# Board of Directors Regular Meeting Tuesday, March 14, 2017 <br> 6:30 pm Executive Session; 7:00 pm Regular Meeting HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 

## AGENDA

1. Executive Session*
A. Land
2. Call Regular Meeting to Order
3. Action Resulting from Executive Session
4. Audience Time**
5. Board Time
6. Consent Agenda***
A. Approve: Minutes of February 14, 2017 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Reappointing Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Members
E. Approve: Resolution Authorizing Application for Local Government Grant Program for Enhancements at Cedar Hills Park
7. Unfinished Business
A. Update: Recreational Immunity Under ORS 105.672 to 105.696 (Public Use of Lands Act)
B. Information: General Manager's Report
8. New Business

## A. Approve: Resolution Naming Cedar Hills Park Synthetic Athletic Field "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field"

9. Adjourn


#### Abstract

*Executive Session: Executive Sessions are permitted under the authority of ORS 192.660. Copies of the statute are available at the offices of Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District. **Public Comment/Audience Time: If you wish to be heard on an item not on the agenda, or a Consent Agenda item, you may be heard under Audience Time with a 3 -minute time limit. If you wish to speak on an agenda item, also with a 3-minute time limit, please wait until it is before the Board. Note: Agenda items may not be considered in the order listed. ${ }^{* * *}$ Consent Agenda: If you wish to speak on an agenda item on the Consent Agenda, you may be heard under Audience Time. Consent Agenda items will be approved without discussion unless there is a request to discuss a particular Consent Agenda item. The issue separately discussed will be voted on separately. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this material, in an alternate format, or special accommodations for the meeting, will be made available by calling 503-645-6433 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.


MEMO

DATE: $\quad$ March 9, 2017
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: Information Regarding the March 14, 2017 Board of Directors Meeting

## Agenda Item \#6 - Consent Agenda

Attached please find consent agenda items \#6A-E for your review and approval.
Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#6A-E as submitted:
A. Approve: Minutes of February 14, 2017 Regular Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Reappointing Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Members
E. Approve: Resolution Authorizing Local Government Grant Program Application for Enhancements at Cedar Hills Park

## Agenda Item \#7 - Unfinished Business

A. Recreational Immunity Under ORS 105.672 to 105.696 (Public Use of Lands Act)

Attached please find a memo requesting board of directors' input regarding potential operational adjustments under consideration in the interest of reducing THPRD's risk exposure due to the recent Oregon Supreme Court decision limiting the scope of recreational immunity under the Public Use of Lands Act. Keith Hobson, director of Business \& Facilities, along with Special Districts Association of Oregon employees Geoff Sinclair, director of Claims Administration, and Scott Neufeld, director of Risk Management, will be at your meeting to provide an overview on this topic and to answer any questions the board may have.

## B. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the March regular board meeting.

## Agenda Item \#8 - New Business

## A. Resolution Naming Cedar Hills Park Synthetic Athletic Field "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field"

Attached please find a memo requesting board of directors' consideration of a resolution naming the yet-to-be-built synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park in honor of the late Bruce S. Dalrymple, a former THPRD board member and community volunteer. Bob Wayt, director of Communications \& Outreach, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of this request and to answer any questions the board may have.

Action Requested: Board of directors' approval of Resolution 2017-05, naming the future synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field."

## Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board
- Monthly Capital Report
- Monthly Bond Capital Report
- System Development Charge Report
- Newspaper Articles


# Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors 

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, February 14, 2017, at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon. Executive Session 6 pm; Regular Meeting 7 pm.

Present:
Jerry Jones Jr.
Ali Kavianian
Bob Scott
John Griffiths
Larry Pelatt
Doug Menke
President/Director
Secretary/Director
Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director
Director
Director
General Manager
Agenda Item \#1 - Executive Session (A) Legal (B) Land
President Jerry Jones Jr. called executive session to order for the following purposes:

- To consult with counsel concerning the legal rights and duties of a public body with regard to current litigation or litigation likely to be filed, and
- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
Executive session is held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e)and(h), which allows the board to meet in executive session to discuss the aforementioned issues.

President Jones noted that representatives of the news media and designated staff may attend executive session. Representatives of the news media were specifically directed not to disclose information discussed during executive session. No final action or final decision may be made in executive session. At the end of executive session, the board returned to open session and welcomed the audience into the room.

Agenda Item \#2 - Call Regular Meeting to Order
The Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was called to order by President Jerry Jones Jr. on Tuesday, February 14, 2017, at 7:05 pm.

Agenda Item \#3 - Action Resulting from Executive Session
Ali Kavianian moved that the board of directors approve Resolution No. 2017-02, a
Resolution Declaring the Need to Acquire Property, by Condemnation if Necessary, for the Purpose of Constructing and Completing a Recreational Trail and Related Improvements.
Bob Scott seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

| John Griffiths | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Larry Pelatt | Yes |
| Bob Scott | Yes |
| Ali Kavianian | Yes |
| Jerry Jones Jr. | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

Ali Kavianian moved that the board of directors authorize staff to negotiate and enter into an intergovernmental agreement for the design and construction of a culvert crossing in the northwest quadrant, including accepting donation of an easement over the crossing, subject to the appropriate due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

| Bob Scott | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| John Griffiths | Yes |
| Larry Pelatt | Yes |
| Ali Kavianian | Yes |
| Jerry Jones Jr. | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

Bob Scott moved that the board of directors authorize staff to accept an easement for a trail in the northwest quadrant of the district; to reimburse the grantor for trail improvements using system development charge funds for a cost not to exceed the amount discussed during executive session; and to enter into a maintenance agreement for the easement and surrounding property. All documents required for these transactions shall be subject to the standard due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
John Griffiths Yes
Ali Kavianian Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Agenda Item \#4 - Audience Time
There was no testimony during audience time.

## Agenda Item \#5 - Board Time

John Griffiths commented on the traffic congestion around the HMT Recreation Complex in the evenings and suggested delaying future board meetings' start times to allow traffic to clear.
$\checkmark$ President Jones replied that this suggestion would be taken under consideration.
$\checkmark$ General Manager Doug Menke noted that upcoming roadway improvement projects in the area might eventually alleviate some of the traffic congestion.

Larry Pelatt complimented district staff on their handling of the inclement weather days that have been prevalent this winter.
$\checkmark$ President Jones expressed agreement with Larry's comment, noting that the extended hours at some of the district's facilities in order to help make up classes and programs that were postponed due to weather was appreciated by the patrons.

Agenda Item \#6 - Consent Agenda
Larry Pelatt moved that the board of directors approve consent agenda items (A) Minutes of January 10, 2017 Regular Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement, and (D) Resolution Concerning Recreational Immunity Under ORS 105.672 to 105.696
(Public Use of Lands Act). Bob Scott seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
John Griffiths Yes
Ali Kavianian Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Jerry Jones Jr. Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Unfinished Business

## A. Programs Functional Plan Modifications

General Manager Doug Menke introduced Eric Owens, superintendent of Recreation, to provide an overview of proposed modifications to the Programs Functional Plan adopted in June 2015.

Eric provided an overview of the memo included within the board of directors' information packet, noting that since adoption of the Programs Functional Plan in June 2015, district staff has begun a process to obtain agency accreditation by the Commission on the Accreditation of Park and Recreation Agencies (CAPRA). Through this process, staff has determined that the Programs Functional Plan would benefit from the inclusion or modification of certain areas:

- Service Delivery Monitoring
o Provide more depth to the district's approach in gathering feedback on programs.
o Add a matrix for specific program area goals and objectives.
- Service Assessment Matrix
o Simplify the current matrix and process for collecting and reporting information.
- Reporting Information
o Include more reporting data regarding year-end activity summaries or reports to help provide better direction for future programming.
- Programming Standards Manual
o Elements of Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center's Programming Standards Manual will be included to help standardize certain patron experiences from facility to facility.
Eric noted that district staff will present these proposed modifications to the Programs \& Events Advisory Committee at their next meeting followed by final board approval requested at the April Regular Board meeting, and offered to answer any questions the board may have.

Bob Scott inquired whether there are any costs associated with obtaining agency accreditation through CAPRA.
$\checkmark$ Aisha Panas, director of Park \& Recreation Services, replied that the primary expense is a $\$ 3,500$ application fee paid to CAPRA in order to cover the costs associated with reviewing the voluminous amount of material that will be submitted by THPRD, as well as staff time. She explained that going through this process offers the district an opportunity to capture, document and formalize all of the institutional memory within the district for future reference and described the internal staff team approach being utilized in collecting this information.

President Jones stated that he is especially pleased to see that the Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center's Programming Standards Manual will be applied to other district facilities.

## B. General Manager's Report

General Manager Doug Menke provided an overview of his General Manager's Report included within the board of directors' information packet, including the following:

- THPRD Receives Distinguished Budget Presentation Award
o Keith Hobson, director of Business \& Facilities, announced that the Government Finance Officers Association has awarded the district with the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for the fiscal year 2016/17 annual budget document.
- Board of Directors Meeting Schedule

Doug offered to answer any questions the board may have.
The board congratulated district staff on receiving the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award.

## Agenda Item \#8 - New Business

## A. Affordable Housing and Systems Development Charges

General Manager Doug Menke introduced Keith Hobson, director of Business \& Facilities; Randy Ealy, Chief Administrative Officer for the City of Beaverton; and, Rob Massar, Assistant County Administrator for Washington County. These presenters are in attendance this evening to provide an overview of each agency's strategy in addressing the area's affordable housing needs.

Keith Hobson, director of Business \& Facilities, provided an overview of the document included within the board of directors' information packet titled "Affordable Housing and Systems Development Charges: Potential Strategies," which reviews the issue from the perspective of competing public priorities: maintaining the parks and recreation service level through Systems Development Charge (SDC) funding, and controlling the cost of development in an effort to support affordable housing projects. He referenced the board's approval of participating in an affordable housing tax exemption program at the August 2016 board meeting and acknowledged the urgent need for affordable housing in our community.

Keith noted that, as the board is well-aware, SDCs are not an arbitrary fee, but are driven by the existing service levels of the district and a formula calculation that assigns the proportional cost of maintaining this service level to new development that creates the need for adding capacity to the parks system. As an issue of competing public priorities, there is no one right answer. Staff has reviewed four potential SDC waiver strategies that could be considered in the interest of providing some limited relief in the SDC costs of developing affordable housing:

- Strategy 1: Provide waivers for all affordable housing units developed and operated by non-profit housing providers or other public agencies. The city's estimate is that this could represent about 30 units per year within the city's boundaries.
- Strategy 2: Provide waivers for all affordable housing units regardless of who develops and operates them. While this could significantly expand the number of waivers per year, it is unlikely given the need for subsidies to provide affordable housing.
- Strategy 3: Provide a set number of affordable housing SDC waivers to the city and county to allocate as they see fit. This would limit the fiscal impact to the SDC program to a definable amount and give discretion to the other agencies to allocate the waivers.
- Strategy 4: Similar to 3 except that it ties the district's ability to provide waivers to the receipt of outside funding for projects in the SDC Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). This would ensure that the SDC CIP is protected, which ultimately ensures the protection of park service levels, but does not provide assurance that the district would be able to provide SDC waivers since that would be dependent on outside funding.
Keith concluded his presentation by noting that these strategies are being presented this evening to start the discussion on what an SDC waiver program may look like and the board could choose to implement a portion of one of the strategies, a combination of several, or opt to review each request for a waiver on a case-by-case basis. However, the benefits of developing an overall strategy are that it ensures consistent treatment of various projects and also provides greater cost certainty in the development of affordable housing projects.

Randy Ealy, Chief Administrative Officer for the City of Beaverton, provided a detailed overview of the city's affordable housing needs and statistics via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, and which included the following information:

- Affordable housing has been designated a top priority for the City of Beaverton
- The increase in poverty levels within the city coinciding with dramatic increases in rents
- Funding the city contributes toward social services and affordable housing projects
- A request that THPRD waive a portion of its SDCs for affordable housing units included in an upcoming housing development, the Rembold Project on Westgate
Randy offered to answer any questions the board may have.

Bob Scott inquired whether a developer is bound to keep affordable housing units affordable for a specific amount of time after receiving benefits such as SDC waivers and other credits.
$\checkmark$ Randy replied that it depends on what was agreed to by the developer.
$\checkmark$ Keith added that a common term is that the affordable housing units must remain affordable for 20 years.

John Griffiths asked for additional information regarding the statistic presented of a $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ increase in poverty levels over the past five years.
$\checkmark$ Randy replied that the city's community development department determined this statistic using the latest US census data for Washington County.
John asked for the total percentage of population living in poverty for Washington County.
$\checkmark$ Keith replied that the poverty level based on total population has grown from 10\% to 15\% over the past five years.

John asked who makes the choice as to who will be living in the affordable housing units.
$\checkmark$ Randy replied that he will seek additional information regarding this question. A similar question is who decides where the affordable housing units are placed within the development.

John referenced a recent Metro Policy Advisory Committee meeting he attended during which discussion occurred regarding a court case seeking to overturn SDC waivers under the opinion that such waivers are arbitrary and that only a small portion of the population benefits.
$\checkmark$ Randy replied that he was not aware of this court case, but that city staff has been advised to check with city legal counsel regarding the authority by which creativity can be applied to SDC waivers and credits. The city typically reimburses itself when issuing a water SDC waiver.

Ali Kavianian questioned whether there are any assurances that the tenants who qualify for affordable housing remain under this qualification during their rental term. Additionally, whether there are any safeguards to protect against the subletting of affordable housing units to those who would not qualify for affordable housing.
$\checkmark$ Randy replied that he will seek additional information regarding such assurances.
$\checkmark$ President Jones commented that these duties may be handled through the property manager but he would be interested in learning how a property manager would police such requirements and how often.

Larry Pelatt described a recent problematic affordable housing development in Portland that was not properly enforced or audited. Some of the tenants living there should not have qualified for subsidized housing, yet there was no way to legally remove them. He commented that the detail in forming the initial agreements is critical in that the desire is to provide a long-term benefit.
$\checkmark$ John expressed agreement, noting that he has concerns regarding reversion once the development is not being as closely monitored. He worries that although the district may have done its part by granting a SDC waiver, unless the long-term agreement is followed through, the public good intended is not sustained. He stated that the structure of how the affordable housing mechanism works and sustains itself over time would be important for the district's board members to understand.

Rob Massar, Assistant County Administrator for Washington County, provided a detailed overview of the county's strategy in addressing affordable housing needs via a handout of a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, and which included the following information:

- A minimum of 14,000 more affordable housing units are needed in Washington County
- The county is currently considering proposing a local option tax to its constituents in order to help address these needs
- The tax rate under consideration would generate $\$ 22.2$ million annually, translating to 250 additional affordable housing units, and 50 existing affordable housing units preserved, each year
- Additional program areas funded under the tax would include early learning, workforce training, and rental assistance/stabilization
- The Washington County Board of Commissioners has directed county staff to work with a polling firm to determine the voters' interest level for such a tax
o The survey is anticipated to go public in two weeks, with the results presented to the commissioners in late March
0 If there is confirmed interest on the part of the voters, county staff will engage with their partners regarding implementation
Rob offered to answer any questions the board may have.
President Jones referenced his past experience serving on the county's Homeless Plan Advisory Committee, noting that a lot of discussion occurred on how to involve developers in addressing affordable housing needs. The proposed local option tax is a great comprehensive step forward. He supports the inclusion of funds for early learning and workforce training as it provides opportunities for residents to help themselves out of poverty and could offer a sustainable solution.
$\checkmark$ Rob agreed that the issue of affordable housing has been discussed for a long time and that it will be interesting to see the outcome of the survey work.
President Jones commented that along with city and county officials taking up this issue, it is going to take every agency's effort and partnership to be successful.

Rob provided an overview of the cost breakdown per affordable housing unit of $\$ 50,000$, which is meant to close gaps in funding through non-profit groups and backfill other costs.
$\checkmark$ Randy described the various agencies involved in the Rembold Project.
President Jones asked about Metro's role in addressing affordable housing needs.
$\checkmark$ Larry commented that he believes there may be restrictions in regard to what role Metro can play in this area, but that there is currently discussion taking place on a legislative level that may loosen some of those restrictions.

John asked for additional information regarding the estimate provided of $\$ 11,000$ per child spent annually for early learning, noting that the amount seems high.
$\checkmark$ Rob replied that he will seek additional information regarding this estimated cost.
John inquired whether the county is concerned about diluting the message of the proposed local option tax by including other social services such as early learning and workforce training.
$\checkmark$ Rob replied that the proponents involved in putting together the proposed tax felt very strongly that these strategies should accompany funds for affordable housing.
John asked whether the intent would be for the residents who are using the affordable housing services to also partake in the early learning and workforce training.
$\checkmark$ Rob replied that the early learning and workforce training programs would be available to residents beyond those who are participating in an affordable housing program. Rob noted that there is a capped five-year waiting list within the county for affordable housing.

Larry described the City of Portland's experience in passing an affordable housing measure, noting that once social services such as early learning and workforce training were included, the polling numbers went up significantly.

Randy thanked the THPRD Board of Directors for supporting a resolution last year authorizing the use of a low income housing tax exemption program by the City of Beaverton. He noted that the Rembold Project will break ground in May and that he will get back to the board through General Manager Doug Menke on the questions asked this evening.
$\checkmark$ Keith noted that district staff would also seek legal advice regarding any restrictions pertaining to SDC waivers.

