

# Board of Directors Regular Meeting <br> Tuesday, February 11, 2020 

## 6:30 pm Executive Session 7:00 pm Regular Meeting

## HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton

## AGENDA

1. Executive Session*
A. Legal
B. Land
2. Call Regular Meeting to Order
3. Action Resulting from Executive Session
4. Proclamation: Black History Month
5. Audience Time**
6. Board Time
A. Committee Liaisons Updates
7. Consent Agenda***
A. Approve: Minutes of January 14, 2020 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Award: HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement Construction Contract
8. Unfinished Business
A. Information: General Manager's Report
9. New Business

## A. Review: Amendments to District Compiled Policies Chapter 8 - Naming of District Property

10. Adjourn
*Executive Session: Executive Sessions are permitted under the authority of ORS 192.660. Copies of the statute are available at the offices of Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District. **Audience Time: If you wish to be heard on an item not on the agenda, or a Consent Agenda item, you may be heard under Audience Time with a 3-minute time limit. If you wish to speak on an agenda item, also with a 3-minute time limit, please wait until it is before the Board. Note: Agenda items may not be considered in the order listed.
***Consent Agenda: If you wish to speak on an agenda item on the Consent Agenda, you may be heard under Audience Time. Consent Agenda items will be approved without discussion unless there is a request to discuss a particular Consent Agenda item. The issue separately discussed will be voted on separately.
Free childcare during the meeting is available at the Athletic Center. To reserve a spot, please contact Dayna Dixon at 503-6193861 or ddixon@thprd.org (or, contact the Athletic Center at 503-629-6330). In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this material, in an alternate format, or special accommodations for the meeting, will be made available by calling 503-6456433 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

MEMO

DATE: January 31, 2020
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: $\quad$ Information Regarding the February 11, 2020 Board of Directors Meeting
Agenda Item \#4 - Presentation
A. Proclamation: Black History Month

Attached please find a proclamation declaring the month of February as Black History Month.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda

Attached please find consent agenda items \#7A-D for your review and approval.

Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#7A-D as submitted:<br>A. Approve: Minutes of January 14, 2020 Board Meeting<br>B. Approve: Monthly Bills<br>C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statements<br>D. Award: HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement Construction Contract

Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business
A. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the February regular board meeting.

## Agenda Item \#9 - New Business

A. Amendments to District Compiled Policies Chapter 8 - Naming of District Property Attached please find a memo presenting proposed amendments to the Naming of District Property section of Chapter 8 of the District Compiled Policies (DCP 8). Liana Harden, Engagement \& Partnership Specialist, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the memo and to answer any questions the board may have.

## Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board
- Monthly Capital Report
- Monthly Bond Capital Report
- System Development Charge Report


## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

## PROCLAMATION

By the Board of Directors

WHEREAS, THPRD is committed to ensuring all individuals have the opportunity to play, learn, and enjoy high-quality park and recreation facilities, programs, services, and natural areas; and

WHEREAS, THPRD is dedicated to removing barriers to participation and fostering an inclusive culture; and

WHEREAS, Black communities have made valuable contributions in the history of our nation's economic, cultural, spiritual and political development; and

WHEREAS, the Black community has been the target of discrimination, exclusion, and violence based on identity, which conflicts with our core values; and

WHEREAS, Black History Month call our attention to the continued need to recognize and eliminate racism, and to build a community in which all experience a full sense of belonging and respect for human dignity; and

WHEREAS, Black History Month is a time where we are encouraged to reflect on past successes and challenges of Black communities and to look to the future to ensure freedom, equity, and inclusiveness;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Felicita Monteblanco, Board of Directors President, Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, do hereby declare the month of February 2020 as

## Black History Month

And do urge all those in the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District to support and promote this observance.

Signed this $11^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2020.

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

A meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, January 14, 2020, at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon. Work Session 5:30 pm; Executive Session 6:15 pm; Regular Meeting 7 pm .

Present:

| Felicita Monteblanco | President/Director |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tya Ping | Secretary/Director |
| Heidi Edwards | Director |
| Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg | Director |
| Doug Menke | General Manager |

Absent:
Wendy Kroger Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director
Agenda Item \#1 - Work Session: 2020 Legislative Policy
A Work Session of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was called to order by President Felicita Monteblanco on Tuesday, January 14, 2020, at 5:30 pm.

Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, introduced Kylie Grunow, state legislative consultant for the district, to provide an overview of the district's legislative topic areas for the 2020 short session, noting that the district also participates in legislative issues through its involvement with Special Districts Association of Oregon (SDAO) and Oregon Recreation \& Park Association (ORPA). Kylie provided a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, regarding the following position statements proposed to guide the district's advocacy efforts:

- Local Agency Control \& Authority
- Maintain local agency control and authority to implement statewide policies within the context of agency and community needs.
- Request careful consideration of the full impact of mandates on park and recreation agencies and services, especially unfunded mandates.
- Systems Development Charges (SDCs)
- THPRD proactively seeks to address our region's needs for affordable housing through locally designed efforts that apply to all cost impacts.
- Building upon tools and policies developed by THPRD, maintain local agency autonomy on policy decisions regarding local SDC resources.
- Preserve the ability to utilize SDCs to ensure that new growth is financially selfsustaining.
- Carbon Reduction
- Support role of parks and recreation districts in carbon reduction activities like those in urban forestry and wetland mitigation.
- Recognize infrastructure needs beyond transportation, including landscaping machinery and equipment (i.e. leaf blowers).
- Clear \& Orderly Provision of Urban Services
- Preserve the reasonable planning framework outlined in SB 122 (1993) to address complex issues associated with annexation and withdrawal.
- Promote consistency of process for annexation and withdrawal amongst cities and special districts.
- State Funding for Parks \& Recreation and Trails
- Preserve funding levels for Local Government Grant Program from lottery and other funds.
- Preserve and expand state funding for active transportation through the State Parks \& Recreation Department, Connect Oregon, and other programs.
Kylie concluded the presentation with a brief overview of the upcoming short session process and rumored bills in the works, and inquired whether the board has any additional feedback or suggestions to the proposed position statements as outlined this evening.

The board concurred with the proposed position statements as outlined. Comments and discussion included the following:

- SDCs: It will be critical to maintain local control over SDCs, especially as the board continues to have necessary conversations on the topic of affordable housing. This area dovetails well with the position statements for Local Agency Control \& Authority.
- Carbon Reduction: Climate change is a concern of the board and is of importance to our community as well, based on the initial feedback received through the district's visioning process. In the future, it will be interesting to see if there are opportunities to lend the district's voice on this subject matter to areas within its scope. While the board values carbon reduction, it also values taxpayer dollars. The board requested the current summary for this bill.
- A bill report will be provided to the board at least weekly during the short session.


## Agenda Item \#2 - Executive Session (A) Legal (B) Land

President Monteblanco called executive session to order for the following purposes:

- To consult with counsel concerning the legal rights and duties of a public body with regard to current litigation or litigation likely to be filed, and
- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
The Executive Session is held under authority of ORS 192.660(2) (e) \& (h).
President Monteblanco noted that the news media and designated staff may attend executive session. Representatives of the news media were directed not to disclose information discussed during executive session. No final action or final decision may be made in executive session. At the end of executive session, the board welcomed the audience into the room.


## Agenda Item \#3 - Call Regular Meeting to Order

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was called to order by President Felicita Monteblanco on Tuesday, January 14, 2020, at 7 pm .

## Agenda Item \#4 - Action Resulting from Executive Session

 Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg moved that the board of directors authorize staff to dedicate right-of-way and easements in the northwest quadrant of the district per the terms discussed in executive session, subject to the standard due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Heidi Edwards seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:| Tya Ping | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Heidi Edwards | Yes |
| Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg | Yes |
| Felicita Monteblanco | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

Tya Ping moved that the board of directors authorize staff to accept the donation of property in the northwest quadrant of the district per the terms discussed in executive session, subject to the standard due diligence review and approval by the general manager. Heidi Edwards seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg Yes
Heidi Edwards
Yes
Tya Ping Yes
Felicita Monteblanco Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#5 - Presentation: Audit Report on Park District Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2018/19

Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, introduced Julie Fahey, Audit Partner with Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, LLP, the district's auditor, to make a presentation on the Audit Report on the district's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. Keith noted that this report was presented to the district's Audit Committee for their review and approval in December, and that at the conclusion of this evening's presentation, district staff is requesting board acceptance of the Audit Report on the district's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

Julie provided a brief overview of the audit process and the district's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2019, as included within the board of directors' information packet, noting information on the following pages:

- Page 8: Independent Auditor's Report, which includes an unmodified opinion, meaning that the district's financial statements have been fairly presented.
- Page 11: Management's Discussion and Analysis, which provides a synopsis of the financial activities of THPRD.
- Pages 21 \& 22: Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities.
- Page 94: Independent Auditor's Report, reflecting that there was no non-compliance discovered, nor was there any material weaknesses or sufficient deficiencies to report. Julie concluded her presentation by noting that this will be the $14^{\text {th }}$ consecutive year that the district will apply for, and likely receive, the Government Finance Officers Association Certificate of Excellence in Financial Reporting Award. Julie thanked the district's financial staff, noting that they are professional and competent to work with, and offered to answer any questions the board may have.

President Monteblanco thanked the district's Audit Committee volunteers for their work, as well as Julie and the team at Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, LLP.

Heidi Edwards moved that the board of directors accept the Audit Report on the park district's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. Tya Ping seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg Yes
Tya Ping Yes
Heidi Edwards Yes
Felicita Monteblanco Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Agenda Item \#6 - Resolution Amending District Compiled Policies Chapter 5 to Change Public Contract Rules
A. Open Hearing

Acting as the Local Contract Review Board, President Monteblanco opened the public hearing.

## B. Staff Report

Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, provided an overview of the memo included within the board of directors' information packet regarding proposed changes to the district's Public Contracting Rules contained in Chapter 5 of the District Compiled Policies (DCP). An initial review of the proposed changes was presented to the board at their December 10, 2019 Regular Board meeting. The proposed changes reflect changes to statute made by the Oregon Legislature, but also include other recommended changes proposed by staff related to sustainable and socially equitable purchasing, and can be summarized as follows:

- Increases dollar thresholds for small procurements.
- Updates sustainable purchasing requirements to recognize that the human health, environmental, social and economic impacts of products and services occur throughout their life cycle and throughout the associated supply chains.
- Updates Minority, Women and Emerging Small Businesses (MWESB) purchasing requirements to align with dollar thresholds in the remainder of DCP 5, and to encourage support of self-defined MWESB companies.
Keith noted that the action requested this evening is that the board of directors, acting as the Local Contract Review Board, conduct a public hearing regarding the proposed changes and, pending the outcome of the public hearing, approve the resolution adopting the proposed changes as presented with an immediate implementation.


## C. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

## D. Board Discussion

President Monteblanco noted that the proposed changes nicely align with several of the district's values and results in more consistency.

## E. Close Hearing

President Monteblanco closed the public hearing.

## F. Board Action

Heidi Edwards moved that the board of directors, acting as the Local Contract Review Board, approve Resolution 2020-01 amending the Public Contracting Rules contained within Chapter 5 of the District Compiled Polices. Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Tya Ping Yes

Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg Yes
Heidi Edwards Yes
Felicita Monteblanco Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Audience Time

Lily Gardner, 5553 NW 133 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue, and Elizabeth Cook, 1939 NW Jasmine Lane, Portland, are before the THPRD Board of Directors this evening regarding the temperature of the water in the 50 -meter pool at the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center. Lily described her participation on the Valley Catholic High School Senior 1 swim team, noting her goal of qualifying for the Olympic trials this year. She described the difficulties of training at the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center due to the high temperature of the water, noting that it is even warm outside of the pool on the deck.

She commented that lowering the temperature even a little would help her and her fellow teammates' abilities to perform. Elizabeth expressed agreement, noting that the FINA (Fédération Internationale de Natation) recommended water temperature for aquatic sports is between 77 and 82.4 degrees and that the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center operates at 84 degrees. She noted that this temperature effects other pool users as well, such as synchronized swimmers, water polo players, and lap swimmers, all of whom would benefit from a lower temperature. She asked that the district consider lowering the temperature to 81 degrees as a compromise, which would also fall within the safe temperature recommendation for other users.

Lee O'Connor, 17247 NW Oakley Court, Portland, is before the THPRD Board of Directors this evening representing the Tualatin Hills Barracudas swim club. He referenced his previous testimony to the board at the December 10, 2019 Regular board meeting regarding the temperature of the water in the 50-meter pool at the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center. He noted that district staff followed up with him after the meeting and that he learned that the family dressing rooms have cool water showers available, and that the air and water temperature within the aquatic center needs to be kept within one degree to prevent corrosion. He explained why FINA and USA Swimming does not allow competition in pools at or above 87.8 degrees due to the fatality of a champion swimmer from heat stroke, which is only four degrees warmer than the standard operating temperature at the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center. He stated that between 77 and 82.4 degrees is the recommended temperature for lap swimming as defined by multiple national organizations that oversee aquatic exercise, including the American Red Cross. Lee distributed information regarding the temperatures of other competition pools, a copy of which was entered into the record, identifying three pools in the region that operate at lower temperatures than the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center. He concluded by stating that lap swimmers and swim team members make up a large portion of the daily users at the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center and that the current water temperature is not appropriate for those users. With six to eight pools available within the district, he hopes that the district can find a way to make all users comfortable.
$\checkmark$ President Monteblanco thanked Lee, Lily and Elizabeth for their testimony this evening and involvement in the district, and described the challenge in balancing and maximizing the district's public amenities. She noted that the Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center serves over 4,000 learn-to-swim participants annually, some of whom represent future swim team members. She would like to see continued dialogue regarding other possibilities in cooling swimmers down and hopes that they will continue to hold the board accountable; however, she anticipates that the water temperature will remain as-is in order to maximize public benefit. She pledged to continue to learn more about this issue and welcomed future public comment.
$\checkmark$ Heidi Edwards commented that while THPRD is indeed fortunate to have six to eight pools, those pools are fully programmed at maximum capacity and there is no availability to shift programming to other pools.
$\checkmark$ Tya Ping added that even at 84 degrees, some participants complain that the water is too cold. The district's goal is to satisfy the majority of patrons.
$\checkmark$ Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg noted that, as a fellow athlete, she sympathizes with their challenges, but as a government agency, it is necessary to serve the greatest public need. The district will continue to look for creative options to see if there are other ways to help.
$\checkmark$ President Monteblanco encouraged Lee, Lily and Elizabeth to help identify those creative opportunities and ideas.

Agenda Item \#6 - Board Time
Heidi Edwards provided the following updates and comments during board time:

- The Tualatin Hills Park Foundation (THPF) will hold its next quarterly meeting in February with three new trustees. She asked for consideration of a THPF presentation at a future THPRD Board of Directors meeting, possibly in February or March.
$\checkmark$ President Monteblanco replied that this would be considered based on the length of the agendas.
- The Nature \& Trails Advisory Committee recognized a few outgoing, longstanding committee members; reflected on the engagement of underrepresented community members; and has also provided input on the upcoming Clean Water Services project at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park. She noted that the committee is interested in presenting at a future THPRD Board of Directors meeting.
$\checkmark$ President Monteblanco suggested that the end of the fiscal year may be a good time to invite interested district advisory committees to present to the board.
$\checkmark$ General Manager Doug Menke noted that previous discussion about these presentations was as an annual occurrence.

Tya Ping provided the following updates and comments during board time:

- Participated in various educational meetings on the topic of affordable housing with more scheduled.
- The next Programs \& Events Advisory Committee meeting is scheduled to take place tomorrow evening.
- Participated in the final Play for Everyone Action Team meeting in relation to the district's visioning process, noting that it is an exciting prospect to envision the future of play 20 years from now.

Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg provided the following updates and comments during board time:

- Attended the most recent Audit Committee meeting, noting that she is grateful for the district staff and volunteers that she worked with through the auditing process.
- Participated in the final Accessible \& Safe Action Team meeting in relation to the district's visioning process, noting that the facilitator was skilled and helped keep the participants at a 20 -year level.

