fiscal year 2017/18 focus on specific areas: grants and grant acquisitions, land acquisition, branding and public communications, as well as spending down the remaining natural resource bond funds. The performance measures included within the goal outcomes are related to these areas.

Seth provided an overview of two significant modifications from last year's goal outcomes: the format changed to classify measures into five categories based on performance measurement best practices; and, the number of measures has been reduced from 60 in FY 2016/17 to 23 for FY 2017/18. An update on last year's business plans, along with the final actuals for FY 2015/16 and an update on the current year's business plans will be included within the midyear budget information. Upon approval of the proposal this evening, staff will formulate business plans to achieve these objectives. Seth noted that the action requested this evening is board approval of the goal outcomes for priority performance metrics for use in the fiscal year 2017/18 planning and budgeting process and offered to answer any questions the board may have.

Bob Scott asked for clarification regarding the board being asked to approve new outcome measures that are still in the process of being developed. He asked at what point the board would see those measurements in order to provide their feedback.
$\checkmark$ Seth replied that all of the information that can be quantified at the time will be provided as part of the midyear budget update.

Bob Scott moved that the board of directors approve the goal outcomes for priority performance metrics for use in the fiscal year 2017/18 planning and budgeting process. Ali Kavianian seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Larry Pelatt Yes

John Griffiths Yes
Ali Kavianian Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#10 - Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 pm.

| Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,335.00 |
|  | 1,000.00 |
| \$ | 3,335.00 |
|  | 93,005.00 |
| \$ | 93,005.00 |
|  | 19,800.00 |
| \$ | 19,800.00 |
|  | 90,250.00 |
|  | 7,377.45 |
| \$ | 97,627.45 |
|  | 3,590.54 |
|  | 6,148.00 |
| \$ | 9,738.54 |
|  | 24,538.50 |
|  | 1,117.50 |
|  | 1,662.50 |
| \$ | 27,318.50 |
|  | 41,384.06 |
|  | 619,277.90 |
|  | 2,470,288.00 |
|  | 466,975.07 |
|  | 10,628.29 |
|  | 5,000.00 |
|  | 3,546.50 |
|  | 7,150.00 |
| \$ | 3,624,249.82 |


|  | $209,427.50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $223,324.00$ |
|  | $1,869.80$ |
|  | $4,070.80$ |
|  | 449.75 |
| $\$$ | $439,141.85$ |
|  | 818.00 |
| $\$$ | 818.00 |
|  | $16,145.25$ |
|  | $31,374.94$ |
|  | $27,500.00$ |
|  | $13,710.11$ |
| $\$$ | $88,730.30$ |
|  | $29,044.00$ |
|  | $8,262.60$ |
|  | 984.00 |
|  | $38,290.60$ |
|  | $1,886.06$ |
|  | $1,886.06$ |


| Check \# | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 294140 | 09/28/2016 | Lawyers Title Insurance Corporation |  | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - SDC - Park Development/Improvement | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| 30994 | 09/10/2016 | Halo Branded Solutions, Inc. |  | 2,690.00 |
| 32027 | 09/17/2016 | American Institute of Graphic Art |  | 1,000.00 |
| 32089 | 09/17/2016 | GovernmentJobs.com, Inc. |  | 1,550.00 |
| ACH | 09/28/2016 | Nancy Noye |  | 1,259.11 |
|  |  | Conferences | \$ | 6,499.11 |
| 293334 | 09/06/2016 |  |  | 34,572.83 |
| 293837 | 09/16/2016 |  |  | 3,418.03 |
| 293923 | 09/23/2016 |  |  | 25,117.35 |
| 32289 | 09/30/2016 | NW Natural |  | 2,515.74 |
|  |  | Electricity | \$ | 65,623.95 |
| 293747 | 09/15/2016 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 210,135.75 |
| 294219 | 09/30/2016 | Kaiser Foundation Health Plan |  | 254,620.56 |
| 294220 | 09/30/2016 | Moda Health Plan, Inc. |  | 28,827.56 |
| 294223 | 09/30/2016 | Standard Insurance Co. |  | 13,316.36 |
|  |  | Employee Benefits | \$ | 506,900.23 |
| 293746 | 09/15/2016 | PacificSource Administrators, Inc. |  | 3,619.86 |
| 293748 | 09/15/2016 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 31,594.62 |
| 293749 | 09/15/2016 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 3,272.67 |
| 293752 | 09/15/2016 | Voya Retirement Insurance \& Annuity Co. |  | 9,060.00 |
| 293826 | 09/16/2016 | PacificSource Administrators, Inc. |  | 8,623.78 |
| 294222 | 09/30/2016 | PacificSource Administrators, Inc. |  | 4,319.61 |
| 294224 | 09/30/2016 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 34,553.91 |
| 294225 | 09/30/2016 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 3,272.67 |
| 294228 | 09/30/2016 | THPRD - Employee Assn. |  | 13,629.70 |
| 294229 | 09/30/2016 | UNUM Life Insurance-LTC |  | 1,300.50 |
| 294231 | 09/30/2016 | Voya Retirement Insurance \& Annuity Co. |  | 9,660.00 |
|  |  | Employee Deductions | \$ | 122,907.32 |
| 293333 | 09/06/2016 | NW Natural |  | 10,452.86 |
| 293922 | 09/23/2016 | NW Natural |  | 2,588.77 |
|  |  | Heat | \$ | 13,041.63 |
| 293245 | 09/02/2016 | Universal Whistles, LLC |  | 2,574.00 |
| 293931 | 09/23/2016 | Oregon ASA Softball, Inc. |  | 1,052.00 |
|  |  | Instructional Services | \$ | 3,626.00 |
| 293316 | 09/06/2016 | SimplexGrinnell LP |  | 2,191.40 |
| 30988 | 09/10/2016 | Christenson Electric, Inc. |  | 1,388.22 |
| 30992 | 09/10/2016 | Christenson Electric, Inc. |  | 2,766.59 |
| 31001 | 09/10/2016 | Christenson Electric, Inc. |  | 1,850.61 |
| 31006 | 09/10/2016 | Cantel Sweeping |  | 3,970.00 |
| 293803 | 09/15/2016 | SimplexGrinnell LP |  | 968.24 |
| 31177 | 09/17/2016 | East Penn Manufacturing Company, Inc. |  | 1,574.56 |
| 32023 | 09/17/2016 | Guaranteed Pest Control Service Co, Inc. |  | 1,711.00 |
| 32102 | 09/17/2016 | Apollo Drain \& Rooter Service, Inc. |  | 1,728.50 |
|  |  | Maintenance Services | \$ | 18,149.12 |


| Check \# | Check Date Vendor Name | Check Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 293320 | 09/06/2016 Step Forward Activities, Inc. | 9,730.00 |
| 30993 | 09/10/2016 Coastwide Laboratories | 1,391.11 |
| 30995 | 09/10/2016 Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. | 1,904.05 |
| 293797 | 09/15/2016 Pioneer Manufacturing Co. | 3,255.00 |
| ACH | 09/15/2016 ORCA Pacific, Inc. | 3,521.00 |
| 31098 | 09/17/2016 Coastwide Laboratories | 11,677.37 |
| 31101 | 09/17/2016 Coastwide Laboratories | 4,793.53 |
| 31109 | 09/17/2016 Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. | 6,125.03 |
| 31146 | 09/17/2016 Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. | 8,697.65 |
| 31380 | 09/17/2016 Coastwide Laboratories | 1,538.49 |
| 293930 | 09/23/2016 Northwest Aquatic Management, LLC. | 10,500.00 |
| 293936 | 09/23/2016 Pacific NW Natives, LLC | 1,706.06 |
| 294089 | 09/26/2016 Fazio Brothers Sand \& Gravel | 7,700.45 |
| 294096 | 09/26/2016 Lonnie Endicott Excavating | 1,750.00 |
|  | Maintenance Supplies | \$ 74,289.74 |
| 293783 | 09/15/2016 MSDS Online, Inc. | 1,999.00 |
|  | Miscellaneous Other Services | \$ 1,999.00 |
| 294084 | 09/26/2016 Cedar House Media, LLC | 2,890.53 |
|  | Printing \& Publication | \$ 2,890.53 |
| 293345 | 09/06/2016 Bullard Law, P.C. | 7,603.30 |
| 293363 | 09/06/2016 Jaime Valdez Photography | 1,700.00 |
| 293365 | 09/06/2016 Linda G. Laviolette | 2,775.00 |
| ACH | 09/15/2016 SWCA Environmental Consultants | 3,253.00 |
| 31210 | 09/17/2016 Portland PartyWorks, Inc. | 2,585.00 |
| ACH | 09/26/2016 Beery, Elsnor \& Hammond, LLP | 14,905.80 |
| 294111 | 09/28/2016 Compel Media, LLC | 1,650.00 |
|  | Professional Services | \$ 34,472.10 |
| 293240 | 09/02/2016 Oregon Fencing Alliance | 3,485.00 |
| 293243 | 09/02/2016 Starplex Corporation | 1,982.50 |
| 293246 | 09/02/2016 Youth Tech, Inc. | 7,560.00 |
| 293356 | 09/06/2016 Enchanted Forest, Inc. | 1,346.75 |
| 30990 | 09/10/2016 Kore Group | 2,808.00 |
| 293583 | 09/12/2016 Capital One Commercial | 2,464.46 |
| 293760 | 09/15/2016 American Chess Institute | 5,520.00 |
| 293761 | 09/15/2016 Beaverton School District \#48 | 9,308.25 |
| 293795 | 09/15/2016 Penhollow Promotions, LLC | 1,318.50 |
| 31072 | 09/17/2016 OfficeMax Incorporated | 1,527.86 |
| 31182 | 09/17/2016 Portland Kayak Company | 1,710.00 |
| 31688 | 09/17/2016 GISI Marketing Group | 242.00 |
| 31993 | 09/17/2016 DoBe, LLC | 1,478.00 |
| 294091 | 09/26/2016 City of Hillsboro | 2,492.00 |
|  | Program Supplies | \$ 43,243.32 |
| 32296 | 09/30/2016 Waste Management of Oregon | 9,695.09 |
|  | Refuse Services | \$ 9,695.09 |
| 293531 | 09/09/2016 Oregon Dept of Admin Service | 1,343.07 |
|  | Rental Equipment | \$ 1,343.07 |


| Check \# | Check Date Vendor Name | Check Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 293244 | 09/02/2016 Stew Dodge | 2,850.00 |
| 293340 | 09/06/2016 Barbur Blvd. Rentals, Inc. | 1,390.00 |
| 293354 | 09/06/2016 Edwards Enterprises | 2,045.60 |
| 293357 | 09/06/2016 Event Power \& Lighting, Inc. | 2,590.00 |
| 293360 | 09/06/2016 Horne Audio, Inc. | 4,944.85 |
| 293767 | 09/15/2016 Coalition of Communities of Color | 10,000.00 |
| 293771 | 09/15/2016 Edwards Enterprises | 2,557.00 |
| 293773 | 09/15/2016 Event Power \& Lighting, Inc. | 4,690.00 |
| 293781 | 09/15/2016 Metro Mountain Climbing | 1,665.00 |
| 293789 | 09/15/2016 Now Nerd Corporation | 5,250.00 |
| ACH | 09/23/2016 Smith Dawson \& Andrews | 3,000.00 |
| 294090 | 09/26/2016 GovernmentJobs.com, Inc. | 16,086.40 |
| 294119 | 09/28/2016 Event Power \& Lighting, Inc. | 5,178.00 |
|  | Technical Services | \$ 62,246.85 |
| 293358 | 09/06/2016 Executive Forum | 1,950.00 |
| 31000 | 09/10/2016 American Red Cross Health \& Safety Services | 1,323.00 |
| 31288 | 09/17/2016 Leadership Beaverton | 1,550.00 |
| 31717 | 09/17/2016 American Red Cross Health \& Safety Services | 6,450.00 |
|  | Technical Training | \$ 11,273.00 |
| 293919 | 09/23/2016 Electric Lightwave | 4,463.97 |
| 32291 | 09/30/2016 AT\&T Mobility | 8,115.64 |
|  | Telecommunications | \$ 12,579.61 |
| 293941 | 09/23/2016 THP Foundation | 1,796.20 |
|  | THPF Reimbursed Sales | \$ 1,796.20 |
| 294115 | 09/28/2016 Diesel Fuel Injector Services | 1,286.18 |
|  | Vehicle \& Equipment Services | \$ 1,286.18 |
| ACH | 09/06/2016 Marc Nelson Oil Products, Inc. | 2,767.46 |
| ACH | 09/23/2016 Marc Nelson Oil Products, Inc. | 2,491.39 |
|  | Vehicle Gas \& Oil | \$ 5,258.85 |
| 32297 | 09/30/2016 City of Beaverton | 24,090.11 |
| 32298 | 09/30/2016 Clean Water Services | 3,200.46 |
| 32299 | 09/30/2016 Tualatin Valley Water District | 95,637.36 |
| 32300 | 09/30/2016 West Slope Water District | 1,937.10 |
|  | Water \& Sewer | \$ 124,865.03 |
|  |  | \$ 5,568,927.05 |



## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary
September, 2016

| Current <br> Month | Year to Date | Prorated Budget | \% YTD to Prorated Budget | Full Fiscal Year Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Program Resources:
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers \& Programs
Sports Programs \& Field Rentals Natural Resources

Total Program Resources

| $\$$ | 126,194 | $\$$ | 714,792 | $\$$ | 951,210 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 49,069 | 207,056 |  | 219,473 | $94.1 \%$ |
|  | \$ | \$ | $3,038,333$ |  |  |
|  | 103,014 | $1,279,553$ |  | $1,169,838$ | $109.4 \%$ |
|  | 305,987 |  | 305,428 | $100.2 \%$ | $1,090,883$ |
| 17,354 | 89,182 | 73,136 | $121.9 \%$ | 392,278 |  |
|  | 577,384 | $2,596,571$ | $2,719,084$ | $95.5 \%$ | $11,080,840$ |

Other Resources:
Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Total Other Resources

## Total Resources

Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administration
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Programs \& Special Activities
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures
General Government Expenditures:
Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Capital Outlay
Contingency/Capital Replacement Reserve
$\quad$ Total Other Expenditures:

## Total Expenditures

## Revenues over (under) Expenditures

Beginning Cash on Hand
Ending Cash on Hand

| 15,651 | 44,066 | 33,892 | 130.0\% | 288,100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154,819 | 553,492 | 625,548 | 88.5\% | 2,379,289 |
| 1,587,778 | 4,675,146 | 5,333,697 | 87.7\% | 20,357,451 |
| 276,507 | 404,829 | 2,733,795 | 14.8\% | 7,458,717 |
| - | - | - | 0.0\% | 4,100,000 |
| 2,034,755 | 5,677,534 | 8,726,933 | 65.1\% | 34,583,557 |
| \$ 3,258,824 | \$10,356,314 | \$ 13,995,168 | 74.0\% | \$51,696,104 |
| \$ $(2,578,181)$ | \$ $(7,461,323)$ | \$ (10,931,395) | 68.3\% | \$ (8,528,085) |
|  | 9,261,832 | 8,528,085 | 108.6\% | 8,528,085 |
|  | \$ 1,800,509 | \$ (2,403,310) | -74.9\% | \$ |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary

September, 2016

## General Fund Resources



General Fund Expenditures
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## MEMO

DATE: October 21,2016
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities
RE: $\quad$ HMT Field \#2 Synthetic Turf Field Contract
Introduction
Staff are requesting board of directors' approval to award the contract for the synthetic turf replacement on HMT Field \#2 to FieldTurf in the amount of $\$ 390,150$.

## Background

The current synthetic turf on HMT Field \#2 was installed in October 2006, and has reached the end of its service life. After installation in 2006, the field had an estimated eight-year life and we have been able to extend that life for an additional two years.

On March 2, 2015, the board of directors approved FieldTurf as the exclusive synthetic turf supplier and installer for a five-year product and service contract with the district, and authorized staff to negotiate the terms of and execute a contract.

At the January 12, 2016, regular board meeting, the board of directors was presented with a memo that outlined tire rubber and non-tire rubber infill materials available to the district for future synthetic turf field installations. Staff were directed to procure a source other than recycled tire rubber (generally shoe rubber) for upcoming projects. In August 2016, staff procured Sole Revolution shoe rubber infill material from Sustainable Performance Solutions for \$138,510.

The Adopted Budget Fiscal Year 2016/17 includes appropriations of \$575,000 in the General Fund Capital Replacement Program to fund this replacement. The combined amount from the infill material and FieldTurf's proposed contract is $\$ 528,660$ and will leave a project contingency of $\$ 46,340$.

Staff anticipate the replacement project to begin in January 2017, and be completed by the beginning of March 2017.

## Proposal Request

Staff are requesting board of directors' approval to award the contract for the synthetic turf replacement on HMT Field \#2 to FieldTurf under the terms of the five-year exclusive provider agreement. The project includes the removal and disposal of the existing turf and infill material, minor base work as required, providing and installing the FieldTurf 2" Classic product with inlaid soccer and lacrosse lines, a final G-max test and As-Built survey. The requested contract amount of $\$ 390,150$ is consistent with the unit price in the five-year agreement plus the additional work items noted above.