John commented that it would be helpful for the board to understand how affordable housing is set up in order to remain affordable and sustainable.
$\checkmark$ Rob expressed agreement with Keith's earlier comment that a standard term for affordable housing is to remain as such for 20 years, noting that there is a process the housing authority goes through annually to monitor any subletting issues and tenant income levels. The ultimate desire is for affordable housing tenants to eventually be able to move into market rate units due to their increased income levels through programs such as workforce training.
John asked whether it would be the county's responsibility to monitor this process.
$\checkmark$ Rob confirmed this with hesitation, noting that this is new territory for the county, especially in having monitoring processes in place for not only non-profit affordable housing developments, but for-profit affordable housing developments.

Rob provided an overview of various non-profit and for-profit affordable housing developers the county has worked with and the complexities associated with both types of developments. He described the county's partnership with a for-profit affordable housing developer that they would like to see replicated with another remnant property owned by the county on SW $185^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Baseline. He noted that incentivizing developers who do not typically include any affordable housing within their developments will present unique challenges, as well.
$\checkmark$ Randy described how forgivable loans can be a tool to incentivize such developers.
$\checkmark$ Rob described some complications that have arisen in using tax credits to incentivize affordable housing.

President Jones commented that in seeing so many agencies coming together to address the need for affordable housing, he believes THPRD should be playing a role, as well. He would like district staff to further analyze these strategies and what role THPRD should play in regard to the future of affordable housing. Jerry referenced the Rembold Project's request of the district to waive $6.5 \%$ of its SDCs for the proportional amount of affordable housing units included in the development. He asked whether a similar strategy could be utilized by the district overall in applying a blanket percentage or a cap for SDC waivers. He also referenced the idea of creating a social services fund that could be used to backfill SDCs, but would also be a more holistic approach to the need.

Jerry questioned what the repercussions are if a developer transfers affordable housing units to the market rate short of the 20-year requirement.
$\checkmark$ Larry noted that the City of Portland has various models it uses for affordable housing developments, including staggering the number of units that can be transferred to market rates before the end of the specified term, to requiring that all units remain affordable for the entire term or else having to pay back any incentives received if this is violated.
Jerry commented that he would like to see the city and county be strong in such requirements.
$\checkmark$ John questioned the sustainability of retiring affordable housing units after 20 years when the area's population continues to grow, along with the need for more affordable housing.
$\checkmark$ Larry explained how the practice of retiring affordable housing units is seen as an old model that may be on the way out due to its inefficiencies.

President Jones suggested the board consider holding a work session on this subject to review any additional information regarding the strategies presented this evening, as well as the answers to the questions asked, and to consider the SDC waiver request for the Rembold Project.
$\checkmark$ Larry questioned whether a work session would be premature until additional information is received regarding the legality of SDC waivers. He noted that THPRD is a relatively small player in the world of affordable housing and that it is not a question of whether the district will help, but how.
$\checkmark$ John commented that with SDCs being the district's only source of growth funding, it would be beneficial for the district to be involved in any such discussions at the outset.
$\checkmark$ Larry questioned the concept of waiving SDC fees for affordable housing projects when the residents of such developments also tend to utilize the district's free facilities at a greater rate than the overall population. He noted that although he is not opposed to this direction, the board needs to be involved in that discussion to help shape it.
$\checkmark$ Bob Scott expressed agreement with Larry's comments, noting that he, too, would like to receive answers to the questions raised this evening before holding a work session.
$\checkmark$ John commented that more SDC waiver requests will be coming in the future so it would be best for the district to establish a policy that can be applied consistently.
President Jones noted that the board's priority at this point will be to receive answers to the questions asked this evening, to be followed by a work session or meeting where hopefully a collective consensus can be reached on an affordable housing policy.
$\checkmark$ Keith noted that staff would compile and send the board a list of the questions heard this evening for their review and confirmation, followed by researching the answers.

## Agenda Item \#9 - Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:20 pm.

Jerry Jones Jr., President
Recording Secretary, Jessica Collins

Ali Kavianian, Secretary

| Check \# | Check Date Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35556 | 01/13/2017 Grainger |  | 2,390.20 |
|  | AC Concession Stnd Wtr Htr | \$ | 2,390.20 |
| 35243 | 01/13/2017 Community Newspapers, Inc. |  | 1,445.00 |
|  | Advertising | \$ | 1,445.00 |
| 36056 | 01/13/2017 Lovett, Inc. |  | 1,131.34 |
|  | ASC Emergency Valve Repair | \$ | 1,131.34 |
| 298322 | 01/27/2017 US Bank |  | 1,300.00 |
|  | Bank Charges \& Fees | \$ | 1,300.00 |
| 36012 | 01/13/2017 Coastwide Laboratories |  | 50.04 |
|  | Capital Outlay - ADA Projects | \$ | 50.04 |
| 297934 | 01/19/2017 2KG Contractors, Inc. |  | 21,619.07 |
| 297941 | 01/19/2017 Boiler \& Combustion Service |  | 2,755.00 |
| 297961 | 01/19/2017 OPSIS Architecture, LLP |  | 19,067.35 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Aquatic Center Renovation | \$ | 43,441.42 |
| 297939 | 01/19/2017 BBL Architects |  | 4,943.52 |
| 297943 | 01/19/2017 Cedar Mill Construction Co, LLC |  | 50,009.29 |
| 297960 | 01/19/2017 Nomarco, Inc. |  | 5,000.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Facility Rehabilitation | \$ | 59,952.81 |
| 297866 | 01/06/2017 Reutov Forest Services |  | 7,144.15 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Natural Resources Projects | \$ | 7,144.15 |
| 297948 | 01/19/2017 ESA Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 21,209.68 |
| 297976 | 01/19/2017 TriMet |  | 1,543.11 |
| 298298 | 01/27/2017 Benchmark Contracting, Inc. |  | 15,121.15 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New Linear Park \& Trail Development | \$ | 37,873.94 |
| 297823 | 01/05/2017 David Evans \& Associates, Inc. |  | 8,846.36 |
| 297853 | 01/06/2017 Musco Sports Lighting, LLC |  | 11,267.00 |
| ACH | 01/06/2017 MacKay Sposito, Inc. |  | 14,667.32 |
| 297900 | 01/09/2017 City of Beaverton |  | 8,076.00 |
| 298299 | 01/27/2017 Carlson Testing, Inc. |  | 1,936.50 |
| 298300 | 01/27/2017 Cornerstone Management Group, Inc. |  | 6,890.00 |
| 298310 | 01/27/2017 P \& C Construction |  | 111,064.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redevelop Community Parks | \$ | 162,747.18 |
| ACH | 01/05/2017 Fieldturf USA, Inc. |  | 22,722.76 |
| 297935 | 01/19/2017 AKS Engineering \& Forestry, LLC |  | 5,725.00 |
| 298298 | 01/27/2017 Benchmark Contracting, Inc. |  | 9,608.30 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Youth Athletic Field Development | \$ | 38,056.06 |
| 297818 | 01/05/2017 Boards and More, Inc. |  | 1,699.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Building Improvements | \$ | 1,699.00 |
| 297821 | 01/05/2017 Capital One Commercial |  | 124.99 |
| 297825 | 01/05/2017 The Farley Group, Inc. |  | 3,938.15 |
| 297872 | 01/06/2017 Solutions Construction Services, LLC |  | 5,065.20 |
| 35650 | 01/13/2017 Lovett, Inc. |  | 1,707.62 |
| 35650 | 01/13/2017 Lovett, Inc. |  | 570.00 |
| 297970 | 01/19/2017 Ross Recreation Equipment Company, Inc. |  | 1,553.00 |
| 297980 | 01/19/2017 Western Plumbing, Inc. |  | 2,500.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Building Replacements | \$ | 15,458.96 |


| Check \# | Check Date Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 297964 | 01/19/2017 Oregon Corrections Enterprises |  | 665.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Ergonomic Office Equipment | \$ | 665.00 |
| 298305 | 01/27/2017 Koeber's, Inc. |  | 5,606.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Facility Challenge Grants | \$ | 5,606.00 |
| 35741 | 01/13/2017 Hall Tool Co |  | 2,176.00 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Fleet Capital Replacement | \$ | 2,176.00 |
| ACH | 01/06/2017 Northwest Techrep, Inc. |  | 6,773.78 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Information Technology Improvement | \$ | 6,773.78 |
| 297817 | 01/05/2017 Bedford Technology LLC |  | 5,633.32 |
| 297856 | 01/06/2017 Oregon Corrections Enterprises |  | 924.00 |
| 297952 | 01/19/2017 GameTime c/o Marturano Recreation Co. |  | 29,815.18 |
|  | Capital Outlay - Park \& Trail Replacements | \$ | 36,372.50 |
| 297844 | 01/06/2017 Hahn \& Associates, Inc. |  | 1,500.00 |
| 297967 | 01/19/2017 Real Estate Services Group, Inc. |  | 3,900.00 |
| 298301 | 01/27/2017 Daneal Construction, Inc. |  | 34,900.15 |
| 298302 | 01/27/2017 EC Company |  | 8,922.39 |
|  | Capital Outlay - SDC - Park Development/Improvement | \$ | 49,222.54 |
| ACH | 01/06/2017 Debbie D. Schoen |  | 1,859.39 |
| 35675 | 01/13/2017 Elephants Delicatessen |  | 7,582.09 |
| 297944 | 01/19/2017 Centro Cultural of Washington County |  | 1,000.00 |
|  | Conferences | \$ | 10,441.48 |
| ACH | 01/27/2017 BridgePay Network Solutions, LLC |  | 1,702.80 |
|  | Credit Card Processing Fees | \$ | 1,702.80 |
| 297835 | 01/06/2017 PGE |  | 32,571.05 |
| 297931 | 01/19/2017 PGE |  | 5,324.70 |
| 298270 | 01/27/2017 PGE |  | 1,867.08 |
| 298271 | 01/27/2017 PGE |  | 41,768.20 |
|  | Electricity | \$ | 81,531.03 |
| 297913 | 01/13/2017 Standard Insurance Company |  | 219,978.47 |
| 298364 | 01/31/2017 Kaiser Foundation Health Plan |  | 256,459.23 |
| 298365 | 01/31/2017 Moda Health Plan, Inc. |  | 29,663.88 |
| 298369 | 01/31/2017 Standard Insurance Co. |  | 13,260.73 |
| 298375 | 01/31/2017 UNUM Life Insurance-LTC |  | 1,328.10 |
|  | Employee Benefits | \$ | 520,690.41 |
| 297832 | 01/05/2017 THPRD - Employee Assn. |  | 13,635.29 |
| 297912 | 01/13/2017 PacificSource Administrators, Inc. |  | 3,756.52 |
| 297914 | 01/13/2017 Standard Insurance Company |  | 31,651.98 |
| 297915 | 01/13/2017 Standard Insurance Company |  | 4,225.63 |
| 297918 | 01/13/2017 Voya Retirement Insurance \& Annuity Co. |  | 9,575.00 |
| 298367 | 01/31/2017 PacificSource Administrators, Inc. |  | 4,843.27 |
| 298368 | 01/31/2017 PacificSource Administrators, Inc. |  | 3,371.36 |
| 298370 | 01/31/2017 Standard Insurance Company |  | 36,054.75 |
| 298372 | 01/31/2017 Standard Insurance Company |  | 4,225.63 |
| 298374 | 01/31/2017 THPRD - Employee Assn. |  | 13,490.49 |
| 298377 | 01/31/2017 Voya Retirement Insurance \& Annuity Co. |  | 9,800.00 |
|  | Employee Deductions | \$ | 134,629.92 |


| Check \# | Check Date Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 297834 | 01/06/2017 NW Natural |  | 26,080.32 |
| 298269 | 01/27/2017 NW Natural |  | 15,211.90 |
| 36104 | 01/31/2017 NW Natural |  | 17,671.61 |
|  | Heat | \$ | 58,963.83 |
| 297978 | 01/19/2017 Universal Whistles, LLC |  | 5,412.00 |
|  | Instructional Services | \$ | 5,412.00 |
| 297972 | 01/19/2017 Special Districts Association of Oregon |  | 140,467.50 |
| 298318 | 01/27/2017 Special Districts Association of Oregon |  | 69,265.53 |
|  | Insurance | \$ | 209,733.03 |
| 35435 | 01/13/2017 Guaranteed Pest Control Service Co, Inc. |  | 1,477.00 |
| 297977 | 01/19/2017 United Site Services |  | 2,637.57 |
| 298316 | 01/27/2017 SimplexGrinnell LP |  | 1,235.56 |
| 298321 | 01/27/2017 United Site Services |  | 1,551.00 |
|  | Maintenance Services | \$ | 6,901.13 |
| 297856 | 01/06/2017 Oregon Corrections Enterprises |  | 360.00 |
| 297873 | 01/06/2017 Step Forward Activities, Inc. |  | 7,706.40 |
| 35261 | 01/13/2017 GIH Global Industrial |  | 2,494.78 |
| 35343 | 01/13/2017 Coastwide Laboratories |  | 1,891.96 |
| 35487 | 01/13/2017 Oregon Turf \& Tree Farms |  | 1,827.00 |
| 35992 | 01/13/2017 Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. |  | 8,599.90 |
| 36012 | 01/13/2017 Coastwide Laboratories |  | 5,057.96 |
| 297968 | 01/19/2017 Rexius Forest By-Products, Inc. |  | 6,633.75 |
| 297973 | 01/19/2017 Step Forward Activities, Inc. |  | 1,575.00 |
| 297981 | 01/19/2017 Wilbur-Ellis Company |  | 1,720.00 |
| ACH | 01/19/2017 ORCA Pacific, Inc. |  | 1,528.30 |
| 298313 | 01/27/2017 Rexius Forest By-Products, Inc. |  | 2,287.50 |
|  | Maintenance Supplies | \$ | 41,682.55 |
| 297821 | 01/05/2017 Capital One Commercial |  | 1,259.88 |
| 35324 | 01/13/2017 GISI Marketing Group |  | 4,760.00 |
| 35598 | 01/13/2017 GISI Marketing Group |  | 2,135.80 |
| 297964 | 01/19/2017 Oregon Corrections Enterprises |  | 591.00 |
|  | Office Supplies | \$ | 8,746.68 |
| 297979 | 01/19/2017 US Postal Service CMRS-PB |  | 3,000.00 |
|  | Postage | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| 297820 | 01/05/2017 Bullard Law, P.C. |  | 1,870.00 |
| 297830 | 01/05/2017 Linda G. Laviolette |  | 5,550.00 |
| 297950 | 01/19/2017 FCS Group |  | 1,295.00 |
| 297959 | 01/19/2017 Mark E. Sherman |  | 2,115.00 |
| ACH | 01/27/2017 Beery, Elsnor \& Hammond, LLP |  | 14,155.51 |
|  | Professional Services | \$ | 24,985.51 |
| 297860 | 01/06/2017 Penhollow Promotions, LLC |  | 1,708.30 |
| 297869 | 01/06/2017 Sherwood Ice Arena |  | 2,082.00 |
| 298297 | 01/27/2017 Beaverton Signs |  | 2,676.00 |
|  | Program Supplies | \$ | 6,466.30 |
| 36111 | 01/31/2017 Waste Management of Oregon |  | 4,733.87 |
|  | Refuse Services | \$ | 4,733.87 |
| 298314 | 01/27/2017 Ricoh USA Inc. |  | 4,406.07 |
|  | Rental Equipment | \$ | 4,406.07 |


| Check \# | Check Date Vendor Name | Check Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 297855 | 01/06/2017 Northwest Tree Specialists | 4,241.25 |
| ACH | 01/06/2017 Smith Dawson \& Andrews | 3,000.00 |
| 298295 | 01/27/2017 3J Consulting, Inc. | 2,188.70 |
| 298317 | 01/27/2017 Sound Security, Inc. | 11,967.00 |
|  | Technical Services | \$ 21,396.95 |
| ACH | 01/06/2017 Katherine Stokke | 3,265.45 |
| 297949 | 01/19/2017 Executive Forum | 4,149.50 |
|  | Technical Training | \$ 7,414.95 |
| 298266 | 01/27/2017 Electric Lightwave | 4,809.05 |
| 298268 | 01/27/2017 M2M Communication | 1,153.35 |
| 36106 | 01/31/2017 AT\&T Mobility | 7,404.19 |
|  | Telecommunications | \$ 13,366.59 |
| 297975 | 01/19/2017 THP Foundation | 1,000.00 |
|  | THPF-Donation Pass-Through | \$ 1,000.00 |
| 297878 | 01/06/2017 Tualatin Valley Water District | 4,678.36 |
| ACH | 01/06/2017 Marc Nelson Oil Products, Inc. | 1,328.88 |
| ACH | 01/19/2017 Marc Nelson Oil Products, Inc. | 1,227.46 |
| ACH | 01/27/2017 Marc Nelson Oil Products, Inc. | 1,069.66 |
|  | Vehicle Gas \& Oil | \$ 8,304.36 |
| 36112 | 01/31/2017 City of Beaverton | 10,482.23 |
| 36114 | 01/31/2017 Tualatin Valley Water District | 14,330.99 |
|  | Water \& Sewer | \$ 24,813.22 |
|  |  | \$ 1,673,828.60 |

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District
General Fund Financial Summary January, 2017
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{||cccc||}\hline \text { Current } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Year to } \\
\text { Date }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Prorated } \\
\text { Budget }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% YTD to } \\
\text { Prorated } \\
\text { Budget }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{c}Full <br>
Fiscal Year <br>

Budget\end{array}\right]\)|  |
| :--- |

## Program Resources:

Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers \& Programs
Sports Programs \& Field Rentals Natural Resources

Total Program Resources

## Other Resources:

Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Total Other Resources
Total Resources
Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administratio
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Programs \& Special Activities
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures

## General Government Expenditures:

Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Capital Outlay
Contingency/Capital Replacement Reserve
Total Other Expenditures:
Total Expenditures
Revenues over (under) Expenditures
Beginning Cash on Hand
Ending Cash on Hand

| $\$$ | 92,442 | $\$ 1,287,186$ | $\$$ | $1,555,005$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\$ 3,038,333$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 65,215 | 539,747 | 592,568 | $91.1 \%$ | $1,090,883$ |  |  |
| 425,123 | $2,801,475$ | $2,406,716$ | $116.4 \%$ | $4,975,712$ |  |  |
| 64,917 | 775,577 | 757,151 | $102.4 \%$ | $1,583,634$ |  |  |
|  | 16,242 | 160,684 | 141,159 | $113.8 \%$ | 392,278 |  |
| 663,939 | $5,564,670$ | $5,452,599$ | $102.1 \%$ | $11,080,840$ |  |  |


| 97,902 | $29,719,343$ | $27,510,169$ | $108.0 \%$ | $29,251,852$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19,096 | 72,334 | 52,692 | $137.3 \%$ | 145,000 |
| 28,635 | 284,383 | 340,388 | $83.5 \%$ | 579,650 |
| 55,450 | 204,806 | $1,011,139$ | $20.3 \%$ | $1,615,844$ |
| 114,720 | 289,030 | 265,986 | $108.7 \%$ | 494,833 |
| 315,803 | $30,569,896$ | $29,180,373$ | $104.8 \%$ | $32,087,179$ |

\$ 979,742 \$36,134,566 \$ 34,632,972 104.3\% \$43,168,019

| 37,684 | 282,703 | 510,878 | $55.3 \%$ | 858,709 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 254,125 | $2,180,244$ | $2,458,989$ | $88.7 \%$ | $4,083,168$ |
| 79,460 | 606,684 | 608,103 | $99.8 \%$ | $1,052,732$ |
| 277,287 | $2,982,707$ | $3,307,565$ | $90.2 \%$ | $5,413,845$ |
| 72,995 | 635,267 | 772,570 | $82.2 \%$ | $1,291,440$ |
| 146,893 | $1,210,472$ | $1,453,993$ | $83.3 \%$ | $2,433,452$ |
| 130,435 | $1,102,366$ | $1,176,965$ | $93.7 \%$ | $1,979,201$ |
| 998,879 | $9,000,443$ | $10,289,064$ | $87.5 \%$ | $17,112,547$ |


| 18,493 | 127,797 |  | 138,594 | 92.2\% | 288,100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154,363 | 1,220,501 |  | 1,315,890 | 92.8\% | 2,379,289 |
| 1,292,221 | 10,794,350 |  | 12,151,844 | 88.8\% | 20,357,451 |
| $(318,516)$ | 2,740,442 |  | 5,232,344 | 52.4\% | 7,458,717 |
|  | - |  | - | 0.0\% | 4,100,000 |
| 1,146,561 | 14,883,091 |  | 18,838,672 | 79.0\% | 34,583,557 |
| \$ 2,145,440 | \$23,883,533 | \$ | 29,127,735 | 82.0\% | \$51,696,104 |
| \$ (1,165,698) | \$12,251,032 | \$ | 5,505,237 | 222.5\% | \$ (8,528,085) |
|  | 9,271,337 |  | 8,528,085 | 108.7\% | 8,528,085 |
|  | \$21,522,369 | \$ | 14,033,322 | 153.4\% | \$ |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary

January, 2017

## General Fund Resources



General Fund Expenditures


DATE: February 27, 2017
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: $\quad$ Resolution Reappointing Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Members
Introduction
Staff requests board of directors' reappointment of five Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee members.

## Background

As of April 1, 2017, there will be five open positions on the district's Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee due to the expirations of the following committee members' terms: Rob Drake (current committee chair), Boyd Leonard, Rob Massar, Matthew McKean, and Nancy Wells. Terms are for two years.

As the board will recall, in 2016 the decision was made to no longer open the oversight committee to new members due to the learning curve involved in serving on this committee, as well as the fact that the committee's charge is nearing conclusion in the foreseeable future.

The committee members whose terms are expiring have been asked if they are interested in continuing to serve on the committee for another term and all have agreed, keeping the committee's current membership at 10 members. The resolution establishing the committee designates the membership size to be no less than seven (7) and no more than twelve (12) members.

For your reference, please find attached the committee's current roster.

## Proposal Request

Staff requests board of directors' reappointment of Rob Drake, Boyd Leonard, Rob Massar, Matthew McKean, and Nancy Wells to the Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee, each for a term of two years.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of Resolution 2017-04 Reappointing Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Members.

# RESOLUTION 2017-04 <br> TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT, OREGON 

## A RESOLUTION REAPPOINTING PARKS BOND CITIZEN OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors must appoint committee members by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the committee members shall be appointed by the Board for a twoyear term; and

WHEREAS, the selected committee members have demonstrated their interest and knowledge in the committee's area of responsibility. Now, therefore

THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Board of Directors approves the reappointments of
Rob Drake
Boyd Leonard
Rob Massar
Matthew McKean
Nancy Wells
to the Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee.
Duly passed by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of March 2017.

Jerry Jones Jr., Board President

[^0]ATTEST:

Jessica Collins, Recording Secretary

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

 Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee| Committee Member | Term Expires |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wink Brooks | April 2018 |
| Rob Drake, Chair | April 2017 |
| Boyd Leonard | April 2017 |
| Rob Massar | April 2017 |
| Matthew McKean | April 2017 |
| Anthony Mills | April 2018 |
| Kevin O'Donnell | April 2018 |
| Stephen Pearson | April 2018 |
| Jack Platten | April 2018 |
| Nancy Wells | April 2017 |
| Ex-Officio Member | Term Expires |
| Bob Scott <br> Board of Directors | N/A |
| Keith Hobson <br> Director of Business \& Facilities | N/A |

MEMO

DATE: March 1, 2017
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Authorizing Application for Local Government Grant Program for Enhancements at Cedar Hills Park

## Introduction

The Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) is accepting applications for the Local Government Grant Program (LGGP). Applications are due by April 1. Staff are seeking approval to submit a LGGP application for $\$ 340,156$ to fund construction of a picnic pavilion and a multiuse sport court at Cedar Hills Park. Staff request board of directors' approval and signature on the attached resolution authorizing staff to apply for this grant.

## Background

The LGGP program includes a small and a large grant category. Small grant requests are $\$ 75,000$ or under. Large grant requests are between $\$ 75,000$ and $\$ 750,000$. LGGP grants are reimbursement grants and require a $50 \%$ match in funding from the local agency. Grant proposals may include land acquisition, development of new facilities and/or rehabilitation of existing facilities. Eligible projects include outdoor recreation facilities and associated support facilities. Staff have identified the installation of three support facilities at Cedar Hills Park as a strong candidate for LGGP large grant assistance.

Grant assistance is being sought to construct a picnic pavilion to support the play areas and a multi-use sport court to support increasingly diverse needs of the community, such as tennis, pickleball, basketball and futsal at Cedar Hills Park. These elements are not currently funded as part of the park's 2008 bond measure redevelopment project.

The estimated budget for the grant project includes anticipated engineering, permitting, construction costs and a $10 \%$ contingency. Design is expected to be complete this fall. Construction bids will be sought next winter. Construction will begin in spring 2018 and this project will be completed by fall 2019.

Total estimated cost for the construction of the two park features, including support elements funded by the bond, is $\$ 680,312$. Staff recommend submitting a grant application for $\$ 340,156$, which is $50 \%$ of the total estimated grant project cost. Upon notice of LGGP grant award, THPRD will spend the awarded grant funds from the FY 2017/18 General Fund. The General Fund will serve as the temporary source of funds to complete the construction of the elements approved in the grant. Once construction is complete, the grant funds will reimburse THPRD and replenish the district's General Fund.

THPRD's financial responsibility is match funding estimated at $\$ 340,156$, which is $50 \%$ of the total estimated grant project cost. THPRD's match will be funded from the 2008 Bond Measure.

THPRD's plans for Cedar Hills include the needed infrastructure for the sports court and picnic pavilion. Therefore, the district is able to use the construction of these features - along with other support facilities such as parking, play areas, etc. - as LGGP match.

The attached resolution has been reviewed and approved by THPRD's legal counsel, Beery Elsner \& Hammond, LLP.

## Proposal Request

Staff are seeking approval to submit a LGGP application for $\$ 340,156$ to fund construction of a picnic pavilion and a multi-use sport court at Cedar Hills Park. Staff request board of directors' approval and signature on the attached resolution authorizing staff to apply for this grant.

## Benefits of Proposal

A successful LGGP grant award allows THPRD to install a picnic pavilion and a multi-use sport court - elements that are not likely to be funded as part of the park's funded redevelopment due to the anticipated funding shortfall. All proposed LGGP funded features have been deemed important elements to the park.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

Since THPRD's match obligation is already a part of the park's redevelopment plan, there is no apparent downside to this proposal. If the grant is not awarded, THPRD will proceed with the redevelopment of the park without the grant project elements.

## Maintenance Impact

If the grant is awarded, it is anticipated that there will be no further impact on maintenance above and beyond the impact reviewed and considered when the park's master plan was approved.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of the following actions:

1. Approval to submit a LGGP application for $\$ 340,156$ to fund construction of a picnic pavilion and a multi-use sport court at Cedar Hills Park;
2. Approval and signature on Resolution 2017-06 authorizing application to the ORPD LGGP for enhancements at Cedar Hills Park.

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT AUTHORIZING APPLICATION TO THE OREGON PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT FOR THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT GRANT PROGRAM FOR ENHANCEMENTS AT CEDAR HILLS PARK

WHEREAS, state funds are available through the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department (OPRD) for the Local Government Grant Program (LGGP) for park projects; and

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District (THPRD) is a special service district that is eligible to receive said state grant funds; and

WHEREAS, THPRD has identified as high priorities the installation of a picnic pavilion and multi-use sport courts at THPRD's 12-acre Cedar Hills Park; and

WHEREAS, THPRD has available local matching funds to fulfill its share of obligation related to this grant application should the grant funds be awarded; and

WHEREAS, THPRD will provide adequate funding for ongoing operations and maintenance of this park and recreation facility should the grant funds be awarded; and

## LET IT HEREBY BE RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT IN BEAVERTON, OREGON, that:

Section 1: The Board of Directors demonstrates its support for and authorizes staff to submit grant applications to the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department for enhancements at Cedar Hills Park.

Section 2: This resolution shall be effective following its adoption by the Board of Directors.

Approved by the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors on the $14^{\text {th }}$ day of March 2017.

Jerry Jones Jr., President

Ali Kavianian, Secretary

## ATTEST:

Jessica Collins, Recording Secretary



MEMO

DATE: $\quad$ March 2, 2017
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Recreational Immunity Under ORS 105.672 to 105.696 (Public Use of Lands Act)

## Introduction

Given the recent Oregon Supreme Court decision that limited the scope of recreational immunity under the Public Use of Lands Act (ORS 105.672 to 105.696) (Act), staff are reviewing operational adjustments to consider in the interest of reducing THPRD's risk exposure.

## Background

The Oregon Supreme Court decision in Johnson v. Gibson in March 2016 ruled that the statutory immunity afforded under the Act is limited in scope to only the landowner and does not by its current language extend to the landowner's employees, agents and volunteers. Since THPRD is required by ORS 30.285 to defend, save harmless and indemnify its employees, volunteers, and agents who are acting in the course and scope of their duties, the Court's interpretation of the statute effectively increases THPRD's risk exposure for those lands it owns that are made available for recreational use without fee or charge to the public.

THPRD has been working with the Special Districts Association of Oregon (SDAO) and other governmental agencies to develop alternative clarifying language to address the Court's decision, and the THPRD Board of Directors approved Resolution 2017-03 supporting legislation addressing the scope of the immunity under the Act to be considered during the current Legislative session. This proposed legislation is designed to ensure that officers, employees, agents and volunteers of landowners would also be covered under the Act.

Should the proposed legislation not pass, it is prudent for THPRD to carefully consider operational adjustments reducing risk exposure. Other public agencies and private landowners providing open recreation space without fee or charge are making similar assessments regarding their ability to provide public access to recreational lands - attached is a recent Willamette Week article addressing impacts from other agencies.

THPRD has already made limited operational adjustments. We no longer provide signage on newly acquired properties nor allow public access to these sites until the sites are developed and maintained to a level that ensures safe access. Other operational adjustments could include:

- Eliminating public access to natural areas that do not have maintained public access amenities such as trails and designated walkways.
- Closing or restricting access to skate parks or other high risk amenities. Restricting access could include requiring a waiver, monitoring usage, and potentially charging a fee to recover costs of monitoring and the increased exposure.
- Increasing staff resources to more aggressively monitor and mitigate potential hazards in parks and trails and consider closure until such hazards can be mitigated.

Staff are seeking input from the board regarding operational adjustments and the impacts they may have to our users. Geoff Sinclair, Director of Claims Administration, and Scott Neufeld, Director of Risk Management, both with SDAO will be present at the meeting to provide guidance.

## Action Requested

No formal action is requested. Staff request board of directors' input only at this time.

# WILLAMETTE WEEK 

## Portland's First Mountain-Bike Park Could Be Crippled by a Court Decision

Parks where Oregonians pursue adventure sports-like East Portland's Gateway Green-now have liability for visitors' injuries.


Linda Robinson, chair of Friends of Gateway Green, hopes the new park will open in June. (Christine Dong)

By Nigel Jaquiss | February 8, 2017
For more than a decade, Linda Robinson worked to turn 25 acres of surplus state land into a park that would draw visitors to a long-neglected chunk of Northeast Portland.

After Robinson, a retiree, and fellow volunteer Ted Gilbert, a local businessman, settled on the idea of converting the Gateway neighborhood land into the city's first dedicated mountain-biking park, they persuaded Metro and the city of Portland to chip in $\$ 3$ million. Now the opening of the park to be known as Gateway Green is just months away.
"We were trying to think of something that would give people a reason to come to Gateway," Robinson says.

Robinson's reward for all those years of unpaid labor? Because of a court ruling last year, she and the other volunteers building the park's bike trails can be sued if a visitor to the park gets hurt.

That legal liability casts a shadow over the park, among the biggest added to the city in two decades.
Gateway Green and other parks across the state where Oregonians pursue adventure sports face inflated insurance bills and even closure following a 2016Oregon Supreme Court decision that lawmakers are racing to address.
"The employees and volunteers who've built Gateway Green now have liability if something goes wrong," says Scott Winkels, a lobbyist for the League of Oregon Cities. "That's a big problem."

Last March, the Oregon Supreme Court handed down a ruling that overturned a key premise of a 45 -yearold law referred to as the Oregon Public Use of Lands Act.

The law, passed in 1971, said that if someone got hurt while engaging in recreational activities-say hunting, fishing, hiking or running-the landowners couldn't be held legally responsible. The idea was to make more of Oregon's natural beauty open to everyone and grant landowners, public and private, socalled "recreational immunity."

In 2009, a city parks worker dug a hole in Portland's Tom McCall Waterfront to fix a sprinkler. He was called away and left the hole uncovered. A blind woman named Emily J ohnson was jogging in the park and stepped in the hole, resulting in serious injuries.

In its ruling on J ohnson's case last year, the state Supreme Court departed from the historical interpretation of "recreational immunity" instead, and found the city parks worker and his supervisor could be held personally liable because landowners' employees and agents were not explicitly granted immunity by law. (On J an. 30, the city of Portland agreed to pay J ohnson $\$ 250,000$ on behalf of its parks workers.)

In other words, while landowners remained safe from lawsuits, employees and volunteers who worked on that land were not. The ruling opened what the League of Oregon Cities and private landowners say is a major legal risk because while landowners are still legally immune, they are likely to have to cover the legal liabilities of employees and volunteers.

The little-noticed ruling soon had major effects.
In 2015, for instance, the city of Redmond opened a climbing wall on part of a city bridge. The wall was designed by a world-class rock climber, Ian Caldwell, whose feats climbing in nearby Smith Rock State Park led locals to dub him "The Mayor of Smith Rock." The park was an immediate hit-it even made the February 2016 cover of Climbing magazine.

But after the Supreme Court decision, the city of Redmond's insurance company delivered bad news, according to City Manager Keith Witcosky: Even though the park's safety record was spotless, the company would no longer insure it.

The city scrambled to find coverage, looking as far afield as Lloyd's of London. Witcosky says the price for new insurance- $\$ 157,000$-was more than 30 times the previous cost, and the deductible doubled from \$50,000 to \$100,000. The park closed in J uly 2016.
"You go and do something really innovative and step out of the bureaucratic box, and then you get smacked because of people who'd place lawsuits over individual responsibility," Witcosky says.

Other Oregon towns with public parks that host high-risk activities are sharing Redmond's pain.
The town of Pilot Rock, for instance, owns a motocross track called "The Bike Pit," where people ride motorcycles.
"The only forward-moving thing we have going on right now is the Bike Pit," says Teri Porter, city manager of the town of 1,542 people 15 miles south of Pendleton. "The rulingjeopardizes that."

Lawmakers this session will attempt to come to the rescue.
Cities hope the legislature will explicitly extend recreational immunity to employees, agents and volunteers. That's the concept embodied in Senate Bill 327, a bill high on the city of Portland's legislative agenda.
"It's not just Gateway Green," says Elizabeth Edwards, the city's lobbyist. "We've got recreational areas across the city."

There is, however, significant opposition to the fix. The Oregon Trial Lawyers Association thinks recreational immunity covers too many sins.

The trial lawyers oppose SB 327 and a similar House version. In fact, they've proposed legislation that would give park users even more power to sue: Senate Bill 504 eliminates recreational immunity for public landowners, such as parks and school districts.