President Monteblanco provided the following updates and comments during board time:

- Asked that her fellow board members submit any parking lot items for future consideration by the board by January 31.
- Described the developing themes in the board's continuing discussion of affordable housing and system development charges (SDCs), including:
- Priority in serving housing needs for those at $30 \%$ or below Area Median Income, while also serving those between $30-60 \%$.
- Determination of a capped amount that will not negatively affect the district's level of service.
- More discussion is needed around certain parameters, such as nonprofit and forprofit affordable housing providers, any limitations to serving only Metro bond measure projects, and the scaling of SDC fees.
- She is learning through meetings with affordable housing advocates that there is a strong desire to build more family-sized affordable housing units which should be considered when discussing scaling SDCs.
- Requested that district staff bring forward a proposal for board consideration of a change in board stipend guidelines, to include a cap of $\$ 200$ per month per board member (at $\$ 50$ per day for district service as per state statute) and a cap of \$3,500 annually per board member for travel in order to be able to accommodate the increase in stipend without an overall increase to the board's budget.


## Agenda Item \#9 - Consent Agenda

Tya Ping moved that the board of directors approve consent agenda items (A) Minutes of December 10, 2019 Regular Board Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement, (D) Resolution Authorizing the Transfer of Appropriated Funds Within the 2019-20 General Fund Budget, and (E) Resolution Amending District Compiled Policies Chapter 6 Section 6.07 to Suspend Operation of the Capital Replacement Reserve Fund Until June 30, 2025. Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

| Heidi Edwards | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ashley Hartmeier-Prigg | Yes |
| Tya Ping | Yes |
| Felicita Monteblanco | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

## Agenda Item \#10 - Unfinished Business

A. General Manager's Report

General Manager Doug Menke provided an overview of his General Manager's Report included within the board of directors' information packet, including the following:

- Fanno Creek Trail Segment \#5 - Scholls Ferry Road to 92nd Avenue
- Gery Keck, Design \& Development Manager, provided a detailed overview of three options currently under consideration by the City of Beaverton for the Fanno Creek Trail to connect between Scholls Ferry Road and 92nd Avenue, via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record. The city has asked the district to partner on the costs of the trail, for which district staff will be returning to the board at a future meeting.
Doug offered to answer any questions the board may have.
After asking clarifying questions about each design option, the board expressed support for Option \#2 consisting of the roundabout at SW 92 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and Allen Boulevard. Comments also included conveying the preference to the city of not installing stop signs to the entrance of the roundabout.

Agenda Item \#11 - Adjourn
There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:50 pm.

| Check \# | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 836.00 |
| 309044 | 12/11/2019 | CASWELL/HERTELL SURVEYORS, INC. |  | 4,395.00 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 2,014.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Land Acquisition | \$ | 7,245.00 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | TREECOLOGY, INC. |  | 18,217.50 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Natural Resources Projects | \$ | 18,217.50 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | MACKAY \& SPOSITO, INC. |  | 25,173.11 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redevelop Community Parks | \$ | 25,173.11 |
| 309121 | 12/18/2019 | 2.INK STUDIO |  | 66,634.90 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redevelop Neighborhood Parks | \$ | 66,634.90 |
| 308996 | 12/4/2019 | ENVIROTEST LLC |  | 1,258.00 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 1,140.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Youth Athletic Field Development | \$ | 2,398.00 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | 3J CONSULTING, INC |  | 1,092.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bridges/Bdwlks-3 sites | \$ | 1,092.00 |
| 76641 | 12/9/2019 | DIAMOND LOCK \& SECURITY |  | 1,297.20 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Improvements | \$ | 1,297.20 |
| 308999 | 12/4/2019 | STARPLEX CORPORATION |  | 1,973.13 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | CEDAR MILL CONSTRUCTION CO., LLC |  | 6,130.00 |
| 309041 | 12/11/2019 | 2KG CONTRACTORS, INC. |  | 66,215.00 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | OPSIS ARCHITECTURE, LLP |  | 6,301.25 |
| 309127 | 12/18/2019 | CLEAN WATER SERVICES |  | 1,200.00 |
| 309131 | 12/18/2019 | FIRST RESPONSE SECURITY |  | 1,530.00 |
| 309167 | 12/26/2019 | NORTHWEST GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS, INC. |  | 2,443.95 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | PETERSON STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS, INC. |  | 4,240.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Replacements | \$ | 90,033.33 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | CDW GOVERNMENT INC |  | 5,661.80 |
| 309116 | 12/18/2019 | TYLER TECHNOLOGIES INC |  | 4,000.00 |
| 309171 | 12/26/2019 | TYLER TECHNOLOGIES INC |  | 7,300.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - ERP Software | \$ | 16,961.80 |
| 75952 | 12/9/2019 | EWING IRRIGATION PRODUCTS INC |  | 1,036.66 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Park \& Trail Improvements | \$ | 1,036.66 |
| 308988 | 12/4/2019 | 2.INK STUDIO |  | 11,974.21 |
| 308995 | 12/4/2019 | DANEAL CONSTRUCTION INC |  | 57,845.50 |
| 309009 | 12/4/2019 | WFG NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY |  | 5,000.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | MACKAY \& SPOSITO, INC. |  | 7,256.95 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 12,312.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | NORTHWEST TREE SPECIALISTS |  | 2,621.25 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | 3 KINGS ENVIRONMENTAL |  | 347,475.05 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | GOODFELLOW BROS., INC. |  | 190,101.04 |
| 309106 | 12/18/2019 | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC |  | 21.01 |
| 309118 | 12/18/2019 | WEST MEYER FENCE |  | 5,826.00 |


| Check \# | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 309119 | 12/18/2019 | WH PACIFIC, INC. |  | 19,092.82 |
| 309122 | 12/18/2019 | 2.INK STUDIO |  | 41,713.56 |
| 309130 | 12/18/2019 | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATES |  | 67,409.02 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | FIELDTURF USA, INC. |  | 11,510.00 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | LYDA EXCAVATING, INC. |  | 12,698.38 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | MACKAY \& SPOSITO, INC. |  | 2,385.42 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 11,866.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - SDC - Park Development/Improvement | \$ | 807,108.21 |
| 76253 | 12/9/2019 | BEAVERTON ARTS FOUNDATION |  | 2,500.00 |
| 76346 | 12/9/2019 | FC CENTROCULTURAL DEC |  | 1,500.00 |
| 76379 | 12/9/2019 | PORTLAND BUSINESS ALLIANCE |  | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | Conferences | \$ | 6,000.00 |
| 76275 | 12/9/2019 | BEAVERTON AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE |  | 1,200.00 |
|  |  | Dues \& Memberships | \$ | 1,200.00 |
| 308998 | 12/4/2019 | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC |  | 24,368.86 |
| 309032 | 12/11/2019 | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC |  | 5,818.78 |
| 309106 | 12/18/2019 | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC |  | 1,467.99 |
| 309168 | 12/26/2019 | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC |  | 30,251.38 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | PGE (CLEAN WIND) |  | 1,867.08 |
|  |  | Electricity | \$ | 63,774.09 |
| 308979 | 12/3/2019 | KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN |  | 289,864.16 |
| 308980 | 12/3/2019 | MODA HEALTH PLAN INC |  | 29,122.91 |
| 308987 | 12/3/2019 | UNUM LIFE INSURANCE - LTC |  | 2,403.30 |
| 309010 | 12/5/2019 | Standard Insurance Co. |  | 14,203.28 |
| 309081 | 12/17/2019 | STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY |  | 337,268.58 |
|  |  | Employee Benefits | \$ | 672,862.23 |
| 308982 | 12/3/2019 | PACIFICSOURCE ADMINISTRATORS, INC. |  | 3,962.06 |
| 308983 | 12/3/2019 | PACIFICSOURCE ADMINISTRATORS, INC. |  | 7,372.62 |
| 308984 | 12/3/2019 | STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY |  | 38,465.40 |
| ACH | 12/3/2019 | MASSACHUSETTS MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY |  | 14,968.64 |
| ACH | 12/3/2019 | OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE |  | 1,685.65 |
| ACH | 12/5/2019 | THPRD - EMPLOYEE ASSOCIATION |  | 9,278.76 |
| 309079 | 12/17/2019 | PACIFICSOURCE ADMINISTRATORS, INC. |  | 3,872.06 |
| 309080 | 12/17/2019 | PACIFICSOURCE ADMINISTRATORS, INC. |  | 6,000.15 |
| 309082 | 12/17/2019 | STANDARD INSURANCE COMPANY |  | 34,344.07 |
| ACH | 12/17/2019 | MASSACHUSETTS MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY |  | 14,798.91 |
| ACH | 12/17/2019 | OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE |  | 1,590.24 |
|  |  | Employee Deductions | \$ | 136,338.56 |
| 75635 | 12/4/2019 | NW NATURAL |  | 1,163.55 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | NW NATURAL |  | 3,536.24 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | NW NATURAL |  | 3,507.25 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | NW NATURAL |  | 20,674.70 |
|  |  | Heat | \$ | 28,881.74 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | PORTLAND ENERGY BASKETBALL LLC |  | 7,182.50 |
|  |  | Instructional Services | \$ | 7,182.50 |


| Check \# | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75716 | 12/4/2019 | WASTE MANAGEMENT OF OREGON |  | 1,471.15 |
| 75735 | 12/4/2019 | GUARANTEED PEST CONTROL SERVICE CO INC |  | 1,907.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | JOHNSON CONTROLS FIRE PROTECTION, LP |  | 1,194.20 |
| 75900 | 12/9/2019 | REXIUS FOREST BY-PRODUCTS INC |  | 3,375.00 |
| 75998 | 12/9/2019 | UNITED SITE SERVICES |  | 9,842.50 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | NORTHWEST TREE SPECIALISTS |  | 3,225.00 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | NORTHWEST TREE SPECIALISTS |  | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | Maintenance Services | \$ | 22,014.85 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | WALTER E NELSON COMPANY |  | 1,866.60 |
| 75772 | 12/9/2019 | EWING IRRIGATION PRODUCTS INC |  | 2,927.82 |
| 75797 | 12/9/2019 | REXIUS FOREST BY-PRODUCTS INC |  | 7,269.00 |
| 75809 | 12/9/2019 | AIR GAS NORPAC INC |  | 1,132.06 |
| 75845 | 12/9/2019 | EWING IRRIGATION PRODUCTS INC |  | 2,258.91 |
| 75848 | 12/9/2019 | EWING IRRIGATION PRODUCTS INC |  | 1,424.71 |
| 75850 | 12/9/2019 | POOL \& SPA HOUSE INC |  | 1,629.45 |
| 76010 | 12/9/2019 | WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY |  | 1,780.00 |
| 76013 | 12/9/2019 | WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY |  | 3,173.00 |
| 76311 | 12/9/2019 | AIR GAS NORPAC INC |  | 1,257.48 |
| 76378 | 12/9/2019 | STEP FORWARD ACTIVITIES, INC. |  | 5,127.00 |
| 76390 | 12/9/2019 | AIR GAS NORPAC INC |  | 2,076.34 |
| 76611 | 12/9/2019 | VALLEY ATHLETICS |  | 1,662.40 |
| 76646 | 12/9/2019 | TARGET SPECIALTY PRODUCTS |  | 2,376.80 |
| 76720 | 12/9/2019 | AIR GAS NORPAC INC |  | 2,740.12 |
| 76787 | 12/9/2019 | AIR GAS NORPAC INC |  | 1,299.30 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | WALTER E NELSON COMPANY |  | 2,280.63 |
| 309134 | 12/18/2019 | GREEN ART LANDSCAPING CO LLC |  | 9,817.33 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | WALTER E NELSON COMPANY |  | 4,295.52 |
| 309172 | 12/26/2019 | UNIVAR SOLUTIONS USA INC |  | 1,356.71 |
|  |  | Maintenance Supplies | \$ | 57,751.18 |
| 75616 | 12/4/2019 | AT\&T MOBILITY |  | 172.92 |
| 75623 | 12/4/2019 | RICOH USA, INC. |  | 2,441.65 |
| 75793 | 12/9/2019 | MASTERS, INC. |  | 299.47 |
| 78239 | 12/27/2019 | RICOH USA, INC. |  | 2,571.63 |
|  |  | Office Supplies | \$ | 5,485.67 |
| 309001 | 12/4/2019 | US POSTAL SERVICE CMRS-PB |  | 3,000.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | LITHTEX, INC |  | (126.20) |
|  |  | Postage | \$ | 2,873.80 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | LITHTEX, INC |  | 6,595.00 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | SIGNATURE GRAPHICS |  | 13,560.39 |
|  |  | Printing \& Publication | \$ | 20,155.39 |
| 308982 | 12/3/2019 | PACIFICSOURCE ADMINISTRATORS, INC. |  | 934.75 |
| 309008 | 12/4/2019 | UNITE OREGON |  | 5,000.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 4,916.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | TALBOT, KORVOLA \& WARWICK, LLP |  | 5,000.00 |
| 309033 | 12/11/2019 | PROVIDENCE HEALTH \& SERVICES |  | 1,724.25 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | JLA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT |  | 9,648.52 |


| Check \# | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | ANGELO PLANNING GROUP, INC. |  | 7,986.95 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | KOFF \& ASSOCIATES, INC. |  | 1,807.00 |
| 309166 | 12/26/2019 | MARK SHERMAN CONSULTING LLC |  | 2,416.00 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | ANGELO PLANNING GROUP, INC. |  | 3,351.25 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | MILLER NASH GRAHAM \& DUNN LLP |  | 2,494.00 |
|  |  | Professional Services | \$ | 45,278.72 |
| 75623 | 12/4/2019 | RICOH USA, INC. |  | 248.88 |
| 75793 | 12/9/2019 | MASTERS, INC. |  | 1,236.13 |
| 75794 | 12/9/2019 | KORE GROUP |  | 2,221.81 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | TRUVIEW BSI, LLC |  | 2,274.76 |
| 309124 | 12/18/2019 | AMERICAN CHESS INSTITUTE, INC. |  | 6,060.00 |
| 309178 | 12/26/2019 | WASHINGTON COUNTY DEPT OF HEALTH \& HUMAN SERVICES |  | 768.00 |
| 78239 | 12/27/2019 | RICOH USA, INC. |  | 203.14 |
|  |  | Program Supplies | \$ | 13,012.72 |
| 75719 | 12/4/2019 | WASTE MANAGEMENT OF OREGON |  | 1,078.38 |
| 75723 | 12/4/2019 | WASTE MANAGEMENT OF OREGON |  | 2,105.81 |
| 75726 | 12/4/2019 | WASTE MANAGEMENT OF OREGON |  | 1,600.14 |
|  |  | Refuse Services | \$ | 4,784.33 |
| 75623 | 12/4/2019 | RICOH USA, INC. |  | 3,176.84 |
| 75798 | 12/9/2019 | DITCH WITCH NORTHWEST |  | 2,500.00 |
| 78239 | 12/27/2019 | RICOH USA, INC. |  | 3,176.84 |
|  |  | Rental Equipment | \$ | 8,853.68 |
| 308997 | 12/4/2019 | GRUNOW, KYLIE |  | 1,500.00 |
| ACH | 12/4/2019 | SMITH DAWSON \& ANDREWS |  | 3,000.00 |
| 76133 | 12/9/2019 | AVERTIUM, LLC |  | 2,000.00 |
| 76165 | 12/9/2019 | AVERTIUM, LLC |  | 2,000.00 |
| 76318 | 12/9/2019 | SHI INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION |  | 3,609.70 |
| 309038 | 12/11/2019 | TUALATIN SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT |  | 2,833.01 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | TRUVIEW BSI, LLC |  | 2,534.25 |
| 309128 | 12/18/2019 | EDWARDS ENTERPRISES |  | 1,260.00 |
| 309129 | 12/18/2019 | ELEVATE TECHNOLOGY GROUP |  | 2,925.00 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | JOHNSON CONTROLS FIRE PROTECTION, LP |  | 14,159.60 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | TRUVIEW BSI, LLC |  | 1,360.00 |
| 309178 | 12/26/2019 | WASHINGTON COUNTY DEPT OF HEALTH \& HUMAN SERVICES |  | 4,987.00 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | JOHNSON CONTROLS FIRE PROTECTION, LP |  | 3,267.50 |
|  |  | Technical Services | \$ | 45,436.06 |
| ACH | 12/11/2019 | EXECUTIVE FORUM |  | 2,186.00 |
| ACH | 12/18/2019 | JOHNSON, COREY |  | 1,330.75 |
|  |  | Technical Training | \$ | 3,516.75 |
| 75616 | 12/4/2019 | AT\&T MOBILITY |  | 9,006.87 |
| ACH | 12/26/2019 | ALLSTREAM BUSINESS US |  | 5,625.00 |
|  |  | Telecommunications | \$ | 14,631.87 |
| 309006 | 12/4/2019 | THP FOUNDATION |  | 1,145.06 |
|  |  | THPF - Nature Store Sales \& Donations | \$ | 1,145.06 |


| Check \# | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 308991 | 12/4/2019 | CARSON OIL, INC. |  | 3,021.33 |
| 309042 | 12/11/2019 | CARSON OIL, INC. |  | 1,977.11 |
| 309115 | 12/18/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 5,617.56 |
| 309125 | 12/18/2019 | BRETTHAUER OIL CO. |  | 2,173.73 |
| 309170 | 12/26/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 3,515.55 |
|  |  | Vehicle Gas \& Oil | \$ | 16,305.28 |
| 75562 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 3,650.85 |
| 75564 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 1,116.16 |
| 75566 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 1,170.95 |
| 75567 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 1,594.07 |
| 75586 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 2,784.68 |
| 75602 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 1,151.39 |
| 75603 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 2,068.99 |
| 75604 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 1,114.07 |
| 75605 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 2,088.21 |
| 75606 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 1,124.42 |
| 75611 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 4,709.36 |
| 75612 | 12/4/2019 | BEAVERTON, CITY OF |  | 2,054.16 |
| 75700 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,048.96 |
| 75701 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,073.65 |
| 75702 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,092.77 |
| 75703 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,095.88 |
| 75704 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,143.81 |
| 75705 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,429.46 |
| 75706 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,554.32 |
| 75707 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,604.71 |
| 75708 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 1,819.63 |
| 75709 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 2,171.93 |
| 75710 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 2,898.58 |
| 75711 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 3,520.00 |
| 75712 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 3,611.61 |
| 75713 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 7,646.72 |
| 75714 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT |  | 9,925.23 |
| 309000 | 12/4/2019 | TUALATIN VALLEY FIRE AND RESCUE |  | 1,114.50 |
|  |  | Water \& Sewer | \$ | 67,379.07 |
|  |  | Grand Total | \$ | 2,282,061.26 |



## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

## General Fund Financial Summary <br> December, 2019

| ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current <br> Month | Year to <br> Date | BUDGET <br> Year to <br> Date | \% YTD Actual <br> to Budget | Full <br> Fiscal Year |

Program Resources:

Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers \& Programs
Sports Programs \& Field Rentals
Natural Resources
Total Program Resources
Other Resources:
Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Debt Proceeds
Total Other Resources

Total Resources

Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administration
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Community Programs
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures

## General Government Expenditures:

Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Capital Outlay
Contingency/Capital Replacement Reserve
Total Other Expenditures:
Total Expenditures
Revenues over (under) Expenditures
Beginning Cash on Hand
Ending Cash on Hand

| $\$$ | 91,907 | $\$$ | $1,387,289$ | $1,833,657$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 90,686 | 572,620 | 599,758 | $75.7 \%$ |
| 157,715 | $3,098,384$ | $3,274,073$ | $95.5 \%$ | $1,819,780$ |
|  | 181,838 | $1,004,898$ | 888,848 | $94.6 \%$ |
|  | 7,516 | 332,859 | 280,113 | $113.1 \%$ |
|  | $118.8 \%$ | $1,911,530$ |  |  |
|  | 529,662 | $6,396,051$ | $6,876,448$ | $93.0 \%$ |


| $6,909,127$ | $31,739,017$ | $31,633,906$ | $100.3 \%$ | $33,447,201$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64,384 | 210,321 | 208,468 | $100.9 \%$ | 590,000 |
| 253,635 | 410,519 | 358,134 | $114.6 \%$ | 928,110 |
| 3,000 | 20,833 | 20,833 | $100.0 \%$ | $3,114,284$ |
| 24,985 | 159,088 | 211,554 | $75.2 \%$ | 400,000 |
| - | - | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $8,000,000$ |
| $7,255,131$ | $32,539,778$ | $32,432,896$ | $100.3 \%$ | $46,479,595$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 7,784,793$ | $\$ 38,935,829$ | $\$$ | $39,309,344$ | $99.0 \%$ |


| 71,448 | 355,497 | 371,518 | $95.7 \%$ | 814,125 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 331,417 | $2,321,853$ | $2,512,586$ | $92.4 \%$ | $4,879,346$ |
| 129,024 | 694,657 | 743,701 | $93.4 \%$ | $1,481,953$ |
| 646,231 | $4,470,543$ | $4,814,923$ | $92.8 \%$ | $9,009,218$ |
| 50,868 | 334,236 | 427,573 | $78.2 \%$ | 763,673 |
| 136,197 | $1,485,438$ | $1,539,896$ | $96.5 \%$ | $2,997,369$ |
| 189,609 | $1,395,109$ | $1,557,587$ | $89.6 \%$ | $3,055,937$ |
| $1,554,794$ | $11,057,333$ | $11,967,784$ | $92.4 \%$ | $23,001,621$ |


|  | 7,851 | 109,247 |  | 115,792 | 94.3\% |  | 267,956 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 227,192 | 1,246,332 |  | 1,416,770 | 88.0\% |  | 2,891,689 |
|  | 1,468,615 | 9,528,122 |  | 11,558,333 | 82.4\% |  | 22,853,208 |
|  | 953,246 | 1,891,588 |  | 8,277,343 | 22.9\% |  | 20,017,227 |
|  | - | - |  | - | 0.0\% |  | 7,368,000 |
|  | 2,656,904 | 12,775,289 |  | 21,368,237 | 59.8\% |  | 53,398,080 |
| \$ | 4,211,698 | \$ 23,832,622 | \$ | 33,336,022 | 71.5\% | \$ | 76,399,701 |
| \$ | 3,573,095 | \$ 15,103,206 | \$ | 5,973,322 | 252.8\% |  | $(16,328,700)$ |
|  |  | 14,840,219 |  | 16,328,700 | 90.9\% |  | 16,328,700 |
|  |  | \$ 29,943,426 | \$ | 22,302,022 | 134.3\% | \$ | - |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary

December, 2019
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## MEMO

DATE: January 24, 2020
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities
RE: $\quad$ HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement Construction Contract

## Introduction

Staff is seeking board of directors' approval of the lowest responsible bid for the HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement construction contract, and authorization to execute a contract with EC Electric for the amount of $\$ 187,700$.

## Background

The fiscal year 2019/20 adopted budget includes a capital project appropriation of \$310,000 for the design and installation of a light system replacement for the HMT Aquatic Center. This LED light system replacement will improve the light quality for aquatic activities. Construction documents were completed in November 2019 with an estimated construction cost of \$259,700. The light replacement project was publicly bid on December 4, 2019. Six contractors requested bid information and three attended the mandatory pre-bid meeting. The bid opening was on January 9, 2020, and the district received three responsive bids. The lowest bid came from EC Electric in the amount of $\$ 187,700$.

The total hard cost for the project is $\$ 189,700$, with the addition of the project soft cost expenses of $\$ 33,492$ and the project contingency of $\$ 16,739$, result in a total project cost of $\$ 239,931$. Based on the Capital Projects budget of $\$ 310,00$, the project cost is within the project budget with a savings of $\$ 70,069$. The project contingency was lowered to $7.5 \%$ for the construction phase which is our standard practice at bid award.

A breakdown of project costs is provided below:
HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement Funding Summary

| Funding Sources | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capital Projects Funding | $\underline{\$ 310,000}$ |
| Total Project Funding | $\$ 310,000$ |

## HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement Cost Summary

| Budget Item | Current Project Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction | Includes: <br> $\bullet$ <br> $\$ 189,700$ |
| Contingency | $\$ 16,739$ |
| Soft costs | $\$ 33,492$ |
| Total project cost | $\$ 239,931$ |
| Project budget responsible bid amount) <br> variance (over) under | $\$ 70,069$ |

Permits for the light replacement project are minimal and the responsibility of the general contractor. The construction schedule will be determined once the light fixtures are ordered with an anticipated start between the months of March and April 2020. The replacement will take approximately three weeks with minimal interruption to aquatic activities.

## Proposal Request

Staff is seeking board of directors' approval of the lowest responsible base bid of \$187,700 from EC Electric for the construction of the HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement, and authorization for the general manager or designee to execute the contract.

## Benefits of Proposal

The LED light replacement project will improve the light quality and safety of aquatic activities. The lighting system features daylight harvesting to offset energy use based on natural light, and has a separate circuit dedicated to swim events.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Maintenance Impact

There is no impact to maintenance cost.

## Action Requested

Board of directors' approval of the following items:

1. Approval to award the contract to the lowest responsible bid from EC Electric for the amount of $\$ 187,000$; and
2. Authorization for the general manager or designee to execute the contract.

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District PROJECT AWARD RECOMMENDATION REPORT

| Project: | HMT Aquatic Center Light Replacement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractor: | EC Electric |  |  |
| Contractor worked for THPRD previously: Yes |  |  |  |
| Contractor references checked: Yes |  |  |  |
| Contractor registered with appropriate boards: Yes |  |  |  |
| SCOPE OF WORK |  |  |  |
| Location: | HMT Recreation Complex, Aquatic Center |  |  |
| Description: | Replacement of existing lighting system. |  |  |
| FUNDING |  |  |  |
| Funds Budge | and Estimated Costs | Amount: | Page: |
| Current Total Project Budget: <br> Capital Projects <br> Total Project Funding |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 310,000 \\ & \$ 310,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Estimated Project Cost: (expenditures, lowest contractor bid and district project purchases) |  | \$239,931 |  |
| Project Budget Variance: (over) under |  | \$70,069 |  |

BID PROPOSALS RECEIVED

| Low to High Bid | Contractor |  | Base Bid Amt. | Completed Bid forms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | EC Electric |  | \$187,700 | Yes |
| 2 | Bull Run Electric |  | \$212,000 | Yes |
| 3 | Portland Electric Construction, Inc. |  | \$248,450 | Yes |
| PROJECTED PROJECT SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| Invitation to Bidders - DJC |  | December 4, 2019 |  |  |
| Mandatory Pre-Bid Conference |  | December 17, 2019 at 10:00 am |  |  |
| Sealed Bids Due and Bid Closing Time |  | January 9, 2020 at 2:00 pm |  |  |
| Bid Opening |  | 2:05 pm |  |  |
| Final Bid Review / Memo to Board |  | January 24, 2020 |  |  |
| THPRD Board Meeting |  | February 11, 2020 |  |  |
| Notice of Intent to Award |  | February 12, 2020 |  |  |
| Notice to Proceed (approx.) |  |  | February 20, 2020 |  |


| Preconstruction Site Meeting (approx.) | March to April, 2020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Mobilization (approx.) | March to April, 2020 |
| Desired Project Duration- Notice to Proceed <br> to Substantial Completion. | 14 days |

DATE: January 31, 2020
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for February 11, 2020

## Upcoming Grand Opening \& Dedication Events

Holly Thompson, Communications Director, and Keith Watson, Community Programs Manager, will provide the board an update on the revamped grand openings and dedications process. They will discuss the cross-organizational team that has been put together to plan these events and recent successes. They will also preview upcoming dedications planned through the first quarter of FY21 as well as provide a list of dedications on the horizon.

## Fitness Programming Update

The district's fitness specialist, Jennifer Smirl, will attend the February board meeting as a follow up to her January 2019 presentation to the board regarding the district's fitness programming. The role of the fitness specialist is to coordinate and oversee the fitness classes at THPRD's four recreation centers, provide oversight of instructors who often move between centers, and to ensure consistency and standardization of district fitness equipment and program offerings.

MEMO

DATE: January 24, 2020
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Amendments to District Compiled Policies Chapter 8 - Naming of District Property

## Introduction

The district is approaching the naming of several new parks and trails over the course of 2020, including Crowell Woods Area Park, Highlands Area Park, Bonnie Meadow Area Park, the NW Quadrant Youth Athletic Field, and North Bethany area trail sections. Staff proposes making amendments to DCP Chapter 8.05, Naming of District Property, and seeks board input on these changes. No formal action is being requested. Based on board review and direction, staff will return at the March Regular Board meeting to request approval of the proposed amendments.

## Background

In March 2011, the board of directors approved a policy for the Naming of District Property. The district also established procedures to implement that policy. In February 2015, these documents were amended to reflect recommendations contained within THPRD's 2013 Strategic Plan and Service and Financial Sustainability Plan. Staff has updated these policies and procedures with the intent to improve upon the naming process. This effort is in response to the district's interest in equity, inclusion, access, maximizing patron satisfaction, minimizing costs, and providing staff with clear procedural guidance in the naming process.

The proposed DCP amendments are redlined on Attachment A. These amendments and their justification include the following:

- 8.05 (B)(1): The addition of a new naming category termed Culturally Symbolic Terms to accompany the already existing categories of Historic Events, People, and Places. This new category provides opportunities to name district sites after culturally and contextually significant words or phrases that may not necessarily be tied to a specific event, person, or geographical place.
- 8.05 (C): The removal of the word "naming" as show in order to prevent a person whose naming suggestion was denied during the initial naming process from nullifying the community's input and restarting the entire community engagement process. Possible public objections regarding the initial naming process have been addressed through the addition of a public waiting period after the board announces a new name.

Also attached are the draft procedures for implementing the changes proposed for DCP 8. These procedures are still in development and may need additional refinement prior to implementation. They are provided for board information only and do not require board approval.

## Proposal Request

Board discussion and review is being requested of the proposed amendments. Based on board discussion, district staff will return at the March Regular Board meeting with a resolution amending DCP Chapter 8.05 to reflect the final proposed edits.

## Benefits of Proposal

The proposed changes allow for more inclusive and culturally significant names (especially in regard to under-represented groups) and ensures a public waiting period after naming decisions are announced.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

No formal action is being requested at this time. Staff is seeking input from the board on the proposed amendments to DCP Chapter 8.05 (Naming of District Property). Staff will incorporate any input from the board into a resolution for the board's consideration of approval at the March Regular Board meeting.

## CHAPTER 8 - DISTRICT PROPERTY

### 8.01 Easements on District Property

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for requesting and securing easements on District owned property to staff, citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community.
(B) Policy. It is District policy to preserve the integrity, investment, public access and function of District property. In the spirit of cooperation with citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community, it may sometimes be acceptable to allow easements on District property for other than park and recreation purposes if the benefits to the District and community outweigh the negative impacts.
(C) Implementation Strategy.
(1) Upon request, the District will consider proposals for easements on District properties if it can be demonstrated that the negative impact of the intended function of such easements will not outweigh the community and District benefits or the District will be adequately compensated for such an impact. Examples of such easements include conservation, storm water / sanitary sewer / domestic water, construction access, emergency access, utility, road right-of-way or similar easements.
(2) Staff will evaluate each easement proposal case-by-case based on the District Operational Procedures adopted by the Manager. Depending on the type of easement request, decisions regarding an easement proposal will be made either by the Board or Manager.

### 8.02 Encroachments on District Property

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for resolving encroachments on District owned property to staff, citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community.
(B) Policy. It is District policy to preserve the integrity, investment, public access and function of District property. Any encroachment on or modification to District property is considered trespassing when it comes to the attention of the District, regardless of when the property was initially encroached upon or by whom. Persons deemed responsible by the District will be notified in writing and directed to remove all encroachments. Examples of encroachments on District property include landscape installation, placement of physical structures, fence / wall installation and pathway / driveway construction.

## District Compiled Policies

## (C) Implementation Strategy.

(1) If an encroachment is not resolved within 90 days of the notice or other period specified by the District in the trespass notice, the District may take action to restore the property to its original condition. Persons deemed responsible by the District for the encroachments must pay the cost of such action.
(2) Staff will evaluate each contested encroachment case-by-case based on the District Operational Procedures adopted by the Manager. If the violator wishes to appeal a decision of the Manager, persons responsible for the encroachment may request a hearing before the Board.

### 8.03 Telecommunications Facilities on District Property

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for requesting and securing the installation and operation of telecommunication facilities on District owned property to staff, citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community.
(B) Policy. It is District policy to preserve the integrity, investment, public access and function of District property. In the spirit of cooperation with citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community, it may sometimes be acceptable to allow the installation and operation of telecommunications facilities on District property for other than park and recreation purposes if the benefits to the District and community outweigh the negative impacts. Consideration may be given to the impact of telecommunication facilities on properties adjacent to District property.
(C) Implementation Strategy. Upon request, staff will evaluate each lease proposal case-by-case based on the District Operational Procedures adopted by the Manager. The Operational Procedures will provide that impacts to park users are considered and that a public meeting is held prior to final review of each application. The Manager will deny a telecommunications lease proposal or recommend it to the Board for approval. The Board may approve a lease and may hold further public hearings at its discretion. All decisions of the Board are final.