## Benefits of Proposal

Replacing the existing synthetic turf on HMT Field \#2 will provide a high-quality playing surface to serve the needs of our sports user groups for the next 8 to 10 years.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of the following items:

1. Approval of the quote for synthetic turf replacement on HMT Field \#2 by FieldTurf for the amount of $\$ 390,150$; and
2. Authorization for the general manager or his designee to execute the contract.
[6E]

DATE:
October 25, 2016
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution

## Introduction

Staff are seeking board of directors' approval for the Service District Initiated Annexation resolution. With board approval, staff will work to submit the annexation application packet to Washington County for processing.

## Background

Oregon Revised Statue (ORS) section 198.850(3) authorizes initiation of annexation into a special district by resolution of the district's board of directors. In accordance with Washington County Comprehensive Plan Policy 15(h), annexations to special service districts are consistent with the Washington County Comprehensive Plan if they are:

- Consistent with urban service agreements; or
- Where no urban service agreement applies, the service district is the designated party pursuant to ORS 195.020 and the district has a master plan for the area.

Washington County Comprehensive Plan Policy 15(q) identifies the district as the park provider for unincorporated areas of Washington County. The properties proposed to be annexed are within the unincorporated area of the county and subject to the county and district's Comprehensive Plans.

In 2005, the district began a Service District Initiated Annexation (SDIA) program. This program is also referred to as the Voluntary Annexation Program (VAP), as annexation pursuant to this program is voluntary, not compulsory. The VAP is offered to all property owners in the district's ultimate service boundary whose property is not currently within the district. The district has offered the program for 10 years. As shown in Table 1, during the 10 years that the SDIA program has been available, 338 properties have been annexed into the district.

Table 1: Voluntary Annexation History

| Year | \# of Properties Annexed |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 128 |
| 2006 | 23 |
| 2007 | 47 |
| 2008 | 23 |
| 2009 | 22 |
| 2010 | 25 |
| 2011 | 13 |
| 2012 | 25 |
| 2013 | 14 |
| 2014 | 18 |
| Total | 338 |

For the 2016 SDIA program, approximately 4,600 invitations were mailed to owners of properties that are in the district's ultimate service boundary, but are not currently within the district. This includes the North Bethany area in Washington County and the South Cooper Mountain area, which was recently added to the urban growth boundary and annexed into the City of Beaverton.

The main benefit of the SDIA program is the offer by the district to pay for all of the annexation fees and mapping services assessed by Washington County and other agencies. Additionally, this year's program included the incentive offering of a $\$ 500$ credit to match the first $\$ 500$ that members of a newly annexed household spend on district programs. The resulting benefit to property owners is the opportunity to receive "in-district" benefits, including:

- Priority registration for classes and programs;
- The lowest rates for classes and programs;
- Eligibility to serve on park district advisory committees; and
- Voting eligibility in park district elections.

Similar to 2014, staff will be working on the application in-house without the aid of a consultant. Staff have started preparing the necessary documents and applications to submit with the board of directors' approved resolution to Washington County.

The application period closed on October 10, 2016, and the last day to withdraw a previously submitted application expired on October 24, 2016. The SDIA program was advertised to the local county Citizen Participation Organizations and the City of Beaverton Neighborhood Association Committees. At the end of the withdrawal period, 11 annexation applications had been returned to the district from property owners who willingly requested annexation of their properties into the district (see attached Exhibits A \& B). These 11 property owners account for an additional 1.66 acres that will be added to the district. One additional property included in this annexation request is a 0.86 -acre property recently acquired by the district.

## Proposal Request

Staff are requesting board of directors' approval of the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution No. 2016-16 authorizing the annexation of 12 new properties into the district. With board approval, staff will work to submit the annexation application packet to Washington County for processing.

The attached resolution has been reviewed and approved by THPRD's legal counsel.

## Benefits of Proposal

The most important benefit regarding this proposal is the addition of a total of 12 new properties and 2.52 acres to the district. Of these properties, 11 properties ( 1.66 acres) will yield additional tax base revenue. These properties have an assessed market value of $\$ 3.2$ million, which will result in approximately $\$ 5,300$ in annual taxes. Fees and costs of approximately $\$ 10,000$ are associated with the application process and credit voucher; however, the annual increase in tax revenue will recoup the up-front costs in less than two years. An additional benefit is having staff complete the application packet, as opposed to a consultant, resulting in approximately $\$ 6,000$ in savings to the district.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution No. 2016-16 authorizing the annexation of 12 new properties into the district.

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT APPROVING PETITIONS FOR ANNEXATION TO THE DISTRICT 

WHEREAS, ORS 198.850(3) authorizes the annexation of property to the district to be initiated by resolution of the board of directors; and

WHEREAS, the district board directed staff to review the district boundaries and determine whether there are property owners who wish to request having their property annexed into the district; and

WHEREAS, the owners of several properties responded favorably and have signed petitions to annex their properties into the district, which petitions are on file in the district administrative offices; and

WHEREAS, the properties proposed for annexation are listed on Exhibit A and the locations shown on Exhibit B; and

WHEREAS, ORS 198.850(1) provides that such annexations must be approved by the district board and then forwarded to Washington County for processing; and

WHEREAS, based on the staff report attached as Exhibit C, the district board finds that the proposed annexations comply with the District Comprehensive Plan, the Washington County Comprehensive Plan, and intergovernmental service and cooperation agreements as required by ORS 198.850(3); and

## NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT:

Section 1. Based on the findings described in Exhibit C, the proposed annexation of the properties listed on the attached Exhibit A and depicted on the attached Exhibit B is hereby approved.

Section 2. The district staff is hereby authorized and directed to submit this resolution together with Exhibits A, B, and C and the signed petitions for annexation from affected property owners to Washington County for processing in accordance with ORS 198.850(2).

Section 3. The proposed annexation is supported by signed petitions from all of the owners of all land in the territory proposed to be annexed as required by ORS 198.855, and therefore an election is not required prior to annexation of the affected properties to the district. The district board requests that the Washington County Board of Commissioners approve the annexation following a public hearing and declare the affected properties annexed to the district.

## RESOLUTION NO. 2016-16

Section 4. This resolution shall be effective immediately upon its adoption by the board.

Adopted by the board of directors this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2016.

# TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT 

Jerry Jones Jr., Board President

Ali Kavianian, Board Secretary

Adoption and date attested by:

Jessica Collins, Recording Secretary

Exhibit A
2016 Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District Voluntary Annexation



Figure B1: Voluntary Annexation Program Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Annexation Washington County, Oregon


Figure B2: Voluntary Annexation Program Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Annexation Washington County, Oregon


Figure B3: Voluntary Annexation Program Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Annexation Washington County, Oregon

## MEMO

DATE: October 25, 2016
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution

## Introduction

Staff are seeking board of directors' approval for the Service District Initiated Annexation resolution. With board approval, staff will work to submit the annexation application packet to Washington County for processing.

## Background

Oregon Revised Statue (ORS) section 198.850(3) authorizes initiation of annexation into a special district by resolution of the district's board of directors. In accordance with Washington County Comprehensive Plan Policy 15(h), annexations to special service districts are consistent with the Washington County Comprehensive Plan if they are:

- Consistent with urban service agreements; or
- Where no urban service agreement applies, the service district is the designated party pursuant to ORS 195.020 and the district has a master plan for the area.

Washington County Comprehensive Plan Policy 15(q) identifies the district as the park provider for unincorporated areas of Washington County. The properties proposed to be annexed are within the unincorporated area of the county and subject to the county and district's Comprehensive Plans.

In 2005, the district began a Service District Initiated Annexation (SDIA) program. This program is also referred to as the Voluntary Annexation Program (VAP), as annexation pursuant to this program is voluntary, not compulsory. The VAP is offered to all property owners in the district's ultimate service boundary whose property is not currently within the district. The district has offered the program for 10 years. As shown in Table 1, during the 10 years that the SDIA program has been available, 338 properties have been annexed into the district.

| Table 1: Voluntary Annexation History |
| :--- |
| Year \# of Properties Annexed <br> 2005 128 <br> 2006 23 <br> 2007 47 <br> 2008 23 <br> 2009 22 <br> 2010 25 <br> 2011 13 <br> 2012 25 <br> 2013 14 <br> 2014 18 <br> Total 338 |

For the 2016 SDIA program, approximately 4,600 invitations were mailed to owners of properties that are in the district's ultimate service boundary, but are not currently within the district. This includes the North Bethany area in Washington County and the South Cooper Mountain area, which was recently added to the urban growth boundary and annexed into the City of Beaverton.

The main benefit of the SDIA program is the offer by the district to pay for all of the annexation fees and mapping services assessed by Washington County and other agencies. Additionally, this year's program included the incentive offering of a $\$ 500$ credit to match the first $\$ 500$ that members of a newly annexed household spend on district programs. The resulting benefit to property owners is the opportunity to receive "in-district" benefits, including:

- Priority registration for classes and programs;
- The lowest rates for classes and programs;
- Eligibility to serve on park district advisory committees; and
- Voting eligibility in park district elections.

Similar to 2014, staff will be working on the application in-house without the aid of a consultant. Staff have started preparing the necessary documents and applications to submit with the board of directors' approved resolution to Washington County.

The application period closed on October 10, 2016, and the last day to withdraw a previously submitted application expired on October 24, 2016. The SDIA program was advertised to the local county Citizen Participation Organizations and the City of Beaverton Neighborhood Association Committees. At the end of the withdrawal period, 11 annexation applications had been returned to the district from property owners who willingly requested annexation of their properties into the district (see attached Exhibits A \& B). These 11 property owners account for an additional 1.66 acres that will be added to the district. One additional property included in this annexation request is a 0.86 -acre property recently acquired by the district.

## Proposal Request

Staff are requesting board of directors' approval of the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution No. 2016-16 authorizing the annexation of 12 new properties into the district. With board approval, staff will work to submit the annexation application packet to Washington County for processing.

The attached resolution has been reviewed and approved by THPRD's legal counsel.

## Benefits of Proposal

The most important benefit regarding this proposal is the addition of a total of 12 new properties and 2.52 acres to the district. Of these properties, 11 properties ( 1.66 acres) will yield additional tax base revenue. These properties have an assessed market value of $\$ 3.2$ million, which will result in approximately $\$ 5,300$ in annual taxes. Fees and costs of approximately $\$ 10,000$ are associated with the application process and credit voucher; however, the annual increase in tax revenue will recoup the up-front costs in less than two years. An additional benefit is having staff complete the application packet, as opposed to a consultant, resulting in approximately $\$ 6,000$ in savings to the district.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution No. 2016-16 authorizing the annexation of 12 new properties into the district.

DATE: October 26, 2016
TO: $\quad$ The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for November 7, 2016

## Summer Special Events Recap

In July and August, THPRD presented 8 outdoor events as diverse as the community we serve engaging 19,000 guests in a wide variety of music, theater, sports and multi-cultural activities.

THPRD's popular concert and theater series brought free music and entertainment to 6 neighborhood parks widely distributed across the district, engaging about 4,000 guests. The series included a first time collaborative effort at the Center Street Park concert where a City of Beaverton Mayor's picnic kicked off an evening of music - a big success with over 1,100 attendees.

The district's two major events broke attendance and ticket sales records. The free Party in the Park / Beaverton International Celebration, July 30, set a new attendance record at 11,500 guests. Attendees responded favorably to the expansion of games and interactive activities that we had space for this year. Groovin' on the Grass, August 20, featured legendary touring artists The Temptations. Pre-event tickets sold out at 3,500. Gates opened at 4 and 5 pm , stage performances at 6 pm . Guests enjoyed a long summer evening of memorable and memorymaking music by local and national artists.

## 2016 Summer Maintenance Projects Recap

Maintenance Department staff had a busy summer performing and managing several projects of various sizes throughout the district. To date, over $40 \%$ of the planned capital projects for fiscal year 2016-17 have been completed, along with several other non-routine projects that have made a positive difference in our community. Jon Campbell, superintendent of Maintenance Operations, will be sharing a PowerPoint that captures some of the project highlights.

## Board of Directors \& Budget Committee Meeting Schedule

The following dates are proposed for the board of directors and budget committee meeting schedule over the next few months. All dates are Tuesdays unless otherwise noted.

- December 13, 2016
- January 10, 2017
- February 14, 2017
- February 21, 2017 (budget committee mid-year review)
- March 14, 2017
- April 11, 2017
- April 18, 2017 (budget committee work session)
- May 9, 2017
- May 16, 2017 (budget committee budget approval)


## RE: Somerset West Park Master Plan

## Introduction

Staff request board of directors' review of the preferred Somerset West Park master plan including the proposed phasing of the project improvements. Based on board feedback, staff intend to bring the master plan back to the January 10, 2017, board meeting for the board's approval. THPRD hired 2ink Studios to provide design services for the Somerset West Park bond redevelopment project. 2ink Studios has worked with THPRD and the community since 2013 to develop a master plan for the park. 2ink Studios has completed a master plan level cost estimate that includes phase 1 and phase 2 components. A vicinity map (Exhibit A) and aerial map (Exhibit B) have been included for reference.

## Background

The approved 2008 bond measure objective for the site was to redevelop the neighborhood park. The potential improvements identified in the bond literature included picnic areas and shelter, pathway improvements, site furnishings, open grass areas for passive use, natural areas, sport courts, and additional parking.

2ink Studios directed their focus on designing two master plan alternatives for community input. At the first neighborhood meeting on February 12, 2014, the community was interested in the proposed creek daylighting concept, along with keeping adequate field space for soccer practice, basketball courts, a perimeter loop path, and minor improvements to parking.

A second neighborhood meeting was held on April 28, 2014, where a refined master plan was presented that incorporated community input from the first neighborhood meeting and online comments. At this meeting, the neighbors remained overall satisfied with the refined master plan. An internal THPRD review followed to discuss adjusting the master plan to coincide with the construction budget. 2ink Studios and staff worked together to refine the master plan to meet the expectations of the neighborhood and the bond measure vision. These led to the creation of a modified master plan with a phased construction approach, and potential outside funding sources to help offset the estimated project cost.

A third neighborhood meeting was held on September 24, 2015, to update the community on the status of the master plan (Exhibit C); and discuss a prioritization survey and phasing options for construction. At that time, staff also reviewed a potential partnership with Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue (TVF\&R) for parking improvements; however, the two agencies ultimately determined not to enter into a partnership.

The prioritization survey of park amenities was provided at the third meeting, posted to the project website and mailed out to the neighborhood residents in November 2015. The data collected helped guide the amenities desired in phase 1 of the master plan (Exhibit D). The community amenities included a loop path with crossings (culverts and pedestrian boardwalk), traditional and nature play areas, drainage improvements, creek daylighting, minor field improvements and a portable restroom enclosure. Grant opportunities were identified for the creek daylighting and the shelter. Staff submitted a Land \& Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) grant application for the creek daylighting and made a presentation to the grant committee in April 2016, but was not awarded funding.

The total current project budget for Somerset West Park is $\$ 1,070,525$; however, there is an existing project cost overage within the neighborhood park redevelopment category of $\$ 46,987$ leaving a balance of $\$ 1,023,538$ as the current available project funding.

Since the last bond funding update, staff have worked with 2ink Studios to reduce project costs to be in line with the available funding. 2ink Studios has made minor modifications to the master plan based on staff input and has updated the phase 1 master plan construction estimate. The current total project cost estimate is $\$ 1,471,453$. The project cost estimate is $\$ 447,915$ above the current available project funding. The overage is due in part to increased construction costs, which added $\$ 356,035$. The creek daylighting is not included in the project cost estimate above since it will be fully funded by dedicated funding from another source. Project funding of $\$ 150,778$ is committed from the Natural Resource Preservation/Restoration bond fund category for the design and construction of the creek daylighting.

Permitting will determine if the project will receive mitigation credit, or if a wetland mitigation fee would be required. If a wetland mitigation fee is required, staff estimate the fee could be an additional cost to the project of $\$ 105,000$. If wetland mitigation fees are required, the potential total project cost estimate would be $\$ 1,576,453$.

Staff anticipate the project deficit will decrease as the project moves ahead and a more detailed design is completed. The estimated project cost also includes a $15 \%$ project contingency of $\$ 153,531$. Staff are preparing an RFP for the remaining phases of the project after the master plan is approved in an effort to reduce additional project costs.

## Proposal Request

Staff request board of directors' review of the preferred Somerset West Park master plan (Exhibit C) and phase 1 of the master plan (Exhibit E). Melinda Graham, principal from 2ink Studios, will attend the board meeting for the presentation with staff. With board feedback regarding the preferred master plan and phase 1 of the master plan, 2ink Studios can finalize the master plans prior to the board's January 10, 2017, regular meeting. Staff are planning to present a project update at a neighborhood meeting scheduled for December 7, 2016. The current schedule anticipates project construction beginning in winter/spring 2018, and being substantially completed by fall/winter 2018.

## Benefits of Proposal

2ink Studios has worked closely with staff and the community to develop a master plan for Somerset West Park that meets the needs of the community and the district has identified the need for a phased approach to the master plan construction to keep the project closer to the current project budget. Phase 1 is consistent with other bond neighborhood park redevelopment projects. Phase 2 incorporates additional amenities that are beyond typical neighborhood park
redevelopments, but would provide necessary improvements for the overall park and swim center in the future.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is currently a projected budget shortfall on phase 1 of the proposed master plan. If there are no outside funding sources identified or cost reductions, this shortfall will have to be covered either through alternate district funding or through further reductions in phase 1 of the master plan.

## Maintenance Impact

The annual incremental increase to the maintenance cost as a result of the proposed improvements for phase 1 is estimated at $\$ 6,324$.