OTLA lobbyist Arthur Towers uses the example of a public playground with a poorly maintained swing set. Towers says people who are injured because of the negligence of a parks department should be allowed to sue that department, which recreational immunity currently prohibits.
"You as the injured person would have to demonstrate that the city or the county is actually negligent," Towers says. "People are up in arms about lead in the water pipes-negligence on a playground is exactly analogous."

Towers says the trial lawyers aren't trying to shut down parks like Gateway Green. Mountain bikers or wall-climbers are taking more risks than typical park users, and that's fine. What's not OK, Towers says, is giving public landowners a pass when their failure to maintain property causes injury.

Robinson says she was unaware of the Supreme Court ruling and hopes it won't undo 10 years' worth of effort at the edge of Interstate 205.
"This land's been empty for so long," she says. "We just wanted to do something nice for the neighborhood."

## DATE: March 3, 2017

TO: $\quad$ The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for March 14, 2017

## Crowell Woods New Neighborhood Park

Staff have been working closely with our communications consultant, JLA Public Involvement, on an expanded community engagement program for the Crowell Woods neighborhood park project. The expanded engagement is targeting diverse and under-represented communities to get them involved with the project and especially the master planning phase. The district completed three community conversations (focus group discussions) in November 2016 which were attended by 31 patrons. An online survey was also offered and was completed by 181 patrons. In addition, the district completed three design workshops at the end of February that were attended by 32 patrons. The attached document was distributed at the design workshops which provided a summary of the community conversations and the online survey. With the expanded engagement efforts, and the resulting information gathered, the next step will be to take this information and begin to develop a master plan for the new neighborhood park. The current project schedule notes construction beginning in the spring of 2019 and the opening of the park to the public sometime that fall. Gery Keck, superintendent of Design \& Development will be at your meeting to provide a brief overview of this outreach effort.

## THPRD Communications Update

THPRD successes have been in the news a lot lately. Metro's announcement of a $\$ 3.7$ million federal grant awarded to THPRD led to a front-page story in the Beaverton Valley Times. The park district also earned recognition in The Times for the budget award from the Government Finance Officers Association. Other media coverage has included a large story in the Daily Journal of Commerce about Southwest Quadrant Community Park. Separately, THPRD has engaged a professional research firm to conduct a community awareness survey testing public opinion about programs and services, communication and other categories. Bob Wayt, director of Communications \& Outreach, will be at your meeting to provide a brief overview of these topics.

## Agency Accreditation Update

THPRD is moving closer to becoming one of the few Oregon park and recreation agencies to earn accreditation through the Commission for Accreditation of Park and Recreation Agencies (CAPRA) and the National Park and Recreation Association (NRPA). Our process to seek accreditation has been to use the effort as a succession planning opportunity. We have assigned team leads and mentees to develop the narratives and compile evidence of compliance for each of the ten CAPRA sections. To date, we have written $90 \%$ of the required narratives and evidence of compliance documents for each of the 151 standards. Deb Schoen, superintendent of Community Programs, will be at your meeting to discuss the next steps for CAPRA accreditation and to update the board on staff's concurrent effort to pursue NRPA's Gold Medal award in 2017.

## Babette Horenstein Tennis Center LED Lighting Project

The FY 2017-18 proposed budget includes $\$ 307,000$ to retrofit the Babette Horenstein Tennis Center and two outdoor air structures with LED lighting. Thanks to a United States Tennis Association (USTA) donation of \$75,000 for hosting the Davis Cup last year and an anticipated Energy Trust of Oregon rebate of $\$ 98,000$, the net project cost will be $\$ 134,000$. Anticipated payback on this project is less than four years with annual estimated energy savings of $\$ 22,300$ and maintenance savings of $\$ 14,200$. In addition, the conversion to LED lighting will reduce THPRD's usage by 325,000 kilowatt hours and eliminate 116 tons of carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Keith Watson, superintendent of Sports, will be at your meeting to provide more information about this project.

## Board of Directors \& Budget Committee Meeting Schedule

The following dates are proposed for the board of directors and budget committee meeting schedule over the next few months. All dates are Tuesdays unless otherwise noted.

- March 21, 2017 (joint work session with Beaverton City Council at City Hall)
- April 11, 2017
- April 18, 2017 (budget committee work session)
- May 9, 2017
- May 16, 2017 (budget committee budget approval)
- June 20, 2017 (regular board meeting \& board of directors budget adoption)
- July Regular Board Meeting - No Meeting Scheduled
- August 15, 2017
- September Regular Board Meeting - No Meeting Scheduled
- October 10, 2017



## OUTREACH SUMMARY: WHAT WE HEARD

## Community Conversations

Three meetings, structured like focus groups, were held in November. Participants discussed what they value in a neighborhood and how a park design could embody these values.

## Common themes:

Natural setting is important to retain.

- Design activities and amenities to leverage and protect the setting
- Provide environmental information - signage and classes - to educate park users of all ages
- Install trash cans to encourage keeping the park clean

Invite community members of all types.

- Create gathering places - open space, picnic tables and covered areas
- Foster family oriented uses
- Promote a variety of activities for different age groups
- Build accessible trails
- Encourage integrated park use (e.g., place activity for younger and older aged park users near each other)


## Make the park safe and clean.

- Disallow camping
- Consider lighting for safety and to discourage unwanted or illegal activities


## Online Survey

## Survey respondent characteristics:

- Roughly half of survey takers do not live in the neighborhood and live two miles or farther away from the park.
- Two-thirds (66\%) are frequent park users, "about once a week" or more.
- $85 \%$ say they are likely to use the park when it is open.
- Most respondents are in the 35-54 age range.

- Slighty more than half of respondents have children under the age of 18 living in their household.



## Responses to survey questions:

What park uses would you be most interested in: Active or passive recreational uses, educational opportunities, or community benefit opportunities?


Passive recreational uses was the most highly ranked option. Survey respondents had somewhat polarized opinions about the active recreational uses category; $43 \%$ respondents chose it as their "least interested" category and $27 \%$ ranked it "most interested".

What park uses would make a welcoming place for people in the neighborhood?

Top answers:

- Walking trails
- Playground
- Dog facilities
- Natural areas
- Picnic spaces
- Accessibility
- Shelter
- Tranquility

Also mentioned:

- Safety issues (including lighting)
- Community garden or orchard
- Facilities for specific sport activities like mountain biking, tennis, disc golf, or archery

What is your favorite park, and why?

- Tualatin Hills Nature Park was, by far, named most frequently ( 32 mentions).
- Trails
- Feeling of wilderness and natural seclusion
- Education center
- Wildlife viewing
- Cooper Mountain
- Play areas
- Trails
- Shelter
- Restrooms
- Jenkins Estate
- Trails
- Playground
- Peacefulness
- Picnicking
- Parking
- Forest Park
- Hiking
- Trees
- Uncrowded conditions
- Mountain biking
- Wildlife
- Magnolia Park
- Water play area
- Bathroom
- Noble Woods
- Trails
- Trees


## Anything else you'd like to tell us?

Themes from responses to this question mainly reinforced and echoed the answers given to previous questions about preferred park uses.

- Value existing trees and natural serenity of the site as a retreat from the city
- Trails for passive recreation, biking, and neighborhood connections
- Dog play areas, dog-friendly trails, and facilities to keep the park clean
- Gathering spaces, including shaded seating areas and shelters
- Privacy, quiet, and security for neighbors who live adjacent to the park
- Accessibility for people of all ages and abilities
- Mixed support for sport courts and parking

DATE: February 27, 2017
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Naming Future Synthetic Athletic Field at Cedar Hills Park "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field"

## Introduction

District staff propose that a yet-to-be-built synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park (see attached master plan) be named in honor of the late Bruce S . Dalrymple, a former THPRD board member and community volunteer who passed away in 2010 at the age of 58. This recommendation follows public outreach that generated mostly positive reaction. It would be consistent with District Compiled Policies (DCP) 8.05, Naming of District Property.

## Background

Bruce Dalrymple's service to the park district started as a baseball coach in the 1980s. But his most enduring contribution was as a park district board member from 1997 to 2006. In that role, Dalrymple was a champion of THPRD's first foray into synthetic turf athletic fields. He saw that the benefits of turf far outweighed the costs, resulting in an amenity that has paid dividends for taxpayers and athletes ever since.

He helped implement THPRD's successful 1994 bond measure, which resulted in the creation of the district's first skate park, the Tualatin Hills Athletic Center, Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center, and other benefits to the public. Dalrymple was also a major proponent of a partnership with PCC Rock Creek that resulted in a 32 -acre sports complex that opened in 2007 and serves many thousands of athletes and spectators each year.

Dalrymple's community service extended well beyond THPRD. He also served on the Beaverton City Council for four years (2006-10) and Washington County Planning Commission. In addition, he was a Beaverton Rotarian and successful businessman in the construction industry.

The proposal to name the synthetic athletic field in Dalrymple's honor was communicated in various ways:

- An email was sent directly to neighbors of Cedar Hills Park who have shown strong interest in redevelopment of the park.
- Signage was posted in two places in Cedar Hills Park.
- Information about the proposal and a fact sheet about Dalrymple were posted on the THPRD website. Visitors could submit comments about the proposal using a web contact form on the site.
- A presentation was made to THPRD's Parks \& Facilities Advisory Committee and received unanimous consent.

The district received 11 comments about the proposed naming. Eight were in favor and three were opposed. The dissenters had nothing against Dalrymple but preferred the district honor a military veteran or someone else. A copy of all comments received will be provided at the board member's places at the March 14 meeting.

DCP 8.05, Naming of District Property, states:
It is District Policy to name or rename District properties so as to best serve the interests of the District and its residents and ensure a worthy and enduring legacy for the District's park and recreation system. To this end, the District supports consideration of naming and renaming requests within the following broad categories:

1. Historic Events, People and Places
2. Outstanding Individuals
3. Donors

In consideration of this policy and the significant contributions Dalrymple made to THPRD during his life, park district staff recommend that the district name the future synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field."

## Proposal Request

The attached resolution calling for this naming has been reviewed and approved by district legal counsel.

## Benefits of Proposal

Naming this asset for Bruce Dalrymple would be an appropriate tribute to a passionate and influential leader whose contributions to THPRD history were particularly focused on sports in general and synthetic athletic fields in particular. It would also be consistent with the wishes of his family.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

Some residents may be disappointed that the synthetic athletic field isn't named for someone else important to them, but this attitude is not considered widespread.

## Financial Impact

Because the synthetic athletic field has not yet been built, there would be no additional costs for standard park signage.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of Resolution 2017-05, naming the future synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field."

## RESOLUTION 2017-05

## A RESOLUTION OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS NAMING THE FUTURE SYNTHETIC ATHLETIC FIELD AT CEDAR HILLS PARK "BRUCE S. DALRYMPLE FIELD"

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District (District) Board adopted District Compiled Policies (DCP) to guide its consideration of various District operational matters including the renaming of District-owned properties.

WHEREAS, the District was recently presented a proposal to name the future synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park "Bruce S. Dalrymple Field" to honor a former District Board member and Washington County community volunteer.

WHEREAS, consistent with DCP 8.05(C), the Manager evaluated the proposal and found it consistent with DCP 8.05(B)(2) inasmuch as renaming the center acknowledges Bruce Dalrymple's contributions to the District in the development of its park and recreation system between the 1980s and 2006.

NOW THEREFORE, based on the foregoing, the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District hereby resolve as follows:

Section 1. That the future synthetic athletic field at Cedar Hills Park is to be named Bruce S. Dalrymple Field and the General Manager and staff are to take such steps as they deem necessary to effect said naming in a timely manner.

Section 2. That this resolution is and shall be effective from and after its passage by the Board.

Approved and adopted on March 14, 2017 by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District.

Jerry Jones Jr., President

Ali Kavianian, Secretary
ATTEST:

Jessica Collins, Recording Secretary


## Management Report to the Board

 March 14, 2017Communications \& Outreach

Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

1. The summer activities guide is nearly complete and will be mailed to all households within THPRD boundaries. Postal delivery is anticipated in late March. Hard copies will also be made available to the public at THPRD centers, the Administration Office and all Beaverton-area libraries. The summer camp guide is once again included with the book. Electronic versions of it and the activities guide are scheduled to be posted on the THPRD website in mid-March. Summer registration starts April 22.

## Community Partnerships

Geoff Roach, Director of Community Partnerships

1. Fundraising: At the end of February 2017:

- The campaign has $\$ 127,000$ remaining to achieve the capital goal.
- Institutional fundraising includes:
o Applications to two foundations submitted. Submittals now are primarily to family foundations, including the Christopher Reeves Foundation.
o The Jackson Family Foundation has awarded the campaign a grant.
o Oregon Community Foundation Advised Funds donors will make decisions concerning their support of the project in March 2017.
- The campaign has raised over $\$ 1,441,000$ to date.


## Aquatics

Sharon Hoffmeister, Superintendent of Aquatics

1. Program staff have developed an in-house Water Exercise Instructor program, based on the expertise our staff has as long-time water fitness instructors and trainers. Although our full-time staff members are certified through the Aquatic Exercise Association, many of our part-time staff members have not had the opportunity to obtain this certification. This new in-house course provides technical information and training under our certified instructors to develop good instructors. This program is also intended to provide the needed content to train land-based fitness instructors to teach a variety of water fitness classes. Our next inhouse training is scheduled for June.
2. The American Red Cross has released an updated version of the Lifeguard Training program. Updates to the program are released every five years. This spring, our current Lifeguard Training Instructors and Instructor Trainers will update their skills to the new materials in preparation for updating all THPRD lifeguards beginning fall 2017. Our goal is to have all lifeguarding staff updated to the new materials by June 2018.
3. Aquatics staff have unveiled a new mascot, a dolphin. Staff members were invited to submit names for a contest to name our new mascot. There were 54 names submitted and the names were narrowed to six, which were put out for a vote of our users. After review of 456 votes, Finn was chosen as our mascot's name. Finn will be attending events at the centers as well as water safety events in the community. He will also be available to attend parties at the pools upon request.

## Community Programs

## Deb Schoen, Superintendent of Community Programs

1. Staff are working closely with City of Beaverton on the Rose Festival Half Marathon and summer events for 2017. Communications have taken place to identify collaboration and enrichment opportunities to improve our offerings to the community by strengthening involvement in THPRD and city events.
2. Staff members will be presenting educational sessions at the National Recreation \& Park Association conference in New Orleans this September. Nicole Paulsen, Design \& Development coordinator, and Bill Evans, communications specialist, will speak about community engagement and developing leadership skills, using improvisation during two 90-minute sessions.

## Maintenance <br> Jon Campbell, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations

1. Staff made nice improvements at Wildwood Park. Improvements include a redesign to the park entrance that accommodates all users, a new section of split-rail fence near the entrance, and a new ADA mobility seat on the swing set.
2. A recent storm affected 11 trees at Mitchell Park. The cluster of large pine trees that once stood on the west side of the park are no longer there. Three trees fell onto two homes during the storm; an additional three were felled because they were affected by the winds and deemed hazardous. At the request of the neighbors, the remaining five trees were also removed. Staff will work with the neighbors in the future to plant replacement trees.
3. Boardwalk surfacing test areas on the Willow Creek Boardwalk. Staff have installed four test areas of a new boardwalk surface; two areas are on the eastern half of the boardwalk and two are on the western half. The test surface is a pultruded plastic material with a surface that is slip resistant. Project signage encourages the community/users to contact staff with their comments on the new surface material. The comment period will remain open until next fall to test how the material performs through several seasons. Once the comment period closes, staff will analyze the comments and will determine if the new decking material is a viable replacement for bridge and boardwalk decking, and will therefore be the new standard.

## Natural Resources \& Trails Management

Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management

1. Nature Preschool. All three school-year-long preschools at the Tualatin Hills Nature Center are now full for the upcoming year. Because they have waiting lists, staff are considering ways to expand the program to new sites in the future.
2. Frog Monitoring Training. Nearly 50 volunteers participated in a training about identifying and counting frog eggs. The program is designed to help track the health of frogs throughout the region and was co-led by THPRD, Metro, and Clean Water Services staff.

Planning, Design \& Development<br>Gery Keck, Superintendent of Design \& Development Jeannine Rustad, Superintendent of Planning

1. Cedar Hills Park: In October 2016, the board of directors approved the Cedar Hills Park master plan. Since this approval, staff and our consultant team worked diligently to meet the next project schedule milestone and submit the land use application. In January, staff
submitted the Land Use application along with the Beaverton School District's Land Use application for their William Walker Elementary project. The City of Beaverton has agreed to review both projects concurrently due to the shared use of the access road, joint construction schedules and other related items. Staff anticipate the land use applications to be reviewed by the City's Planning Commission in April.
2. THPRD has closed on purchases of 12.56 acres in North Bethany, including 7.76 acres of neighborhood parks; 1.66 acres of linear parks/trails; and 3.14 acres of park blocks.

## Recreation

Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation

1. The Stuhr Center will host two performances of The Cemetery Club by the Encore Senior Players this spring. The performances will take place on Friday, March 31, and Saturday, April 1. Last year, the center hosted one show with more than 100 people in attendance.
2. Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center held a West African dance workshop as part of February's Black History Month. Staff received very positive feedback about the event, which featured 30 participants. Patrons have also provided positive comments on the Black History Month bulletin board.
3. The Cedar Hills Recreation Center's Middle School Track program began on Tuesday, Feb. 21, with over 400 middle school students registered. Stoller Middle School participation numbers are up $37 \%$ from last year, with more than 90 children registered this year.
4. Garden Home Recreation Center is working with FamilyCare to provide THPRD general passes for qualified families to use for our drop-in programs. In the first two months of 2016, three families enrolled in the program logging a total of 24 visits. In the same two-month time frame for 2017, 24 families have been enrolled with a total of 76 visits.