### 8.04 Wetland and Buffer Mitigation on District Property

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for requesting and securing the use of District owned property for wetland and buffer mitigation purposes to staff, citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community.
(B) Policy. It is District policy to preserve the integrity, investment, public access and function of District property. In the spirit of cooperation with citizens, adjacent property owners, developers and the business community, it may sometimes be acceptable to allow the use of District property for mitigation purposes for other

## District Compiled Policies

than park and recreation purposes if the benefits to the District and community outweigh the adverse impacts. Due to the delicate nature of new mitigation sites and the significant staff work to respond to a proposal, the District will charge fees to offset staff time spent on these projects.

## (C) Implementation Strategy.

(1) Upon request, staff will evaluate each mitigation proposal case-by-case based on the District Operational Procedures adopted by the Manager. Applicants may appeal a decision of the Manager that denies, sets conditions of approval or assesses fees to the Board by filing a written request.
(2)

The Manager will periodically update the District Operational Procedures to reflect inflationary increases in staffing, materials and land costs. Updates will take into account local, regional and statewide planning and regulatory initiatives.

### 8.05 Naming of District Property

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for the naming of new District properties, the renaming of existing District properties, and the naming of features in otherwise named District properties.
(B) Policy. It is District policy to name or rename District properties and features in otherwise named District properties so as to best serve the interests of the District and its residents and ensure a worthy and enduring legacy for the District's park and recreation system. To this end, the District supports consideration of naming and renaming requests within the following broad categories.
(1) Historic Events, People, and Places and Symbolic Terms of local cultural significance: The history of a major event, place or person may play an important role in the naming or renaming of a District property as communities often wish to preserve and honor the history of the District and the communities it serves, historical figures, its Native American heritage, local landmarks and prominent geographical locations, and natural and geological features.
(2) Outstanding Individuals: The District has benefited, through its evolution, from the contributions made by many outstanding individuals. This category is designed to acknowledge the sustained contribution that has been made by such individuals to the District and the development and management of the District's park and recreation system.
(3) Donors: Over the years, the District has benefited from the financial contributions made by residents, businesses, and foundations. On

## DISTRICT COMPILED POLICIES

occasion, the significance of such donations may warrant consideration being given to requests from either the donor or another party to acknowledge such a gift by naming District property for the donor, the donor's surname, a family member or friend of the donor, a donating business, or a person associated with a donating business.
(C) Implementation Strategy. The Board of Directors must approve the naming/renaming of District properties. Upon receiving a naming/renaming request, the Manager will evaluate it to determine whether the proposal is consistent with Board policy. The Manager may deny a proposal not deemed by the manager to be consistent with existing Board policy. Any person(s) whose proposal to name/rename a District property is denied may seek review of the decision by filing a written request with the Board of Directors within ten (10) days of the Manager's denial. All proposals deemed by the Manager as being consistent with Board policy will be referred to the Board for approval. All decisions of the Board are final after public protest/waiting periods have been observed.

### 8.06 Private Sponsorships

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for requesting and securing sponsorships for District events, facilities and services to staff, citizens, and the business community.
(B) Policy.
(1) It is District policy to actively seek sponsorships for its events, programs, projects and sites from businesses, corporations and other entities. The purpose of such sponsorships is to raise additional revenue in a proprietary manner in order to increase the District's ability to deliver services to the community and/or provide enhanced levels of service beyond the core levels funded from the District's general fund and the fees charged to users. Sponsorships are intended to be used as a method to strengthen community partnerships and are not intended to be a public forum or any other tool for businesses, corporations or other entities to engage in free speech activities.
(2) In appreciation of such financial and/or in kind support, it is District policy to provide sponsors with suitable and appropriate recognition such as space on a temporary basis for their brands, logos, and names to appear at THPRD owned and managed assets and in our materials. However, such recognition shall adhere to the aesthetic values and purpose of the District's parks, facilities, and services. In addition, such recognition shall not detract from the visitor's experience or expectation, nor shall it impair the visual qualities of the site or be perceived as creating a proprietary interest.

## DISTRICT COMPILED POLICIES

(C) Implementation Strategy. Staff will evaluate each sponsorship request case-bycase based on the District Operational Procedures adopted by the Manager. All sponsorships which enable the District to further its mission will be encouraged. The Manager may approve sponsorships of up to $\$ 150,000$. Sponsorships over $\$ 150,000$ will be presented to the Board of Directors for their consideration.

### 8.07 Memorials and Tributes on District Property

(A) Purpose. To provide direction for requesting and securing memorial and tribute placement at District owned and managed properties.
(B) Policy.
(1) It is District practice to accommodate memorial and tribute requests on a case by case basis for placement at District owned and managed properties. This policy establishes a framework for use in decision making for memorial and tribute requests. Requests will have the best success when they are sensitive to:

- The park user experience;
- The design standards and master plans that guide District improvements;
- The long-term cost of maintenance and the allocation of maintenance resources;
- The District identified improvement needs within parks;
- The average life span of the memorial or tribute (THPRD commitments to memorial or tribute shall survive only until such time that replacement is necessary); and
- The District's commitment to cost recovery.
(2) The policy formalizes key procedures, including:
- Application procedure;
- Review and approval procedure; and
- Catalogue of memorial and tribute amenities - District preferred options.
(C) Implementation Strategy. Staff will evaluate each memorial and tribute request case-by-case based on the District Operational Procedures adopted by the Manager.

Date: February 11, 2020
Adopted by: Doug Menke
General Manager
Signature: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$

Supersedes Policy: 5.01.01-3/7/15
Implemented by: Doug Menke
General Manager
Signature:
Date: $\qquad$

## PURPOSE

To create procedures in order to carryout District Compiled Policies Chapter 8 as adopted by the board of directors.

## POLICY

Operating procedures will be enacted to ensure compliance with board of directors' policies.
All naming and renaming of district properties and features in otherwise named district properties should adhere to the definitions of classifications of district properties established in the Comprehensive Plan.

## PROCEDURE

Guidelines for Establishing Interim Names
A. As land is acquired, the district will assign each new parcel a name on a temporary basis for the sake of internal and external communications.
B. In general:

- Parcels acquired adjoining to another that are intended to expand the site will be named for the original site.
- New, free standing parcels acquired with the intention of future development as a park will be temporarily named based on the street infrastructure (e.g. NW 52 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Ave \& Brentwood Dr.).
- Natural Area acquisition will be temporarily named for prominent or significant geographic features, if present, and approved by management.


## General Guidelines for Naming or Renaming District Properties

A. All naming and renaming of district properties and features in otherwise named district properties should adhere to the definitions of classifications of district properties established in the Comprehensive Plan.
B. In considering proposals for the naming or renaming of a district property and features in otherwise named district properties, the following general principles should be taken inte account either collectively or individually:

- Engender a strong positive image.
- Be appropriate having regard to the district property's location and/or history.
- Have historical, cultural or social significance for future generations.
- Commemorate places, people or events that are of continued importance to the district, region, state, and/or nation.
- Have symbolic value that transcends its ordinary meaning or use and enhances the character and identity of the district property.
- Have broad public support.
C. If a renaming is proposed, existing names that have become widely accepted by the community should not be abandoned unless there are compelling reasons for doing so. Historical or commonly used place names should be preserved wherever possible.


## Guidelines for Naming or Renaming District Properties for Historic Events, People, and Places <br> Required Considerations for Naming/Renaming District Properties <br> A. Considerations:

- Cultural or social significance for future generations.
- Broad public support.
- Culturally Symbolic Terms must be of cultural and contextual significance
- Local Names: When a property is associated with or located near history, events, people, and/or places with cultural or social, then consideration should be given to naming/renaming to that associated history, events, people, and/or places.
- Geographic Names: Considerable weight should be given to the names that reflect the geographic location that gives identity to the community.
- People Names:
- In considering the naming/renaming of a district property and features in otherwise named district properties after a person, Priority should be given to those who made a sustained and lasting contribution to:
- Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District
- City of Beaverton
- Washington County
- The State of Oregon; or
- The Nation
- Priority naming/renaming should be given to those who have provided 10 or more years of volunteer service to the community.
- Naming/renaming properties or features after an outstanding individual is encouraged if that person's significance and good reputation have been accepted in the district's history and when such individual has been deceased for at least three years. The deceased clause can be waived at the board's directive.
- Naming/renaming properties after people who may have lost their lives due to war or a tragic event may not be considered until after the impact of the event has lessened within the community.
- The district reserves the right to rename any district property if the person for whom it is named turns out to be disreputable or subsequently acts in a disreputable way.


## - Donor Names:

- From time to time, a significant donation may be made to the district that will add considerable value to the district's park and recreation system. On such occasions, recognition of this donation by naming a district property or features in otherwise named district properties in honor of or at the request of the donor can be considered.
- As a guideline, the threshold for considering the naming or renaming of a
district property or features in otherwise named district properties should include one or more of the following:
- Land for the majority of the park was deeded to the district.
- Contribution of a minimum of $60 \%$ of the capital construction costs associated with developing the district property.
- Provision of a minimum 20-year endowment for the continued maintenance and/or programming of the district property.
- Donors seeking naming rights for major donations with respect to an individual should be encouraged to follow the guidelines that apply to naming a district property for historic events, people, and places, as noted above. Exceptions to this will be considered on their own merits. The district reserves the right to rename any district property if the person or entity for whom it is named turns out to be disreputable or subsequently acts in a disreputable way.
- When naming properties, the district may identify and name properties as linear parks/trails, greenways, natural areas, plazas, special use parks, or other terms outlined in the Standards and Expectations of the 2019 Parks Functional Plan section 2.1.3.
- All signs that indicate the name of a district property should comply with the district's Signage Master Plan. Specialized signage should not be permitted.
B. Restrictions:
- To avoid duplication, confusing similarity, or inappropriateness, in considering name suggestions the staff will review existing park and facility names in the park system.
- Names that are currently in use will not be considered.
- Names that are discriminatory or derogatory will not be considered.
- To minimize confusion, parks should not be subdivided for the purpose of naming unless there are readily identifiable physical divisions such as roads or waterways. However, naming of specific major facilities within district sites can be permitted. Under these circumstances, such names should be different from the site name to avoid user confusion. The district may name significant portions of an otherwise named park, such as a fountain, playfield, skate area, or playground.
- Naming may not conflict with public grant funding policies established by state and/or federal grant funding programs.


## Process Guidelines for Naming New Parks:

A. Use contemporary research methods and expertise to develop prospective names of historically, culturally, and geographically relevant names significant to the areas within the district's boundaries. Each name on the list should include contextual background information and justification for each name.
B. Present prospective names to the appropriate Advisory Committees for initial review and approval.
C. Staff and management initiates individual park/trail naming process by reviewing the prospective names and selecting a name or names relevant to the property location to propose.
D. Provide public notice of the proposed name or names and solicit public input on the proposed name or names. "Public" may include, but is not limited to neighbors within 500-1,000 feet of the park, project partners, local non-profits and agencies, and/or local

HOAs, NACs, CPOs. The extent of outreach should generally reflect the magnitude and scope of the park/trail project.
E. Staff reviews public's preferences and input. If a newly proposed name or names emerge in this process, staff and management may choose to evaluate the name or names to validate their rationale and ensure they adhere to Naming Policy and guidelines, particularly when an individual's name is proposed.
F. Staff organizes the public input and name preferences for each park or trail site into a summary report.
G. The appropriate Advisory Committee convenes within 1-2 months of receiving the report, reviews the report, selects a name, and develops a written recommendation for the management team and board of directors. During this process, the Advisory Committee may suggest modifying the preferred name to better align with the public input. ${ }^{4}$
H. The selected name and any additional recommendations will be presented by staff to the management team.
I. Upon management approval, the public will be notified of the selected name and provided an approximate 30-day waiting period.
J. Staff will present the proposed name to the board of directors for approval, pending the public waiting period.
K. If the name is not approved, then the Advisory Committee may propose a new name based on their discussions and the public input. The new name proposal will be presented to the management team and board of directors for approval. As stated in the District Compiled Policies Chapter 8.05, all decisions of the board are final, pending any public waiting period.
L. The board of directors will make the final decision regarding the naming/renaming request via resolution.

## Process Guidelines for Renaming Existing Parks:

A. Renaming Request Applications require the following:

- The proposed name.
- Written justification for the proposed name addressing the board of directors' policy and guidelines for property naming.
- Written justification of changing the established name.
- Identify the interested/impacted stakeholders.
- A depiction/map showing the location and boundaries of the district property. If proposing to name a facility within a district property, include a depiction/map showing the location of the facility.
B. Acknowledgement of receipt of applications is conveyed to applicant either electronically or by letter or phone within one week of receipt of application.
C. Staff reviews the application for completeness, and evaluates the application to ensure that supporting information is valid, rationale is compelling, and the proposed name adheres to Naming Policy and guidelines, particularly when an individual's name is proposed.
D. Existing names that have become widely accepted by the community should not be abandoned unless there are compelling reasons for doing so. Historical or commonly used place names should be preserved wherever possible.
E. The appropriate Advisory Committee reviews the proposal and develops a written recommendation for the management team and board of directors. If the proposal is not
acceptable to the management team and/or board of directors, the applicant will be notified of final decision via email and/or mailed letter.


## Managing Requests for Naming/Renaming of Parks and/or Facilities

$E$. The board of directors must approve the naming/renaming of district properties and features in otherwise named district properties.
$F$. All requests for the naming or renaming of a district property or features in otherwise named district properties shall be made in writing to the general manager of the district. The general manager, or designee, may also initiate a request to the beard of directors for the naming or renaming of a district property and features in otherwise named district properties.
G. Requests should contain the following minimum information:

- The proposed name.
- A written justification for the proposed name addressing the board of directors' policy and District Operational Procedures for the naming of district property.
- A depiction/map showing the location and boundaries of the district property. If proposing to name a facility within a district property, include a depiction/map showing the location of the facility.
- If proposing to rename a district property, include justification for changing an established name.
H. Upon receipt of a naming/renaming request by the district, the general manager will render an initial decision regarding whether the request is consistent with board policy. Any person whose request to name/rename a district property is denied by the general manager may appeal to the board of directors.

1. Naming/renaming requests will adhere to a Level II public notice, consistent with the district's Community Outreach Procedure, which shall be provided in advance of consideration of the proposed naming/renaming by the board of directors.

## Guidelines for Temporary Park \& Trail Name Signage

A. During periods when a park is opened, but a name has not yet been selected and announced, a temporary sign should be established at the park or trail entrance after the property is open to the public.
B. Temporary signage should notify the public to the new park or trail, and may convey that the naming is in progress with information on how the public can provide input. Signage may be reusable for other new park or trail sites.

Guidelines for Publishing Park \& Trail Names
A. When properties are named with full first and last names of individuals, the district may choose to only publish part of the name on websites, signs, or other media.
B. Interpretive Signage: The district may choose to develop and establish interpretive signage that reflects the intent, history, or significance of the name.