## Action Requested

No formal action is requested. Staff request board of directors' review and comment on the preferred Somerset West Park master plan (Exhibit C) and phase 1 of the master plan (Exhibit E).

## EXHIBIT A



## EXHIBIT B
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MAIN PARKING LOT
ADA Spaces 2
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## ON STREET PARKING Standard Spaces 15

GRAND TOTAL 57 Spaces
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New Parking Lot F New Entry \& Drop Off G Existing Tennis Courts H

Wetland Area I Multi-Use Field / Open Space J

Backstop K
On Street Parking L
Creek Daylighting M Wetland Board Walk (Pedestrian) N Picnic Area O
New Trees $P$
8' wide Paved Loop Path Q Nature Play (all ages) R

Culvert S
Terraced Seating T
Wood Deck U
Picnic Shelter V Bioswale at Parking Lot W 1/2 Court Basketball X Log Bench Y

[^0]
## LEGEND



Traditional Play (2-12yrs) A Existing Creek to be Restored B Multi-Use Field / Open Space C

Backstop D
On Street Parking E Creek Daylighting $F$
Wetland Board Walk (Pedestrian) G
Picnic Area H
8' wide Paved Loop Path I Nature Play (all ages) J

## Somerset West Park <br> Preferred Master Plan - PHASE 1 <br> 【 Scale: 1"=30'

Traditional Play (2-12yrs) A Existing Creek to be Restored B Multi-Use Field / Open Space C

Backstop D On Street Parking E Creek Daylighting F Wetland Board Walk (Pedestrian) G

## Management Report to the Board November 7, 2016

Communications \& Outreach

Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

1. On September 20, about 250-300 neighbors flocked to Ridgewood View Park in the Cedar Hills area to see the redeveloped park. The park had been closed for two years as Tualatin Valley Water District built a new reservoir and pump station. Dozens of guests took advantage of activities provided by the THPRD Rec Mobile, Nature Mobile and Sports staff. The renovated park features tennis and pickleball, bocce, play equipment, picnic shelter and tables, open lawn area, and a pathway that connects to Ridgewood Elementary School. All park improvements were funded by TVWD as part of a partnership with THPRD.
2. Days of rain coupled with high winds conspired to force the cancellation of the $10^{\text {th }}$ annual Cedar Mill Cider Festival on October 16. Because of the uncertain fall weather, no new date was set. The park district's public dedication of the Sue Conger Boardwalk \& Overlook, which was planned as part of the Cider Festival, will be rescheduled.
3. THPRD's activities guide for winter and spring terms has gone to the printer. It is expected to be available online in early November. Later in the month, it will also be available in print at the centers, the Administration Office, and certain community locations. Winter registration starts December 10.

## Community Partnerships

Geoff Roach, Director of Community Partnerships

1. As of the beginning of November 2016, the campaign has raised $\$ 1,410,000$ in capital for SW Quadrant Community Park. There is $\$ 158,000$ remaining to achieve the capital goal. The campaign is focused on raising these funds and will also be following up on the pledges that were made earlier in the campaign but are due before the end of 2016.

Aquatics
Sharon Hoffmeister, Superintendent of Aquatics

1. The high school water polo season is coming to a close and the start of high school swimming season will begin immediately after. We are looking ahead to the 2017/18 school year and the addition of teams from the new high school in South Cooper Mountain. Discussions will get underway in November on how best to accommodate these teams at our existing facilities.
2. The Aquatic Center remains set to reopen on Monday, December 5, 2016. Plans are underway for a reopening celebration, tentatively set for December 17, 2016. We will have diving and synchronized swimming exhibitions, new program introductions, a free open swim, and refreshments. We will also have a display board of photos depicting the progress made throughout the closure.
3. The last day of operations at the outdoor pools this season for general public programs will be Wednesday, November 23, 2016 and for club activities December 2, 2016. Staff will be returning to the Aquatic Center beginning Monday, November 28, 2016 to prepare the facility to reopen on December 5, 2016. Preparations include cleaning, putting equipment back in place, restocking all supplies and preparing the office.

## Maintenance <br> Jon Campbell, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations

1. A section of the asphalt pathway in Lowami Hart Woods failed. Due to an underground spring, an 8' x 10' section of pathway cracked in two spots and collapsed several inches. Staff responded quickly, removed the section of asphalt, installed a large drain pipe two feet below the pathway, and added crushed rock and a 4" layer of new asphalt to resolve the problem.
2. The Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center lobby and locker rooms will have a fresh new look when it reopens. Staff are utilizing the closure of the Aquatic Center to complete nonroutine projects. Projects include painting the lobby, offices, locker rooms and hallways, sanding and refinishing locker room benches, and replacing the carpet in the lobby.
3. Maintenance is planning to start the next phase of the outdoor sign replacements. Staff will be implementing the conversion to the new signage master plan by replacing the remaining 266 signs by the end of March 2017.

Natural Resources \& Trails Management
Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management

1. Boardwalk Traction Study. Staff started user surveys at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park to better understand patron preferences for experimental traction surfaces placed on boardwalks.
2. Native Plant Sale. The Friends of the Tualatin Hills Nature Park held their annual fall plant sale in October. It had the second highest attendance and revenue of any fall sale.
3. Planting Season. Staff and volunteers will plant thousands of trees, shrubs, and herbs across the district this fall and winter. The first potted plants of the season have arrived and are now being installed.

Planning, Design \& Development<br>Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Design \& Development Jeannine Rustad, Superintendent of Planning

1. Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection: Since an April mobilization construction has been ongoing and has coordinated efforts with multiple jurisdictions on this project. Work generally began on the west end of the project, which included upgrades to the existing trestle, and then moved to the east end. The entire trail was paved with pervious asphalt in late August. The Merlo Road midblock crossing right-of-way work and TriMet safety upgrades began in late August. Installation of the channelization barriers, signage and final hook-up of the pedestrian flasher and beacons will bring the project to substantial completion in early-November. Plant material installation will occur in late fall or early winter on-site, and mitigation for this project is being performed off-site at Morrison Woods, with the Natural Resources Department managing the work. Invasive plant removal is currently underway.
2. SE Athletic Field at Conestoga Middle School: Construction to convert the existing natural grass field to synthetic turf began when Conestoga Middle School closed for summer break in mid-June. After securing the site, Benchmark Contracting began excavating the site for the new field, and as summer progressed, the contractor completed the earthwork, drainage system, retaining walls and perimeter fence. Musco Lighting supplied and installed the field lights. The school principal allowed THPRD to use part of the school's parking lot to stage the materials for the synthetic turf field, and the sand and shoe rubber infill material are on-site for the final stage of the turf field installation. The field improvements are expected to be completed by mid-November. The Natural Resources Department will continue with restoration efforts in the existing Clean Water Services buffer into 2018.
3. Grant updates. THRPD applied for a Regional Flexible Funds grant to construct a 1.5mile segment of the Beaverton Creek Trail between the Westside Trail and Hocken Avenue. Total project costs are estimated at $\$ 4,616,515$, with a grant request of $\$ 3,892,399$. Washington County has committed $\$ 250,000$ of Major Street Transportation Improvement Program Opportunity Funds to the project and the district will contribute $\$ 474,116$ of system development charge funds. The project is ranked fifth out of 27 applications by the grant review committee. A public comment period is open through November 7, 2016, through an interactive map at: http://www.oregonmetro.gov/flexible-funds-map/comment-map/public-comment-map-11937. A public hearing was held at Metro on October 27.

## Recreation

## Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation

1. Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center has increased revenue in aquatic programming for fall term. In 2015, fall lesson registration was $\$ 61,376$ compared to $\$ 92,110$ in fall 2016. This is an increase of $\$ 30,733$ with programs still remaining in the fall 2016 term. The revenue numbers reflect an increase in school age swim lesson registration and Club Splash registration. This is also due increasing participation by moving Sunday lessons from early afternoon to evening.
2. Garden Home Recreation Center held their $4^{\text {th }}$ annual Pumpkin Hunt on Friday, October 21, 6-8 pm. Participants enjoyed the bounce house, gymnastics room obstacle course, BINGO, games and story time all before heading out to the field for the Flashlight Pumpkin Hunt. The rain started to come down just in time for the hunt, but that did not slow down our 145 participants.
3. Cedar Hills Recreation Center's annual Fall Festival returned on Saturday, October 22, after not being held last year due to the seismic upgrade project. The event took place from 3-7 pm and was supported by 100 volunteers. Families enjoyed cookie decorating, pumpkin painting, a bounce house and many other games and activities. The Beaverton Police were in attendance with a motorcycle for kids to sit on. The weather was wonderful for the event and especially for those participating in the archery tournament.
4. The annual Elsie Stuhr Center Dress the Dolls program began October 19. This partnership between St. Matthews Lutheran Church and the Elsie Stuhr Center provides dolls to children in need during the holiday season. New dolls are provided by St. Matthews and checked out by Stuhr Center patrons. Patrons then either create or purchase a beautiful outfit for their doll and return them to the center. Dolls are then judged by a panel of judges from St. Matthews and prizes are presented to the winners.

## Security Operations

Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations

1. On Friday, October 14, representatives from Beaverton School District, Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue, Beaverton Police, Washington County Sheriff, Emergency Management from the city and county, Citizens Emergency Response Team (CERT) and THPRD conducted a four-hour live parent re-unification scenario at the HMT Recreation Complex. Role players consisted of volunteers playing the part of parents responding to pick up their children at the Tennis Center as well as volunteer students who waited for pick up at the Athletic Center. A command post was set up in the Dryland. In the end, a debriefing was conducted, comments were given by scenario evaluators and there was a question and answer period. All participants left with a better understanding of what went well and what needs to be further addressed.

## Sports

Deb Schoen, Interim Superintendent of Sports

1. Sunset Parking: Staff met with the owner of an adjacent business to the Sunset Swim Center to pursue entering into a parking agreement. The addition of overflow parking spaces during evening and weekend hours will allow improved accommodation of district patrons.
2. Sports Leagues: Youth and Adult Winter Basketball Registration is open and available on-line or in-person at the Athletic Center. Leagues start in late November. Adult Fall Softball was scheduled to end the third week of October. Due to the weather, make-up games are still being held.
3. Tennis: Both the east and west tennis air structures were installed in mid-October and are being heavily utilized due to the wet weather.

## Business Services

Ann Mackiernan, Chief Financial Officer Nancy Hartman Noye, Human Resources Manager<br>Mark Hokkanen, Risk \& Contract Manager<br>Seth Reeser, Operations Analysis Manager<br>Phil Young, Information Services Manager

1. The Risk \& Contract Management Department has been working with staff to review a request from Verizon Wireless to co-locate telecommunication equipment with an athletic field light pole at PCC Rock Creek. At this time, staff does not foresee any impacts to the property or community and is following the process outlined in District Compiled Policy Chapter 7. Once a public meeting has been held, a final recommendation will be presented to the board of directors. To date, THPRD has 19 lease agreements with telecommunication carriers, with annual revenues of $\$ 197,000$.
2. Staff reviewed a proposal for a public-private partnership with the advisory committees. The proposal is for a high ropes course of approximately five to eight acres at the Jenkins Estate. Feedback for the concept was generally positive, with many questions on the proposal's impacts to the site. Staff will engage in further discussions with the course developer and craft a proposal to take back to the advisory committees before bringing to the board of directors.
3. The Equity Council held a kick-off retreat on October 17 and prioritized their work for the coming year. Top priorities include the development of an all-staff cultural competency training; using an equity lens, review of three policies (MWESB, dress code, and bilingual pay incentive); design and installation of gender-neutral restroom signage on single-stall restrooms; development of organizational values; establishment of consistent recruitment practices; development of interview panel training to tackle unconscious bias; and to demonstrate the district's commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion on internal and external websites. The team will reconvene in November and December to ensure progress is made on the action steps. In the coming weeks, the Equity Council will begin to reach out to key departments to strategize on ways to tackle the priorities outlined above.




## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Report

Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 9/30/16


CAPITAL OUTLAY DIVIIION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JQAY House Renovation | 100,000 | 1,800 | - | 100,000 | 1,800 | 87,371 | - | 1,800 | Budget | 89,171 | 1,800 | 10,829 |  |
| Challenge Grant Compeetitive Fund | 50,000 | 50,000 | O | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 138.743 | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |
| Aquatic Center Renovation | 1,829,794 | 1,821,584 | 796,000 | 2,625,794 | 2,617,584 | 282,296 | 138,743 | 2,124,758 | Award | 2,545,797 | 2,263,501 | 79,997 | 354,083 |
| Entry Garbage Cans | 5,000 | 4,780 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 9,780 |  | 1,500 | 8,280 | Budget | 9,780 | 9,780 | 220 |  |
| Play Equipment Design-Cedar Hills | 17,500 | 10,680 |  | 17,500 | 10,680 |  | 390 | 10,290 | Budget | 10,680 | 10,680 | 6,820 |  |
| Parking Lot-Hazeldale | 236,480 | 194,414 |  | 236,480 | 194,414 | 19,387 | 2,139 | 214,954 | Budget | 236,480 | 217,093 |  | $(22,679)$ |
| Pedestrian Pathway \& Playground Equipment-McMillan | 197,115 | 197,115 |  | 197,115 | 197,115 | 68,808 | 57,549 | 45,327 | Award | 171,684 | 102,876 | 25,431 | 94,239 |
| ADA Improvements-Aquatic Ctr Lift | 21,000 | 13,812 |  | 21,000 | 13,812 | 12,079 | 9,500 | 4,312 | Award | 25,891 | 13,812 | $(4,891)$ |  |
| Push-button activated lights - PCC tennis courts | 3,300 | 3,300 | - | 3,300 | 3,300 |  | - | 3,300 | Budget | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  |
| Jenkins Lead Abatement (Main House) | 18,000 | 18,000 |  | 18,000 | 18,000 | 20,430 | - |  | Complete | 20,430 |  | $(2,430)$ | 18,000 |
| Ergonomic Office Equipment | 6,000 | 3,600 |  | 6,000 | 3,600 | 2,325 | 300 | 3,300 | Budget | 5,925 | 3,600 | 75 |  |
| Conestoga Middle School Synthetic Turf Field | 650,000 | 650,000 |  | 650,000 | 650,000 |  | - | 650,000 | Award | 650,000 | 650,000 |  |  |
| Tennis Court Resurfacing-HMT Tennis Center (6 indoor cts) | 60,000 | 60,000 | - | 60,000 | 60,000 |  | 32,317 | 27,683 | Budget | 60,000 | 60,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL CARRYOVER PROJECTS | 3,194,189 | 3,029,085 | 801,000 | 3,995,189 | 3,830,085 | 492,696 | 242,438 | 3,144,004 |  | 3,879,138 | 3,386,442 | 116,051 | 443,643 |
| ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HMT Field \#2 Synthetic Turf |  |  | 575,000 | 575,000 | 575,000 |  | - | 575,000 | Budget | 575,000 | 575,000 | - |  |
| Skate Park Ramps |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | - | 25,000 | Budget | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  |
| Tennis Court - Resurfacing (5 sites) |  |  | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 |  | 39,744 | 110,730 | Award | 150,474 | 150,474 | 14,526 | 14,526 |
| Baseball/Softball Backstops |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | - | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  | 775,000 | 775,000 | 775,000 | - | 39,744 | 720,730 |  | 760,474 | 760,474 | 14,526 | 14,526 |
| ATHLETIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | . | - | . | . | - | Budget | - | - | - |  |
| TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENT |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | Budget | - | - | - |  |
| PARK AND TRAIL REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Sidewalk Repair (3 sites) |  |  | 43,373 | 43,373 | 43,373 | - | 35,740 | - | Complete | 35,740 | 35,740 | 7,633 | 7,633 |
| Play Equipment (3 sites) |  |  | 338,000 | 338,000 | 338,000 |  | 6,678 | 331,322 | Budget | 338,000 | 338,000 |  |  |
| Picnic Tables and Park Benches (3 sites) |  |  | 13,645 | 13,645 | 13,645 |  | 8,175 | 5,470 | Budget | 13,645 | 13,645 |  |  |
| Parking Lot Resurfacing (Bonny Slope Park) |  |  | 55,000 | 55,000 | 55,000 |  | 23,442 | 31,558 | Budget | 55,000 | 55,000 |  |  |
| Bridge Replacement (Whispering Woods Park) |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  | 15,000 | Budget | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  |
| Drinking Fountain (Stuhr) |  |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |  |  | 7,500 | Budget | 7,500 | 7,500 | - |  |
| Asphatt Pedestrian Pathways (5 sites) |  |  | 23,136 | 23,136 | 23,136 |  | 25,626 | 5,830 | Award | 31,456 | 31,456 | $(8,320)$ | $(8,320)$ |
| Irigation Systems Redesign \& Reconfiguration (5 sites) |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 923 | 19,077 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Storm Water Management Redesign (Raleigh Park) |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | - | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Fence Replacements (Fith Street Park) |  |  | 6,600 | 6,600 | 6,600 |  | - | 6,600 | Budget | 6,600 | 6,600 |  |  |
| Signate Master Plan Phase 2 |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | , | ${ }^{1,384}$ | 38,616 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Raleigh Park Dog Fountain |  |  |  |  |  | . | 1,270 | $(1,270)$ | Budget |  |  | - |  |
| TOTAL PARK AND TRAIL REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 602,254 | 602,254 | 602,254 | . | 103,238 | 499,703 |  | 602,941 | 602,941 | (687) | (687) |
| PARK AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memorial Benches |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  |
| Outdoor Fitness Equipment |  |  | 17,062 | 17,062 | 17,062 |  |  | 17,062 | Budget | 17,062 | 17,062 |  |  |
| Connect Oregon - Waterhouse Trail Segment (\#4) |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | - | 400,000 | Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
| Rails to Trails - Westside to Waterhouse OR Parks \& Recreation - Vietnam War Memorial |  |  | 48,000 35,000 | 48,000 35,000 | 48,000 35,00 |  | - | 48,000 35,000 | Budget Budget | 48,000 35,000 | 48,000 35,00 | - |  |
| OR Parks \& Recreation - Viettan War Memorial LGGP - SW Quadrant Community Park |  |  | 283,600 | 28, 28,600 | 283,600 |  | - | 283,600 | Budget | 283,600 | 283,600 |  |  |
| Metro - Nature in Neighborhoods - Fanno Ck Grmw bridge |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | - | 400,000 | Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
| OR Watershed Enhncmnt Bd-Fanno Crr Grnmy Br/habitat |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | - | - | 200,000 | Budget | 200,000 | 200,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL PARK AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | ,391,662 | , ,391,662 | 1,391,662 |  |  | ,391,662 |  | 1,391,662 | ,391,662 |  |  |