## Security Operations

Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations

1. Information provided by Park Patrol helped a Washington County deputy solve a vandalism case. After graffiti was discovered at a local supermarket, the deputy checked Park Patrol's database and found that the graffiti was similar to some at Barsotti Park in August 2016. The deputy subsequently arrested two Aloha-area youths. This is another prime example of Park Patrol and local law enforcement sharing information to reduce crime and increase security within THPRD's service area.
2. In February, 14 bicycles left behind in THPRD parks were turned over to the Washington County Community Bike Center in Hillsboro. Whenever a bicycle is left behind on THPRD property, it is brought into the district's maintenance yard and staff try to locate the owner. If no one claims the bike within three months, it is washed and transported to the bike center, where needed repairs are made before it is donated to a low-income child in the county.

## Sports

Keith Watson, Superintendent of Sports

1. Athletic Center: The Athletic Center hosted the Junior NBA Skills Challenge on Feb. 20 with 64 participants ( 53 boys and 11 girls). The Challenge was for kids ages 9 to 14 to determine how well their basketball skills are developing. First, second, and third place
champions were declared in each age and gender group with the first place champions advancing to the regional competition in March.
2. Babette Horenstein Tennis Center: Staff are making programming adjustments this spring in an effort to meet customer demand. A newly created adult level 2 class on Fridays will reduce waiting lists for a popular skill level and the expansion of our Friday half-day tournaments will replace an existing class with declining registration.
3. HMT Recreation Center Soccer Field \#2: After a short delay due to inclement weather, the synthetic turf replacement is in the home stretch. The substantial completion walkthrough was performed on March 1 with field programming beginning on March 6. Lacrosse and soccer will be the first groups to use the newly replaced synthetic surface.
4. Inclusion Services: Inclusion training at all recreation, nature, aquatic, and sports facilities has been completed. Hiring of additional inclusion assistants has been completed to assure full coverage of assignments and to start the ramp up to summer season when demand for support is highest.

Business Services<br>Ann Mackiernan, Chief Financial Officer Nancy Hartman Noye, Human Resources Manager<br>Mark Hokkanen, Risk \& Contract Manager<br>Seth Reeser, Operations Analysis Manager<br>Phil Young, Information Services Manager

1. In February 2017, Moody's Investors Service concluded a rating review of US local government debt instruments. The conclusion of the review resulted in an upgrade in the ratings for 136 local governments in Montana, Oregon and Washington. Three THPRD full faith and credit obligations were included in the upgrade, increasing the rating from Aa2 to Aa1. All THPRD debt vehicles are now uniformly rated as Aa1. This rating is second only to the top rating of Aaa.
2. The Information Services Department was awarded a grant for $\$ 51,289$ from Metropolitan Area Communications Commission (MACC) for the purchase of a new network firewall. This firewall will enhance our network security and help us become Payment Card Industry ( PCI ) compliant.
3. District safety staff attended the Oregon Governor's Occupational Safety and Health (GOSH) Conference, March 6-9 in Portland. This event is the largest conference of its kind in the Northwest, featuring more than 160 workshops and attracting more than 1,700 attendees. The Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Division (Oregon OSHA), a division of the Department of Consumer and Business Services, partners with the ColumbiaWillamette Chapter of the American Society of Safety Engineers and SAIF Corporation to sponsor the conference.
4. Staff are developing a new pricing structure for pool lane fees and field fees paid by affiliates. Outreach to groups is starting in March 2017 for fee changes taking effect in September 2017 and January 2018. The first year of the fee change will result in fee increases which will hit the annual cap of $15 \%$.


## April




## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Repor

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

## Through 1/31/17



## GENERAL FUND

CAPITAL OUTLAY DIVISION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Challenge Grant Competitive Fund |  |  |  | 5000 | 50,000 |  |  | 10,000 | Budget | 5000 | 7,800 | 10,829 |  |
| Aquatic Center Renovation | 1,833,844 | \% $\begin{array}{r}\text { 50,000 } \\ \text { 1,821,584 }\end{array}$ | 796,000 | 50,000 2,629,844 | 2,617,584 | 282,296 | 2,104,712 | 50,000 139,642 | Budget Award | 50,000 2,526,650 | 50,000 $2,244,354$ | 103,194 | 373,230 |
| Entry Garbage Cans | 5,000 | 4,780 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 9,780 |  | 5,000 | 4,780 | Budget | 9,780 | 9,780 | 220 |  |
| Play Equipment Design-Cedar Hills | 17,500 | 10,680 |  | 17,500 | 10,680 |  | 390 | 10,290 | Budget | 10,680 | 10,680 | 6,820 |  |
| Parking Lot-Hazeldale | 236,480 | 194,414 | - | 236,480 | 194,414 | 19,387 | 2,933 | 214,160 | Budget | 236,480 | 217,093 |  | $(22,679)$ |
| Pedestrian Pathway \& Playground Equipment-McMillan | 197,115 | 197,115 |  | 197,115 | 197,115 | 68,808 | 92,405 | 13,935 | Award | 175,148 | 106,340 | 21,967 | 90,775 |
| ADA Improvements-Aquatic Cir Lift | 21,000 | 13,812 | - | 21,000 | 13,812 | 12,079 | 13,585 |  | Complete | 25,664 | 13,585 | $(4,664)$ | 227 |
| Push-button activated lights - PCC tennis courts | 3,300 | 3,300 |  | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  | 3,300 | Budget | 3,300 | 3,300 |  |  |
| Jenkins Lead Abatement (Main House) | 18,000 | 18,000 | - | 18,000 | 18,000 | 20,430 |  |  | Complete | 20,430 |  | $(2,430)$ | 18,000 |
| Ergonomic Office Equipment | 6,000 | 3,600 |  | 6,000 | 3,600 | 2,325 | 965 | 2,635 | Budget | 5,925 | 3,600 | 75 |  |
| Conestoga Middle School Synthetic Turf Field | 650,000 | 650,000 | - | 650,000 | 650,000 |  | 650,000 |  | Award | 650,000 | 650,000 |  |  |
| Tennis Court Resurfacing-HMT Tennis Center (6 indoor cts) | 60,000 | 60,000 |  | 60,000 | 60,000 |  | 34,017 |  | Complete | 34,017 | 34,017 | 25,983 | 25,983 |
| TOTAL CARRYOVER PROJECTS | 3,198,239 | 3,029,085 | 801,000 | 3,999,239 | 3,830,085 | 492,696 | 2,904,007 | 440,542 |  | 3,837,245 | 3,344,549 | 161,994 | 485,536 |
| ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HMT Field \#2 Synthetic Turf |  |  | 575,000 | 575,000 | 575,000 |  | 38 | 574,618 | Budget | 575,000 | 575,000 |  |  |
| Skate Park Ramps |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | - | 30,000 |  | Complete | 30,000 | 30,000 | $(5,000)$ | $(5,000)$ |
| Tennis Court - Resurfacing (5 sites) |  |  | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | - | 149,718 |  | Complete | 149,718 | 149,718 | 15,882 | 15,282 |
| Basebal\|Sottball Backstops |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | 4,946 |  | Complete | 4,946 | 4,946 | 5,054 | 5,054 |
| TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  | 775,000 | 775,000 | 775,000 | - | 185,046 | 574,618 |  | 759,664 | 759,664 | 15,336 | 15,336 |
| PARK AND TRAIL REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Sidewalk Repair (3 sites) |  |  | 43,373 | 43,373 | 43,373 | - | 35,740 |  | Complete | 35,740 | 35,740 | 7,633 | 7,633 |
| Play Equipment (3 sites) |  |  | 338,000 | 338,000 | 338,000 | - | 49,570 | 288,430 | Budget | 338,000 | 338,000 |  |  |
| Pichic Tables and Park Benches (3 sites) |  |  | 13,645 | 13,645 | 13,645 |  | 9,811 |  | Complete | 9,811 | 9,811 | 3,834 | 3,834 |
| Parking Lot Resurfacing (Bonny Slope Park) |  |  | 55,000 | 55,000 | 55,000 | - | 23,442 |  | Complete | 23,442 | 23,442 | 31,558 | 31,558 |
| Bridge Replacement (Whispering Woods Park) |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | - |  | 18,541 | Award | 18,541 | 18,541 | $(3,541)$ | $(3,541)$ |
| Drinking Fountain (Stuhr) |  |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |  | 4,085 |  | Complete | 4,085 | 4,085 | 3,415 | 3,415 |
| Asphalt Pedestrian Pathways (5 sites) |  |  | 23,136 | 23,136 | 23,136 |  | 31,817 |  | Complete | 31,817 | 31,817 | $(8,881)$ | $(8,881)$ |
| Irrigation Systems Redesign \& Reconfiguration (5 sites) |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | 923 | 19,077 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Storm Water Management Redesign (Raleigh Park) |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Fence Replacements (Fith Street Park) |  |  | 6,600 | 6,600 | 6,600 |  |  | 6,600 | Budget | 6,600 | 6,600 |  |  |
| Signage Master Plan Phase 2 |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | - | 13,963 | 26,037 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Raleigh Park Dog Fountain $\quad$ TOTAL PARK AND TRAIL REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 602,254 | 602,254 | 602,254 |  | 1,270 170,621 | 398,685 | Complete | 1,270 569,306 | 1,270 569,306 | $(1,270)$ 32,948 | $\frac{(1,270)}{32,948}$ |
| PARK AND TRALL IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memorial Benches |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - | 2,267 | 5,733 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  |
| Outdoor Fitness Equipment |  |  | 17,062 | 17,062 | 17,062 | - | 14,138 |  | Complete | 14,138 | 14,138 | 2,924 | 2,924 |
| Connect Oregon - Waterhouse Trail Segment (\#4) |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  | 400,000 | Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
| Rails to Trails - Westside to Waterhouse |  |  | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 35000 | : |  | 48,000 | Budget | 48,000 | 48,000 |  |  |
| LGGP - SW Quadrant Community Park Metro - Nature in Neighborhods - Fanno Ck Grrwy bridge |  |  | 283,600 400,000 | 280,600 40000 | 280,000 | - |  |  | Budget |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| OR Watershed Enhnomnt Bd-Fanno Crk Grnwy Brhabitat |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  |  | Budget |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| TOTAL PARK AND TRALL IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 1,391,662 | 1,391,662 | 1,391,662 | - | 16,405 | 706,733 |  | 723,138 | 723,138 | 668,524 | 668,524 |
| CHALLENGE GRANTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total challenge grants |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | . | 8,951 | 81,049 | Budget | 90,000 | 90,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | . | 8,951 | 81,049 |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | - | - |
| BUILDING REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardio and Weight Equipment |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Exterior Siding (north side GHRC) |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | - |  | 60,000 | Budget | 60,000 | 60,000 | - | - |
| Upper Balcony (Fanno Farmhouse) |  |  | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | - |  | 8,500 | Budget | 8,500 | 8,500 |  |  |
| Grafitit Protector \& Interior Sealing Outdr Restrm (Nature Pk) |  |  | 11,055 | 11,055 | 11,055 | - | 8,538 | 2,517 | Budget | 11,055 | 11,055 | - | - |
| Page 1 of 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Repor

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

## Through 1/31/17

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budge | Current Year Budget Amount | $\underset{\text { Years }}{\text { Expended Prior }}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | ${ }^{(2+3)}$ | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| Porch Rebuild (Schlottman \& Bunk House) |  |  | ${ }^{13,700}$ | ${ }^{13,700}$ | ${ }^{13,700}$ |  | - | ${ }^{13,700}$ | Budget | ${ }^{13,700}$ | ${ }^{13,700}$ | - |  |
| Cedar Hills Exterior Repairs |  |  | 83,500 | 83,500 | 83,500 |  |  | 83,500 | Budget | 83,500 | 83,500 |  |  |
| Roof Repais \& Maintenance (4 sites) |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  | 6,380 | Award | 6,380 | 6,380 | 1,620 | 1,620 |
| Wash Basins (Aloha) |  |  | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 |  | 1,146 | 2,254 | Budget | 3,400 | 3,400 |  |  |
| Gym Receiver \& Speaker (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| Tennis Court Wind Screens (HMT) |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  |  | 1,500 | Budget | 1,500 | 1,500 |  |  |
| Table Replacements (Nature Center) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| Ergonomic Office Equipment |  |  | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  | 2,400 | Budget | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  |
| Refinish Wood Floors (4 sites) |  |  | 36,351 | 36,351 | 36,351 |  | 7,706 | 22,238 | Award | 29,944 | 29,944 | 6,407 | 6,407 |
| Carpet Replacement (2 sites) |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 6,404 |  | Award | 6,404 | 6,404 | $(1,404)$ | $(1,404)$ |
| HVAC Ductwork (2 sites) |  |  | 8,950 | 8,950 | 8,950 |  |  | 8,950 | Budget | 8,950 | 8,950 |  |  |
| Steam Condensation Pump (GHRC) |  |  | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,800 |  | 2,774 |  | Complete | 2,774 | 2,774 | 26 | 26 |
| Air Handler Bearing (3 sites) |  |  | 20,200 | 20,200 | 20,200 |  | 11,585 | 8,615 | Budget | 20,200 | 20,200 |  |  |
| Boiler Retuning (Aquatic Ctr ) |  |  | 5,570 | 5,570 | 5,570 |  | 300 | 5,687 | Award | 5,987 | 5,987 | (417) | (417) |
| HVAC Dampers \& Actuators (Admin) |  |  | 3,616 | 3,616 | 3,616 |  |  | 3,616 | Budget | 3,616 | 3,616 | - |  |
| Unions, Valves, Lines \& Actuators (Conestoga) |  |  | 8,030 | 8,030 | 8,030 |  | 3,050 | 4,980 | Budget | 8,030 | 8,030 | - |  |
| Floor Drains (CHRC) |  |  | 26,500 | 26,500 | 26,500 |  |  | 26,500 | Budget | 26,500 | 26,500 |  |  |
| Boiler Pipe (Aloha) |  |  | 1,975 | 1,975 | 1,975 |  | 1,708 |  | Complete | 1,708 | 1,708 | 267 | 267 |
| Water Heater (Athletic Crr) |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 2,116 |  | Complete | 2,116 | 2,116 | 1,384 | 1,384 |
| Three-Meter Dive Stands (Aquatic Ctr) |  |  | 42,860 | 42,860 | 42,860 |  |  | 42,860 | Budget | 42,860 | 42,860 |  |  |
| Pool Slide Resurfacing (Conestoga) |  |  | 5,150 | 5,150 | 5,150 |  | 4,660 |  | Complete | 4,660 | 4,660 | 490 | 490 |
| Lane Lines (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  | 2,944 | 441 | Award | 3,385 | 3,385 | (385) | (385) |
| Pool Receiver \& Speaker (Conestoga) |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| Guard Chair (Harman) |  |  | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |  | 3,714 |  | Complete | 3,714 | 3,714 | 1,786 | 1,786 |
| Pool Valves (Conestoga) |  |  | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 |  | 1,553 | 647 | Budget | 2,200 | 2,200 |  |  |
| Circulation Pump \& Motor (Sunset) |  |  | 5,792 | 5,792 | 5,792 |  | 4,188 |  | Complete | 4,188 | 4,188 | 1,604 | 1,604 |
| Underwater Lights (Aquatic Crt) |  |  | 121,067 | 121,067 | 121,067 |  |  | 121,067 | Budget | 121,067 | 121,067 |  |  |
| Fire Suppression at Selected Facilities |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |  | 818 | 7,182 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| Office Door \& Jamb (Tennis Ctr) |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  | 2,000 | Budget | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  |
| Frosting of Windows (Aquatic Ctr) |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  | 5,695 |  | Complete | 5,695 | 5,695 | 305 | 305 |
| GH Rm 10 Abatement |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,250 | 3,626 | Amard | 6,876 | 6,876 | $(6,876)$ | $(6,876)$ |
| Admin Office-Conf Room relo |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,283 | 5,858 | Award | 8,141 | 8,141 | $(8,141)$ | $(8,141)$ |
| CRA Boier-Emergency repairs |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,096 |  | Complete | 2,096 | 2,096 | $(2,096)$ | $(2,096)$ |
| TC Air Structure Repairs |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,938 |  | Complete | 3,938 | 3,938 | $(3,938)$ | $(3,938)$ |
| AC Concession Stnd Wtr Htr |  |  |  |  | - |  | 3,175 |  | Complete | 3,175 | 3,175 | $(3,175)$ | $(3,175)$ |
| ASC Emergency Valve Repair |  |  |  |  | - |  | 1,131 |  | Complete | 1,131 | 1,131 | $(1,131)$ | $(1,131)$ |
| CRA Control Vales |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,500 |  | Complete | 2,500 | 2,500 | $(2,500)$ | $(2,500)$ |
| TOTAL BUILDING REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 565,116 | 565,116 | 565,116 |  | 87,272 | 494,018 |  | 578,790 | 578,790 | (13,674) | (13,674) |
| BULLIING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ventiliation System (FCSC) |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | - | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | - |
| Roof Safety Protection (3 sites) |  |  | 54,400 | 54,400 | 54,400 |  | - | 49,150 | Award | 49,150 | 49,150 | 5,250 | 5,250 |
| Changing Tables |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  | 3,370 |  | Complete | 3,370 | 3,370 | (870) | (870) |
| Inflatable Paddle Boards |  |  | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 |  | 7,592 | 3,608 | Budget | 11,200 | 11,200 | - |  |
| LED Lighting (Conestoga) |  |  | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 |  |  | 22,381 | Award | 22,381 | 22,381 | $(5,881)$ | $(5,881)$ |
| Deduct Meters (HMT) |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | 1092 | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 104,600 | 104,600 | 104,600 |  | 10,962 | 95,139 |  | 106,101 | 106,101 | (1,501) | $\underline{(1,501)}$ |