Guidelines for Naming Small Park Amenities
The dedication of small park amenities with an identifiable lifespan and not intended to be permanent such as fixed park benches shall be addressed under a separate parks policy- (see District Compiled Policies Chapter 8.09 \& 8.07).
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Dashboard Highlights

## January Deluxe 12

Fitness Pass Sale 1,122
Increase in passes sold over last
year: 12.4\% (Recreation)

":227

## Event Volunteers

(Community Programs)

1,022


Event Volunteer Hours
(Community Programs)

## thprd.org

@THPRD (f) © -

## Spring Registration begins March 7



- Spring Registration: Designed spring registration marketing materials. Prepared district-wide postcard mailer. Prepped, printed, and distributed marketing materials to all THPRD facilities. Prepared digital media advertising. Booked advertising and prepared materials for multiple media outlets. Coordinated Beaverton School District flier approval process and distributed posters to schools. (Communications)
Valentine Dinner Dance • February 7 at 6-8 pm • Cedar Hills Recreation Center
Valentine's Day Dance • February 13 at 1:30-3:30 pm • Elsie Stuhr Center
Parents Night Out • February 14 at 6-9 pm • Beaverton Swim Center
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## Maintenance Projects

## - Jackie Husen Dog Run Volunteer Project: In

 January, 16 volunteers spread 20 yards of recycled chips inside the dog run. The project turned out to be very successful, their efforts turned a muddy area into a usable area that the dogs can enjoy during the winter season. (Maintenance \& Community Programs)- Fir Grove Cleanup on Martin Luther King Jr. Day: THPRD hosted a cleanup to remove invasive ivy. Sixtyfive volunteers worked on the 3 -hour project, totaling 195 volunteer hours. (Community Programs)
- Community Garden Volunteer Cleanups: There are five volunteer community garden clean-up projects scheduled during the month of February. Services include mulching pathways, pulling weeds and vegetation, winterizing empty plots and general site cleanup. (Maintenance \& Community Programs)


## - Commonwealth Lake Erosion Control Project:

The Park North Maintenance staff will begin phase 4 of the project in February. Large boulders will be placed along the southern bank of the lake, in areas where the lake continues to encroach closer to an asphalt pathway. The goal is to eliminate future erosion, keep the pathway open and safe for our users. (Maintenance)

## Park, Trail \& Facility Improvements

- Crowell Woods: On December 30th, the new 7.5-acre neighborhood park at Crowell Woods was opened to the public. The naming process is moving forward and a public grand opening for the park is being planned for Saturday, June 6. (Design \& Development)
- Fanno Creek Trail Segment \#5: Staff provided updates to the THPRD Board of Directors and Nature \& Trails Advisory Committee in January on the trail segment (Scholls Ferry Rd to 92nd Ave). THPRD input was submitted to Beaverton who is leading the design process. The city will hold a public Open House in April to gather more feedback. (Design \& Development)
- Conestoga Flooring: Conestoga's wood floors received a face-lift over the winter break. The gym floor was refinished as well as new painted lines for basketball, volleyball and 6 pickleball courts as well as an updated THPRD logo. The dance studio and main fitness studio floors were also refinished. (Recreation)


Jackie Husen Dog Run Volunteers


Fir Grove Clean-Up on Martin Luther King Jr. Day
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## Programs

- Cultural Celebrations by Zumba: Get ready to get moving, get in shape and celebrate rhythms from around the world! For the entire month of February, each of Conestoga's Thursday 6:30-7:30 pm Zumba classes will feature a different guest instructor showcasing Latin, Irish, Arabic and African moves along with traditional music. (Recreation)
- Learn to Swim Program Changes: The launch of the revised program has been very successful. Participants are adjusting to the new levels. We are hopeful that this will aid the participants through the progressions without getting stuck at a level. (Aquatics)
- Introduction to Pickleball: The Babette Horenstein Tennis Center is now offering an Adult Introduction to Pickleball class. The program began in January. This is a complement


Cultural Celebrations Zumba
to the Tuesday/Thursday drop-in program. (Recreation)

- THRIVE Students in Enrichment: There are 33 students taking additional enrichment classes at Garden Home during winter term. Students are taking everything from cooking, tae kwon do, art, gymnastics and dance. (Recreation)
- Aquatics Assemble Movies: Join us at Conestoga on Friday, February 14, for a showing of Marvel's Black Panther. Drop-in open swim rates apply. (Recreation)
- Upcoming Aquatic Center Events: The Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center is hosting the Metro League High School Swimming District meet on February 14 \& 15 and the State High School Swimming Championships on February 21 \& 22. (Aquatics)


Introduction to Pickleball


Blazers Coaching Clinic


2020 Census
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## (continued) Community Partnerships

- Grant Funding \& Tourism: Staff met with leadership of the Washington County Visitors Association to discuss grant funding opportunities and tourism promotion. (Planning and Community Partnerships)
- Public Safety Partnerships: Community Partnership meetings included Tualatin Valley Water District (how THPRD handles campers), BSD (partnership breakfast/meeting), Washington County Emergency Managers on Spring 2020 disaster exercise. (Park Patrol)
- American Red Cross Donation: THPRD received 60 infant manikins that have enabled us to create additional classroom sets for CPR/AED classes. This also helped save funds from the Friends of Aquatics. This is the second time the American Red Cross has provided manikins to THPRD. (Aquatics)
- Life Jacket Grants: THPRD received the Association for Aquatic Professionals Life Jacket and Swim Lesson Grant, providing 30 life jackets for our community water safety programs through Harman Swim Center. Additionally, the Oregon State Marine Board will be providing 70 life jackets for the program. (Aquatics)
- Partners for Sustainable Washington County (PSWCC): Staff participated in a review session of a new tool, the Organizational Assessment Tool for Sustainability (OATS). The tool is designed for county organizations to set sustainability goals and to track progress. The review focused on providing feedback on the Social Sustainability section of OATS using a DEIA lens. (Communications)
- Financial Aid Meeting with BSD Staff: Staff meet with Beaverton Nutrition Services staff to discuss methods for strengthening our working partnership. (Communications)


## Tualatin Hills Park Foundation

- Access for All Fundraising Campaign: Preparations are underway for the February THPF board meeting. The Board of Trustees will review a new fundraising campaign. Prospective funders are being identified and cultivation is underway. (Tualatin Hills Park Foundation)


Camp Rivendale Playground
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## Public Engagement

- District-wide Inclusive Calendar: In January, we launched a new tool for all staff to use when scheduling public meetings, events and activities. The inclusive calendar is a shared Outlook calendar that displays local, regional, national and international holidays, observances, celebrations, and important dates from different religions, countries, cultures, and ideologies. The goal is to make sure staff are informed about these observances before scheduling events. (Communications)
- Visioning Project Update: Hosted two update sessions for THPRD staff who participated in the Action Team phase. Visioning Task Force members met on January 16 for their seventh monthly meeting. Next step in the project is to refine information and language for the community survey phase. (Communications)
- Welcoming Beaverton Partners Gathering: Staff attended the quarterly gathering hosted by the City of Beaverton.
(Communications)
- Community Based Organizations Meetings: Staff met with the Oregon Chinese Coalition to initiate conversations for future ventures and collaboration. Staff also met with the Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization to offer financial aid to students from the Arabic School held in Beaverton Village Church, as well as to connect patrons with Women-Only Swim classes and open swim for Spring and receive feedback for Summer opportunities. (Communications)


Fiesta en el Parque 2018

## Staff Development \& Support

- Garden Home Staff Retreat: On December 11, staff held a full day retreat. The day included: personality testing, team building, DEIA presentation, Trauma Informed Care presentation and district updates. (Recreation)
- Class Management Training: Eight staff members from Conestoga's preschool and afterschool programs participated in a two-hour training from Northwest Regional Education Service District on classroom management. (Recreation)
- Staff Wellness Check-Ins: HR facilitated on-site wellness checks for all district staff with a Kaiser medical assistant at two locations in January. Both events received positive feedback from staff on the personalized health screening recommendations. (Human Resources)
- Staff Trainings: HR facilitated two financial wellness Lunch \& Learn opportunities for staff; a workshop on Communicating Across Generations, which was one of the top training requests from staff in the 2019 DEIA survey; and a New Employee Orientation session presented by the district's management team. (Human Resources)


Staff Wellness Check-Ins at HMT
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## Completed Community Events

- Nature Kids Preschool Open

House: More than 60 people attended the Preschool Open House. The half-day program engages 4-6 year olds two to three days a week for the entire school year. (Nature \& Trails)

## - Winter Warm-Up Soup

Tasting: The event was held on Friday, January 31. Conestoga and local partners, Whole Foods and Pacific Foods, sampled soup flavors from around the world.
(Recreation)


## February

- 2/1: Southminster Presbyterian Church Community Garden Spruce Up • 9 to 11:30 am
- 2/6: Cultural Celebrations by Zumba • Conestoga • 6:30 to 7:30 pm
- 2/6: Harman Swim Center Community Garden Spruce Up • 9 to 11:30 am
- 2/7: Family Trivia Night • Conestoga • 6:30 to 8:30 pm
- 2/7: Valentine Dinner Dance • Cedar Hills Rec Center • 6 to 8 pm
- 2/8: Willow Creek Greenway Natural Area Restoration • 9 am to 12 pm
- 2/8: Aquatic Assemble Dive-In Movie • Aloha Swim Center • 5:15 pm
- 2/8: Sweetheart Swim • Harman Swim Center • 1 to 2:30 pm
- 2/11: Board of Directors Meeting • HMT $\cdot 7$ pm
- 2/12: Parks \& Facilities Advisory Committee Meeting • HMT Dryland $\cdot 6 \mathrm{pm}$
- 2/13: Valentine's Day Dance • Stuhr Center • 1:30 to 3:30 pm
- 2/13: Cultural Celebrations by Zumba • Conestoga • 6:30 to 7:30 pm
- 2/14: Parents Night Out • Beaverton Swim Center • 6 to 9 pm
- 2/15: Fir Grove Park Natural Areas Restoration • 9 am to 12 pm
- 2/15: Schiffler Park Community Garden Spruce Up • 9 to 11:30 am
- 2/18: Programs \& Events Advisory Committee Meeting • Stuhr Center • 6:30 pm
- 2/19: Census 101 Training in Spanish • Fanno Creek Service Center • 6:30 to 8 pm
- 2/19: Nature \& Trails Advisory Committee Meeting • Fanno Creek Service Center • 6:30 pm
- 2/20: Cultural Celebrations by Zumba • Conestoga • 6:30 to 7:30 pm
- 2/22: AM Kennedy Park Community Garden Spruce Up • 9 to 11:30 am
- 2/22: Ridgewood Park Community Garden Spruce Up • 9 to 11:30 am
- 2/22: Census 101 Training in Spanish • Conestoga 11 am to 1 pm
- 2/25: Budget Committee Meeting • HMT • 7 pm
- 2/25: Census 101 Training in English • Cedar Hills • 6:30 to 8 pm
- 2/27: Cultural Celebrations by Zumba • Conestoga • 6:30 to 7:30 pm


## March

- 3/1: Spring Cultural Art Exhibit • all month • Conestoga
- 3/1: The Crayon Initiative - all month - All Facilities
- 3/2 - 3/6: Conestoga Patron Appreciation Week
-3/7: Spring Registration Begins • In-District • 8 am
- 3/7: Centro de Bienvenida • HMT Admin • 7:30 am to 12 pm
- 3/7: Community Garden Work Party • Bethany Lake Park • 9 to 11:30 am
- 3/7: Aquatic Assemble Dive-in Movie • Aloha Swim Center • 5:15 pm
- 3/10: Spring Registration • Out-of-District • 8 am
- 3/10: Board of Directors Meeting • HMT • 7 pm
- 3/12: Community Garden Work Party • Cedar Hills Park • 9 to 11:30 am
- 3/12: St. Patrick's Celebration • Stuhr Center • 1:30 to 3:30 pm
- 3/14: Willow Creek Greenway Restoration • 9 am to 12 pm
- 3/14: Family Fun Day: Swimmin' in the Green • Beaverton Swim Center $\cdot 2$ to 5 pm
- 3/18: Nature \& Trails Advisory Committee Meeting • Fanno Creek Service Center • 6:30 pm
- 3/21: Community Garden Work Party • John Marty Park • 9 to 11:30 am
- 3/21: Native Plant Demonstration \& Garden Work Party • Tualatin Hills Nature Center • 9 am to 12 pm
- 3/21: Natural Area Restoration • Fir Grove Park • 9 am to 12 pm
- 3/28: Community Garden Work Party • Jackie Husen Park • 9 to 11:30 am
- 3/28: Community Garden Work Party • Mountain View Champions Park • 9 to 11:30 am


# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

## Monthly Capital Project Report

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Prior Year Budget } \\ \text { Amount } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended } \\ \text { Year-to-Date } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ERP Software | 436,800 | 803,958 | - | 803,958 | 803,958 | - | 16,962 | 1,008,983 | Amard | 1,025,945 | 1,025,945 | $(221,987)$ | $(221,987)$ |
| Cedar Hills Park - Additional Funding for Bond Project | 3,900,000 | 3,388,335 |  | 3,900,000 | 3,388,335 | 3,811,900 | 88,100 | 0 | Award | 3,900,000 | 88,100 |  | 3,300,235 |
| Boiler | 100,000 | 100,000 | 88,000 | 188,000 | 188,000 | 1,518 |  | 186,482 | Budget | 188,000 | 186,482 |  | 1,518 |
| Bridges \& Boardwalks (3 sites) | 760,207 | 760,207 | 372,793 | 1,133,000 | 1,133,000 | 85,157 | 433,842 | 614,001 | Budget | 1,133,000 | 1,047,843 |  | 85,157 |
| Drone | 8,645 | 8,645 |  | 8,645 | 8,645 |  |  | 8,645 | Budget | 8,645 | 8,645 |  |  |
| Pool Tank (CRAC) | 554,380 | 268,083 |  | 554,380 | 268,083 | 119,541 | 6,301 | 261,782 | Budget | 387,624 | 268,083 | 166,756 |  |
| Pool Tank and Deck (Raleigh Park) | 795,000 | 756,754 | 185,000 | 980,000 | 941,754 | 56,301 | 249,163 | 750,426 | Award | 1,055,890 | 999,589 | $(7,890)$ | $(57,835)$ |
| Asphalt Pedestrian Pathways (2 sites) | 293,000 | 139,500 |  | 293,000 | 139,500 | 149,421 | 48,005 | 95,574 | Budget | 293,000 | 143,579 |  | $(4,079)$ |
| Landscaping | 60,000 | 60,000 | 10,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |  |  | 70,000 | Budget | 70,000 | 70,000 |  |  |
| HVAC Improvement | 125,279 | 97,718 | 13,600 | 138,879 | 111,318 | 49,100 | 469 | 89,310 | Budget | 138,879 | 89,779 |  | 21,539 |
| Shower Facility Repair | 7,500 | 7,500 | 1,500 | 9,000 | 9,000 |  |  | 9,000 | Budget | 9,000 | 9,000 |  |  |
| Vehicle Wraps | 14,000 | 13,000 |  | 14,000 | 13,000 | 2,443 |  | 11,557 | Budget | 14,000 | 11,557 |  | 1,443 |
| ADA Improvements | 45,000 | 25,000 |  | 45,000 | 25,000 | 18,591 | 25,000 |  | Complete | 43,591 | 25,000 | 1,409 |  |
| TOTAL CARRYOVER PROJECTS | 7,099,811 | 6,428,700 | 670,893 | 8,137,862 | 7,099,593 | 4,293,972 | 867,842 | 3,105,760 |  | 8,267,574 | 3,973,602 | (129,712) | 3,125,991 |
| ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage Culverts (2 sites) |  |  | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 |  |  | 23,000 | Budget | 23,000 | 23,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | - |  | 23,000 |  | 23,000 | 23,000 | . |  |
| ATHLETIC FACIILTY IMPROVEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panel |  |  | 38,812 | 38,812 | 38,812 |  | 38,812 |  | Complete | 38,812 | 38,812 |  |  |
| Field and Court Reservation Software |  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 | - |  | . | Deferred |  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITY IMPROVEMENT |  |  | 64,812 | 64,812 | 64,812 | - | 38,812 | . |  | 38,812 | 38,812 | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| PARK AND TRALL REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridges and Boardwalks |  |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |  |  | 75,000 | Budget | 75,000 | 75,000 |  |  |
| Erosion Control |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  | 15,000 | Budget | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  |
| Autumn Ridge Park Slide |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  |
| Skate Park Mesh, Rails, and Restroom Enclosure |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  | 20,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Asphalt Pedestrian Pathways (6 sites) }}$ |  |  | 560,000 | 560,000 | 560,000 |  | 4,424 | 555,576 | Budget | 560,000 | 560,000 |  |  |
| Signage total Park And trall replacements |  |  | 10,000 690000 | 10,000 690000 | 10,000 690000 |  | 4.424 | 10,000 685,576 | Budget | 10,000 690000 | 10,000 690000 | - |  |
| total Park and trall replacements |  |  |  | 690,000 |  |  | 4,424 | 685,576 |  | 690,000 | 690,000 | - |  |
| PARK AND TRALIMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greenway Park Concept Plan Pathways |  |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | - | - | 75,000 | Budget | 75,000 | 75,000 | - |  |
| Greenway Park Recreational Trails Program Grant Match |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  | 100,000 | Budget | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| Retaining WallFoege Park Double Gates |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | Budget |  |  | . |  |
| Subtoal Park and Trail Improvements |  |  | 215,000 | 215,000 | 215,000 | - |  | 215,000 |  | 215,000 | 215,000 | - |  |
| Memorial Benches |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - | 2,435 | 5,565 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| Connect Oregon - Waternouse TrailLocal Goverment Grant Program - Cedar rills Park |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | Award | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 340,156 | 340,156 | 340,156 | 231,850 | 108,306 |  | Amard | 340,156 | 108,306 | - | 231,850 |
| Land Water Conservation Fund - Commonweath Lake Park Bridge Replacement |  |  | 60,554 | 60,554 | 60,554 |  |  | 60,554 | Budget | 60,554 | 60,554 | - |  |
| Land Water Conservation Fund - Crowell Woods |  |  | 390,000 | 390,000 | 390,000 |  |  | 390,000 | Budget | 390,000 | 390,000 |  |  |
| Recreational Trails Program - Greenway Park Loop Trail Metro Nature in Neighborhoods Fanno Creek Greeway |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  | 400,000 | Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
| Metro Nature in Neighoorhods - Fanno Creek GreenwayLand Water Consevation Fund - Bonnie Meadow Area Park |  |  | 220,700 661092 | 220,700 661092 | 220,700 661092 | 10,202 |  | 210,498 661,092 | Budget Budget | 220,700 | 210,498 |  | 10,202 |
| Washington County Major Streets Transportation Improvement Program - Waterhouse Trail |  |  | 661,092 300,000 | 661,092 300000 | 661,092 300,000 |  | 300,000 | 661,092 | Budget Award | 661,092 300000 | 661,092 300,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 74,282 | 74,282 | 74,282 |  |  | 74,882 | Budget | 74,282 | 74,282 |  |  |
| Energy Trus of oregon-Sunset HVACWestside Trail, Hwy 26 Bicyle and Ped Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400,000 | Award | 400,000 | 400,000 | $(400,000)$ | $(400,000)$ |
| Subtotal Park and Trail Improvements (Grant Funded) |  |  | 2,854,784 | 2,854,784 | 2,854,784 | 242,052 | 810,741 | 2,201,991 |  | 3,254,784 | 3,012,732 | $(400,000)$ | (157,948) |
| total park and trail improvements |  |  | 3,069,784 | 3,069,784 | 3,069,784 | 242,052 | 810,741 | 2,416,991 |  | 3,469,784 | 3,227,732 | $(400,000)$ | $(157,948)$ |
| challenge grants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Facility Chalenge Grants TOTAL CHALLENGE GRANTS |  |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | . | 6,893 | 68,107 | Budget | 75,000 | 75,000 | - | - |
|  |  |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | - | 6,893 | 68,107 |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | - |  |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

| Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Monthly Capital Project Report | $\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{KEY} \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { Deferred }\end{array}$ |

Month Capital Project Report

Deferre
Complete

```
Estimate based on original budget - not started and/or no basis for change
Sme or all of Project has been eliminated to reduce overall capital costs for ye
```

Project completed - no additional estimated costs to complete.

Through 12/31/19

| Description | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | $\substack{\text { Cumulative Project } \\ \text { Budget }}$ | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
| BUILING REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aluhr Cotr Boiler Chemical Feeder Pot |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | 4,000 | Budget | 4,000 | 4,000 | 34,341 | 34,341 |
| Gable Painting and Caukking (2 sites) |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  | 9,842 |  | Complete | ${ }_{9,842}^{4,000}$ | ${ }_{9,842}^{4,000}$ | 5,158 | 5,158 |
| Ergonomic Equipment/ixtures |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  | 2,057 | 3,943 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Natatorium Lighting |  |  | 310,000 | 310,000 | 310,000 |  | 192 | 309,808 | Budget | 310,000 | 310,000 |  |  |
| Air Structure Curtains |  |  | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 |  | 4,500 | 18,500 | Budget | 23,000 | 23,000 |  |  |
| Sump Pump Pit Covers |  |  | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,200 |  |  | 5,631 | Award | 5,631 | 5,631 | $(1,431)$ | $(1,431)$ |
| Tables, Chairs, Canopies |  |  | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  | 4,000 | Budget | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  |
| Wood Floor Refinish (3 sites) |  |  | ${ }^{137,500}$ | ${ }^{137,500}$ | ${ }^{137,500}$ |  | 29,665 | 107,835 | Budget | ${ }^{137,500}$ | ${ }^{137,500}$ |  |  |
| Emergency Repairs |  |  | 123,700 | 123,700 | 123,700 |  | 33,406 | 90,294 | Budget | 123,700 | 123,700 |  |  |
| Parking Lot Resurface |  |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |  |  | 75,000 | Budget | 75,000 | 75,000 |  |  |
| Water Heater |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  | 6,000 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Pool Filter Sand and Laterals (3 sites) |  |  | 46,000 | 46,000 | 46,000 |  | 13,824 | 24,002 | Award | 37,826 | 37,826 | 8,174 | 8,174 |
| Pump and Motor (4 sites) |  |  | 73,000 | 73,000 | 73,000 |  |  | 73,000 | Budget | 73,000 | 73,000 |  |  |
| Pool Filter Grids |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 5,556 | 448 | Award | 6,004 | 6,004 | 996 | 996 |
| Lane Line Reels |  |  | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  | 4,000 | Budget | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  |
| Panic Hardware (2 sites) |  |  | 17,738 | 17,738 | 17,738 |  |  | 17,738 | Budget | 17,738 | 17,738 |  |  |
| Fanno Farmhouse Windows |  |  | 55,000 | 55,000 | 55,000 |  |  | 55,000 | Budget | 55,000 | 55,000 |  |  |
| Exit Door ${ }^{\text {ced }}$ |  |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |  | 6,130 |  | Complete | 6,130 | 6,130 | 1,370 | 1,370 |
| Cedar Hills Rec Ctr Window Retint |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |  | Complete | 7,000 | 7,000 |  |  |
| BSC Fire Alarm System Total bulding replacements |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,035 |  | Complete | 4,035 | 4,035 | (4,035) | $(4,035)$ |
| TOTAL BUILDING REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 975,638 | 975,638 | 975,638 | - | 131,866 | 799,198 |  | 931,064 | 931,064 | 44,574 | 44,574 |
| BULLING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Office Facility |  |  | 7,750,000 | 7,750,000 | 7,750,000 |  | - |  | Deferred |  |  | 7,750,000 | 7,750,000 |
| LED Light Fixtures at Cedar Hills Recreation Center |  |  | 33,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 |  |  | 33,000 | Budget | 33,000 | 33,000 |  |  |
| Storage Shed |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  | 4,732 |  | Complete | 4,732 | 4,732 | 10,269 | 10,269 |
| Fencing |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  |  | 1,500 | Budget | 1,500 | 1,500 |  |  |
| Office Thermostat Zone System |  |  | 9,900 | 9,900 | 9,900 |  |  | 8,520 | Award | 8,520 | 8,520 | 1,380 | 1,380 |
| Asphalt Pedestrian Pathway |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  | 12,475 | Award | 12,475 | 12,475 | $(2,475)$ | $(2,475)$ |
| Restroom Automatic Locks |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 3,955 |  | Complete | 3,955 | 3,955 | 6,045 | 6,045 |
| PMO Furniture and Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,873 | 34,733 | Unbudgeted | 39,606 | 39,606 | $(39,606)$ | (39,606) |
| TOTAL BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 7,829,400 | 7,829,400 | 7,829,400 | - | 13,559 | 90,228 |  | 103,788 | 103,788 | 7,725,612 | 7,725,612 |
| ADA PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADA Improvement - Bronson Creek South |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 | - |  |
| ADA Improvement - Veterans Memorial Park |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |  | 3,150 | 56,850 | Budget | 60,000 | 60,000 |  |  |
| ADA Improvement - Other FY20 |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | . | 14,302 | 75,698 | Budget | 90,000 | 90,000 | - |  |
| total ada projects |  |  | 190,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 | . | 17,452 | 172,548 |  | 190,000 | 190,000 |  |  |
| total CAPITAL OUTLAY division | 7,099,811 | 6,428,700 | 13,588,527 | 21,055,496 | 20,017,227 | 4,536,024 | 1,891,588 | 7,361,409 |  | 13,789,021 | 9,252,997 | 7,266,475 | 10,764,230 |

## information services department

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS
Workstations/Notebooks
Server
Desktop Printers
LANWAN
AED Defibirillators
Security Cameras
Key Card Readers
Banner Printer
TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPLACEMENTS

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS
Tablet
Computer
total information technology improvements

| 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 | - | 51,072 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37,000 | 37,000 | 37,000 | - | 16,476 |
| 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | . |
| 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  |
| 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |  |  |
| 58,800 | 58,800 | 58,800 | - | - |
| 31,538 | 31,538 | 31,538 | - | - |
| 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | - | 25,500 |


| 15,928 | Budget | 67,000 | 67,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20,524 | Budget | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 9,000 | Budget | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| 58,800 | Budget | 58,800 | 58,800 |
| 31,538 | Bugdet | $3,1,538$ | 31,538 |
| 145,790 | Complete | 25,500 | 25,500 |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 12/31/19

maintenance department
FLEET REPLACEMENTS
Crew-Cab 2-3 Yard
Backhoe
Toro z-mowers (2)
$52^{\prime \prime}$ Mowers (3)

## $\frac{\text { FLEET IMPROVEMENTS }}{15 \text { PPasenger Vans (2) }}$

15-Passenger Vans (2)
grand total general fund
$\begin{array}{llll}7098811 & 6.428700 & 14,079.115 & 21,546084\end{array}$
CAPITAL REPLACEMENT RESERVE
BUILDING REPLACEMENTS
Cardio and Weight Equipmen Roofs and Gutters (3 sites)
Capital Replacement Reserve

|  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 370,000 | 370,000 | 370,000 |
| $4,250,000$ | $4,250,000$ | $4,250,000$ |  |
|  | $-\quad 4,660,000$ | $4,660,000$ | $4,660,000$ |
| . | $4,660,000$ | $4,660,000$ | $4,660,000$ |


| 00 | - | - | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - |  | 370,000 | Budget | 370,000 | 370,000 |
| 0 | - | - | 4,250,000 | Budget | 4,250,000 | 4,250,000 |
| 00 | - | - | 4,660,000 |  | 4,660,000 | 4,660,000 |

grand total capital replacement reserve
$4,660,000$
4,660,000
4.660,000
4.660,000

4,660,000
$4,660,000$

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

```
Estimate based on original budget - not started and/or no basis for change
Estimate based on Contract Award amount or quote price estimates
```

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Prior Year Budget } \\ \text { Amount } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Budget Carryover to Current Year | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { New Funds Budgeted } \\ \text { in Current Year } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Cumulative Project Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current Year } \\ \text { Budget Amount } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended } \\ \text { Year-to-Date } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated Cost to | Basis of Estimate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acq - N. Bethany Comm Pk | 1,965,800 | 1,965,800 | . | 1,965,800 | 1,965,800 | 137 |  | 1,965,800 | Budget | 1,965,937 | 1,965,800 | (137) |  |
| Subtotal Land Acc-N Bethany Comm Pk | 1,965,800 | 1,965,800 | . | 1,965,800 | 1,965,800 | 137 |  | 1,965,800 |  | 1,965,937 | 1,965,800 | (137) |  |
| Land Acq - N . Bethany Nghbd Pk |  |  | - | . | - |  | - |  | Complete | - |  |  |  |
| Subtotal Land Aco-N. Bethany Nghbd Pa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acq - N Bethany Trails Subtolal Land Acq-N Bethany Trails | 946,000 |  | 1,000,000 | 1,946,000 | 1,000,000 | 558,331 | 540,681 | 846.988 | Budget | 1,946,000 | 1,387,669 |  | $(387,669)$ |
|  | 946,000 |  | 1,000,000 | 1,946,000 | 1,000,000 | 558,331 | 540,681 | 846,988 |  | 1,946,000 | 1,387,669 |  | $(387,669)$ |
| Land Acq - Bonny Slope West Neighborhood Park Subtotal Land Acq-Bonny Slope West Neighborhood Park | 1,951,000 | 1,500,000 | . | 1,951,000 | 1,500,000 | 220 | - | 1,500,000 | Budget | 1,500,220 | 1,500,000 | 450,780 |  |
|  | 1,951,000 | 1,500,000 | . | 1,951,000 | 1,500,000 | 220 | - | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,220 | 1,500,000 | 450,780 |  |
| Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Trail Subtotal S Cooper Mtn Trail | 535,000 | 535,000 |  | 535,000 | 535,000 |  |  | 535,000 | Budget | 535,000 | 535,000 |  |  |
|  | 535,000 | 535,000 | - | 535,000 | 535,000 | - | - | 535,000 |  | 535,000 | 535,000 | - |  |
| Land Acq - S Cooper Mtn Nat Ar Sutotal S Cooper Mtn Nat Ar | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 16,886 |  | 483,114 | Budget | 500,000 | 483,114 |  | 16,886 |
| Subtotal S Cooper Mtn Nat Ar | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 16,886 |  | 483,114 |  | 500,000 | 483,114 |  | 16,886 |
| Land Acq - Neighborhood Parks - S Cooper Mtn Subtotal Neighbohood Parks - S Cooper Mtn | 5,505,000 | 5,505,000 |  | 5,505,000 | 5,505,000 | 13,909 | 7,306 | 5,483,785 | Budget | 5,505,000 | 5,491,091 |  | 13,909 |
|  | 5,505,000 | 5,505,000 |  | 5,505,000 | 5,505,000 | 13,909 | 7,306 | 5,483,785 |  | 5,505,000 | 5,491,091 |  | 13,909 |
| Land Acq - Neighborhood Parks - Cooper Mtn Subtotal Neighbohood Parks - Cooper Mtn |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | - | 1,000,000 | Budget | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |  | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |  |
| Land Acq - Neighborhood Parks - Infill Areas | 850,000 | 390,000 | 500,000 | 1,350,000 | 890,000 | 473,046 | 20,875 | 856,079 | Budget | 1,350,000 | 876,954 |  | 13,046 |
| Land Acq - Neignoorhood Parks - Infil Areas Sub toala Neighborhood Parks Infill Areas | 850,000 | 390,000 | 500,000 | 1,350,000 | 890,000 | 473,046 | 20,875 | 856,079 |  | 1,350,000 | 876,954 | - | 13,046 |
| total land acquisition | 12,252,800 | 10,395,800 | 2,500,000 | 14,752,800 | 12,895,800 | 1,062,527 | 568,862 | 12,670,767 |  | 14,302,156 | 13,239,629 | 450,644 | $\stackrel{(343,829)}{ }$ |
| DEVELOPMENTIMPROVEMENT PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonny Slope/BSD Trail Devipmnt | 500,000 | 367,800 | 77,000 | 577,000 | 444,800 | 243,136 | 168,724 | 138,140 | Complete | 550,000 | 300,864 | 27,000 | 137,936 |
| MTIP Grnt Mtch-Wstsse Tr \#18 | 3,117,000 | 83,500 | 342,820 | 3,459,820 | 426,320 | 3,923,655 |  | 342,820 | Award | 4,266,475 | 342,820 | (806,655) | 83,500 |
| Bethany Creek Falls Phases 1,2\&3-Proj Management |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,140 |  | Complete | 1,140 | 1,140 | $(1,140)$ | (1,140) |
| NW Nghbd Pk MP\&Des-Bonnie Mdw | 265,000 | 115,500 |  | 265,000 | 115,500 | 256,161 | 101,489 | 80,508 | Award | 438,157 | 181,997 | (173,157) | $(66,497)$ |
| NW Quad Nghbd Pk DD-Marty Ln | 2,100,000 | 1,851,000 |  | 2,100,000 | 1,851,000 | 372,778 | 1,471,297 | 251,920 | Award | 2,095,995 | 1,723,217 | 4,005 | 127,783 |
| Natural Area Master Plan | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | - | 100,000 | Budget | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| Building Expansion (TBD) | 995,000 | 995,000 |  | 995,000 | 995,000 |  |  | 995,000 | Budget | 995,000 | 995,000 |  |  |
| mTIP/Evin Crik Tri Land Acq/Row | 247,000 | 237,750 |  | 247,000 | 237,750 | 9,377 | 2,045 | 235,578 | Budget | 247,000 | 237,623 |  | 127 |
| N.Bethany Pk \& Tr/Pri Mgmt | 141,000 | 100,000 |  | 141,000 | 100,000 | 118,145 | 48,901 |  | Complete | 167,046 | 48,901 | $(26,046)$ | 51,099 |
| Conn OR Grit Mith-Watrse 4 | 715,000 | 602,900 |  | 715,000 | 602,900 | 303,018 | 315,029 | 96,953 | Award | 715,000 | 411,982 |  | 190,918 |
| sW Quad Nghbd Pk MP\&Des | 275,000 | 267,500 |  | 275,000 | 267,500 | 3,386 |  | 277,249 | Award | 280,635 | 277,249 | (5,635) | (9,749) |
| Cedar Mill Crk Comm Trl Sgmt | 300,000 | 299,500 |  | 300,000 | 299,500 | 1,789 | - | 298,211 | Budget | 300,000 | 298,211 |  | 1,289 |
| S Coooper Mtn Pk \& Tr Dev-PM | 50,000 | 49,500 |  | 50,000 | 49,500 | 3,893 |  | 46,107 | Budget | 50,000 | 46,107 |  | 3,393 |
| NW Quad New Nghbd Pk Dev | 1,925,000 | 1,810,000 | 490,000 | 2,415,000 | 2,300,000 | 25,303 | 12,003 | 2,377,694 | Budget | 2,415,000 | 2,389,697 |  | $(89,697)$ |
| Bethany Crk Trail 2 -Seg 3 DD | 1,100,000 | 970,500 | 745,000 | 1,845,000 | 1,715,500 | 280,360 | 74,251 | 1,490,390 | Budget | 1,845,000 | 1,564,640 | - | 150,860 |
| Cedar Hills Pk-addtl bond fdg | 1,038,000 | 1,038,000 |  | 1,038,000 | 1,038,000 |  | 755,946 | 282,054 | Budget | 1,038,000 | 1,038,000 | - |  |
| NB Park \& Trail Improvements | 315,000 | 229,300 | 23,000 | 338,000 | 252,300 | 134,090 | 28,809 | 175,100 | Budget | 338,000 | 203,910 |  | 48,390 |
| RFFA Actv TPRM-Wsd Trl Hy26 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 15,000 | 215,000 | 215,000 |  | 16,936 | 204,682 | Award | 221,618 | 221,618 | (6,618) | $(6,618)$ |
| Dog Parks-expand and new sites | 70,000 | 70,000 |  | 70,000 | 70,000 |  | 5,826 | 64,174 | Budget | 70,000 | 70,000 | - |  |
| Fanno Crk Tr-Denny Rd Cr Impr | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  | 20,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Waterhouse Trail Improvements | 350,000 | 350,000 |  | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |  | 350,000 | Budget | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |  |
| Abbey Creek Park - Phase 1 Development |  |  | 345,000 | 345,000 | 345,000 |  | 231,330 | 82,797 | Award | 314,127 | 314,127 | 30,873 | 30,873 |
| Highland Park - Phase 1 Development | - | - | 420,000 | 420,000 | 420,000 |  | 41,847 | 378,153 | Budget | 420,000 | 420,000 |  |  |
| Undesignated projects |  |  | 6,212,400 | 6,212,400 | 6,212,400 |  |  | 6,212,400 | Budget | 6,212,400 | 6,212,400 |  |  |
| TOTAL DEVELOPMENTIMPROVEMENT PROJECTS | 13,823,000 | 9,757,750 | 8,677,220 | 22,493,220 | 18,427,970 | 5,675,092 | 3,275,572 | 14,499,930 |  | 23,450,594 | 17,775,503 | (957,374) | 652,467 |
| GRAND TOTAL SDC FUND | 26,075,800 | 20,153,550 | 11,170,220 | 37,246,020 | 31,323,770 | 6,737,619 | 3,844,435 | 27,170,697 |  | 37,752,751 | 31,015,132 | (506,731) | 308,638 |