            TOTAL PARK AND TRAILIMPROVEMENTS
    | Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Cost vs. Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through 9/30/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | ${ }^{(5+6)}$ |  |  |
| CHALLENGE GRANTS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Facility Challenge Grants |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | - | 242 | 89,758 | Budget | 90,000 | 90,000 | - |  |
| total challenge Grants |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | - | 242 | 89,758 |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | - | - |
| BUILDING REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardio and Weight Equipment |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | - | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 | - |  |
| Exterior Siding (north side GHRC) |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |  |  | 60,000 | Budget | 60,000 | 60,000 |  |  |
| Upper Balcony (Fanno Farmhouse) |  |  | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 |  | - | 8,500 | Budget | 8,500 | 8,500 |  |  |
| Graffiti Protector \& Interior Sealing Outdr Restrm (Nature Pk) |  |  | 11,055 | 11,055 | 11,055 |  |  | 11,055 | Budget | 11,055 | 11,055 |  |  |
| Porch Rebuild (Schlottman \& Bunk House) |  |  | 13,700 | 13,700 | 13,700 |  |  | 13,700 | Budget | 13,700 | 13,700 |  |  |
| Cedar Hills Exterior Repairs |  |  | 83,500 | 83,500 | 83,500 |  |  | 83,500 | Budget | 83,500 | 83,500 |  |  |
| Roof Repais \& Maintenance (4 sites) |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  |
| Wash Basins (Aloha) |  |  | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 |  |  | 3,400 | Budget | 3,400 | 3,400 | - |  |
| Gym Receiver \& Speaker (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| Tennis Court Wind Screens (HMT) |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | - | 1,500 | Budget | 1,500 | 1,500 | - |  |
| Table Replacements (Nature Center) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| Ergonomic Office Equipment |  |  | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  | 2,400 | Budget | 2,400 | 2,400 | - |  |
| Refinish Wood Floors (4 sites) |  |  | 36,351 | 36,351 | 36,351 |  |  | 28,945 | Award | 28,945 | 28,945 | 7,406 | 7,406 |
| Carpet Replacement (2 sites) |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | - | 6,404 | ${ }^{\text {Award }}$ | 6,404 | 6,404 | $(1,404)$ | $(1,404)$ |
| HVAC Ductwork (2 sites) |  |  | 8,950 | 8,950 | 8,950 |  |  | 8,950 | Budget | 8,950 | 8,950 |  |  |
| Steam Condensation Pump (GHRC) |  |  | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 |  |  | 2,774 | Award | 2,774 | 2,774 | 26 | 26 |
| Air Handler Bearing (3 sites) |  |  | 20,200 | 20,200 | 20,200 |  | 11,585 | 8,615 | Budget | 20,200 | 20,200 |  |  |
| Bolier Retuning (Aquatic Crt) |  |  | 5,570 | 5,570 | 5,570 |  | - | 5,570 | Budget | 5,570 | 5,570 |  | - |
| HVAC Dampers \& Actuators (Admin) |  |  | 3,616 | 3,616 | 3,616 |  | - | 3,616 | Budget | 3,616 | 3,616 |  |  |
| Unions, Valves, Lines \& Actuators (Conestoga) |  |  | 8,030 | 8,030 | 8,030 |  | 3,050 | 4,980 | Budget | 8,030 | 8,030 |  |  |
| Floor Drains (CHRC) |  |  | 26,500 | 26,500 | 26,500 |  |  | 26,500 | Budget | 26,500 | 26,500 | - |  |
| Boiler Pipe (Aloha) |  |  | 1,975 | 1,975 | 1,975 |  | - | 1,975 | Budget | 1,975 | 1,975 | - |  |
| Water Heater (Athletic Ctr) |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 2,116 | Award | 2,116 | 2,116 | 1,384 | 1,384 |
| Three-Meter Dive Stands (Aquatic Ctr) |  |  | 42,860 | 42,860 | 42,860 |  |  | 42,860 | Budget | 42,860 | 42,860 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Pool Slide Resurfacing (Conestoga) |  |  | 5,150 | 5,150 | 5,150 |  |  | 4,660 | Award | 4,660 | 4,660 | 490 | 90 |
| Lane Lines (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - | - | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  | - |
| Pool Receiver \& Speaker (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| Guard Chair (Harman) |  |  | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | - | 3,714 |  | Complete | 3,714 | 3,714 | 1,786 | 1,786 |
| Pool Valves (Conestoga) |  |  | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | - |  | 2,200 | Budget | 2,200 | 2,200 |  |  |
| Circulation Pump \& Motor (Sunset) |  |  | 5,792 | 5,792 | 5,792 | - | - | 4,188 | Award | 4,188 | 4,188 | 1,604 | , 04 |
| Underwater Lights (Aquatic Ctr) |  |  | 121,067 | 121,067 | 121,067 | - |  | 121,067 | Budget | 121,067 | 121,067 |  |  |
| Fire Suppression at Selected Facilities |  |  | 8,000 | 8.000 | 8.000 | - | 818 | 7,182 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  |
| Office Door \& Jamb (Tennis Crt) |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - |  | 2,000 | Budget | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | - |
| Frosting of Windows (Aquatic Ctr) GH Rm 10 Abatement |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | - | - | 6,000 | Budget Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 | - | - |
| GH Rm 10 Abatement TOTAL BUILDING REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 565,116 | 565,116 | 565,116 | - | 19,167 | 534,657 | Budget | 553,824 | 553,824 | 11,292 | 11,292 |
| BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ventiliation System (FCSC) |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |  |
| Roof Safety Protection (3 sites) |  |  | 54,400 | 54,400 | 54,400 |  |  | 54,400 | Budget | 54,400 | 54,400 | - |  |
| Changing Tables |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 3,370 | Award | 3,370 | 3,370 | (870) | (870) |
| Inflatable Paddle Boards |  |  | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | - |  | 11,200 | Budget | 11,200 | 11,200 | - |  |
| LED Lighting (Conestoga) |  |  | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 | - | - | 16,500 | Budget | 16,500 | 16,500 | - | - |
| Deduct Meters (HMT) |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | - | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 104,600 | 104,600 | 104,600 | - | - | 105,470 |  | 105,470 | 105,470 | (870) | (870) |
| ADA PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADA Improvements - Aquatic Center |  |  | 92,000 | 92,000 | 92,000 | - | - | 92,000 | Budget | 92,000 | 92,000 | - |  |
| ADA Improvements - Athletic Center |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - | - | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL ADA PROJECTS |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | - | 100,000 |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | - |
| total capital outlay division | 3,194,189 | 3,029,085 | 4,429,632 | 7,623,821 | 7,458,717 | 492,696 | 404,829 | 6,585,984 |  | 7,483,509 | 6,990,813 | 140,312 | 467,904 |


| Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Cost vs. Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through 9/30/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| information services department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laptops (Workstations/Notebooks) |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - | 5,706 | 2,294 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| Servers |  |  | 37,000 | 37,000 | 37,000 | - | - | 37,000 | Budget | 37,000 | 37,000 |  |  |
| Printers/Network Printers |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | - | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| LANWAN |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | . | - | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Switches |  |  | 80,000 | 80,000 | 80,000 | - |  | 80,000 | Budget | 80,000 | 80,000 | - |  |
| Color Plot Printer |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | - | 2,500 | Budget | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  |
| Vehicle Maintenance Software |  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | - | - | 6,500 | Budget | 6,500 | 6,500 | - |  |
| TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 144,000 | 144,000 | 144,000 | - | 5,706 | 138,294 |  | 144,000 | 144,000 | - | - |
| INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PCI Compliance |  |  | 55,000 | 55,000 | 55,000 | - | - | 55,000 | Budget | 55,000 | 55,000 | - |  |
| Backup Tapes (off-site) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 | - | - |
| Software |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | . | 1,950 | 18,050 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 78,000 | 78,000 | 78,000 | - | 1,950 | 76,050 |  | 78,000 | 78,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL InFormation systems department | - |  | 222,000 | 222,000 | 222,000 | - | 7,656 | 214,344 |  | 222,000 | 222,000 | - | - |
| maintenance department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLEET REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower - 52" (2) |  |  | 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 | - | 31,375 | - | Complete | 31,375 | 31,375 | 625 | 625 |
| Tandem axle trailer - 3.5 ton (3) |  |  | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | - | - | 22,500 | Budget | 22,500 | 22,500 | - |  |
| Full size pickup with liftgate |  |  | 33,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 | - | - | 33,000 | Budget | 33,000 | 33,000 | - | - |
| Electric utility vehicle |  |  | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | - | - | 14,000 | Budget | 14,000 | 14,000 | - | - |
| Mower blade grinder |  |  | 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 | - | 16,145 |  | Complete | 16,145 | 16,145 | 1,855 | 1,855 |
| Wire feed welder |  |  | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | - | - | 4,000 | Budget | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  |
| Hydraulic press |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | - | 2,176 | Award | 2,176 | 2,176 | 324 | 324 |
| Mower - 72 " |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | - | 13,710 |  | Complete | 13,710 | 13,710 | 1,290 | 1,290 |
| Mini backhoe |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | - | - | 35,000 | Budget | 35,000 | 35,000 |  |  |
| Infield rake |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | . | - | 15,590 | Award | 15,590 | 15,590 | (590) | (590) |
| Sod cutter (2) |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | - | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  |
| Front loader |  |  | 9,800 | 9,800 | 9,800 | - | - | 7,100 | Award | 7,100 | 7,100 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Single axle trailer - 1 ton (4) |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | 5480 | 20,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Minibus |  |  | 52,500 | 52,500 | 52,500 | . | 54,800 |  | Award | 54,800 | 54,800 | $(2,300)$ | $(2,300)$ |
| total fleet replacements |  |  | 283,300 | 283,300 | 283,300 | - | 116,030 | 163,366 |  | 279,396 | 279,396 | 3,904 | 3,904 |
| FLEET IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Digital Playground Analyzer |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | - | 2,500 | Budget | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  |
| Vehicle Wraps |  |  | 12,200 | 12,200 | 12,200 | . | . | 13,820 | Award | 13,820 | 13,820 | $(1,620)$ | $(1,620)$ |
|  |  |  | 14,700 | 14,700 | 14,700 | - | - | 16,320 |  | 16,320 | 16,320 | $(1,620)$ | $(1,620)$ |
| BUILDING MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autoscrubber (CHRC) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - | - | 2,882 | Award | 2,882 | 2,882 | 118 | 118 |
| Pool Covers (2 sites) - Raleigh, Somerset |  |  | 12,900 | 12,900 | 12,900 | . | - | 9,129 | Award | 9,129 | 9,129 | 3,771 | 3,771 |
| TOTAL BLDG MAINT EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 15,900 | 15,900 | 15,900 | - | - | 12,011 |  | 12,011 | 12,011 | 3,889 | 3,889 |
| BUILIING MAINTENANCE IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool Vacuum Robot (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,499 | 3,499 | 3,499 | - | 2,788 | - | Complete | 2,788 | 2,788 | 711 | 711 |
| TOTAL BUILDING MAINT IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 3,499 | 3,499 | 3,499 | - | 2,788 | - |  | 2,788 | 2,788 | 711 | 711 |
| total maintenance department | - | - | 317,399 | 317,399 | 317,399 | - | 118,818 | 191,697 |  | 310,515 | 310,515 | 6,884 | 6,884 |
| GRand total general fund | 3,194,189 | 3,029,085 | 4,969,031 | 8,163,220 | 7,998,116 | 492,696 | 531,303 | 6,992,025 |  | 8,016,024 | 7,523,328 | 147,196 | 474,788 |


| Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Cost vs. Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Through 9/30/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | ${ }^{(1+3)}$ | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAND ACQUISITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acquisition (FY16) | 1,485,000 | 1,485,000 |  | 1,485,000 | 1,485,000 |  | 20 | 1,484,980 | Budget | 1,485,000 | 1,485,000 |  |  |
| Land Acq - N . Bethany Comm Pk |  |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | - | 1,314 | 2,498,686 | Budget | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | - |  |
| Land Acq - N . Bethany Nghbd Pk |  | - | 7,650,000 | 7,650,000 | 7,650,000 | - | 1,563,591 | 6,086,409 | Budget | 7,650,000 | 7,650,000 |  | - |
| Land Acq - N Bethany Trails |  |  | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 | - | 152,515 | 1,147,485 | Budget | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 |  |  |
| Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Trail |  | - | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | - | 312 | 299,688 | Budget | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
| Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Nat Ar <br> Land Acq - Bonny Slope W Trail | - | - | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | - |  | 150,000 | Budget | 150,000 | 150,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | - | 3,608 | 396,392 | Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 | - |  |
|  | 1,485,000 | 1,485,000 | 12,300,000 | 13,785,000 | 13,785,000 | - | 1,721,360 | 12,063,640 |  | 13,785,000 | 13,785,000 | - |  |
| DEVELOPMENT/IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonny Slope / BSD Trail Development | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  |  | 500,000 | Budget | 500,000 | 500,000 |  |  |
| MTIP Grant Match - Westside Trail \#18 | 698,330 |  | 210,500 | 908,830 | 210,500 | 970,183 | 16,609 | 175,530 | Award | 1,162,322 | 192,139 | (253,492) | 18,361 |
| Bethany Creek Falls Phases 1, $2 \& 3$ - Proj Management | 145,000 | 80,000 | 30,000 | 175,000 | 110,000 | 67,946 | 5,113 | 104,887 | Budget | 177,946 | 110,000 | $(2,946)$ |  |
| NW Quadrant Neighborhood Park Master Plan | 75,000 | 75,000 | 25,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 3,893 | 4,510 | 95,490 | Budget | 103,893 | 100,000 | $(3,893)$ |  |
| NW Quadrant Neighborhood Park Master Plan \& Design | 75,000 | 75,000 | 125,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | - |  | 200,000 | Budget | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  |
| New Neighborhood Park Development | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | - | 501 | 1,499,499 | Budget | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  |  |
| SW Quad Community Center - Site Feasability Analysis | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 | 80,000 | - |  | 80,000 | Budget | 80,000 | 80,000 |  |  |
| Natural Area Master Plan | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 | Budget | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| Building Expansion (TBD) | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | $\bigcirc{ }^{-}$ | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | - |  | 1,000,000 | Budget | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |  |
| Deck Expansion (Aquatic Center) | 130,000 | 130,000 | 20,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | - |  | 150,000 | Budget | 150,000 | 150,000 | - | - |
| New Synthetic turf field- Conestoga Middle School | 850,000 | 850,000 | 405,000 | 1,255,000 | 1,255,000 |  |  | 1,027,489 | Award | 1,027,489 | 1,027,489 | 227,511 | 227,511 |
| MTIP Beaverton Creek Trail Master Plan Phase | 135,000 | 115,000 | - | 135,000 | 115,000 | 12,688 | 4,504 | 110,496 | Budget | 127,688 | 115,000 | 7,312 | - |
| MTIB Beaverton Creek Trail Land Acquisition ROW phase | 250,000 | 250,000 | - | 250,000 | 250,000 | - | 1,644 | 248,356 | Budget | 250,000 | 250,000 |  |  |
| WaCo match funds - Augusta Lane Pedestrian Trail Bridge | 50,000 | 50,000 | 1050 | 50,000 | 50,000 | - |  | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | - |
| N Bethany Park \& Trail - project management | 65,000 | 45,000 | 105,000 | 170,000 | 150,000 | 12,924 | 4,873 | 145,127 | Budget | 162,924 | 150,000 | 7,076 |  |
| SW Quadrant Community Park | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 |  | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 |  |  | 2,250,000 | Award | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |
| Connect OR Grant Match - Waterhouse Trail, Segment 4 |  |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | - |  | 300,000 | Budget | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
| SW Quadrant Neighborhood Park Master Plan \& Design |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | - |  | 200,000 | Budget | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | - |
| Cedar Mill Creek Comm Trail Seg \#4 Master Plan \& Des |  |  | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | $\square^{-}$ |  | 250,000 | Budget | 250,000 | 250,000 | 5) |  |
| Undesignaed TOTAL DEVELOPMENT/IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS |  | 7,450,000 | 2,952,523 $4,623,023$ | 2,952,523 $12,876,353$ | 2,952,523 $12,073,023$ | 605 $1,068,239$ | 37,754 | 2,952,523 $11,439,397$ | Budget | $\underset{\text { 2,953,128 }}{12,545,390}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2,952,523 } \\ \hline 11,477,151\end{array}$ | ${ }_{330095}$ |  |
|  | 8,253,330 | 7,450,000 | 4,623,023 | 12,876,353 | 12,073,023 | 1,068,239 | 37,154 | 11,439,397 |  | 12,545,390 | 11,477,151 | 330,963 | 595,872 |
| GRAND Total sdc fund | 9,738,330 | 8,935,000 | 16,923,023 | 26,661,353 | 25,858,023 | 1,068,239 | 1,759,114 | 23,503,037 |  | 26,330,390 | 25,262,151 | 330,963 | 595,872 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KEY |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Deferred | timate based on | inal budget - not st | arted and/or no basis for | change costs for year. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Award | timate based on | atract Award amour | to or quote price estimates |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Complete | joject completed - | additional estimate | ed costs to complete. |  |
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## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