ADA PROJECTS
DA Improvements - Aquatic Center

[^1]$\qquad$

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Repor

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

## Through 1/31/17

| Through 1/31/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prior Year Budget } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended } \\ \text { Year-to-Date } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated Cost to } \\ \text { Complete } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative }\end{array}$ | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |

## NFORMATION SERVICES DEPARTMENT

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS
Laptops (Workstations/Notebooks)
Servers
Printers/Network Printers
ANWAN
Color Plot Printer
Vehicle Maintenance Software
TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS
NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS
PI Compliance
Backup Tapes (off-site)
total information technology improvements
total information systems department

## MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT

FLEET REPLACEMENTS
Mower - 52 " (2)
Tandem axle trailer - 3.5 ton (3)
Full size pickup with liftgate
Electric utility vehicle
Mower blade grinder
Wire feed welder
Hydraulic press
Mower - 72 "
Mini backhoe
Infield rake
Sod cutter (2)
Sod cutter (2)
Fornt loader
Single axle trailer - 1 ton (4)
Minibus
ELEET IMPROVEMENTS
Digital llaygrou
Vehicle Wraps
BUILDING MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENTS Autoscrubber (CHRC)
TOTAL BLDG MAINT EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENTS
BUILDING MAINTENANCE IMPROVEMENTS
Pool Vacuum Robot (Conestoga)
TOTAL BUILDING MAINT IMPROVEMENTS
total maintenance department
grand total general fund $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 711 $\qquad$ $\underset{3,198,239}{-}$

| 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 | - | 31,375 | - | Complete | 31,375 | 31,375 | 625 | 625 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 |  |  | 22,500 | Budget | 22,500 | 22,500 |  |  |
| 33,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 |  |  | 33,000 | Budget | 33,000 | 33,000 |  |  |
| 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 |  |  | 14,000 | Budget | 14,000 | 14,000 |  |  |
| 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 |  | 16,145 |  | Complete | 16,145 | 16,145 | 1,855 | 1,855 |
| 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  | 3,950 | Award | 3,950 | 3,950 | 50 | 50 |
| 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  | 2,176 |  | Complete | 2,176 | 2,176 | 324 | 324 |
| 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  | 13,710 |  | Complete | 13,710 | 13,710 | 1,290 | 1,290 |
| 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |  |  | 35,000 | Budget | 35,000 | 35,000 |  |  |
| 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | - | 15,590 |  | Complete | 15,590 | 15,590 | (590) | (590) |
| 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  |
| 9,800 | 9,800 | 9,800 |  | 7,100 |  | Complete | 7,100 | 7,100 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - |  | 20,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| 52,500 | 52,500 | 52,500 | - | 54,800 |  | Complete | 54,800 | 54,800 | $(2,300)$ | $(2,300)$ |
| 283,300 | 283,300 | 283,300 | - | 140,896 | 138,450 |  | 279,346 | 279,346 | 3,954 | 3,954 |
| 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | - | 2,500 | Budget | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  |
| 12,200 | 12,200 | 12,200 | - | 5,150 | 8,670 | Award | 13,820 | 13,820 | $(1,620)$ | $(1,620)$ |
| 14,700 | 14,700 | 14,700 | - | 5,150 | 11,170 |  | 16,320 | 16,320 | $(1,620)$ | $(1,620)$ |
| 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - |  | 2,882 | Award | 2,882 | 2,882 | 118 | 118 |
| 12,900 | 12,900 | 12,900 | - | 9,129 |  | Complete | 9,129 | 9,129 | 3,771 | 3,771 |
| 15,900 | 15,900 | 15,900 | - | 9,129 | 2,882 |  | 12,011 | 12,011 | 3,889 | 3,889 |
| 3,499 | 3,499 | 3,499 | . | 2,788 |  | Complete | 2,788 | 2,788 | 711 | 711 |
| 3,499 | 3,499 | 3,499 | - | 2,788 | - |  | 2,788 | 2,788 | 711 | 711 |
| 317,399 | 317,399 | 317,399 | - | 157,963 | 152,502 |  | 310,465 | 310,465 | 6,934 | 6,934 |
| 4,969,031 | 8,167,270 | 7,998,116 | 492,696 | 3,600,677 | 3,205,886 |  | 7,296,759 | 6,804,063 | 870,511 | 1,194,053 |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Repor

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

| Through 1/31/17 | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget <br> Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | $\underset{\text { Years }}{\begin{array}{c}\text { Expended Prior }\end{array}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended } \\ \text { Year-to-Date } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated Cost to } \\ \text { Complete } \end{gathered}$ | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {(1+3) }}$ | $\frac{(2+3)}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (5+6) |  |  |

## SDC FUND

LAND ACQUISITION
Land Acq - N. Bethany Comm Pk


| Land Acq - N. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Turpethany Nghbd Pk | 535,793 |
| Cheng/Sato | $2,173,527$ |
| Rutto Diegel Miller (RDM) | $2,137,441$ |
| Traschel | $1,355,576$ |
| Abbey Meadows | $1,566,680$ |
| Orr | $1,203,005$ |

Subtotal Land Acq-N. Bethany Nghbd Pk

| - | - | 7,650,000 | 7,650,000 | 7,650,000 | - | 8,988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | 8319

Trails
Grace Hollow
Other

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-\quad 1,300,000$ | $1,300,000$ | $1,300,000$ |  |

140,257
Other Subtotal Land Acq-N Bethany Trails


Land Acq - Bonny Slope W Nhd Pk-
Land Acq - oointer ooad Park
Land Acq - SW Comm Pk-Strasburg
Land Acq - SW Comm Pk-Stras
Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Trail
Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Trail
Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Nat Ar
Land Acc - S Cooper Mtn Nat Ar
Land Acq - Bonny Slope W Trail


| DEVELOPMENTIMPROVEMENT PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bonny Slope / BSD Trail Development | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | - | - | 500,000 | Budget | 500,000 | 500,000 | - |  |
| MTIP Grant Match - Westside Trail \#18 | 698,330 |  | 210,500 | 908,830 | 210,500 | 970,183 | 101,145 | 87,560 | Award | 1,158,888 | 188,705 | $(250,058)$ | 21,795 |
| Bethany Creek Falls Phases $1,2 \& 3$ - Proj Management | 145,000 | 80,000 | 30,000 | 175,000 | 110,000 | 67,946 | 10,321 | 96,733 | Budget | 175,000 | 107,054 |  | ,946 |
| NW Quadrant Neighborhood Park Master Plan | 75,000 | 75,000 | 25,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 3,893 | 26,825 | 69,282 | Budget | 100,000 | 96,107 |  | 3,893 |
| NW Quadrant Neighborhood Park Master Plan \& Design | 75,000 | 75,000 | 125,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 | Budget | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  |
| New Neighborhood Park Development | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 | 1,50, 000 |  | 501 | 1,499,499 | Budget | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  |  |
| sw Quad Community Center - Site Feasability Analysis | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 | 80,000 |  |  | 80,000 | Budget | 80,000 | 80,000 |  |  |
| Natural Area Master Plan | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 | Budget | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| Building Expansion (TBD) | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 4,570 | 995,430 | Budget | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |  |
| Deck Expansion (Aquatic Center) | 130,000 | 130,000 | 20,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |  |  | 150,000 | Budget | 150,000 | 150,000 |  |  |
| New Synthetic turf field- Conestoga Middle School | 850,000 | 850,000 | 405,000 | 1,255,000 | 1,255,000 |  | 851,813 | 49,289 | Award | 901,102 | 901,102 | 353,898 | 353,898 |
| MTIP Beaverton Creek Trail Master Plan Phase | 135,000 | 115,000 |  | 135,000 | 115,000 | 12,688 | 90,419 | 31,893 | Budget | 135,000 | 122,312 |  | (7,31 |
| MTIB Beaverton Creek Trail Land Acquisition ROW phase | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 2,844 | 247,156 | Budget | 250,000 | 250,000 |  |  |
| WaCo match funds - Augusta Lane Pedestrian Trail Bridge | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |
| N Bethany Park \& Trail - project management | 65,000 | 45,000 | 105,000 | 170,000 | 150,000 | 12,924 | 7,921 | 149,155 | Budget | 170,000 | 157,076 |  | $(7,076)$ |
| SW Quadrant Community Park | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 |  | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 |  |  | 2,250,000 | Award | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |
| Connect OR Grant Match - Waterhouse Trail, Segment 4 |  |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  | 300,000 | Budget | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
| SW Quadrant Neighborhood Park Master Plan \& Design |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  | 200,000 | Budget | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  |
| Cedar Mill Creek Comm Trail Seg \#4 Master Plan \& Des |  |  | 250,000 2952523 | 250,000 29525 | 250,000 29525 | - | - | 250,000 2952523 | Budget Budget | 250,000 2952523 | 250,000 29525 |  |  |
| Undesignated projects |  |  | 2,952,523 | 2,952,523 | 2,952,523 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 2,952,523 | Budget | 2,952,523 | 2,952,523 |  |  |
| TOTAL DEVELOPMENT/MPROVEMENT PROJECTS | 8,253,330 | 7,450,000 | 4,623,023 | 12,876,353 | 12,073,023 | (,067,634 | 1,096,359 | 10,258,520 |  | 12,422,513 | 11,354,879 | 453,840 | 718,1 |

GRAND TOTAL SDC FUND $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9,738,330$ |  |  |  |
| $, 935,000$ |  |  |  |
| 16,923,023 |  |  |  |

14,823,59
26,207,513 25,139,879
453,840
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Project Budget} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Project Expenditures} \& \& \& \& Variance \& Percent of Variance \& \& \\
\hline Quad- \& Project
code \& Description \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Initial } \\
\text { Project Budget }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Adjustments \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Current Total } \\
\& \text { Project Budget } \\
\& \text { FY 16/17 }
\end{aligned}
\] \& Expended Prior Years \& Expended
Year-to-Date \& Total Expended
to Date \& Estimated Cost to Complete \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimate \\
(Completed Phase)
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Project } \\
\text { Cumulative Cost }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget \& Total Cost Variance to Budget \& Cost Expended
to Budget Buaget \& Cost Expended to Total Cost \\
\hline \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
New Community Park Land Acquisition \\
New Community Park - NE Quadrant (Teufel)
\end{tabular}} \& (1) \& (2) \& (1+2)=(3) \& (4) \& (5) \& \((4+5)=(6)\) \& (7) \& \& \((6+7)=(9)\) \& \((3-9)=(10)\) \& (10)/(3) \& (6) / 3 ) \& (6)/(9) \\
\hline NE \& 98-881-a \& \& 10,000,000 \& 132,657 \& 10,132,657 \& 8,103,899 \& \& 8,103,899 \& \& Complete \& 8,103,899 \& 2,028,758 \& 20.0\% \& 00.0\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NE} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{98-881-b} \& Community Park Expansion - NE Quad (BSD W William Walker) \& \& \& \& 373,237 \& \& 373,237 \& \& Complete \& 373,237 \& (373,237) \& 100.0\% \& n/a \& 100.0\% \\
\hline \& \& Sub-total New Community Park \& 10,000,000 \& 132,657 \& 10,132,657 \& 8,477,136 \& \& 8,477,136 \& \& \& 8,477,136 \& 1,655,521 \& 16.3\% \& 83.7\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{UND} \& \& Authorized Use of Savings for New Neighborhood Parks
Land Accuuisition Category \& \& (1,655,521) \& (1,655,521) \& \& \& \& \& N/A \& \& \((1,655,521)\) \& n/a \& n/a \& \\
\hline \& \& Total New Community Park \& 10,000,000 \& (1,522,864) \& 8,477,136 \& 8,477,136 \& , \& 8,477,136 \& \& \& 8,477,136 \& \& 0.0\% \& 100.0\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline \& \& Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{NE
SE} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{92-916
\(92-917\)} \& Cedar Hills Park \& Athetic Field \& 6,194,905 \& 256,902 \& 6,451,807 \& 279,737 \& 183,911 \& 463,648 \& 9,177,105 \& Master Planning \& \(9,640,753\) \& \({ }^{(3,188,946)}\) \& -49.4\% \& 7.2\% \& 4.8\% \\
\hline \& \& Schifller Park Total Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks \& 3,598,700 \& 74,403 \& 3,673,103 \& 2,633,084
\(2.912,821\) \& \& \(2,633,084\)
\(3,096,732\) \& \& Complete \& \(2,633,084\)
12,273887 \& \(\frac{1,040,019}{(2.148927)}\) \& 28.3\% \& 71.7\% \& \(\frac{100.0 \%}{25.2 \%}\) \\
\hline \& \& Total Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks \& 9,793,605 \& 331,305 \& 10,124,910 \& 2,912,821 \& 183,911 \& 3,096,732 \& 9,177,105 \& \& 12,273,837 \& (2,148,927) \& -21.2\% \& 30.6\% \& 25.2\% \\
\hline \& \& \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Natural Area Preservation - Restoration} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{NE
NE
NE} \& 97-963 \& Roger Tillury Memorial Park \& 30,846 \& 1,161 \& 32,007 \& 8,222 \& 1,400 \& 9,622 \& 22,234 \& Preparation \& 31,856 \& 151 \& 0.5\% \& 30.1\% \& 30.2\% \\
\hline \& 97-964 \& Cedar Mill Park \& 30,846 \& 1,088 \& 31,934 \& 1,201 \& \& 1,201 \& 8,903 \& Estabishment \& 10,104 \& 21,830 \& 68.4\% \& 3.8\% \& 11.9\% \\
\hline \& 97-965 \& Jordan/Jackie Husen Park \& 308,460 \& 8,759 \& 317,219 \& 36,236 \& \& 36,236 \& 21,339 \& Estabishment \& 57,575 \& 259,644 \& 81.9\% \& 11.4\% \& 62.9\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NW
NW
N} \& 97-966 \& NE/Bethany Meadows Trail Habitat Connection \& 246,768 \& 9,765 \& 256,533 \& \& \& \& 256,533 \& On Hold \& 256,533 \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline \& 97-967 \& Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) \& 10,282 \& 300 \& 10,582 \& 12,929 \& \& 12,929 \& 102 \& On Hold \& 13,031 \& \((2,449)\) \& 23.1\% \& 122.2\% \& 99.2\% \\
\hline NW \& 97-968 \& Allenbach Acres Park \& 41,128 \& 1,519 \& 42,647 \& 9,419 \& \& 9,419 \& 32,411 \& Estabishment \& 41,830 \& 817 \& 1.9\% \& 22.1\% \& 22.5\% \\
\hline NW \& 97-969 \& Crystal Creek Park \& 205,640 \& 6,817 \& 212,457 \& 59,401 \& \& 59,401 \& 41,302 \& Estabishment \& 100,703 \& 111,754 \& 52.6\% \& 28.0\% \& 59.0\% \\
\hline NE \& 97-970 \& Foothills Park \& 61,692 \& 1,172 \& 62,864 \& 46,178 \& \& 46,178 \& \& Complete \& 46,178 \& 16,686 \& 26.5\% \& 73.5\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline NE \& 97-971 \& Commonwealth Lake Park \& 41,128 \& 778 \& \({ }^{41,906}\) \& 30,809 \& \& 30,809 \& \& Complete \& \({ }^{30,809}\) \& 11,097 \& 26.5\% \& 73.5\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline \& 97-972 \& Tualatin Hills Nature Park \& 90,800 \& 2,323 \& 93,123 \& 27,696 \& \& 27,696 \& \& Complete \& 27,696 \& 65,427 \& 70.3\% \& 29.7\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline NE \& 97-973 \& Pioneer Park \& 10,282 \& 254 \& 10,536 \& 9,421 \& \& 9,421 \& \& Complete \& 10,452 \& 84 \& 0.8\% \& 89.4\% \& 90.1\% \\
\hline NW \& 97-974 \& Whispering Woods Park \& 51,410 \& 914 \& 52,324 \& \({ }^{48,871}\) \& \& 48,871 \& \& Complete \& 48,871 \& 3,453 \& 6.6\% \& 93.4\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline NW \& 97-975 \& Willow Creek Nature Park \& 20,564 \& 389 \& 20,953 \& 21,877 \& \& 21,877 \& \& Complete \& 21,877 \& (924) \& -4.4\% \& 104.4\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-976 \& AM Kennedy Park \& 30,846 \& 741 \& 31,587 \& 26,866 \& \& 26,866 \& \& Complete \& 32,730 \& (1,143) \& -3.6\% \& 85.1\% \& 82.1\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-977 \& Camille Park \& 77,115 \& 1,784 \& 78,899 \& 61,399 \& \& 61,399 \& \& Complete \& 72,409 \& 6,490 \& 8.2\% \& 77.8\% \& 84.8\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-978 \& Vista Brook Park \& 20,564 \& 753 \& 21,317 \& 4,754 \& 660 \& 5,414 \& 15,204 \& Estabishment \& 20,618 \& 699 \& 3.3\% \& 25.4\% \& 26.3\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-979 \& Greenway ParkKoll Center \& \({ }^{61,692}\) \& 1,897 \& 63,589 \& \({ }^{40,468}\) \& 4,260 \& \({ }^{44,728}\) \& 18,443 \& Estabishment \& 63,171 \& 418 \& 0.7\% \& 70.3\% \& 70.8\% \\
\hline \& 97-980 \& Bauman Park \& 82,256 \& 2,024 \& 84,280 \& 30,153 \& \& 30,153 \& \& Complete \& 30,153 \& 54,127 \& 64.2\% \& 35.8\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-981 \& Fanno Creek Park \& 162,456 \& 5,816 \& 168,272 \& \({ }^{31,147}\) \& \& \({ }^{31,147}\) \& 39,508 \& Estabishment \& 70,655 \& 97,617 \& 58.0\% \& 18.5\% \& 44.1\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-982 \& Hideaway Park \& 41,128 \& 1,072 \& 42,200 \& 38,459 \& \& 38,459 \& 3,537 \& Estabishment \& 41,996 \& 204 \& 0.5\% \& 91.1\% \& 91.6\% \\
\hline Sw \& 97-983 \& Murrayhill Park \& 61,692 \& 1,031 \& 62,723 \& 65,712 \& \& \(6^{65,712}\) \& \& Complete \& \({ }^{65,712}\) \& (2,989) \& -4.8\% \& 104.8\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline SE \& 97-984 \& Hyland Forest Park \& 71,974 \& 1,342 \& 73,316 \& 62,121 \& \& 62,121 \& \& Complete \& 62,121 \& 11,195 \& 15.3\% \& 84.7\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline sw \& 97-985 \& Cooper Mountain \& 205,640 \& 8,135 \& 213,775 \& 14 \& \& 14 \& 213,761 \& On Hold \& 213,775 \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline SW \& 97-986 \& Winkelman Park \& 10,282 \& \({ }^{241}\) \& \({ }^{10,523}\) \& 5,894 \& \& 5,894 \& \& Complete \& 5,894 \& 4,629 \& 44.0\% \& 56.0\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { sw } \\
\& \text { sw }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 97-987
\(97-988\) \& Lowami Hart Woods
RosalHazeldale Parks \& 287,886
28,790 \& 8,907 \& 296,803
29,512 \& \(\begin{array}{r}108,247 \\ 12754 \\ \hline 18\end{array}\) \& 8,036 \& 116,283
12754 \& 49,267 \& Estabishment
Complete \& \(\begin{array}{r}165,550 \\ \begin{array}{r}12,754\end{array} \\ \hline 10,\end{array}\) \& 131,253
16,58 \& 44.2\% \& 39.2\% \& 70.2\% \\
\hline sw \& 97-989 \& Mt Wililiams Park \& 102,820 \& 3,987 \& -106,807 \& -16,649 \& 3,270 \& 19,919 \& 86,888 \& Preparation \& 10,584
10687 \& 6, 158 \& 50.0\% \& 18.6\% \& 18.6\% \\
\hline sw \& 97-990 \& Jenkins Estate \& 154,230 \& 3,365 \& 157,595 \& 136,481 \& \& 136,481 \& \& Complete \& 136,481 \& 21,114 \& 13.4\% \& 86.6\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline sw \& 97-991 \& Summercrest Park \& 10,282 \& 193 \& 10,475 \& 7,987 \& \& 7,987 \& \& Complete \& 7,987 \& 2,488 \& 23.8\% \& 76.2\% \& 100.0\% \\
\hline sw \& 97-992 \& Morrison Woods \& 61,692 \& 2,439 \& 64,131 \& 0 \& \& \& 64,131 \& On Hold \& 64,131 \& \& \({ }^{0.0 \%}\) \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline UND \& 97-993 \& Interpretive Sign Network
Beaveron Creek Trail \& 339,306 \& 9,048 \& 348,354 \& 314,187 \& 2,420 \& 316,607 \& 22,870 \& Sign Fabrication \& 339,477 \& 8,877 \& 2.5\% \& 90.9\% \& \({ }^{93.3 \%}\) \\
\hline \& 97-995 \& Bethany Wetlands \({ }^{\text {drononson Creek }}\) \& \({ }_{41,128}^{61,922}\) \& 2,440
1,627 \& \({ }_{42,755}^{64,32}\) \& \& \& \& \({ }_{4}^{42,755}\) \& On Hold \& 64,132
42,755 \& \& \({ }^{0.0 \% \%}\) \& 0.0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { NW } \\
\& \text { NW }
\end{aligned}
\] \& 97-996 \& Bluegrass Downs Park \& 15,423 \& 609 \& 16,032 \& \& \& \& 16,032 \& On Hold \& 16,032 \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline NW \& 97-997 \& Crystal Creek \& 41,128 \& 1,627 \& 42,755 \& \& \& \& 42,755 \& On Hold \& 42,755 \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline UND \& \({ }_{97-870}^{\text {N/ }}\) \& Reallocation of project savings to new project budgets
Hyland Woods Phase 2 \& : \& (865,000) \& (885,000)
75,356 \& \& \& \& \& Reallocation
Preparation \& 75,356 \& (865,000) \& \& 0.0\% \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
a \\
\(44.0 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SE \& 97-871 \& Jenkins Estate Phase 2 \& - \& 125,583 \& 125,583 \& \({ }_{21,675}^{2,75}\) \& \({ }_{3,325}\) \& 25,000 \& 10,583 \& Preparation \& 125,583 \& \& \& 19.9\% \& 19.9\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { NW } \\
\& \text { NW }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 97-872 \& Somerset \& \& 150,778 \& 150,778 \& \& \& \& 150,778 \& Budget \& 150,778 \& \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% \\
\hline \& \({ }^{97787874}\) \& Rock Creek Greenway
Whispering Woods Phase 2 \& : \& 155,884