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 12/31/2019


| New Neighborhood Parks Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SE | AM Kennedy Park \& Athletic Field | 1,285,250 | 50,704 | 1,335,954 | 1,674,551 |  | 1,674,551 |  | Complete | 1,674,551 | (338,597) | 25.3\% | 125.3\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Barsoti Park \& Athetic Field | 1,285,250 | 27,556 | 1,312,806 | 1,250,248 |  | 1,250,248 |  | Complete | 1,250,248 | 62,558 | 4.8\% | 95.2\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 771,150 | 16,338 | 787,488 | 731,629 |  | 731,629 |  | Complete | 731,629 | 55,859 | 7.1\% | 92.9\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Roy Dancer Park | 771,150 | 16,657 | 787,807 | 643,447 |  | 643,447 |  | Complete | 643,447 | 144,360 | 18.3\% | 81.7\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | Roger Tilury Park | 771,150 | 19,713 | 790,863 | 888,218 |  | 888,218 |  | Complete | 888,218 | (97,355) | -12.3\% | 112.3\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total New Neighborhood Parks Development | 4,883,950 | 130,968 | 5,014,918 | 5,188,093 |  | 5,188,093 |  |  | 5,188,093 | (173,175) | -3.5\% | 103.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Authorized Use of Savings from Bond Issuance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | Administration Category | - | 173,175 | 173,175 | - | . | - | - | N/A |  | 173,175 | n/a | n/a | /a |
|  | Total New Neighborhood Parks Development | 4,883,950 | 304,143 | 5,188,093 | 5,188,093 | - | 5,188,093 | - |  | 5,188,093 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Renovate \& Redevelop Neighborhood Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | Cedar Mill Park, Trail \& Athletic Fields | 1,125,879 | 29,756 | 1,155,635 | 990,095 |  | 990,095 |  | Complete | 990,095 | 165,540 | 14.3\% | 85.7\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Camille Park | 514,100 | 28,634 | 542,734 | 585,471 |  | 585,471 |  | Complete | 585,471 | (42,737) | -7.9\% | 107.9\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | Somerset West Park | 1,028,200 | 103,970 | 1,132,170 | 306,027 | 74,405 | 380,432 | 751,738 | Design | 1,132,170 |  | 0.0\% | 33.6\% | 33.6\% |
| NW | Pioneer Park and Bridge Replacement | 544,934 | 21,278 | 566,212 | 533,358 |  | 533,358 |  | Complete | 533,358 | 32,854 | 5.8\% | 94.2\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Vista Brook Park | 514,100 | 20,504 | 534,604 | 729,590 |  | 729,590 |  | Complete | 729,590 | (194,986) | 36.5\% | 136.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total Renovate \& Redevelop Neighborhood Parks | 3,727,213 | 204,142 | 3,931,355 | 3,144,541 | ${ }^{4,405}$ | 3,218,946 | 51,738 |  | 3,970,684 | (39,329) | -1.0\% | 81.9\% | 81.1\% |
|  | New Neighborhood Parks Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nw | New Neighborhood Park - NW Quadrant (Biles) | 1,500,000 | 28,554 | 1,528,554 | 1,041,404 |  | 1,041,404 |  | Complete | 1,041,404 | 487,150 | 31.9\% | 6.1\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | New Neighborhood Park - NW Quadrant (Living Hope) |  |  |  | 1,067,724 |  | 1,067,724 |  | Complete | 1,067,724 | (1,067,724) | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| NW | New Neighborhood Park - NW Quadrant (Mitchell) |  | - |  | 793,396 |  | 793,396 |  | Complete | 793,396 | (793,396) | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| Nw | New Neighborhood Park - NW Quadrant (PGE) |  |  |  | 62,712 |  | 62,712 |  | Complete | 62,712 | (62,712) | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| NE | New Neighborhood Park - NE Quadrant (Wison) | 1,500,000 | 27,968 | 1,527,968 | 529,294 |  | 529,294 |  | Complete | 529,294 | 998,674 | 65.4\% | 34.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Neighborhood Park - SW Quadrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | New Neighborhood Park - SW Quadrant (Altishin) |  | - |  | 551,696 |  | 551,696 |  | Complete | 551,696 | $(551,696)$ | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| New Neighborhood Park- SW Quadrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | (Hung easement for Roy Dancer Park) |  |  |  | 60,006 |  | 60,006 |  | Complete | 60,006 | $(60,006)$ | -100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
| SE | New Neighborhood Park - SE Quadrant (Cobb) | 1,500,000 | 15,547 | 1,515,547 | 2,609,880 |  | 2,609,880 |  | Complete | 2,609,880 | $(1,094,333)$ | -72.2\% | 172.2\% | 100.0\% |
| NW | New Neighborhood Park (North Bethany) (MGGettigan) | 1,500,000 | 23,667 | 1,523,667 | 1,629,763 |  | 1,629,763 |  | Complete | 1,629,763 | $(106,096)$ | -7.0\% | 107.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | New Neighborhood Park - Undesignated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reallocated |  |  | -100.0\% | n/a | 0.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | Land Acquisition Category |  | 1,655,521 | 1,655,521 | - |  | - | - | N/A | - | 1,655,521 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Authorized Use of Savings from Community Center / |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | Community Park Land Acquisision Category |  | 715,099 | 715,099 |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | 715,099 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Total New Neighborhood Parks | 9,000,000 | 2,524,740 | 11,524,740 | 11,524,740 | - | 11,524,740 | - |  | 11,524,740 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | New Community Park Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | SW Quad Community Park \& Athetic Field | 7,711,500 | 343,963 | 8,055,463 | 10,672,369 | . | 10,672,369 |  | Complete | 10,672,369 | $(2,616,906)$ | -32.5\% | 132.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Sub-total New Community Park Development | 7,711,500 | 343,963 | 8,055,463 | 10,672,369 | - | 10,672,369 |  |  | 10,672,369 | (2,616,906) | -32.5\% | 132.5\% | 100.0\% |
| UND | Authorized use of savings from Bond Facility Rehabilitation category |  | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 | - |  | . |  | N/A |  | 1,300,000 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Authorized use of savings from Bond Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | (Issuance) category |  | 932,655 | 932,655 | - | - | - | - | N/A | - | 932,655 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Outside Funding from Washington County / Metro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND Transferred from Community Center Land AcquisitionTotal New Community Park Development |  |  | 384,251 | 384,251 |  |  |  | - | N/A |  | 384,251 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  | 7,711,500 | 2,960,869 | ,672,369 | 10,672,369 |  | 10,672,369 |  |  | 672, |  | .0\% | 0\% | 100.0\% |