## Through 9/30/16

|  |  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | Variance | Percent of Variance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Project Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 16/17 | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Expended } \\ & \text { to Date } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated Cost to Complete |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | Total Cost Variance to Budget | Cost Expended to Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Expended } \\ \text { to Total Cost } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | New Community Park Land Acquisition | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3.9)=(10)$ | (10)/(3) | (6)/(3) | (6)/(9) |
| NE | 98-881-a | $\frac{\text { New Communit Park Land Acauisfion }}{\text { New Community Park - NE Quadrant ( Teufel) }}$ | 10,000,000 | 132,657 | 10,132,657 | 8,103,899 |  | 8,103,899 |  | Complete | 8,103,899 | 2,028,758 | 20.0\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% |
| NEUN | 98-881-b | Community Park Expansion - NE Quad (BSD/William Walker) Sub-total New Community Park |  |  |  | 373,237 |  | 373,237 |  | Complete | 373,237 | (373,237) | 100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  | 10,000,000 | 132,657 | 10,132,657 | 8,477,136 |  | 8,477,136 |  |  | 8,477,136 | 1,655,521 | 16.3\% | 83.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Authorized Use of Savings for New Neighborhood Parks Land Acquisition Category |  | (1,655,521) | $(1,655,521)$ | . |  | . |  | N/A |  | (1,655,521) | n/a | n/a | /a |
| UND |  | Total New Community Park | 10,000,000 | (1,522,864) | 8,477,136 | 8,477,136 | - | 8,477,136 | - |  | 8,477,136 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 92-916 | Cedar Hills Park \& Athletic FieldSchifler Park | 6,194,905 | 241,378 | 6,436,283 | 279,737 | 18,340 | 298,077 | 9,512,894 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Master Planning } \\ \text { Complete } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 9,810,971 | (3,374,688) | -52.4\% | 4.6\% | 3.0\% |
| SE | 92-917 |  | 3,598,700 | 74,403 | 3,673,103$10,109,386$ | 2,633,084 |  | 2,633,0842,931,161 |  |  | 2,633,084 | 1,040,019 | 28.3\% | 71.7\% |  |
|  |  | Total Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks | 9,793,605 | 315,781 |  | $\underset{\text { 2,912,821 }}{ }$ |  |  | 9,512,894 | 12,444,055 |  | (2,334,669) | -23.1\% $\quad 29.0 \%$ 23.0\% |  |  |
|  |  | Natural Area Preservation - Restoration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | 97-963 | Roger Tilibury Memorial Park | 30,846 | 1,101 | 31,947 | 8,222 |  | 8.222 | 23,574 | Preparation | 31,796 | 151 | 0.5\% | 25.7\% | 25.9\% |
| NE | 97-964 |  | 30,846 | 1,029 | 31,875 | 1,201 |  | 1,201 | 8,844 | Estabishment | 10,045 | 21,830 | 68.5\% | 3.8\% | 12.0\% |
| NE | 97-965 | Cedar Mill Park Jordan/Jackie Husen Park | 308,460 | 8,697 | 317,157 | 36,236 | - | 36,236 | 21,277 | Estabishment | 57,513 | 259,644 | 81.9\% | 11.4\% | 63.0\% |
| NW | 97-966 |  | 246,768 | 9,263 | 256,031 |  |  |  | 256,031 | On Hold | 256,031 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-967 | NEEBEthany Meadows Trail Habitat Connection Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 10,282 | 290 | 10,572 | 12,929 | - | 12,929 | 92 | Estabishment | 13,021 | $(2,449)$ | -23.2\% | 122.3\% | 99.3\% |
| NW | 97-968 |  | 41,128 | 1,446 | 42,574 | 9,419 | - | 9,419 | 32,338 | Estabishment | 41,757 | 817 | 1.9\% | 22.1\% | 22.6\% |
| NW | 97-969 | Crystal Creek Park | 205,640 | 6,605 | 212,245 | 59,401 |  | 59,401 | 41,090 | Estabishment | 100,491 | 111,754 | 52.7\% | 28.0\% | 59.1\% |
| NE | 97-970 |  | 61,692 | 1,172 | 62,864 | 46,178 |  | 46,178 |  | Complete | 46,178 | 16,686 | 26.5\% | 73.5\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | 97-971 | Foothills Park Commonwealth Lake Park | 41,128 | 778 | 41,906 | 30,809 |  | 30,809 |  | Complete | 30,809 | 11,097 | 26.5\% | 73.5\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 97-972 | Commonwealth Lake Park Tualatin Hills Nature Park | 90,800 | 2,323 | 93,123 | 27,696 |  | 27,696 |  | Complete | 27,696 | 65,427 | 70.3\% | 29.7\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | 97-973 | Tualatin Hills Nature Park Pioneer Park | 10,282 | 254 | 10,536 | 9,421 | - | 9,421 | 1,031 | Complete | 10,452 | 84 | 0.8\% | 89.4\% | 90.1\% |
| NW | 97-974 |  | 51,410 | 914 | 52,324 | 48,871 |  | 48.871 |  | Complete | 48,871 | 3,453 | 6.6\% | 93.4\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 97-975 | Whispering Woods Park Willow Creek Nature Park | 20,564 | 389 | 20,953 | 21,877 |  | 21,877 |  | Complete | ${ }^{21,877}$ | (924) | -4.4\% | 104.4\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | 97-976 | Willow Creek Nature Park AM Kennedy Park | 30,846 | 741 | 31,587 | 26,866 |  | 26,866 | 5,864 | Complete | 32,730 | $(1,143)$ | -3.6\% | 85.1\% | 82.1\% |
| SE | 97-977 | AM Kennedy Park Camille Park | 77,115 | 1,784 | 78,899 | 61,399 | - | 61,399 | 11,010 | Complete | 72,409 | 6,490 | 8.2\% | 77.8\% | 84.8\% |
| SE | 97-978 | Vista Brook Park Greenway Park/Koll Center | 20,564 | 719 | 21,283 | 4,754 |  | 4,754 | 15,830 | Estabishment | 20,584 | 699 | 3.3\% | 22.3\% | 23.1\% |
| SE | 97-979 |  | ${ }^{61,692}$ | 1,843 | 63,535 | 40,468 | 2,130 | ${ }^{42,598}$ | 20,519 | Estabishment | 63,117 | 418 | 0.7\% | 67.0\% | 67.5\% |
| SE | 97-980 | Greenway Park/Koll Center Bauman Park Fanno Creek Park | 82,256 | 2,024 | 84, 280 | ${ }^{30,153}$ |  | ${ }^{30,153}$ |  | Complete | ${ }^{30,153}$ | 54, 127 | 64.2\% | 35.8\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | 97-981 |  | 162,456 | 5,498 | 167,954 | 31,147 |  | 31,147 | 39,190 | Estabishment | 70,337 | 97,617 | 58.1\% | 18.5\% | 44.3\% |
| SE | 97-982 | Fanno Creek Park Hideaway Park | 41,128 | 1,055 | ${ }^{42,183}$ | 38,459 |  | 38.459 | 3,520 | Estabishment | 41,979 | 204 | 0.5\% | 91.2\% | 91.6\% |
| SW | 97-983 | Hideaway Park Murrayhill Park | ${ }_{71,1992}$ | 1,031 | ${ }^{62,723}$ | 65,712 |  | ${ }^{65,712}$ |  | Complete | ${ }^{65,712}$ | (2,989) | -4.3\% | 104.8\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | 97-984 | Murrayhill Park Hyland Forest Park Cooper Mountain | 71.974 | 1,342 | 73,316 | 62,121 |  | 62,121 |  | Complete | 62,121 | 11,195 | 15.3\% | 84.7\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 97-985 |  | 205,640 | 7,717 | 213,357 | 14 | - | 14 | 213,343 | On Hold | 213,357 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-986 | Cooper Mountain Winkelman Park | 10,282 | 241 | 10,523 | 5,894 |  | 5,894 |  | Complete | 5,894 | 4,629 | 44.0\% | 56.0\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 97-987 | Winkelman Park <br> Lowami Hart Woods | 287,896 | 8.672 | 296,568 | 108,247 | 4,018 | 112,265 | 53,050 | Estabishment | 165,315 | 131,253 | 44.3\% | 37.9\% | 67.9\% |
| sw | 97-988 | Rosa/Hazeldale Parks Mt Williams Park | 28,790 | 722 | 29,512 | 12,754 |  | 12,754 |  | Complete | 12,754 | 16,758 | 56.8\% | 43.2\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 97-989 |  | 102,820 | 3,778 | 106,598 | 16,649 | - | 16,649 | 89,949 | Preparation | 106,598 |  | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 15.6\% |
| sw | 97-990 | Mt Williams Park <br> Jenkins Estate | 154,230 | 3,365 | 157,595 | 136,481 | - | 136,481 |  | Complete | 136,481 | 21,114 | 13.4\% | 86.6\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 97-991 | Summercrest Park | 10,282 | 193 | 10,475 | 7,987 | - | 7,987 |  | Complete | 7,987 | 2,488 | 23.8\% | 76.2\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 97-992 |  | 61,692 | 2,314 | 64,006 |  |  |  | 64,006 | On Hold | 64,006 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-993 |  | 339,306 | 9,048 | 348,354 | 314,187 | 2,420 | 316,607 | 22.870 | Sign Fabrication | ${ }^{339,477}$ | 8,877 | 2.5\% | 90.9\% |  |
| NW | 97-994 | Interpretive Sign Network Beaverton Creek Trail Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek | 61,692 41,128 | + ${ }_{1,544}^{1,315}$ | 44,007 42,672 | : | : | : | 64,007 42,672 | On Hold On Hold | 64,007 42,672 | : | ${ }^{0.0 \% \%}$ | 0.0\% | -0.0\% |
| NW | 97-996 | Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek Bluegrass Downs Park | ${ }^{15,423}$ | 578 | 16,001 |  |  |  | 16,001 | On Hold | 16,001 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-997 | Crystal Creek Reallocation of project savings to new project budgets | 41,128 | 1,544 | 42,672 |  | - | - | 42,672 | On Hold | 42,672 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| UND | N/A | Reallocation of project savings to new project budgets Hyland Woods Phase 2 |  | (865,000) | (885,000) |  |  |  |  | Reallocation | 75356 | (865,000) |  | 0.0\% | - $3.0 \%$ |
| SE | ${ }_{9}^{97-870}$ |  |  | 75,356 125,583 | 75,356 125,583 | ${ }_{21,675}^{22,745}$ | 3,591 | ${ }_{21,675}^{26,336}$ | 49,020 103,908 | Preparation Preparation | 75,356 125,583 |  |  | 34.9\% | 347.9\% |
| NW | 97-872 | Somerset <br> Rock Creek Greenway |  | 150,778 | 150,778 |  |  |  | 150,778 | Budget | 150,778 |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-873 |  |  | 155,804 | 155,804 | - | - | - | 155,804 | Budget | 155,804 |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-874 | Rock Creek Greenway Whispering Woods Phase 2 | - | 95,493 | 95,493 | - | - | - | 95,493 | Budget | 95,493 |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
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|  |  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | Variance | Percent of Variance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Project } \\ \text { Code } \end{array}$ | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Project Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Total } \\ & \text { Project Budget } \\ & \text { FY 16/17 } \end{aligned}$ | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended o Date | Estimated Cost | Basis of EstimatePhase) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | Total Cost Variance to Budget | Cost Expended | Cost Expended to Total Cost |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2) $=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (10) / (3) | (6) / 3 ) | (6)/(9) |
| SE | 97-875 | Raleigh Park Ranister Creek Greenway ${ }^{\text {a }}$ NE |  | 110,527 | ${ }^{110,527}$ | ${ }^{8,500}$ |  | 8,500 | ${ }^{102,027}$ | Budget | 110,527 |  |  | ${ }^{7.7 \%}$ | 7.7\% |
| NE | 97-876 | Bannister Creek Greenway ${ }^{\text {NE Park }}$ | - | 75,389 | 75,389 |  | - |  | 75,389 | Budget | 75,389 |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-877 | Beaverton Creek Greenway Duncan |  | 20,104 | 20,104 |  |  |  | 20,104 | Budget | 20,104 |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SE | 97-878 | Church of Nazarene |  | 30,156 | ${ }^{30,156}$ |  |  |  | 30,156 | Budget | ${ }^{30,156}$ |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-879 | Lilly K. Johnson Woods |  | ${ }^{30,123}$ | 30, 123 | 15,097 |  | 15,097 | 15.026 | Estabishment | 30,123 |  |  | 50.1\% | 50.1\% |
| UN | 97-914 | Restoration of new properties to be acquired | 643,023 | 23,953 | 666,976 | 7,172 |  | 7,172 | 634,111 | On Hold | 641,283 | 25,693 | 3.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Restoration | 3,762,901 | 120,595 | 3,883,496 | 1,350,741 | 12,159 | 1,362,900 | 2,520,596 |  | 3,883,496 |  | 0.0\% | 35.1\% | 35.1\% |
| UND |  | Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98-882 | Natural Area Acquisitions | 8,400,000 | 246,379 | 8,646,379 | 4,806,432 | 4,173 | 4,810,605 | 3,835,774 | Budget | 8,646,379 |  | 0.0\% | 55.6\% | 55.6\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition | 8,400,000 | 246,379 | 8,646,379 | 4,806,432 | 4,173 | 4,810,605 | 3,835,774 |  | 8,646,379 | . | 0.0\% | 55.6\% | 55.6\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 93-918 | Westside Trail Segments 1, 4, \& 7 | 4,267,030 | 85,084 | 4,352,114 | 4,395,221 |  | 4,395,221 |  | Complete | 4,395,221 | $(43,107)$ | -1.0\% | 101.0\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | 93-920 | Jordan/Husen Park Trail ${ }_{\text {Water }}$ | 1,645,120 | 46,432 | ${ }_{\text {1 }}$ 1,691,552 | ${ }^{1,227,496}$ |  | 1,227,496 |  | Complete | $1,227,496$ 4417702 |  |  | -72.6\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 93-924 | Waterhouse Trail Segments $1,5 \&$ West Spur | 3,804,340 | ${ }^{78,646}$ | 3,882,986 | 4,417,702 |  | 4,417,702 |  | Complete | 4,417,702 | (534,716) | -13.8\% | 113.8\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 93-922 | Rock Creek Trail \#5 A Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 | 2,262,040 | 84,669 | 2,346,709 | 1,738,151 | 3,325 | 1,741,476 | 783,020 | On Hold | 2,524,496 | $(177,787)$ | -7.6\% | 74.2\% | 69.0\% |
| UND | 93-923 | Miscellaneous Natural Trails | 100,000 | 3,223 | 103,223 | 30,394 |  | 30,394 | 72,453 | Budget | 102,847 | 376 | 0.4\% | 29.4\% | 29.6\% |
| NW | 91-912 | Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail | 359,870 | 3,094 | 362,964 | 238,702 |  | 238,702 |  | Complete | 238,702 | 124,262 | 34.2\% | 65.8\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | 91-913 | NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 | 257,050 | 14,797 | 271,847 | 414,817 | - | 414,817 |  | Complete | 414,817 | (142,970) | -52.6\% | 152.6\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 93-921 | Lowami Hart Woods | 822,560 | 55,645 | 878,205 | 1,258,746 |  | 1,258,746 |  | Complete | 1,258,746 | (380,541) | -43.3\% | 143.3\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 91-911 | Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection | 1,542,300 | 47,215 | 1,589,515 | 655,985 | 201,289 | 857,274 | 271,447 | Bid Award | 1,128,721 | 460,794 | 29.0\% | 53.9\% | 76.0\% |
|  |  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Development | 15,060,310 | 418,805 | 15,479,115 | 14,377,214 | 204,614 | 14,581,828 | 1,126,920 |  | 15,708,748 | [229,633) | -1.5\% | 94.2\% | 92.8\% |
| UND |  | $\frac{\text { New Linear Park and Trail Land Accuisition }}{\text { New Linar Park and Tril }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98-883 | New Linear Park and Trail Acquisitions | 1,200,000 | 23,297 | 1,223,297 | 1,221,936 | 195 | 1,222,131 | 1,166 | Budget | 1,223,297 |  | 0.0\% | 99.9\% | 99.9\% |
|  |  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquisition | 1,200,000 | 23,297 | 1,223,297 | 1,221,936 | 195 | 1,222,131 | 1,166 |  | 1,223,297 |  | 0.0\% | 99.9\% | 99.9\% |
|  |  | Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 94-925 | Winkelman Atheetic Field | 514,100 | 34,601 | 548,701 | 941,843 |  | 941,843 |  | Complete | 941,843 | (393,142) | -71.6\% | 171.6\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | 94-926 | Meadow Waye Park | 514,100 | 4,791 | 518,891 | 407,340 |  | 407,340 |  | Complete | 407,340 | 111,551 | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 94-927 | New Fields in NW Quadrant | 514,100 | 19,294 | 533,394 | 75 |  | 75 | 530,566 | Budget | 530,641 | 2,753 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NE | 94-928 | New Fields in NE Quadrant (Cedar Mill Park) | 514,100 | 14,184 | 528,284 | 527,993 | - | 527,993 |  | Complete | 527,993 | 291 | 0.1\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 94-929 | New Fields in SW Quadrant | 514,100 | 19,279 | 533,379 | 724 |  | 724 | 529,905 | Budget | 530,629 | 2,750 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| SE | 94-930 | New Fields in SE Quadrant (Conestoga Middle School) | 514,100 | 18,792 | 532,892 | 228,524 | 1,062,026 | 1,290,550 | (759,947) | Constr Docs | 530,633 | 2,259 | 0.4\% | 242.2\% | 243.2\% |
|  |  | Total Mult--ield/Multi-purpose Athetic Field Dev. | 3,084,600 | 110,941 | 3,195,541 | 2,106,499 | 1,062,026 | 3,168,525 | 300,554 |  | 3,469,079 | (273,538) | -8.6\% | 99.2\% | 91.3\% |
|  |  | Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 96-960 | Play Structure Replacements at 11 sites | 810,223 | 3,685 | 813,908 | 773,055 |  | 773,055 |  | Complete | 773,055 | 40,853 | 5.0\% | 95.0\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | 96-720 | Bridgelboardwalk replacement - Willow Creek | 96,661 | 1,276 | 97,937 | 127,277 |  | 127,277 |  | Complete | 127,277 | (29,340) | -30.0\% | 130.0\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-721 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Rosa Park | 38,909 | 369 | 39,278 | 38,381 | - | 38,381 |  | Complete | 38,381 | 897 | 2.3\% | 97.7\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-722 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Jenkins Estate | 7.586 | 34 | 7,620 | 28,430 |  | 28,430 |  | Complete | 28,430 | $(20,810)$ | -273.1\% | 373.1\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | ${ }^{96-723}$ | Bridgelboardwalk replacement - Hartwood Highlands | 10,767 | 134 | 10,901 | 985 | - | 985 |  | Cancelled | 985 | ${ }^{9,916}$ | 91.0\% | 9.0\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-998 | lrigation Replacement at Roxbury Park | 48,854 | 63 | 48,917 | 41,902 |  | 41,902 |  | Complete | 41,902 | 7,015 | 14.3\% | 85.7\% | 100.0\% |
| UND | 96-999 | Pedestrian Path Replacement at 3 sites | 116,687 | 150 | 116,837 | 118,039 |  | 118,039 |  | Complete | 118,039 | $(1,202)$ | -1.0\% | 101.0\% | 100.0\% |
| SW | 96-946 | Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center | 160,914 | 1.515 | 162,429 | 191,970 |  | 191,970 |  | Complete | 191,970 | (29,541) | -18.2\% | 118.2\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-947 | Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center | 160,914 | 3,248 | 164,162 | 512,435 | - | 512,435 | - | Complete | 512,435 | (348,273) | -212.2\% | 312.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Sub-total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements | 1,451,515 | 10,474 | 1,461,989 | 1,832,474 | - | 1,832,474 | . |  | 1,832,474 | (370,485) | -25.3\% | 1321.8\% | 900.0\% |
| UND |  | Authorized Use of Savings from Facility Expansion \& Improvements Category | - | 179,613 | 179,613 | . | - | . | . | N/A | . | 179,613 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Authorized Use of Savings from Bond Issuance Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UN |  | Category |  | 190,872 | 190,872 | - | - | - | . | N/A |  | 190,872 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements | 1,451,515 | 380,959 | 1,832,474 | 1,832,474 | - | 1,832,474 | . |  | 1,832,474 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
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|  |  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Costto Complete | Basis of Estimate (CompletedPhase) Phase) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Variance <br> Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | Percent of <br> Variance$\|$ | Cost Expendedto Budget | CostExpendedto Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}$ | Project Code | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Project Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Total } \\ & \text { Project Budget } \\ & \text { FY 16/17 } \end{aligned}$ | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Center Land Acquisition |  |  | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (10) / (3) | (6)/(3) | (6)/(9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Und | 98-884-a |  | Community Center / Community Park (SW Quadrant) (Hulse/BSD/Engel) | 5,000,000 | 105,974 | 5,105,974 | 1,654,847 | 0 | 1,654,847 |  | Complete | 1,654,847 | 3,451,127 | 67.6\% | 32.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Community Center / Community Park (SW Quadrant) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UN | 98-884-b | (WenzelWall) | 5- |  |  | 2,351,777 |  | 2,351,777 |  | Complete | 2,351,777 | $(2,351,777)$ | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Sub-total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | 105,974 | 5,105,974 | 4,006,624 | 0 | 4,006,624 | - |  | 4,006,624 | 1,099, 350 | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Outside Funding from Washington County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UN |  | Transferred to New Community Park Development |  | $(176,000)$ | $(176,000)$ |  |  | - |  | N/A |  | $(176,000)$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| UND |  | Transferred to New Community Park Development |  | (208,251) | (208,251) |  |  | - |  | N/A |  | (208,251) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| UND |  | Authorized Use of Savings for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | New Neighborhood Parks Land Acquisition Category |  | (715,099) | $(715,099)$ |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | (715,099) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | (993,376) | 4,000,624 | 4,006,624 | 0 | 4,006,624 | - |  | 4,006,624 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Bond Administration Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADM |  | Debt Issuance Costs | 1,393,000 | (539,654) | 853,346 | 68,142 | - | 68,142 |  | Complete | 68,142 | 785,204 | 92.0\% | 8.0\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Bond Accountant Personnel Costs |  | 241,090 | 241,090 | 288,678 | - | 288,678 |  | Complete | 288,678 | $(47,588)$ | -19.7\% | 119.7\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Deputy Director of Planning Personnel Costs | - | 57,454 | 57,454 | 57,454 | - | 57,454 |  | Complete | 57,454 |  | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Communications Support |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 12,675 |  | 12,675 | 37,325 | Budget | 50,000 |  | 0.0\% | 25.4\% | 25.4\% |
| ADM |  | Technology Needs | 18,330 |  | 18,330 | 23,952 |  | 23,952 |  | Complete | 23,952 | (5,622) | -30.7\% | 130.7\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Office Furniture | 7,150 |  | 7,150 | 5,378 |  | 5,378 |  | Complete | 5,378 | 1,772 | 24.8\% | 75.2\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Admin/Consultant Costs | 31,520 |  | 31,520 | 48,093 |  | 48,993 |  | Complete | 48,993 | $(16,573)$ | -52.6\% | 152.6\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Additional Bond Proceeds |  | 1,507,717 | 1,507,717 |  |  |  |  | Budget |  | 1,507,717 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Sub-total Bond Administration Costs | 1,450,000 | 1,316,607 | 2,766,607 | 504,372 |  | 504,372 | 37,325 |  | 541,697 | 2,224,910 | 80.4\% | 18.2\% | 93.1\% |
| UND |  | Authorized Use of Savings for Deferred Park Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Replacements Category | - | (190,872) | (190,872) | - | - | - | - | N/A |  | (190,872) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| UND |  | Authorized Use of Savings for New Neighborhood Parks Development Category |  | $(222,950)$ | $(222,950)$ | - | - | - | - | N/A | - | (222,950) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Authorized use of savings for SW Quad Community Park \& Athetic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND |  | Fields |  | $(1,400,000)$ | $(1,400,000)$ | - |  | - | - | N/A | - | (1,400,000) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Authorized Use of Savings for ADA/Access |  | (189,890) | (189890) |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | (189,890) | na | na |  |
| UND |  | Total Bond Administration Costs | 1,450,000 | (687,105) | 762,895 | 504,372 | - | 504,372 | 37,325 |  | 541,697 | 221,198 | 29.0\% | 66.1\% | 93.1\% |
|  |  | Grand Total | 100,000,000 | 3,814,442 | 103,814,442 | 76,525,748 | 6,864,566 | 83,390,315 | 23,456,066 |  | 106,846,381 | $(3,031,938)$ | -2.9\% | 80.3\% | 78.0\% |