95493 \& 155,804

95493 \& \& \& : \& 155,884

95,493 \& Budget
Budget \& 155,884

95,493 \& \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% <br>
\hline NW \& 97-874 \& Whispering Woods Phase 2 \& \& 95,493 \& 95,493 \& \& \& \& 95,493 \& Budget \& 95,493 \& \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Project Budget} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Project Expenditures} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Estimated Cost to Complete} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Basis of Estimate Completed Phase)} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Project
Cumulative Cost} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Variance \\
Est. Cost (Over) \\
Under Budget
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline Percent of \\
Variance
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cost Expended
to Budget} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Cost } \\
\text { Expended } \\
\text { to Total Cost }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Quad- } \\
\text { rant }
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Project } \\
\& \text { Code }
\end{aligned}
\] \& Descript \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Initial } \\
\text { Project Budget } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& Adjustments \& Current Total
Project Budget FY 16/17 \& Expended Prior Years \& Expended
Year-to-Date \& Total Expended
to Date \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Raleigh Park} \& (1) \& (2) \& \((1+2)=(3)\) \& (4) \& (5) \& \((4+5)=(6)\) \& (7) \& \& \((6+7)=(9)\) \& \((3-9)=(10)\) \& (10) / (3) \& (6) / (3) \& (6)/(9) \\
\hline SE \& \({ }^{97-875}\) \& \& \& 110,527

75389 \& 110,527

75389 \& ${ }^{8,500}$ \& \& 8,500 \& | 102,027 |
| :--- |
| 75389 | \& Budget

Budget \& 110,527
75389 \& \& \& $7.7 \%$
$0.0 \%$ \& $7.7 \%$
$0.0 \%$ <br>
\hline Nw \& 97-877 \& Beaverton Creek Greenway Duncan \& \& 20,104 \& 20,104 \& \& \& \& 20,104 \& Budget \& 20,104 \& \& \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% <br>
\hline SE \& 97-878 \& Church of Nazarene \& \& 30,156 \& 30,156 \& \& 7,144 \& 7,144 \& 23,012 \& Budget \& 30,156 \& \& \& 23.7\% \& 23.7\% <br>
\hline sw \& 97-879 \& Lilly K. Johnson Woods \& \& 30,123 \& 30,123 \& 15,097 \& 1,634 \& 16,731 \& 13,392 \& Estabishment \& 30,123 \& \& \& 55.5\% \& 55.5\% <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{UND} \& 97-914 \& Restoration of new properties to be acquired \& 643,023 \& 25,259 \& 668,282 \& 7,172 \& \& 7,172 \& 635,417 \& On Hold \& 642,589 \& 25,693 \& 3.8\% \& 1.1\% \& 1.1\% <br>
\hline \& \& Total Natural Area Restoration \& 3,762,901 \& 124,611 \& 3,887,512 \& 1,350,741 \& 42,535 \& 1,393,276 \& 2,476,331 \& \& 3,887,512 \& \& 0.0\% \& 35.8\% \& 35.8\% <br>
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{UND} \& \multirow{3}{*}{98-882} \& Natural Area Preservation - Land Accquisition \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Natural Area Acquisitions
Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition} \& 8,400,000 \& 254,849 \& 8,654,849 \& 4,806,432 \& 14,833 \& 4,821,265 \& 3,833,584 \& Budget \& 8,654,849 \& \& 0.0\% \& 55.7\% \& 55.7\% <br>
\hline \& \& \& 8,400,000 \& 254,849 \& 8,654,849 \& 4,806,432 \& 14,833 \& 4,821,265 \& 3,833,584 \& \& 8,654,849 \& \& 0.0\% \& 55.7\% \& <br>
\hline \& \& New Linear Park and Trail Development \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline sw \& 93-918 \& Westside Trail Segments $1,4, \& 7$ \& 4,267,030 \& 85,084 \& 4,352,114 \& 4,395,221 \& \& 4,395,221 \& \& Complete \& 4,395,221 \& (43,107) \& -1.0\% \& 101.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NE \& 93-920 \& Jordan/Husen Park Trail \& 1,645,120 \& 46,432 \& 1,691,552 \& 1,227,496 \& \& 1,227,496 \& \& Complete \& 1,227,496 \& 464,056 \& 27.4\% \& 72.6\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NW \& ${ }^{93-924}$ \& Waterhouse Trai Segments $1,5 \&$ West Spur \& 3,804,340 \& 78,646 \& ${ }_{\substack{3,882,986 \\ 2,348283}}$ \& 4,417,702 \& \& 4,417,702 \& \& Complete \& 4,417,702 \& (534,76) \& -13.8\% \& 113.8\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NW \& 93-922 \& Rock Creek Trail \#5 \& Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 \& 2,262,040 \& 86,243 \& 2,348,283 \& 1,738,151 \& 3,325 \& 1,741,476 \& 783,020 \& On Hold \& 2,524,496 \& (176,213) \& -7.5\% \& 74.2\% \& 69.0\% <br>
\hline UND \& 93-923 \& Miscellaneous Natural Trails \& 100,000 \& 3,367 \& 103,367 \& 30,394 \& \& 30,394 \& 72,453 \& Budget \& 102,847 \& 520 \& 0.5\% \& 29.4\% \& 29.6\% <br>
\hline NW \& 91-912 \& Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail \& 359,870 \& 3,094 \& ${ }^{362,964}$ \& 238,702 \& \& 238,702 \& \& Complete \& 238,702 \& 124,262 \& 34.2\% \& 65.8\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NE \& 91-913 \& NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 \& 257,050 \& 14,797 \& 271,847 \& 414,817 \& \& 414,817 \& \& Complete \& 414,817 \& (142,970) \& -52.6\% \& 152.6\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NW} \& -93-921 \& Lowami Hart Woods \& 822,560 \& 55,645 \& 878,205 \& 1,258,746 \& \& 1,258,746 \& \& Complete \& ${ }^{1,258,746}$ \& (380,541) \& -43.3\% \& 143.3\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{91-911} \& Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection
Total New Linear Park and Trail Development \& $1,542,300$

$15,060,310$ \& \[
\frac{48,560}{421,868}

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,590,860 \\
\hline 15,482,178 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $\xrightarrow{144,377,285}$ \& 4747,243 \& $1,130,288$

$14,854,782$ \& 855,473 \& Complete \& $1,130,228$
$15,710,255$ \& $\stackrel{460,632}{(228,077)}$ \& - $29.1 .5 \%$ \& 71.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{UND} \& \multirow{3}{*}{98-883} \& New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquisition \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& New Linear Park and Trail Acquisitions \& 1,200,000 \& 23,313 \& 1,223,313 \& 1,221,936 \& \& 1,221,936 \& 1,377 \& Budget \& 1,223,313 \& \& 0.0\% \& 99.9\% \& 99.9\% <br>
\hline \& \& Total New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquisition \& 1,200,000 \& 23,313 \& 1,223,313 \& 1,221,936 \& \& 1,221,936 \& ${ }^{1,377}$ \& \& 1,223,313 \& \& 0.0\% \& 99.9\% \& 99.9\% <br>
\hline \& \& Multi-field/Multi-purpose Atheetic Field Development \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline sw \& 94-925 \& Winkelman Atheetic Field \& 514,100 \& 34,601 \& 548,701 \& 941,843 \& \& 941,843 \& \& Complete \& 941,843 \& (393,142) \& -71.6\% \& 171.6\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline SE \& 94-926 \& Meadow Waye Park \& 514,100 \& 4,791 \& 518,891 \& 407,340 \& \& 407,340 \& \& Complete \& 407,340 \& 111,551 \& 21.5\% \& 78.5\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NW \& 94-927 \& New Fields in NW Quadrant \& 514,100 \& 20,339 \& 534,439 \& 75 \& \& 75 \& 530,566 \& Budget \& 530,641 \& 3,798 \& 0.7\% \& 0.0\% \& 0.0\% <br>
\hline NE \& 94-928 \& New Fields in NE Quadrant (Cedar Mill Park) \& 514,100 \& 14,184 \& 528,284 \& 527,993 \& \& 527,993 \& \& Complete \& 527,993 \& 291 \& 0.1\% \& 99.9\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline sw \& 94-929 \& New Fields in SW Quadrant \& 514,100 \& 20,323 \& 534,423 \& 724 \& \& 724 \& 529,905 \& Budget \& 530,629 \& 3,794 \& 0.7\% \& 0.1\% \& 0.1\% <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SE} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{94-930} \& New Fields in SE Quadrant (Conestoga Middle School) \& 514,100 \& 19,833 \& 533,933 \& 228,524 \& 305,409 \& 533,933 \& \& Bid Award \& 533,933 \& \& 0.0\% \& 100.0\% \& 00.0\% <br>
\hline \& \& Total Multi-fieldMulti-purpose Athletic Field Dev. \& 3,084,600 \& 114,071 \& 3,198,671 \& 2,106,499 \& 305,409 \& 2,411,908 \& 1,060,471 \& \& 3,472,379 \& (273,708) \& -8.6\% \& 75.4\% \& 69.5\% <br>
\hline \& \& Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline UND \& 96-960 \& Play Structure Replacements at 11 sites \& 810,223 \& 3,685 \& 813,908 \& 773,055 \& \& 773,055 \& \& Complete \& 773,055 \& 40,853 \& 5.0\% \& 95.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NW \& 96-720 \& Bridgelboardwalk replacement - Willow Creek \& 96,661 \& 1,276 \& 97,937 \& 127,277 \& \& 127,277 \& \& Complete \& 127,277 \& (29,340) \& 30.0\% \& 130.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline sw \& 96-721 \& Bridgelboardwalk replacement - Rosa Park \& 38,909 \& 369 \& 39,278 \& 38,381 \& \& 38,381 \& \& Complete \& 38,381 \& 897 \& 2.3\% \& 97.7\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline sw \& 96-722 \& Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Jenkins Estate \& 7,586 \& 34 \& 7,620 \& 28,430 \& \& 28,430 \& \& Complete \& 28,430 \& $(2,810)$ \& -273.1\% \& 373.1\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline SE \& 96-723 \& Bridgelboardwalk replacement - Hartwood Highlands \& 10,767 \& 134 \& 10,901 \& 985 \& \& 985 \& \& Cancelled \& 985 \& 9,916 \& 91.0\% \& 9.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline NE \& 96-998 \& Irrigation Replacement at Roxbury Park \& 48,854 \& 63 \& 48,917 \& 41,902 \& \& 41,902 \& \& Complete \& 41,902 \& 7,015 \& 14.3\% \& 85.7\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline UND \& 96-999 \& Pedestrian Path Replacement at 3 sites \& 116,687 \& 150 \& 116,837 \& 118,039 \& \& 118,039 \& \& Complete \& 118,039 \& $(1,202)$ \& -1.0\% \& 101.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline sw \& 96-946 \& Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center \& 160,914 \& 1,515 \& 162,429 \& 191,970 \& \& 191,970 \& \& Complete \& 191,970 \& (29,541) \& -18.2\% \& 118.2\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NE} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{96-947} \& Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center \& 160,914 \& 3,248 \& 164,162 \& 512,435 \& \& 512,435 \& - \& Complete \& 512,435 \& (348,273) \& 212.2\% \& 312.2\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline \& \& Sub-total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements \& 1,451,515 \& 10,474 \& 1,461,989 \& 1,832,474 \& \& 1,832,474 \& \& \& 1,832,474 \& (370,485) \& -25.3\% \& 1321.8\% \& 900.0\% <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{UND} \& \& Authorized Use of Savings from Facility Expansion \& Improvements \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& | Category |
| :--- |
| Authorized Use of Savings from Bond Issuance Administration | \& - \& 179,613 \& 179,613 \& - \& - \& \& - \& N/ \& - \& 179,613 \& n/a \& n/a \& n/a <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{UN} \& \& Category \& \& 190,872 \& 190,872 \& \& \& \& \& N/A \& \& 190,872 \& n/a \& n/a \& n/a <br>
\hline \& \& Total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements \& 1,451,515 \& 380,959 \& 1,832,474 \& 1,832,474 \& . \& 1,832,474 \& - \& \& 1,832,474 \& \& 0.0\% \& 100.0\% \& 100.0\% <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 1/31/17