|  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Costto Complete | Basis of (Complete Phase) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative Cost } \end{array}$ | Variance <br> Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | Percent of <br> Variance <br> Total Cost Variance to Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Expended to } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Expended } \\ \text { to Total Cost } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Quad } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}$ | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Project Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Current Total } \\ \text { Project Budget } \\ \text { FY 19/20 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Community Park Land Acquisition |  | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (10)/(3) | (6)/(3) | (6)/(9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | New Community Park - NE Quadrant (Teufel) | 10,000,000 | 132,657 | 10,132,657 | 8,103,899 |  | 8,103,899 |  | Complete | 8,103,899 | 2,028,758 | 20.0\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | Walker) |  |  |  | 373,237 |  | 373,237 |  | Complete | 373,237 | (373,237) | 100.0\% | n/a | 100.0\% |
|  | Sub-total New Community Park | 10,000,000 | 132,657 | 10,132,657 | 8,477,136 |  | 8,477,136 |  |  | 8,477,136 | 1,655,521 | 16.3\% | 83.7\% | 100.0\% |
| UND | Authorized Use of Savings for New Neighborhood Parks Land Accuisition Category |  | (1655621) | (195521) |  |  |  |  | N/A |  | (1655621) |  |  |  |
|  | Total New Community Park | 10.000,000 | (1,522.864) | 8,477.136 | 8.477,136 | - | $8.477,136$ | . |  | 8.477136 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | Cedar Hills Park \& Athletic Field | 6,194,905 | 449,392 | 6,644,297 | 7,671,293 | 9,343 | 7,680,636 | 3,680 | Award | 7,684,316 | (1,040,019) | -15.7\% | 115.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Schiffler Park | 3,598,700 | 74,403 | 3,673,103 | 2,633,084 |  | 2,633,084 |  | Complete | 2,633,084 | 1,040,019 | 28.3\% | 71.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks | 9,793,605 | 523,795 | 10,317,400 | 10,304,377 | 9,343 | 10,313,720 | 3,680 |  | 10,317,400 |  | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Natural Area Preservation - Restoration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE | Roger Tiliury Memorial Park | 30,846 | 1,800 | 32,646 | 24,670 | 3,330 | 28,000 | 8,450 | Estabishment | 36,450 | $(3,804)$ | -11.7\% | 85.8\% | 76.8\% |
| NE | Cedar Mill Park | 30,846 | 1,172 | 32,018 | 1,201 |  | 1,201 |  | Complete | 1,201 | 30,817 | 96.2\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | Jordan/Jackie Husen Park | 308,460 | 8,961 | 317,421 | 36,236 |  | 36,236 |  | Complete | 36,236 | 281,185 | 88.6\% | 11.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | NE/Bethany Meadows Trail Habitat Connection | 246,768 | 16,178 | 262,946 |  |  |  |  | On Hold |  | 262,946 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Nw | Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge) | 10,282 | 300 | 10,582 | 12,929 |  | 12,929 |  | Complete | 12,929 | $(2,347)$ | -22.2\% | 122.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Allenbach Acres Park | 41,128 | 2,318 | 43,446 | 10,217 |  | 10,217 |  | Complete | 10,217 | 33,229 | 76.5\% | 23.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Crystal Creek Park | 205,640 | 7,208 | 212,848 | 95,401 |  | 95,401 |  | Complete | 95,401 | 117,447 | 55.2\% | 44.8\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | Foothills Park | 61,692 | 1,172 | 62,864 | 46,178 |  | 46,178 |  | Complete | 46,178 | 16,686 | 26.5\% | 73.5\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | Commonweath Lake Park | 41,128 | 778 | 41,906 | 30,809 |  | 30,809 |  | Complete | 30,809 | 11,097 | 26.5\% | 73.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Tualatin Hills Nature Park | 90,800 | 2,323 | 93,123 | 27,696 |  | 27,696 |  | Complete | 27,696 | 65,427 | 70.3\% | 29.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Ne | Pioneer Park | 10,282 | 254 | 10,536 | 9,421 |  | 9,421 |  | Complete | 9,421 | 1,115 | 10.6\% | 89.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Whispering Woods Park | 51,410 | 914 | 52,324 | 48,871 |  | 48,871 |  | Complete | 48,871 | 3,453 | 6.6\% | 93.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Willow Creek Nature Park | 20,564 | 389 | 20,953 | 21,877 |  | 21,877 |  | Complete | 21,877 | (924) | -4.4\% | 104.4\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | AM Kennedy Park | 30,846 | 741 | 31,587 | 26,866 |  | 26,866 |  | Complete | 26,866 | 4,721 | 14.9\% | 85.1\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Camille Park | 77,115 | 1,784 | 78,899 | 61,399 |  | 61,399 |  | Complete | 61,399 | 17,500 | 22.2\% | 77.8\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Vista Brook Park | 20,564 | 897 | 21,461 | 5,414 |  | 5,414 |  | Complete | 5,414 | 16,047 | 74.8\% | 25.2\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Greenway Park/Koll Center | ${ }^{61,692}$ | 2,316 | 64,008 | 56,727 |  | ${ }^{56,727}$ |  | Complete | 56,727 | 7,281 | 11.4\% | 88.6\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Bauman Park Fanno Creek Park | 82,256 162,456 | 2,024 6,601 | 84,280 169,057 | 30,153 65,147 |  | 30,153 65,147 | 5,508 | ${ }_{\text {Cstamplete }}^{\text {Colishment }}$ | 30,153 70,655 | 94,402 <br> 8.4027 |  | 35.8\% ${ }^{38.5 \%}$ | 100.0\% $92.2 \%$ |
| SE | Hideaway Park | 41,128 | 1,105 | 42,233 | 38,459 |  | 38,459 |  | Complete | 38,459 | 3,774 | 8.9\% | 91.1\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Murrayhill Park | 61,692 | 1,031 | 62,723 | 65,712 |  | 65,712 |  | Complete | 65,712 | $(2,989)$ | -4.8\% | 104.8\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Hyland Forest Park | 71,974 | 1,342 | 73,316 | 65,521 |  | 65,521 |  | Complete | 65,521 | 7,795 | 10.6\% | 89.4\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Cooper Mountain | 205,640 | 13,479 | 219,119 | 14 |  | 14 |  | On Hold | 14 | 219,105 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Winkelman Park | 10,282 | 241 | 10,523 | 5,894 |  | 5,894 |  | Complete | 5,894 | 4,629 | 44.0\% | 56.0\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Lowami Hart Woods | 287,896 | 9,345 | 297,241 | 130,125 |  | 130,125 |  | Complete | 130,125 | 167,116 | 56.2\% | 43.8\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Rosa/Hzzeldale Parks | 28,790 | 722 | 29,512 | 12,754 |  | 12,754 |  | Complete | 12,754 | 16,758 | 56.8\% | 43.2\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Mt Williams Park | 102,820 | 8,048 | 110,868 | 38,017 | 9,720 | 47,737 | 63,131 | Estabishment | ${ }^{110,868}$ |  | 0.0\% | 43.1\% | 43.1\% |
| sw | Jenkins Estate | 154,230 | 3,365 | 157,595 | 139,041 |  | 139,041 |  | Complete | 139,041 | 18,554 | 11.8\% | 88.2\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Summercrest Park | 10,282 | 193 | 10,475 | 7,987 |  | 7,987 |  | Complete | 7,987 | 2,488 | 23.8\% | 76.2\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Morrison Woods | 61,692 | 4,042 | 65,734 | 0 |  | 0 |  | Cancelled | 0 | 65,734 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| UND | Interpetive Sign Network | 339,306 | 9,264 | 348,570 | 326,776 |  | 326,776 |  | Complete | 326,776 | 21,794 | 6.3\% | 93.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Beaverton Creek Trail | 61,692 | 4,043 | 65,735 |  |  |  |  | On Hold | . | 65,735 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Nw | Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek | 41,128 | 2,695 | 43,823 |  |  |  |  | On Hold |  | 43,823 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Nw | Bluegrass Downs Park | 15,423 | 1,010 | 16,433 |  |  |  |  | On Hold | - | 16,433 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Nw | Crystal Creek | 41,128 | 2,696 | 43,824 |  |  |  |  | On Hold | - | 43,824 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| UND | Reallocation of project savings to new project budgets |  | (865,000) | ${ }^{(865,000)}$ |  |  | 65453 | 11418 | Reallocation | 76.871 | $(865,000)$ | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SE | Hyland Woods Phase 2 | - | 76,871 | 76,871 | 57,807 | 7,646 | 65,453 | 11,418 | Establishment | 76,871 |  | 0.0\% | 85.1\% | 85.1\% |
| SW | Jenkins Estate Phase 2 | - | 130,098 | 130,098 | 55,985 | 11,769 | 67,754 | 62,344 | Estabishment | 130,098 | - | 0.0\% | 52.1\% | 52.1\% |
| NW NW | Somerset ${ }_{\text {Rreek Greenway }}$ | . | 158,972 164,270 | 158,972 164,270 |  | 49,000 | 49,000 | 109,972 164,270 | Budget Budget | 158,972 164,270 | : | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 30.8\% |
| NW | Whispering Woods Phase 2 | - | 100,681 | 100,681 |  |  |  | 100,681 | Budget | 100,681 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Through 12/31/2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Variance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative Cost } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent of Variance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Expended to } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Expended } \\ \text { to Total Cost } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Quad } \\ \text { rant } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Project Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current Total } \\ \text { Project Budget } \\ \text { FY 19/20 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Total Cost } \\ \text { Variance to } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| SE Raleigh Park |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2) $=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $\frac{(6+7)=(9)}{1160}$ | $(3-9)=(10)$ | (10) / 3 ( | (6)/ /3) | (6)/(9) |
|  |  |  | 116,071 | 116,071 | 8,500 |  | ${ }^{8,500}$ | 107,571 | Budget | 116,071 |  |  | 7.3\% | 7.3\% |
| NE | Bannister Creek Greenway NE Park |  | 79,485 | 79,485 |  | 11,492 | 11,492 | 67,993 | Budget | 79,485 |  | 0.0\% | 14.5\% | 14.5\% |
| Nw | Beaverton Creek Greenway Duncan |  | 20,607 | 20,607 |  |  |  |  | Cancelled |  | 20,607 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SE | Church of Nazarene |  | 30,718 | 30,718 | 14,121 |  | 14,121 |  | Complete | 14,121 | 16,597 | 54.0\% | 46.0\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Lilly K. Johnson Woods |  | 30,722 | 30,722 | 28,640 | 1,183 | 29,823 | 7,449 | Establishment | 37,272 | $(6,550)$ | -21.3\% | 97.1\% | 80.0\% |
| UND | Restoration of new properties to be accuired | 643,023 | 41,096 | 684,119 | 976 | - | 976 | 6,196 | On Hold | 7,172 | 676,947 | 99.0\% | 0.1\% | 13.6\% |
| UND | Reallocation of project savings to new project budgets |  | (1,570,245) | (1,570,245) |  |  |  |  | Reallocation |  | $(1,570,245)$ | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NE | NE Quadrant Property(Findley) |  | 462,880 | 462,880 |  |  |  | 462,880 | Budget | 462,880 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NE | N. Johnson Greenway (Peterkort) |  | 257,156 | 257,156 |  |  |  | 257,156 | Budget | 257,156 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NE | Commonweath Lake Park |  | 61,718 | 61,718 |  |  |  | 61,718 | Budget | 61,718 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| sw | 155th Wetlands |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 7,403 | 7,403 | 18,313 | Budget | 25,716 |  | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 28.8\% |
| sw | Bronson Creek New Properties |  | 102,863 | 102,863 |  |  |  | 102,863 | Budget | 102,863 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SE | Fanno Creek Greenway |  | 82,291 | 82,291 |  |  |  | 82,291 | Budget | 82,291 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Nw | HMT north woods and stream |  | 51,431 | 51,431 |  | 12,233 | 12,233 | 37,723 | Award | 49,956 | 1,475 | 2.9\% | 23.8\% | 24.5\% |
| NE | Cedar Mill Creek Greenway |  | 30,859 | 30,859 |  | 5,700 | 5,700 | 24,210 | Award | 29,910 | 949 | 3.1\% | 18.5\% | 19.1\% |
| sw | Fir Grove Park |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 14,369 | 14,369 | 11,347 | Budget | 25,716 |  | 0.0\% | 55.9\% | 55.9\% |
| sw | HL Cain Wetlands |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 10,649 | 10,649 | 15,067 | Award | 25,716 |  | 0.0\% | 41.4\% | 41.4\% |
| Nw | Bronson Creek Park |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 1,374 | 1,374 | 19,656 | Amard | 21,030 | 4,686 | 18.2\% | 5.3\% | 6.5\% |
| SE | Center Street Wetlands Area |  | 20,572 | 20,572 |  | 1,705 | 1,705 | 16,691 | Award | 18,396 | 2,176 | 10.6\% | 8.3\% | 9.3\% |
| sw | Tallac Terrace Park |  | 10,287 | 10,287 |  |  |  | 10,287 | Budget | 10,287 |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| NE | Forest Hills Park |  | 10,287 | 10,287 |  | 1,258 | 1,258 | 5,407 | Award | 6,665 | 3,622 | 35.2\% | 12.2\% | 18.9\% |
| UND | ArboristTree Management |  | 293,410 | 293,410 | 8,900 | 49,275 | 58,175 | 235,235 | Budget | 293,410 |  | 0.0\% | 19.8\% | 19.8\% |
| Nw | North Bethany Greenway |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 4,163 | 4,163 | 18,180 | Award | 22,343 | 3,373 | 13.1\% | 16.2\% | 18.6\% |
| Nw | Willow Creek Greenway II |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 4,710 | 4,710 | 19,980 | Award | 24,690 | 1,026 | 4.0\% | 18.3\% | 19.1\% |
| NW | Westside Trail Segment 18 |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  |  |  | 25,716 | Budget | ${ }^{25,716}$ |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| sw | Westside Trail- Burntwood area |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 18,751 | 18,751 | 6,965 | Budget | 25,716 |  | 0.0\% | 72.9\% | 72.9\% |
| Nw | Waterhouse Trail |  | 25,716 | 25,716 |  | 654 | 654 | 25,062 | Budget | 25,716 |  | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Total Natural Area Restoration | 3,762,901 | 250,295 | 4,013,196 | 1,616,441 | 226,385 | 1,842,827 | 2,171,729 |  | 4,014,556 | $(1,360)$ | 0.0\% | 45.9\% | 45.9\% |
| UND | Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Natural Area Acquisitions | 8,400,000 | 453,818 | 8,853,818 | 5,537,162 | 3,232,280 | 8,769,442 | 84,376 | Budget | 8,853,818 |  | 0.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% |
|  | Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition | 8,400,000 | 453,818 | 8,853,818 | 5,537,162 | 3,232,280 | 8,769,442 | 84,376 |  | 8,853,818 |  | 0.0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% |
| New Linear Park and Trail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | Westside Trail Segments 1, 4, \& 7 | 4,267,030 | 85,084 | 4,352,114 | 4,381,083 |  | 4,381,083 |  | Complete | 4,381,083 | $(28,969)$ | -0.7\% | 100.7\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | Jordan/Husen Park Trail | 1,645,120 | 46,432 | 1,691,552 | 1,227,496 |  | 1,227,496 |  | Complete | 1,227,496 | 464,056 | 27.4\% | 72.6\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Waterhouse Trail Segments 1,5 \& West Spur | 3,804,340 | 78,646 | 3,882,986 | 4,392,047 |  | 4,392,047 | - | Complete | 4,392,047 | (509,061) | -13.1\% | 113.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Rock Creek Trail 55 \& Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 | 2,262,040 | 103,949 | 2,365,989 | 1,743,667 |  | 1,743,667 |  | Complete | 1,743,667 | 622,322 | 26.3\% | 73.7\% | 100.0\% |
| UND | Miscellaneous Natural Trails | 100,000 | 7,324 | 107,324 | 30,394 |  | 30,394 | 76,930 | Budget | 107,324 |  | 0.0\% | 28.3\% | 28.3\% |
| Nw | Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail | 359,870 | 3,094 | 362,964 | 238,702 | - | 238,702 |  | Complete | 238,702 | 124,262 | 34.2\% | 65.8\% | 100.0\% |
| NE | NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 | 257,050 | 14,797 | 271,847 | 412,424 |  | 412,424 |  | Complete | 412,424 | (140,577) | -51.7\% | 151.7\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | Lowami Hart Woods | 822,560 | 55,645 | 878,205 | 1,255,274 |  | 1,255,274 |  | Complete | 1,255,274 | $(377,069)$ | -42.9\% | 142.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection | 1,542,300 | 48,560 | 1,590,860 | 1,055,589 | . | 1,055,589 |  | Complete | 1,055,589 | 535,271 | 33.6\% | 66.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Development | 15,060,310 | 443,531 | 15,503,841 | 14,736,676 | - | 14,736,676 | 76,930 |  | 14,813,606 | 690,235 | 4.5\% | 95.1\% | 99.5\% |
| UND | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Linear Park and Triil Acquisitions | 1,200,000 | 23,378 | 1,223,378 | 1,222,206 |  | 1,222,206 | 1,172 | Budget | 1,223,378 |  | 0.0\% | 99.9\% | 99.9\% |
|  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquisition | 1,200,000 | 23,378 | 1,223,378 | 1,222,206 | - | 1,222,206 | 1,172 |  | 1,223,378 | - | 0.0\% | 99.9\% | 99.9\% |
| Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | Winkelman Athletic Field | 514,100 | 34,601 | 548,701 | 941,843 |  | 941,843 |  | Complete | 941,843 | $(393,142)$ | -71.6\% | 171.6\% | 100.0\% |
| SE | Meadow Waye Park | 514,100 | 4,791 | 518,891 | 407,340 |  | 407,340 |  | Complete | 407,340 | 111,551 | 21.5\% | 78.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Nw | New Fields in NW Quadrant | 514,100 | 71,592 | 585,692 | 71,158 | 1,157 | 72,316 | 1,320,192 | Design | 1,392,508 | $(806,816)$ | -137.8\% | 12.3\% | 5.2\% |
| NE | New Fields in NE Quadrant (Cedar Mill Park) | 514,100 | 14,184 | 528,284 | 527,993 |  | 527,993 |  | Complete | 527,993 | 291 | 0.1\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% |
| sw | New Fields in SW Quadrant | 514,100 | 49,313 | 563,413 | 997 | 5,321 | 6,318 | 557,095 | Budget | 563,413 |  | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
| SE | New Fields in SE Quadrant (Conestoga Middle School) | 514,100 | 19,833 | 533,933 | 548,917 |  | 548,917 |  | Complete | 548,917 | $(14,984)$ | -2.8\% | 102.8\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athetic Field Dev. | 3,084,600 | 194,314 | 3,278,914 | 2,498,248 | 6,479 | 2,504,727 | 1,877,287 |  | 4,382,014 | $(1,103,100)$ | -33.6\% | 76.4\% | 57.2\% |




## THPRD Bond Capital Program

# Funds Reprogramming Analysis - Based on Category Transfer Eligibility As of 12/31/2019 

## Category (Over) Under Budget

## Limited Reprogramming

Land: New Neighborhood Park

## New Community Park

New Linear Park
New Community Center/Park $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Nat Res: Restoration
Acquisition
$(1,360)$

All Other

## New Neighborhood Park Dev

Neighborhood Park Renov
New Community Park Dev
Community Park Renov
New Linear Parks and Trails
690,235
Athletic Field Development
$(1,103,100)$
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Deferred Park Maint Replace } & \text { - } \\ \text { Facility Rehabilitation } & 244,609\end{array}$
ADA
Facility Expansion
Bond Admin Costs
759,339
551,754

Grand Total
550,394

## MEMORANDUM

Date:
January 14, 2020
To: Board of Directors

From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities
$\mathrm{Re}:$
System Development Report for December 2019
The Board of Directors approved a resolution implementing the System Development Charge program on November 17, 1998. Below please find the various categories for SDC's, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the 1.6\% handling fee for collections through December 2019. This report includes information for the program for fiscal year to date.

|  | Current Rate per <br> Unit | With 1.6\% <br> Discount |  | Current Rate per <br> Unit | With 1.6\% <br> Discount |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Single Family |  |  | Multi-Family |  |  |  |
| North Bethany | $\$$ | $13,513.00$ | $\$$ | $13,296.79$ | North Bethany | $\$$ |
| Bonny Slope West | $14,087.00$ | $13,861.61$ | Bonny Slope West | $10,785.00$ | $\$$ | $10,612.44$ |
| South Cooper <br> Mountain | $13,905.00$ | $13,682.52$ | South Cooper |  |  | $11,061.14$ |
| Other | $11,895.00$ | $11,704.68$ | Other | $11,097.00$ | $10,919.45$ |  |
| Accessory Dwelling |  |  | Non-residential | $9,494.00$ | $9,342.10$ |  |
| Other | $6,776.00$ | $6,667.58$ | Other |  |  |  |

## City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs

86 Single Family Units

- Single Family Units at $\$ 489.09$

172 Multi-family Units

- Less Multi-family Credits

2 Accessory Dwelling Units

- Non-residential

Washington County Collection of SDCs
211 Single Family Units

- Less Credits

21 Multi-family Units

- Less Credits

2 Accessory Dwelling Units

- Non-residential

234

| Recap by Agency |  | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | City of Beaverton | $47.09 \%$ |
| 230 | Washington County | $\underline{52.91 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 9 4}$ |  | $\underline{100.00 \%}$ |


| Collection Fee |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $42,678.44$ |
|  | $46,654.07$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 9 , 3 3 2 . 5 2}$ |


| Gross Receipts |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | $2,595,911.79$ |
|  | $2,915,879.53$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 1 1 , 7 9 1 . 3 3}$ |

Gross Receipts
\$ 1,092,069.44

1,559,451.76
(71,491.00)
5,678.64
10,202.96
\$ 2,595,911.79
Gross Receipts
\$ 2,683,910.45
216,290.09

| $13,551.99$ |
| ---: |
| $2,127.00$ |
| $\mathbf{2 , 9 1 5 , 8 7 9 . 5 3}$ |

\$

Net Revenue

Net Revenue
\$ 2,553,233.35

| 2,869,225.46 |
| :--- |
| $\$ \quad 5,422,458.81$ |



SDC NET RECEIPTS


SDC EXPENDITURES