# THPRD Bond Capital Program 

Funds Reprogramming Analysis - Based on Category Transfer Eligibility
As of 9/30/16

> Category (Over) Under Budget

Limited Reprogramming
Land: New Neighborhood Park
New Community Park
New Linear Park
New Community Center/Park


Nat Res: Restoration
Acquisition

All Other
New Neighborhood Park Dev
Neighborhood Park Renov
New Community Park Dev
Community Park Renov
New Linear Parks and Trails
Athletic Field Development
Deferred Park Maint Replace
Facility Rehabilitation
ADA
Facility Expansion
Bond Admin Costs
221,198
$(3,031,938)$

Grand Total
$(3,031,938)$


## MEMORANDUM

Date: October 21, 2016

To: Board of Directors

From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities

Re: $\quad$ System Development Charge Report for August, 2016

The Board of Directors approved a resolution implementing the System Development Charge program on November 17, 1998. Below please find the various categories for SDC's, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the $1.6 \%$ handling fee for collections through August 2016.

| Type of Dwelling Unit | Current SDC per Type of Dwelling Unit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 6,450.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 6,346.80$ |
| Multi-family | $\$ 4,824.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 4,746.82$ |
| Non-residential | $\$ 167.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 164.33$ |


| City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2,935 | Single Family Units |
| 15 | Single Family Units at $\$ 489.09$ |
| 1,922 | Multi-family Units |
| 0 | Less Multi-family Credits |
| 254 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{5 , 1 2 6}$ |  |


| Receipts | Collection Fee |  | Total Revenue |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 8,884,181.95$ | $\$ 231,579.75$ |  |
| $\$ 9,051,998.50$ |  |  |  |
| $\$ 7,336.35$ | $\$ 221.45$ | $\$ 7,557.80$ |  |
| $\$ 4,807,654.81$ | $\$ 117,379.96$ | $\$ 4,925,034.77$ |  |
| $(\$ 7,957.55)$ | $(\$ 229.36)$ | $(\$ 8,186.91)$ |  |
| $\$ 700,798.82$ | $\$ 18,648.59$ | $\$ 719,447.41$ |  |
| $\$ 14,392,014.38$ | $\$ 367,600.39$ | $\$ 14,695,851.57$ |  |

Washington County Collection of SDCs

| 8,293 | Single Family Units |
| ---: | :--- |
| -300 | Less Credits |
| 2,922 | Multi-family Units |
| -24 | Less Credits |
| 151 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 4 2}$ |  |

## Recap by Agency

5,126 City of Beaverton
11,042 Washington County

| Receipts |  | Collection Fee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Revenue |  |  |
| $\$ 29,208,550.15$ | $\$ 681,050.35$ |  | $\$ 29,889,600.50$ |
| $(\$ 623,548.98)$ | $(\$ 19,285.02)$ | $(\$ 642,834.00)$ |  |
| $\$ 8,130,837.47$ | $\$ 193,602.06$ | $\$ 8,324,439.53$ |  |
| $(\$ 47,323.24)$ | $(\$ 1,463.61)$ | $(\$ 48,786.85)$ |  |
| $\$ 1,213,366.50$ | $\$ 25,062.17$ | $\$ 1,238,428.67$ |  |
| $\$ 37,881,881.90$ | $\$ 878,965.95$ | $\$ 38,760,847.85$ |  |


| Recap by Agency |  | $\underline{\text { Percent }}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 5,126 | City of Beaverton | $27.49 \%$ |
| 11,042 | Washington County | $\underline{\underline{12.51 \%}}$ |
| $\underline{\mathbf{1 6 , 1 6 8}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{100.00 \%}}$ |


| Receipts |  | Collection Fee |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Total Revenue |  |
| $\$ 14,392,014.38$ | $\$ 367,600.39$ |  | $\$ 14,695,851.57$ |
| $\$ 37,881,881.90$ | $\$ 878,965.95$ |  | $\$ 38,760,847.85$ |
| $\$ 52, \mathbf{2 7 3 , 8 9 6 . 2 8}$ | $\$ 1,246,566.34$ | $\$ 53,456,699.42$ |  |


| Recap by Dwelling | Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Resident | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Beaverton | 2,950 | 1,922 | 254 | 5,126 |
| Washington County | 7,993 | 2,898 | 151 | 11,042 |
|  | 10,943 | 4,820 | 405 | 16,168 |
| Total Receipts to Date |  |  | \$52,273,896.28 |  |
| Total Payments to Date |  |  |  |  |
| Refunds |  | (\$2,066,073.93) |  |  |
| Administrative Costs |  | (\$18.65) |  |  |
| Project Costs -- Developme |  | (\$23,666,613.89) |  |  |
| Project Costs -- Land Acquisition |  | (\$15,475,869.04) | (\$41,208,575.51) |  |
|  |  |  | \$11,065,320.77 |  |
| Recap by Month, FY 2016/17 | Receipts | Expenditures | Interest | SDC Fund Total |
| through June 2016 | \$50,894,668.85 | (\$40,992,117.90) | \$2,194,063.22 | \$12,096,614.17 |
| July | \$903,888.92 | (\$17,397.40) | \$7,892.31 | \$894,383.83 |
| August | \$475,338.51 | (\$199,060.21) | \$9,028.10 | \$285,306.40 |
| September | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| October | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| November | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| December | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| January | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| February | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| March | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| April | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| May | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| June | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | \$52,273,896.28 | (\$41,208,575.51) | \$2,210,983.63 | \$13,276,304.40 |
| Recap by Month, by Unit | Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Residential | Total Units |
| through June 2016 | 10,892 | 4,819 | 401 | 16,112 |
| July | 28 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| August | 24 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| September | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| October | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| November | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| March | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| April | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| June | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10,944 | 4,819 | 405 | 16,168 |

Projected SDC balance as of June 30, 2016 per FY17 budget was $\$ 11,279,964$ Actual balance was $\$ 11,544,271$. This fiscal year's projected total receipts per the budget are $\$ 14,578,059$.


Trail Blazer
THPRD WELCOMES MVPs LIKE YOU. ALWAYS.
Here, being valued is simple. Get on a bike. Join a pickup game.
Dive in. Once you do, we'll be cheering for you.
At the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, we see MVPs everywhere. They don't have agents or endorse a sports drink.
But they're beyond valuable to us.


## Join us for some fall/winter fun!

Great Pumpkin Hunt | Pumpkin Bob
Fall Festival | Monster Bash \& Splash
Nature Days in the Park | Cedar Mill Cider Festival
Dive-in Movies | Artisan Fine Art Sale
Newt Day | Holiday Bazaar | Women-only swims Winter Wonderland Holiday Festival and more!

Visit thprd.org/events to learn more!

## Cedar Hills Recreation Center Fall Festival

Come dress up in your favorite costume and join the family fun Oct. 22 from 3-7 p.m where parents and kids alike can laugh and play. Admission is $\$ 2$ per person. To participate in activities purchase a $\$ 10$ bracelet for access to all of the Halloween activities including freaky festival games, go fish, Pirates Cove, face painting, Children's Hospital, fall crafts, a cake walk, dance performances, BJ the Clown and more. Enter the $\$ 1$ raffle or the archery tournament.


The 10th annual Cedar Mill Cider Festival will take place from 1 to 4 p.m. on Oct. 16 at the John Quincy Adams Young House.

# Annual apple bash set for Oct. 16 in Cedar Mill 

## By MANDY FEDER-SAWYER

The Times
The fall season will be ushered in with a decadelong tradition at the 10th annual Cedar Mill Cider Festival from 1 to 4 p.m. Oct. 16.
The Cider Festival features history, music and food, all on the grounds of the historic John Quincy Adams Young House in Cedar Mill, 12050 N.W. Cornell Road.
Scouts from Boy Scout Troop 208 , as a part of the yearly tradition, use two antique cider presses to make free samples of fresh cider for all attendees. Apples are donated by co-sponsors Bales Marketplace and Safeway Cedar Mill.
At 2 p.m., Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District will hold a brief ceremony to dedicate the Sue Conger Memorial Boardwalk, which affords visitors an accessible trail to view Cedar Mill Falls. Conger was instrumental in preserving the JQAY House and grounds for the community.

In addition to cider, there will
be food and craft offerings from local vendors in the Country Store. There will also be community partners' booths for information about local resources.

A barbecue lunch will be available from Slick's Big Time BBQ. For dessert, guests can grab a personal pie from Benefit Brownies while listening to bluegrass music by The Columbians, featuring Spud Siegel and friends.
The history tent will provide information about Cedar Mill history and the plans for restoration of the JQAY House. The THPRD Recreation Mobile will be onsite to provide games and activities for children.
The grounds are wheelchairaccessible, although the area is not paved and can be rough in spots. Free parking is available after 1 p.m. in the Cedar Mill Bible Church parking lot.

For more information, visit cedarmill.org/ciderfestival.