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { Quad-ad- } \\ \text { ran } \end{array}$ |  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of (Completed Phase) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Variance <br> Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | Percent of <br> Variance | Cost Expendedto Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Expended } \\ \text { to Total Cost } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Initial Project Budget | AdjustmentsCurrent Total <br> Project Budget <br> FY 16/17 |  | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2)=(3) | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (10)/(3) | (6)/(3) | (6)/(9) |
| Community Center Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-884-a | (Huls/BSD/Engel) | 5,000,000 | 105,974 | 5,105,974 | 1,654,847 |  | 1,654,847 |  | Complete | 1,654,847 | 3,451,127 | 67.6\% | 32.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 98-884-b | Community Center / Community Park (SW Quadrant) (Wenzel/Wall) |  |  |  | 2,351,777 |  | 2,351,777 |  | Complete | 2,351,777 | (2,351,777) | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Sub-total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | 105,974 | 5,105,974 | 4,006,624 |  | 4,006,624 |  |  | 4,006,624 | 1,099,350 | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Outside Funding from Washington County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Transferred to New Community Park Development |  | $(176,000)$ | $(176,000)$ |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | $(176,000)$ | n/a | a | n/a |
| UND |  | Transferred to New Community Park Development |  | (208,251) | (208,251) |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | (208,251) | n/a | n/a | na |
|  |  | Authorized Use of Savings for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND |  | New Neighborhood Parks Land Acquisition Category |  | (715,099) | $(715,099)$ |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | (715,099) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | (993,376) | 4,006,624 | 4,006,624 |  | 4,006,624 |  |  | 4,006,624 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Bond Administration Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADM |  | Debt Issuance Costs | 1,393,000 | (539,654) | 853,346 | 68,142 |  | 68,142 |  | Complete | 68,142 | 785,204 | 92.0\% | 8.0\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Bond Accountant Personnel Costs |  | 241,090 | 241,090 | 288,678 |  | 288,678 | - | Complete | 288,678 | $(47,588)$ | -19.7\% | 119.7\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Deputy Director of Planning Personnel Costs |  | 57,454 | 57,454 | 57,454 |  | 57,454 |  | Complete | 57,454 |  | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Communications Support |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 12,675 |  | 12,675 | 37,325 | Budget | 50,000 |  | 0.0\% | 25.4\% | 25.4\% |
| ADM |  | Technology Needs | 18,330 |  | 18,330 | 23,952 |  | 23,952 |  | Complete | 23,952 | (5,622) | -30.7\% | 130.7\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Office Furniture | 7,150 | - | 7,150 | 5,378 |  | 5,378 |  | Complete | 5,378 | 1,772 | 24.8\% | 75.2\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Admin/Consultant Costs | 31,520 | - | 31,520 | 48,993 |  | 48,093 |  | Complete | 48,093 | (16,573) | -52.6\% | 152.6\% | 100.0\% |
| ADM |  | Additional Bond Proceeds |  | 1,507,717 | 1,507,717 |  |  |  |  | Budget |  | 1,507,717 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Sub-total Bond Administration Costs | 1,450,000 | 1,316,607 | 2,766,607 | 504,372 |  | 504,372 | 37,325 |  | 541,697 | 2,224,910 | 80.4\% | 18.2\% | 93.1\% |
| UND |  | Authorized Use of Savings for Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements Category | . | (190,872) | $(190,872)$ | - | - | - | - | N/A |  | (190,872) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| UND |  | Authorized Use of Savings for New Neighborhood Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Development Category | - | (222,950) | $(222,950)$ | - |  |  | - | N/A | - | (222,950) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| UND |  | Authorized use of savings for SW Quad Community Park \& Athletic Fields | - | $(1,400,000)$ | $(1,400,000)$ | - |  | - | - | N/A | - | (1,400,000) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Authorized Use of Savings for ADAAccess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND |  | Improvements Category |  | (189,890) | (189,890) |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | (189,890) | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | Total Bond Administration Costs | 1,450,000 | (687,105) | 762,895 | 504,372 |  | 504,372 | 37,325 |  | 541,697 | 221,198 | 29.0\% | 66.1\% | 93.1\% |
|  |  | Grand Total | 100,000,000 | 3,876,864 | 103,876,864 | 76,525,748 | 10,441,073 | 86,966,821 | $\underline{\text { 19,523,087 }}$ |  | 106,507,800 | $(2,630,936)$ | 2.5\% | 83.7\% | $81.7{ }^{\circ}$ |

# THPRD Bond Capital Program 

## Funds Reprogramming Analysis - Based on Category Transfer Eligibility

As of 1/31/17

Category (Over) Under Budget

Limited Reprogramming
Land: New Neighborhood Park
New Community Park
New Linear Park
New Community Center/Park


All Other
New Neighborhood Park Dev
Neighborhood Park Renov
$(510,030)$

New Community Park Dev
214,850
Community Park Renov
New Linear Parks and Trails
Athletic Field Development
Deferred Park Maint Replace
Facility Rehabilitation
ADA
Facility Expansion
Bond Admin Costs
221,198
$(2,630,936)$

Grand Total
$(2,630,936)$


## MEMORANDUM

Date: February 8, 2017
To: Board of Directors
From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities

Re: $\quad$ System Development Charge Report for December, 2016
The Board of Directors approved a resolution implementing the System Development Charge program on November 17, 1998. Below please find the various categories for SDC's, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the $1.6 \%$ handling fee for collections through December 2016.

| Type of Dwelling Unit | Current SDC per Type of Dwelling Unit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 10,800.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 10,627.20$ |
| Multi-family | $\$ 8,619.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 8,481.10$ |
| Non-residential | $\$ 360.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 354.24$ |


| City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2,947 | Single Family Units |
| 15 | Single Family Units at $\$ 489.09$ |
| 2,263 | Multi-family Units |
| 0 | Less Multi-family Credits |
| 258 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{5 , 4 8 3}$ |  |


| Receipts |  | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 8,947,945.15$ | $\$ 231,579.75$ |  | $\$ 9,051,998.50$ |
| $\$ 7,336.35$ | $\$ 221.45$ | $\$ 7,557.80$ |  |
| $\$ 6,426,320.02$ | $\$ 143,698.34$ | $\$ 6,570,018.36$ |  |
| $(\$ 7,957.55)$ | $(\$ 229.36)$ | $(\$ 8,186.91)$ |  |
| $\$ 701,209.09$ | $\$ 18,655.26$ | $\$ 719,864.35$ |  |
| $\$ 16,074,853.06$ | $\$ 393,925.44$ | $\$ 16,341,252.10$ |  |


| Washington | County Collection of S |
| :---: | :--- |
| 8,583 | Single Family Units |
| -300 | Less Credits |
| 2,946 | Multi-family Units |
| -24 | Less Credits |
| 155 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{1 1 , 3 6 0}$ |  |


| Receipts |  | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 31,410,379.31$ | $\$ 704,889.55$ |  |
| $(\$ 623,548.98)$ |  | $(\$ 19,285.02)$ | $(\$ 642,834.86$ |
| $\$ 8,316,719.07$ |  | $\$ 195,410.06$ |  |
| $(\$ 8,512,129.13$ |  |  |  |
| $(\$ 47,323.24)$ | $(\$ 1,463.61)$ | $(\$ 48,786.85)$ |  |
| $\$ 1,241,051.26$ | $\$ 25,512.33$ | $\$ 1,266,563.59$ |  |
| $\$ 40,297,277.42$ | $\$ 905,063.31$ | $\$ 41,202,340.73$ |  |

Recap by Agency
5,483 City of Beaverton
11,360 Washington County

Percent
28.40\%
71.60\%
100.00\%

| Receipts | Collection Fee |  | Total Revenue |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 16,074,853.06$ | $\$ 393,925.44$ |  |
| $\$ 16,341,252.10$ |  |  |  |
| $\$ 40,297,277.42$ | $\$ 905,063.31$ | $\$ 41,202,340.73$ |  |
| $\$ 56,372,130.48$ | $\$ 1,298,988.75$ | $\$ 57,543,592.83$ |  |


| Recap by Dwelling | Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Resident | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Beaverton | 2,962 | 2,263 | 258 | 5,483 |
| Washington County | 8,283 | 2,922 | 155 | 11,360 |
|  | $\underline{\underline{11,245}}$ | 5,185 | $\underline{\underline{413}}$ | 16,843 |

Total Receipts to Date
Total Payments to Date
Refunds
Administrative Costs
Project Costs -- Development
Project Costs -- Land Acquisition
(\$2,066,073.93)
(\$23,867,247.05)
(\$17,868,263.42) (\$43,801,603.05)
\$12,570,527.43

Recap by Month, FY 2016/17
through June 2016
July
August
September
October
November
December
January
February
March
April
May
June

| Receipts | Expenditures | Interest | SDC Fund Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$50,894,668.85 | (\$40,992,117.90) | \$2,194,063.22 | \$12,096,614.17 |
| \$903,888.92 | (\$17,397.40) | \$7,892.31 | \$894,383.83 |
| \$475,338.51 | (\$199,060.21) | \$9,028.10 | \$285,306.40 |
| \$499,243.61 | (\$1,574,857.42) | \$8,531.81 | (\$1,067,082.00) |
| \$715,512.77 | (\$149,423.55) | \$9,441.98 | \$575,531.20 |
| \$643,158.36 | (\$63,946.85) | \$9,413.73 | \$588,625.24 |
| \$2,240,319.46 | (\$804,799.72) | \$10,350.69 | \$1,445,870.43 |
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$56,372,130.48 | (\$43,801,603.05) | \$2,248,721.84 | \$14,819,249.27 |


| Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Residential | Total Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10,906 | 4,820 | 401 | 16,127 |
| 49 | 0 | 2 | 51 |
| 53 | 0 | 2 | 55 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 61 | 41 | 3 | 105 |
| 45 | 24 | 2 | 71 |
| 76 | 300 | 3 | 379 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathbf{5 , 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 4 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 4 5}$ |  |  |  |

Projected SDC balance as of June 30, 2016 per FY17 budget was $\$ 11,279,964$ Actual balance was $\$ 11,544,271$.
This fiscal year's projected total receipts per the budget are $\$ 14,578,059$.

## Park District budget <br> nets national honors

The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District was honored for the quality of its budgeting process for the 13 th consecutive year.

THPRD received the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award from the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada. The award reflects a commitment to meet the highest principles for budget transparency and communication with the public.

The district's 2016-17 fiscal year budget was judged for its effectiveness as a policy document, financial plan, operations guide, and communications device. The GFOA is a nonprofit, professional association serving more than 18,000 government finance professionals throughout North America. Receipt of the award comes as staff are preparing the 2017-18 budget.

The first meeting with the THPRD Budget Committee is scheduled for Feb. 21 at 7 p.m. All budget committee meetings are open to the public.

# Begins Saturday, March 4, at 8 am 

Monday, March 6, for those outside of THPRD boundaries TO REGISTER: Visit thprd.org/portal or call 503-439-9400
Mail or walk-in registrations accepted beginning March 6.


## Registration open for parks district programs

Online and phone registration for the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's spring programs, classes and activities will be open to in-district residents beginning 8 a.m. Saturday, March 4.

Class descriptions and schedules, along with registration instructions and forms, are in the the park district's winter/spring activities guide, which is online at www.thprd.org.

The list also is available in hard copy at park district center, the Beaverton School District Administration Office and in Beaver-ton-area libraries.

## Metro Council approves major grant to THPRD for new Beaverton trail

# by Bob Wayt 

T
The Metro Council has approved a $\$ 3.7$ million federal grant to the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District for construction of a new, 1.5 -mile trail near downtown Beaverton that will offer a variety of benefits to users.

The Beaverton Creek Trail will run east-west and connect Hocken Avenue in Beaverton to the Westside Regional Trail at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park. The pathway will be 12 feet wide, which is typical for a regional trail segment.

Funding will be awarded in 2019. The park district anticipates starting construction in 2020 and finishing by the end of 2021.

A Metro analysis shows that residents near the trail corridor include significantly above-
average populations of minorities, low-income and youth. User benefits will include a more pleasant, off-street transportation experience, safer passage, and improved access to light-rail and bus lines, employment and commercial areas, recreation and natural areas. It also fills a gap in THPRD's 70-mile trail system for walkers, joggers, bicyclists and others.
"Obviously, we are thrilled by this major grant, one of the largest we've ever received," said Doug Menke, THPRD general manager. "Trails are quite popular in our greater Beaverton area, with residents using them hundreds of thousands of times each year. It's the main reason we have invested so much time, energy and funds the last several years to expand our trails network."

Much of those efforts have been made possible by THPRD's


2008 voter-approved bond measure, which included the addition of more than six miles of new trail connections.
"Everyone deserves the ability to get where they're going safely. The Beaverton Creek Trail will
add another key link to a growing and vital regional trails network," said Metro Councilor Kathryn Harrington. "Like THPRD's other trails, it will offer a scenic, safe and healthy option for Washington County residents and
workers to enjoy on our journey to work, school, errands or visiting with friends. Most important, it will be open to everyone in our community: kids, families, commuters and others just getting out for fresh air."

The grant will cover about $80 \%$ of the estimated $\$ 4.6$ million project cost. THPRD will contribute some systems development charge money and Washington County has provided additional funds. The City of Beaverton is assisting in the project as a technical partner, lending staff time and expertise.


## A Slice of Wilderness

By Joy Cate
We had started coming to the Nature Park Interpretive Center just off of TV Highway and Millikan when our children were young. They were still building the park at that time and we had the opportunity to not only watch our children grow, but the park as well.

We went from walking on the paved paths to walking on the more challenging trails that weave throughout the whole park. Our children grew from tiny kids, excited by a newt crossing the
walkway, to young adults who would explain to me what kind of tree or ivy we were looking at. The last time I had come to the park, my youngest daughter was getting her senior pictures taken; now here I am with my Dad making yet another memory.

As I watched my Dad slowly shuffle to the nearby bench; I smiled as he stops to take a small break, when a little boy about three years old, went racing by him only to suddenly switch directions and stop when he spotted a frog on the pathway.

In that one moment I realized I had come full circle, I took my Dad's arm and help him the rest of the way over to the bench and we sat and talked watching the kids go by, most were running carefree and happy to be so close to nature.

For more information, visit www.thprd. org/facilities/nature/nature-center

Joy is a world traveler and blogger she is traveling to Europe this summer and will be blogging about the amazing places and people she encounters. You can read about her adventures at joy4travel.wordpress.com

Cost: ID/AP: \$68 OD: \$76.25




 -sumnjos poom peın! pue s6u! Heathman Hotel and has retained
the original 30 -foot vaulted ceilThe Picnic House is located in
the lobby of the original 1920's
 Cost: $\$ 5$, Join the Stuhr Center
on a local trip. (call the center to
register at $503-629-6342$ ) ment and lots of green refresh-
ment will be enjoyed by all.

 Elsie Stuhr Contar, 5550 SW Hall Blvd,
(503) $629-6342$.
 Cost: ID/AP: \$52 OD: \$56.25 cludes transportation, lunch and
escort.





## BLAZING

## A TRAIL



## THPRD gets the go-ahead for new trail near downtown

## By MANDY FEDER-SAWYER

The Times

[^2]BEAVERTON CREEK TRAIL: WESTSIDE TRAIL HOCKEN AVENEIE VHCINITY MAP


People of all ages and varied interests utilize Tualatin Hilis Park and Recreation trails year-round. A new trail grant will add another trail to the popular system. countes: thpio
joggers, bicyclists and others.
"Obviously, we are thrilled by this major grant, one of the largest we've ever received," Doug Menke, THPRD general manager, said. "Trails are quite popular in our greater Beaverton area, with residents using them hundreds of thousands
of times each year. It's the main reason we have invested so much time, energy and funds the last several years to expand our trails network."

## Trails: Voters in 2008 OK'd new trail project

- From Page A1

Much of those efforts have been made possible by THPRD's 2008 voter-approved bond measure, which included the addition of more than six miles of new trail connections.
"Everyone deserves the ability to get where they're going safely. The Beaverton Creek Trail will add another keylink to a growing and
vital regional trails network," Metro Councilor Kathryn Harrington said. "Like THPRD's other trails, it will of fer a scenic, safe and healthy option for Washington County residents and workers to enjoy on school, errands or visiting with friends Most important, it will be open to every one in our commun ty: kids, families, commuters and oth ers just getting
or fresh air.
will cover about 80 percent of the estimated $\$ 4.6$ million project cost. THPRD will contribute some systems development charge money and Washington County has provided additional funds. The City of Beaverton is assist ing in the project as a technical partner, lending staff time and expertise.
The grant was one of nine that the Metro Council approved on Feb. 2 for trails/active transportation projects across the region.


Trails highlight scenic areas around Beaverton and provide places to commune with nature.


[^0]:    Ali Kavianian, Board Secretary

[^1]:    total ada projects

[^2]:    Construction of a Hew trail in Beaverton is slated to begin in $2 / 20$, following the Metro Council's approval of a $\$ 3.7$ million federal grant to the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District.

    The new 1.5 -mile trail near downtown Beaverton that is expected to offer a variety of benefits to users.
    The Beaverton Creck Trail will run eastwest and will connect Hocken Avenue in Beaverton to the Westside Regional Trail at the Tualatin Hills Natise Park. The pathway will be 12 feet wide, which is typical for a regional trail segment.
    Funding will be aw:trded in 2019. The park district anticipates stafting construction in 2020 and finishing by the end of 2021 .

    A Metro analysis shows that residents near the trail corridor inclufe significantly aboveaverage populations bf minorities, low-income and youth. User benefits will include what is anticipated to be a more pleasant, offstreet transportation experience, safer passage and improved access to light-rail and bus lines, employment and commercial areas, recreation and natural areas. It also fills a gap in THPRD's 70-mile trail system for walkers,