The site is part of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District that sponsors the event. Questions can be directed to THPRD at 503-645-6433.


## Cedar Mill Cider Festival

What: The 10th annual Cedar Mill Cider
Festival
When: 1-4
p.m., Oct. 16

Where: John Quincy Adams Young House, 12050 N.W. Cornell Road


COMTRIBITED PHOTO
The Fall Mative Plant Sale will feature plants such as the salmonberry pictured here.

## Fall Native Plant Sale to be held Saturday

## The Times

More than 100 varieties of native trees, shrubs, ground covers and perennials will be available at Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's 11th annual Fall Native Plant Sale.
The event will take place from $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to 2 p.m. Saturday at the Tualatin Hills Nature Center located at 15655 S.W. Millikan Way in Beaverton. Admission is free.
According to THPRD, fall is an excellent time to introduce native plants to gardens that require less care and water and provide greater wildlife benefits than many ornamental plants.
"Planting now gives your plants a head start so they can become established for next spring's growing season. Nature will take care of the watering for you this winter," said Karen Munday, program coordinator at the Nature Center.

Gardening experts, THPRD

Natural Resources staff and volunteers will be on hand to help gardeners make the right choices for their landscapes. The event is sponsored by Friends of the Tualatin Hills Nature Park. Proceeds from sales will go to park improvements and environmental education. For more information, call the Nature Center at 503 -629-6350.

THPRD is the largest special park district in Oregon, spanning about 50 square miles and serving about 240,000 residents in the greater Beaverton area. The district provides yearround recreational opportunities for people of all ages and abilities.

Offerings include thousands of widely diverse classes, 95 park sites with active recreational amenities, nearly 70 miles of trails, eight swim centers, six recreation centers, and about 1,500 acres of natural areas. For more information, visit www.thprd.org or call 503-645-6433.


COITRIEUTED PHOTO
Oregon grape will be among the plants at the Fall Native Plant Sale on Saturday.

## Fiscal impact of THPRD bond measure reduced

Created on Thursday, 20 October 2016 | Written by The Times |
Almost eight years after voter approval, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's $\$ 100$ million bond measure has produced new and improved parks, trails, natural areas and upgraded recreational facilities for residents throughout the greater Beaverton area.

The district has also moved to take advantage of opportunities in the financial markets to reduce the fiscal impact of the bond measure on those same voters.

According to Keith Hobson, THPRD's director of Business \& Facilities, the true cost of the $\$ 100$ million bond measure over the 20 -year payback period was originally scheduled to be $\$ 173$ million. Through favorable bond sales and refinancing, the district has now reduced that liability to $\$ 142$ million - a $\$ 31$ million savings for taxpayers equivalent to 18 percent.

Those bond sales and refinancing reduced the debt service on that amount by more than 8 percent.
When the bond measure was approved in November 2008, it was slated to cost property owners within the district 37 cents per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation and scheduled to be paid over the course of 20 years. Now, the rate is 31 cents per $\$ 1,000$, and the term has been reduced to 18 years.

Hobson said the savings are attributable largely to continuing low interest rates and a favorable borrowing market. And the district's strong credit rating also has played a major role.
"As part of our refinance this month, we had a credit rating update," Hobson said. "Moody's reaffirmed our Aal rating on general obligation bonds, which is the second highest rating possible," said Doug Menke, THPRD general manager. "It's a great story for district taxpayers, due not only to lower interest rates but also to the hard work of our staff and the fiscal policy set by our board of directors."

Formed in 1955, THPRD is the largest special park district in Oregon, spanning 50 square miles and serving about 240,000 residents in the greater Beaverton area. The district provides year-round recreational opportunities for people of all ages and abilities. Offerings include thousands of widely diverse classes, 95 park sites with active recreational amenities, nearly 70 miles of trails, eight swim centers, six recreation centers, and about 1,500 acres of natural areas.

For more information, visit thprd.org or call 503-645-6433.

## Millions of plants, seeds prepared for restoration projects

The Big Prairie at Cooper Mountain Nature Park a prime site for harvesting

## By REBECCA KOFFMAN

 Metro NewsThe Big Prairie at Cooper Mountain Nature Park offers weeping views of the
Tualatin River Valley. But on sun-scorched summer morning, Adrienne Basey, bot anist and science assistant at Metro's Native Plant Center, is focusing on the earth at her feet.
She walks through tall grass searching for Sanicula bipinnatifida, better known as purple sanicle, a native plant in the carrot family. It's gone to seed so she can't look for its flower.
"It's fun when your eye picks up the pattern and you see hings that were invisible a moment ago," she said
Soon, she bends to a twiggy plant almost indistinguishable from the brown stalks around it.
"Yes!" she said.
She's there at the right time. The seed is ripe and ready to collect
This fall, 3,500 pounds of na tive seeds will be sown at Metro properties. In the winter, 948,000 plants, live stakes and bulbs will go into the ground. Getting ready for this massive planting operation takes years of planning, careful science and some 11th-hour compromises. But it's important work.
Collecting wild seed by hand is the first step in restoring oak woodlands, upland prairies, wetlands and other threatened cosystems that are being preserved at Metro sites through out the region.
At Cooper Mountain, Basey counts the individual sanicle


Amelia Reed, a seasonal employee at Metro, gathers camas seeds at Willamette Narrows south of West Linn Camas is a lily-like plant whose bulb was a major food source for Native Americans and will be used to restore natural areas throughout the region.
plants, notes how much of the urr-like seed has already dispersed - it clings to the fur of passing animals - and consults her chart to see what percent age of the seed from this wild population she can collect. Only then does she gather some seed. Next, she heads to the pond to meet Julic Hawkins, a volunteer in Metro's Seed Scout program. Hawkins has been trained to identify wildflowers by their seedheads, and carries a GPS tracker and paper bags filled with seeds of native larksipur iris and geranium. She has volunteered to collect seeds for six years, usually at Graham Oak Nature Park in Wilsonville near her home.
"I have some bags to check," she told Basey.

On her last visit, Hawkins tied small mesh bags over the seed capsules of Tolmie's cat's ears. since then, the pods have burst open and flung their dark brown seeds - but only as far as the mesh.

At the end of the morning Basey took the gathered seed


Seeds of Tolmie's cat's ears are shown here after a collection at Cooper Mountain Mature Park in Beaverton.
to Metro's Native Plant Center then making more - to do that in Tualatin, where they will be conservation work feels good. dried, cleaned, then planted and rown out to make more seeds. "I get really excited about seeds," said Marsha Holt-Kingsey, the coordinator of the center. "Finding unique species,

She focuses on collectin eeds that are not commercial y available. Some seeds come rom unique habitats wher rare and specialized plants flourish such as the spring
gold or Cascade penstemon that grow on the basalt bluff at Metro's Willamette Narrows south of West Linn. Others, like the seeds in the mesh bags, come from plants such as the cat's ears that are rare in the metro area because develop ment has severely reduced their habitat.
About 300 pounds of the seeds planted this fall will seeds from Metro's plant wen come from Metro's plant cen ler. The rest comes from com mercial grower
Commercial nurseries also grow the native plants and woody cuttings that help reclaim territory from invasive species, lure pollinators, stabilize stream banks, create habi tat and add to the food web.
Holt-Kingsley receives re quests for plants and seeds from Metro's land managers and then contracts with commercial growers to fill the orders. Sometimes, the requests must come in two or three years ahead of when the plants and seeds need to go into the ground at Metro properties, so that the nurseries have cnough time to grow the plants to the required size.
George Kral, co-owner of Scholls Valley Native Nursery in Forest Grove, supplies Metro in Forest Grove, supplies Metro
with dozens of types of plants. For example, he is growing 45,000 thimbleberry plants for Metro that will measure one to two feet when planted in the winter.
"Thimbleberry is a mainstay for us," said Kral, as he stood near a thimbleberry hedgerow at his nursery.
It's grown from seed he collected from genetically diverse wild plants. The hedgerow attracts pollinators, including cedar waxwing birds this day, and produces gallons of seeds each year. Nearby, nursery staff mashed the scarlet ber ries against screens to extract the seeds. They will be cleaned dried, tested for viability,
weighed and sown in outdoor beds. In the next 14 the right size and be toughened up to withstand being taken from the ground by a mechanical lifter.
From there, the plants will go to a large shed to be sorted, counted, bundled and bagged for delivery to Metro's indus. rial cooler where plants are rial corarily stored After sorting , they will finally go out for planting in the wild
That's how it works in an ideal world anyway.
Kral takes Holt-Kingsley to see this summer's crop of young thimbleberry. Halflaughing, they listed some of the things that sometimes go wrong before the plants in hese neat beds make it into the earth at Metro sites this winter The plants might.

- Grow too slow and not
make size.
- Grow too big and make storage and replanting difficult and expensive.
Be washed away by rain.
Be fried by the sun.
Be eaten by bugs or deer
Be stuck in frozen ground that's impenetrable to the mechanical lifter.
"In everything we do, weather is an overarching factor," Holt-Kingsley said.
As planting season nears, the long process of gathering seeds, ordering plants and waiting for them to grow will culminate in millions of seeds and plants going into the ground at Metro parks and natural areas throughout the region.
Putting it together is like doing a jigsaw puzzle, Holt-King. sley said.
It's very satisfying when all the pieces finally fall into place," she added

Rebecca Koffman is a nerss writer for Metro, the regional government. Her article does not necessarily represent the opinions of Metro or the Metro Council.


## - Seniors work with teen mentors to advance their technology skills

by RAVLEEN KAUR
The Times

At the Klsie Stuht Center in Beaverton, John Flood Sr peceed at his smartrowed as he tried to figure out how to send his daughter a message contain ing a picture.
Teen volunteer Sam Madsen was making rounds through the room where sentors taking a technology class learned how to master their smartphomes, tahbets and laptops.

Now, I can do the messaging, bu I've newer been able to get the pieture to send, " Food told Madsen, holding up his phope to show her Floull has up hishters in Californin and Montan daughters in Caluornia and sontan and wants with them
with them
Pvery Werlnesalay at the recrvation center for older adults - its operated by Tualatin Hills fark \& lecreation Deprariment - seniors pair up with teen mentors to practice using their devices The elght-week cluss, held in partnership with Best Buy, uses the Canadabased Cyber-Seniors learninit module to bridge the tectinology Rap that older adults oftee face. Best Buy franchises all over the country are employing the curriculum in an effort to connecs generations and bulld community,

The goal is to actually get them to use the technology in a way that enriches their Iffe, said Bret Nelson, general manager of the Best Buy general manager of the Bent Buy al volunteer additional suppori during volunteer al

At a class meeting on Oct. 19, the


Joleen Phillips of Beaverton looks at pictures on her phove at the Ehie Stehr Gestar. Philios teok part in a Best Buy program to get her questinns answernd from tean vulumteers and staft frum Best Buy at the senior center:
group learned bew to use social mevlin wasn't interested.
platforms such as $8 k \mathrm{kype}$ anul Fare Madsen, a sophomore at Beaverton book to stay in touch with tamily and friends
Flood was retired when he purchased his first computer in 19por That's just (wo yeurs before Madsen was hom into world saturated with screens.
You probably need a lot of these hings these days," Flood told Madsen. "I never needed any of them. I lived in California and I livel with Madsen, whose mother, Karin roommates who had desk computers the center, regularly volunteers at and several of the early laptops, but 1 the cenier and enjogs holping seniors

High School, sald her phone is a bik juirt of her life, informing everylhing -My friemork to ber sociallife. snapchat Inetagrat Texting. We do try to do social interactions, but it's mosily just texting, I's a lot easter and faster." sald Madsen.
Madsenen, whose monerdinatio
truubleshoot problems. "I like to watch them when they fig. ure out something new about their phone or their tablel, and they're phone or their lablel, and they're that," said Mudsen.
hat," said Mudsen.
Most of the teen mentors volunteer through the Beaverton Police Activi-
ties League, an after-school program tles League, an after-school program for youth ages 8 to is.
"I thought it was going to be boring, but its been a lot of fun connectind with them. They're so much like ns, some of the stuff they don't know, 1 don't know either. 1 learned some, like, life lessons from them, actually," said Arfanna Pulominos, a Beaverton High School sophomore volunteering through PAl.

While Madsen concedes
that social media is that sucfal media is sometamers sume se niors wish they jor wis luey arress to it grow ing up.
"I think (my frients and I) would have been a lot closer." said Tom Testa reflecting on what life might have been like if his generation had smartphones. "You have:
that constant communication.
-I was around computers my whole admit life, sald Testa, who worked in IT for 35 years bofore recently retiring "But this phone here
s mure powerful than most computers I ever used."
Seniors in the class come from an
Seniors in the class come from all
hasies: turning a computer on and off, using a kcyboard, sending e. mails. Others are more practiced and are simply looking to learn more. Betty Harris, 90 , sat at a table, con founded by the array of devices before her.

Wrll, I have all this stuff and 1 can't use j1," said Harris, gesturing at two iPade and a smartphone laid out in front of her. "They drive me craxy",
-My kids, they gave me this iPad about iwo years aga. And then it startof doing funny things, "said Harris,

When her daughter gave Harris and her partner, Howard, a computer for the first time, they combined their first names to come ul with a user name for their devices: HowBet.

Then we got a new
"The goal is
to actually get
them to use the technology in a way that enriches their

## life."

 in naming ber newdeviors "He's not around anymore, but I kept 'How-Better,"'said Harris
Harris, who has lived all over North America, hopes to be uble to keep iti touch with friends and family who live far away.
Nutr sarting the class, I feel al lit afraid," said Harris

- arret Nassar - "Hes and around any



# Seniors: Youth share their knowledge of technology 

## From Page A1

As PAL coordinator Nick Taylor helped 72-year-old Myong Chong troubleshoot a problem with her laptop, she let out a sigh of relief.
"You are my God today," Chong said to Taylor, beaming.

Chong was born in South Korea and moved to the United States 37 years ago when she fell in love with a visiting American.

Her son and daughter both work overseas as international teachers in Vietnam.
"One of them in Saigon, one of them in Hanoi. Every week, I talk to them on FaceTime. I see my grandkids. It's nice. I like that," said Chong.

Joleen Phillips has grandchildren ranging in age from 11 to 27 , but none of them live locally.
"We text a lot. That younger generation ... if you want to communicate, you text," said Phillips. Like her grandchildren, Phillips


Alex Flatt, an employee of Best Buy, helps Camilla Schneider of West Slope with her laptop at Elsie Stuhr Center.
has come to rely on technology. and personal history. She uses her phone to check email, find directions and even order mochas from Starbucks.
"Even we get frustrated with it now, because it's not immediate. You get used to it," said Phillips. "Then you learn something and you say, 'Wow.'"
As the class progresses, seniors will put together their digital life story, creating a video or slideshow presentation to share family photos

## BSD and THPRD both give support to Measure 34-250

The citizens advisory committee for the proposed Beaverton earthquake resistant police and emergency management facility bond measure on the Nov. 8 ballot is pleased to have the endorsement of the boards of the Beaverton School District and Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District.
Both organizations recognize the importance of emergency responders being able to respond in the event of a major disaster. With the present facility, it is likely the police headquarters would not be standing after an event such as a major earthquake.

Jim McCreight, Chairman
Public Safety Facility Citizens Advisory Committee


PLMPLI MEDA FIE PHOTO Tualatin Hills Nature Park in Beaverton offers visitors beautiful views, verdant trails and bridges over quiet waters.
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# Here are all the facts 



## A little bit about our corner of the world

 he Portland metropolitan area is large - nearly 7,000 square miles. It encompasses five counties, 20 cities and a number of unincorporated communties. More than two million people live here.But here in the southwest edge of the metropolitan area, where the cities of Beaverton, Tigard, Tualatin, Sherwood, Wilsonville, Lake Oswego and West Linn are located, we like to think we're special.

Most of the towns started out with rural roots, with property owners growing alfafa, corn and hazelnuts, or raising dairy cattle. Some, began as mere stops along the railroad lines as they moved westward.

But as Portland grew, so did we. Tiny Beaverdam, with a toll road made of planks (mud is a big deal around here), became the presentday bustling Beaverton. While Boones Ferry was once know solely for its convenient transport across the Willamette River, the site of that ferry - today the renamed Wilsonville - is one of Oregon's fastest-growing cities.

We have many things in common-agricultural edges, historic city cores, convenient access to local freeways - and others that are unique to each community.

We take pride in those things that make us special and love to share that information with you.

We hope you enjoy our Factbook.

Southwest Washington County, including the Howard M. Terpenning Recreation Center in Beaverton, is a great place to hang around, whether you're a visitor or a resident.

2016 Beaverton/ Tigard/Tualatin/ Shemood Factbook is a publication of Pamplin Media Group.
staff comirisuturs: Leslie Pugnire Hole, Miles Vance, Ray Pitz, Mandy Feder-Sawer, Raveen Kaur, Makk Miller, Patrick Malee, Claire Coby Andrew Kistrom, Jilian Daley, Cilf Newell, Gary Stein, Kelsey OHalloran, Anthony Macuk, Barbara Randall, Vem Uyetake, Jaime Valdez, Jonathan House, Kate Schell

# AlL ABOUT THE BARK 

Dog parks and animal shelter facilities

Backyards are fine, but to really allow your dog a chance to run you've got to take Fido to a dog park. Fortunately, there are many dog parks in the south metro area.

While exercising your dog you can also exercise yourself, and both you and your dog can make new friends. Remember, you can be your dog's best friend. Friendship and exercise, it's a perfect combination.

## Beaverton

- hazeldale dog Park -
S.W. 192nd Ave.
- WINKELMAN PARK -

10139 S.W. 175th Ave. in Cooper Mountain

- PORTLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE ROCK CREEK DOG PARK - 11705 N.W. Spring. ville Road

Tigard

- POTSO DOG PARK -
S.W. Hunziker Road and Wall Street


## - ASH AVENUE DOG PARK

- 12770 S.W. Ash Ave. - SUMMERLAKE DOG

PARK - 11450 S.W. Winterlake Drive
Tualatin - TUALATIN DOG PARK -

Tualatin
Community Park, 8549 S.W. Tualatin Road

West Linn

## - MARY S. YOUNG PARK

- 19900 Willamette Drive

Lake Oswego

- HAZELIA FIELD DOG

PARK - 17800 S.W. Stafford
Road


Michael Perry throws a ball to his two dogs Sally and Mya at Paul and Verna Winkelman Park near Cooper Mountain, one of several area locations for owners and their four-footed friends to get some exercise.
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| Wilsonville <br> - MEMORIAL DOG PARK - | West Linn (503-650-0855) <br> - CAT ADOPTION TEAM - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8100 S.W. Wilsonville Road | 14175 S.W. Galbreath Drive, |
|  | Sherwood (503-925-8903) |

E SNYDER DOG PARK 15365 S.W. Sunset Blvd.
Local animal rescue/ shelter organizations

PAWS ANIMAL SHELTER

- 1741 Willamette Falls Drive,

West Linn (503-650-0855) 14175 S.W. Galbreath Drive, Sherwood (503-925-8903)

## - OREGON DOG RESCUE

 -6700 S.W.Nyberg St., Tualatin (503-6120111)

## - OREGON FRIENDS OF

SHELTER ANIMALS - 4240
S.W. 185th Ave., Beaverton (503-747-7818)

## Accessible Dedicated Knowledgeable

Certified in Relocation and Referrals

Dale A. Kuhn
Principal Broker

## Malcolm Kuhn

Broker
503-624-2900
503-319-4333
www.johniscott.com/dalek dale@dalekuhn.com

5 STAR PROFESSIONAL
Real Estate Agent 2011, 2012, 2014, 2015



# Beaverton open for both business and recreation 

In some respects, Beaverton is a vast industrial hub. It's home to international giants including Nike, Tektronix and Reser's Fine Foods. It sits adjacent to one of the state's largest shopping malls in Washington Square, features one of the larger school districts in the state, Portland Community College's Rock Creek Campus, and much much more.

But beyond those businesses - and their attendant jobs, payrolls and benefits to the life of the city - Beaverton is also a city very much in touch with wildlife and the outdoors.

## ON THE WEB <br> - CITY OF BEAVERTON (beavertonoregon.gov) - beaverton school DISTRICT (beaverton.k12.or.us) - beaverton area chamber OF COMMERCE (beaverton.org) - TUALATIN HILLS PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT (thprd. org)

The city has a vast amount of green space with over 100 parks encompassing 1,000 acres. There is at least one park located within a half mile of every home in the city, and
it features 30 miles of hiking trails and a 25 -mile network of bike paths.
Through a partnership with Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District - THPRD is the largest special park district in Oregon, spanning about 50 square miles and serving 230,000 residents in and around Beaverton-Beaverton residents and visitors are able to enjoy almost innumerable outdoor activities.

In addition to all its business and recreational opportunities, Beaverton benefits greatly from its transportation system, which includes access to U.S.

Highway 26, Interstate 5, Highway 217 , and TriMet's MAX light rail, bus and WES systems.

In total, Beaverton is home to more than 94,000 residents (it's Washington County's sec-ond-most populous city), an incredibly diverse place with a long and proud history. It's home to: Beaverton High School (which turned 100 years old in 2016) and five other large comprehensive high schools; one of the country's biggest and most influential park districts; Oregon's "Sili-

Continued on next page

## From Page 8

con Forest" (including Tektronix, IBM and Intel); and shoe, apparel and sports equipment giant Nike.

It wasn't always quite so busy here, though. Beaverton, originally inhabited by tribes of Native Americans known as Atfalati, was settled in the 1840s by trappers and traders attracted by the abundant wildlife, forests, fertile soil and free government-issued land.

The city was established with 400 people in 1868 as a shipping center on the Oregon Central Railroad (handling timber and produce), and rail has continued to play an outsize role in the city's development and growth.

In September of 1998, the reintroduction of passenger rail to the city was accomplished through the completion of the Westside MAX line. The light rail project, completed by the regional transit agency TriMet, links downtown Portland and Hillsboro with three stations in Beaverton. Additions to that light-rail line, followed by the completion of the WES commuter line in late 2008 (a 14.7 -mile route linking Beaverton to Tigard, Tualatin and Wilsonville) prompted many planners to redefine Beaverton's previ-
ous status - based on its mass transit options and pedestrian traffic - as an au-to-dependent suburb.

Beaverton's roots, however, long predate the railroad. Lawrence Hall made the first land claim in what is now Beaverton, taking up 640 acres in 1847, and Hall Boulevard is one of many parts of Beaverton named for its first pioneers. Though primarily an agricultural town at its founding. Beaverton briefly flirted with the glamorous world of motion pictures during the silent-film era before its local film company went bankrupt in 1925.

Today, Beaverton continues to be one of the fastest-growing cities in Oregon, with city planners working toward comprehensive reinventions of the Canyon Road corridor and the historic downtown core, commercial developments such as Progress Ridge on the south end, the more centralized Cedar Hills Crossing, and most recently, Timberland Town Center on Northwest Barnes Road.

Central Beaverton remains the city's core, featuring events such as the annual Celebration Parade and Fun Run, its hugely successful Farmers Market, and live music at First Fridays and Third Thursdays from spring through early fall.

## A FEW THINGS ABOUT BEAVERTON

1 Beaverton's name was inspired by a nearty large body of water created by beaver dams. The Atfalati Indians were the orignal inhabitants. In 1893, Beaverton was incorporated. Beaverton is the fifth largest city in Oregon.

Beaverton has more than 100 parks encompassing 1,000 acres. A park is located within a haif-mile of every home and the city features 30 miles of hiking trails and a 25 -mile network of bike paths.

Beaverton is part of a region refered to as the "Slilicon Forest:" Headquarters of global companies such as Nike and Tektronix support the local economy along with many other businesses.

Beaverton is a diverse city that's also the original home of several famous people: Aaron Rodgers of the Green Bay Packers lived in Beaverton long enough to attend Vose Elementary School and Whitford Middle School. Anthony Newman is a Beaverton High School graduate who played for the Los Angeles Rams, New Orleans Saints and OaKand Raiders. Katee Sackhoff graduated from Sunset High School in 1998. She is best known for roles in "Battlestar Galactica" and "Riddick"

TREE \& LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE INC. "Specializing in tree preservation since 1979"

- Corrective Pruning
- Ornamental Pruning
- Fruit Tree Pruning
- Hedge Trimming
- Tree Removal

RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL
UCENSED AND INSURED
503-636-7902
FREE ESTIMATES
24 HOUR EMERGENCY WORK
mortontree.com
CCB\# 67428
8
$1{ }^{4}$

- Tree Cabling
- Stump Grinding
- Arborist Reports
- Tree Appraisal \& Consultation


## 
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Thanks to Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, runners and bicyclists in the Beaverton area have a long and varied system of trails to enjoy.

## Local pathways provide plenty of opportunities to exercise while enjoying nature

Rain or shine, runners and bikers know there's nothing like working out in the great outdoors.

Still, it's easy to get into a rut when it comes to choosing routes. But with pathways through forests, along rivers and near wildlife, the local area offers a wealth of ways to keep you moving.

Here are a few trails to get you off and running - or biking - in your local community.

## Parks and trails

COOK PARK - This riverside park offers paved and soft trails surrounded by trees. 17005 S.W. 92nd Ave., Tigard (503-718-2591; tigard-or. gov/community/cook_park. php)

## FANNO CREEK TRAIL -

About 7.5 miles of paved paths weave through wetlands, forests and parks, starting at Garden Home Recreation Center, 7475 S.W. Oleson Road, Portland (503-629-6341; thprd.org/facilities/recre-ation/garden-home) and ending at Tigard Public Library, 13500 S.W. Hall Blvd., Tigard (503-684-6537; tigard-or.gov/li-

MAPS ON THE WEB<br>For those looking to take advantage of all the trail systems the local community has to offer, these maps will help you run or bike circles around the Portland metro area.<br>BEAVERTON COMMUTER MAPS - beavertonoregon.gov/index. aspx?ND=1031<br>BEAVERTON TRAILS - thprd.org/parks-and-trails/trails<br>BEAVERTON BIKE TRAILS - mapmyride.com/us/beaverton-or/ PATHWAY GUIDE - ci.oswego.or.us/parksrec/pathways-guide TIGARD BIKE TRAILS - http://www.mapmynide.com/us/tigard-or/ WEST LINX BIKE MAP - westinnoregon.gov/maps/bike-map WESTSIDE TO PORTLAND AREA BIKE MAP - portlandoregon.gov/ transportation/article/322407

## brary.php).

GEORGE ROGERS PARK Running and walking trails abound at this 26 -acre park. 611 S. State St., Lake Oswego (503-675-2549; ci.oswego.or.us/ parksrec/george-rogers-park)

## GRAHAM OAKS NATURE

PARK - Runners can spot birds and other native wildlife along three miles of trails at this park. Bikes aren't permitted, except for on a portion of the Ice Age Tonquin Trail that runs through the park. 11825 S.W. Wilsonville Road, Wilsonville (503-797-1850; oregon-metro.gov/parks/graham-oaks-nature-park)
MARY S. YOUNG PARK Outdoor enthusiasts can es-
cape the city in this forested 128 -acre park, which offers several miles of paved and soft trails. 19900 Willamette Drive, West Linn (503-5574700; http://westlinnoregon. gov/maps/mary-s-young-park)

MEMORIAL PARK - The extensive trail systems in this 126-acre park wind past Boeckman Creek and the Willamette River. 8100 S.W. Wilsonville Road, Wilsonville (503-783-7529; wilsonvillepark-sandrec.com/Facilities/Facili-ty/Details/Memorial-Park-7)
TUALATIN VALLEY SCENIC
BIKEWAY - This 50-mile bike trail passes cities, farmlands and natural areas, and includes the Banks-Vernonia

State Trail. The trail runs from Rood Bridge Park, 4000 S.E. Rood Bridge Road, Hillsboro (503-681-6120; hillsborooregon.gov/index.
aspx?page $=895$ ) to Anderson Park, 450 Jefferson Ave., Vernonia (503-429-2531; vernoniaor.gov/recreation/parkdetail. asp?id=2)
TUALATIN RIVER GREENWAY TRAIL - This riverside path has a trailhead on Southwest Barngrover Way near Tualatin Library, 18878 SW Martinazzi Ave., Tualatin (503-691-3061; tualatinoregon. gov/communityservices/tuala-tin-river-greenway-trail-project)

## TRYON CREEK STATE NAT-

 URAL AREA - Just outside of Lake Oswego, this forested 670-acre park is a green oasis with eight miles of hiking and running trails and three miles of paved bicycle trails. 11321 S.W. Terwilliger Blvd, Portland ( $800-551-6949$; oregonstateparks.org/index. cfm?do=parkPage.dsp parkPage\&parkld=103)
# Parks and rec programs offer almost everything 

Anyone between the ages of 0 and 101 can find something fun to do at the eight parks and recreation departments around this area.

Here is just a sampling for preschool kids: soccer, dance, nature programs, piano, art, camping, etc., etc.

For older persons who are still young at heart there is cooking, gardening, meditation, investment advice, nature walks, boating, and, if you are really daring, belly dancing.

Not all of the parks and rec departments offer all of these classes, but there is certainly a wide and rich variety of activities that will light up your life in the great outdoors, the great indoors and every place in between.

## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \&

 RECREATION DISTRICT 15707 S.W. Walker Road, Beaverton; (503 645-6433; thprd. org)LAKE OSWEGO PARKS \& RECREATION - 1500 Greentree Road, Lake Oswego (503 675-2549; ci.oswego.or.us/parksrec)

CHEHALEM PARKS AND RECREATION - 125 S. Elliot Road, Newberg (503 537-2909; cprdnewberg.org)


PAMPLI MEDA GROUP FLE PHOTO Lap swimmers get in some morning exercise at Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center on the campus of the Howard M. Terpenning Recreation Complex.

SHERWOOD PARKS AND RECREATION - 15527 S.W. Willamette St., Sherwood (503 625-5722; sherwoodoregon.gov/ parksrec)
TIGARD PARKS AND REC-
REATION - 13125 S.W. Hall Blvd., Tigard (503 718-2584; Ti-gard-or.gov/community/parks)

TUALATIN PARKS AND REC-
REATION - 18880 S.W. Martinazzi Ave., Tualatin (5036913061; tualatinoregon.gov/recreation)

WEST LINN PARKS AND
RECREATION - 22500 Salamo
Road, West Linn (503 557-4700;
westlinnoregon.gov/parksrec)

WILSONVILLE PARKS AND RECREATION - 7965 S.W. Wilsonville Road (5036823727; wilsonvilleparksandrec. com)


## Newt Day offers kids an amphibian adventure in Beaverton



The rough-skinned newt will be the star of Newt Day, a nature education program at the Tualatin Hills Nature Center on Saturday, Nov. 5. (THPRD)

(1)By Washington County Reader Contributor updated October 30, 2016 at 12:57 PM

## Washington County Events

- Newt Day offers kids an amphibian adventure in Beaverton

Newt Day is back! Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's annual homage to the rough-skinned amphibian returns on Saturday, Nov. 5, from noon to 4 p.m. at the Tualatin Hills Nature Center, 15655 SW Millikan Way, Beaverton.

This family-friendly event is designed to inspire exploration of nature during the season when it is tempting to stay inside. Visitors of all ages are invited to walk the grounds in search of these fascinating creatures, who enjoy seasonally wet weather more than most.

Newts are happiest when it rains," said Karen Munday, environmental education program coordinator. "Rain or shine, we'll provide plenty to see and do. Visitors will learn what makes newts so special, why they love our winter weather, and which predator they fear most."

A self-guided adventure on five miles of trail at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park is bound to include encounters with newts and other creatures. Inside, at the Nature Center, guests will learn about the amazing adaptations of newts and other forest inhabitants at indoor exhibits and interactive activities hosted by THPRD nature experts.

How do newts evade predators? How do they survive both in and out of water? Guests will leave with a better understanding of these and other questions.

Newt Day admission is $\$ 2$ per person (children 2 and under are free).
The Tualatin Hills Nature Park is a 222 -acre wildlife preserve in the heart of Beaverton that features evergreen and deciduous forests, creeks, wetlands, ponds, and meadows. The park is home to a variety of birds, mammals, and smaller creatures. Its trails network includes 1.5 miles of paved pathways plus 3.5 miles of well maintained, soft-surface trails.

For more information, call the Tualatin Hills Nature Center at 503-629-6350.
-- Information from THPRD

## Latest Bond Measure Refinancing Boosts Total Taxpayer Savings to \$31 Million

by Bob Wayt

Almost eight years after voter approval, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's $\$ 100$ million bond measure has produced a plethora of new and improved parks, trails, natural areas and upgraded recreational facilities for residents throughout the greater Beaverton area.

During that time, the district has also moved aggressively to take advantage of opportunities in the financial markets to reduce the fiscal impact of the bond measure on those same voters.

According to Keith Hobson, THPRD director of Business \& Facilities, the true cost of the $\$ 100$ million bond measure over the 20 -year payback period was originally scheduled to be $\$ 173$ million. Through favorable bond sales and refinancings, the district has now reduced that liability
to $\$ 142$ million - a $\$ 31$ million savings for taxpayers, or $18 \%$. In their latest effort, park district staff this month completed the refinancing of a portion (\$8.7 million) of the 2011 bond issue that totaled about $\$ 40$ million of the 2008 bond measure. The move reduced the debt service on that amount by more than $8 \%$.

When the bond measure was approved in November 2008, it was to cost property owners within the district 37 cents per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation, to be paid over the course of 20 years. Now the rate is 31 cents per $\$ 1,000$, and the term has been reduced to 18 years.

Hobson said the savings are attributable largely to continuing low interest rates and a favorable borrowing market. But the district's strong credit rating also has played a major role.
"As part of our refinance this month, we had a credit rating


Bob Scott, THPRD board member, joins with children to celebrate the opening of Hansen Ridge Park in the Bethany area. The park is one of many recreational improvements made possible by the district's 2008 voterapproved bond measure.
update," he said. "Moody's reaffirmed our Aa1 rating on general obligation bonds, which is the second highest rating possible."

Said Doug Menke, THPRD
general manager, "It's a great story for district taxpayers, due not only to lower interest rates but also to the hard work of our staff and the fiscal policy set by our board of directors."

## About THPRD

Formed in 1955, THPRD is the largest special park district in Oregon, spanning 50 square miles and serving about 240,000 residents in the greater Beaverton area. The district provides yearround recreational opportunities for people of all ages and abilities. Offerings include thousands of widely diverse classes, 95 park sites with active recreational amenities, nearly 70 miles of trails, eight swim centers, six recreation centers, and about 1,500 acres of natural areas. For more information, visit www. thprd.org or call 503-645-6433.
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